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2011 Charleston Conference — 31st Annual Issues in Book and Serial Acquisition

Call For Papers, Ideas, Conference Themes, Panels, Debates, Diatribes, Speakers, Poster Sessions, Preconferences, etc. ...

2011 Theme — Something’s Gotta Give!

Wednesday, November 2, 2011 — Preconferences and Vendor Showcase
Thursday-Saturday, November 3-5, 2011 — Main Conference
Francis Marion Hotel, Embassy Suites Historic District, and Holiday Inn Historic District, Charleston, SC

If you are interested in leading a discussion, acting as a moderator, coordinating a lively lunch, or would like to make sure we discuss a particular topic, please let us know. The Charleston Conference prides itself on creativity, innovation, flexibility, and informality. If there is something you are interested in doing, please try it out on us. We’ll probably love it...

The Conference Directors for the 2011 Charleston Conference include — Beth Bernhardt, Principal Director (UNC-Greensboro) <beth_bernhardt@uncg.edu>, Glenda Alvin <galvin@tstate.edu>, Adam Chesler <adam.chesler@cox.net>, Cris Ferguson (Furman University) <cris.ferguson@furman.edu>, Joyce Dixon-Fyle (DePauw University Libraries) <joyfyle@depauw.edu>, Chuck Hamaker <chahamake@email.uncc.edu>, Tony Horava (University of Ottawa) <thorava@uottawa.ca>, Albert Joy (University of Vermont) <albert.joy@uvm.edu>, Ramune Kubilius (Northwestern Health Sciences Library) <rkubilius@northwestern.edu>, Corrie Marsh <cmarsh12@hotmail.com>, Heather Miller (SUNY-Albany) <hmiller@uamail.albany.edu>, Jack Montgomery (Western Kentucky University) <jack.montgomery@wku.edu>, Audrey Powers (UFS Tampa Library) <apowers@lib.usf.edu>, Anthony Watkinson (Consultant) <anthony.watkinson@btopenworld.com>, Katina Strauch (College of Charleston) <kstrauch@comcast.net>, or www.katina.info/conference.

Send ideas by July 31, 2011, to any of the Conference Directors listed above.

Or to: Katina Strauch, MSC 98, The Citadel, Charleston, SC 29409
843-723-3536 (voice) 843-805-7918 (fax) 843-509-2848 (cell)
<kstrauch@comcast.net> http://www.katina.info/conference

Pelikan’s Antidisambiguation — On the Legitimization of “Mobile”

Column Editor: Michael P. Pelikan (Penn State) <mpp10@psu.edu>

No doubt about it, these are heady times! Lo, we have lived to see the days in which eBook readers, and now, small-form factor tablet computers, have been “legitimized.”

So this summer, as we all head of to our many and various professional conferences of choice, we will find compelling evidence of this legitimacy in at least three ways.

1. We will see the devices everywhere, indeed, competing devices, some now mainstream, some in the process of adoption, and some flourished with the practiced nonchalance of the first adopters of the Newest Things.

2. We will see academic and professional presentations on conference programs breathlessly tackling the challenges of providing services to, or the opportunities to address the challenges of, or best of all, the pedagogical implications of (enter device type here).

3. We will be inundated on the vendors’ display floor at the conferences by a dazzling display of wares, hard and soft, from vendors as well-known as your least-favorite relatives-by-marriage, some new and trustworthy as a teenaged suitor.

The message delivered, across the board, will be “The Long-Awaited Future has Arrived! Don’t get Left Behind! Better Buy Now!”

So Deans, Department Heads, and Supervisors will arrive home ready to demand answers to the question, “What are we doing about (enter device type here)?”

And junior members, aspirants, and supplicants will arrive home ready to ask the Dickensian question, “Please, Sir (or Madam), may I do something about (enter device type here)?”

And all because Amazon legitimized eBooks and Apple legitimized tablets!

Dear readers (both of you!), this is nothing so much as a combination of saying that a leading luggage company legitimized air travel, and that the Yellow Pages legitimized the telephone.

Let’s see — how far back should we go? Well, the Babylonians impressed cuneiform figures into clay tablets, some of which, no doubt, were of convenient size. But perhaps that’s too much of a stretch, even for Antidisambiguation! We must treat the real questions at hand with The Gravitas They Deserve.

All of these devices center, fundamentally, on the portability of information, and in that sense, differ in no great degree from their more technologically primitive forebears, the paperback book and the clipboard. The World Wide Web was created in 1994 and then just sat there, despite endless homepage redesigns. To this day, many, many Web sites offering access to essential services are still entirely weighed down with large bodies of text containing tiny links amidst huge images; all mired down in fixed-width pages, devoid of the readily-available means to discover what sort of browser is trying to access them, and then adapting flexibly to accommodate the presentation — in other words, a whole lot of Websites are essentially useless for mobile devices. Warnings about the strategic need to de-couple content from presentation stretch back into the 1990s. Many organizations are struggling only now to climb on board the mobility bandwagon — and climbing onto a moving passenger train ain’t easy!

Meanwhile, the customers left long ago. As soon as we had computers the size of sewing machines, and at the same time, we already had... continued on page 10
large amounts of information in buildings full of printed documents and sitting on computers large enough to require their own buildings, the seeds of everything we’ve seen since were sewn.

The eBook was invented in 1971 when Michael Hart transcribed the Declaration of Independence into the Xerox Sigma V mainframe at the University of Illinois, thus initiating Project Gutenberg.

In 1983, Tandy brought out the TRS-80 Model 100, a small portable computer (about the size of a standard three-ring binder) with an eight-line display, up to 24 kilobytes of non-volatile storage, and capable of operating for up to twenty hours on four AA batteries. It included built-in applications in read-only memory including a text editor, an address book, and a to-do list. It also sported a terminal program and a built-in 300 baud modem, so connectivity to AC power, on the fly, with results to be shared back later. This was the paradigm-shift device. Yet no one speaks much anymore of the actual code) was personally overseen by that company’s brilliant young co-founder, Andy Grove, thus initiating the personal computer era. By the way, the software on the device — no waiting for the thing to boot up. Again, the year was 1983.

So here, front and center, was the idea that a computer could be put to a personal and or white-collar purpose — something other than calculating the ballistic trajectory of artillery shells — wherever one happened to be, away from AC power, on the fly, with results to be shared back later. This was the paradigm-shift device. Yet no one speaks much anymore of this device, although it is remembered fondly and sometimes still taken off the shelf and started up for old time’s sake. Mine still works.

Today, what Amazon and Apple both want more than anything else, of course, is to achieve the accomplishment of actually naming an entire class of devices. They ache to have Kindle or iPad become the same as Kleenex. This is actually very difficult to accomplish, and quite rare. You can buy a Ford, but no one will comment, “You bought a Ford instead of a Chevy?” How are you going to get to work?” For that matter, no one will say, “I’m going to the bookstore to buy a Harper and Row.” One might say, “I’m going to buy a New York Times,” but everyone will understand that this refers to a particular publication.

The plain fact is that both eBook readers and small tablet computing devices haven’t finished converging yet — not to the point at which we can really nail down the categories the way the manufacturers want.

The recent study at the University of Washington points directly to the limitations standing in the way of unequivocal adoption of the Kindle DX as a textbook replacement. The results speak of limitations in note-taking capabilities, place-finding, the facile handling of illustrations, charts, tables, graphs, and more. I commend the study to your attention. Google something like “University of Washington Kindle DX Study” — that should get you close. Ah! See! There it is again! We all recognize “Google” as a verb. Not easy to achieve…

To become truly useful, eBook readers need to become at least a little more tablet-like. They’ve already got a lot of what they need on board. The display is a chief dividing line and sticking point, however. The electronic ink display technology behind the Kindle, the Sony Reader, the monochrome Nook, etc., is both a chief feature and a chief limitation. It’s a beautiful display for reading, for the most part, but the lack of color, and more importantly, the slow refresh rate, limit its usefulness as a display for a more general purpose device. On the other hand, the reflective LED display such as on tablets like the Motorola Xoom, the Asus Eee Pad Transformer, and yes, the iPad, and by the way, on the Nook Color (which is sold as an eBook reader), offers high resolution, rich color, and a refresh rate sufficient to handle the display of video. This comes at the cost, of battery life. What’s more, the Nook Color has a functional browser, and Barnes & Noble is in the process of opening up many capabilities that are inherent in the platform but have been dozing beneath the surface. The hacker community knew about this from the start, and B&N did essentially nothing to discourage them. The secret is this: the Nook Color is essentially an Android Tablet. Its limitations as a functional tablet are largely surmountable through software. It doesn’t have the dual-core processor of the Motorola Xoom or the Asus Eee Pad Transformer, but it costs $250.

And what about the Asus Transformer? Logically, the Eee Pad Transformer seems like a great purchase, and possibly an elegant solution (except, perhaps, for its extraordinarily inelegant name) to those wishing for a flexible hybrid approach to the challenges of a multipurpose device. The only thing perhaps worth mentioning is that the keyboard you get is the keyboard you have — and you probably end up carrying it with you even when you don’t end up using it, just in case you might need it. The “tap tap tap” on-display keyboards on the other tablets are really quite functional. The trace-from-letter-to-letter-with-your-fingertip approach works well for small text entry: search strings and the like. And please, please do not disregard Voice Input. It is not only coming, it is here: you need only look. It is as virtually, very rapidly, and it, in combination with Text-to-Speech, will result in an even larger paradigm switch than the emergence of eBook readers and small-form tablets have so far achieved, combined. By far.

I’ve more than used up my allotted space for the moment. Please remember — We like to think we are living at the Dawning of the Future Age, but we’re actually living in the Old Days. More on all of this next time…