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Here in September 1994 I find that headline that I knew all along was inevitable: “Acquisitions and the Internet: A Look into the Future.” This is the headline that leads off the whole issue, in fact.

In his column, Jack G. Montgomery brings “this new and very important element of our work lives” out into the spotlight.1 Since I started tracing the rise of the CD-ROM, I have been waiting for that moment where someone put “Internet” and “future” into some kind of speculative statement. To be sure, I have that statement here, but it is not exactly what I expected. As Montgomery reports on the 87th annual meeting of the American Association of Law Libraries (AALL) held earlier that year in Seattle, two things are certain: the Internet is here for good, and it is still thought of as a tool (rather than a medium). While someone has finally thought (out loud) that our future (that is, for those who acquire, publish, vend, etc.) is tied up with the Internet, the real watershed moment — the one where someone suddenly grasps the potential for content — is not quite here. But we have reached a tipping point: the Internet only gets bigger from here. And so I will tentatively place the beginning-of-the-end of the CD-ROM here in the fall of 1994. Those CD-ROMs, of course, but their reign is both brief and fraught.

Other evidence can be seen throughout this issue that we have started to look more seriously at the Internet. Eleanor Cook describes the tasks associated with running the ACQNET email list.2 This includes advice on transitioning from BITNET, employing a signature block in email messages, the vulnerability of phone lines, and the incompatibility of various systems. For the first time (not that my search has been exhaustive), I come across the word “platform.” In this case the word is used for the various email list applications. (More on that below.) Also, Sandra K. Paul discusses the increasing importance of electronic resources in all kinds of libraries.3 According to Paul, many libraries indicate plans to increase their “portable databases and online services this year.” (A “portable database” is just a CD-ROM by another name, right?) The Internet in this case is already the clear winner: CD-ROMs are not really, that are self-contained in a small physical format but that have extremely limited accessibility. CD-ROMs represented discrete and portable information packages that replicated, for the most part, books or sets of books. These products were convenient in that the packages themselves were small. However, like their print counterparts, CD-ROMs were physical items, expensive, fragile, and potentially difficult to replace. And the hardware required to access the information on the discs was anything but portable. The Internet, on the other hand, was a big step forward but has inherent limitations of its own — for starters, it demands constant connectivity.

Though the Web has dominated the Internet, the idea of “platform” and “application” has started to shift. While the Web has provided a degree of portability unthinkable in the paradigm dominated by CD-ROMs — especially since the introduction of laptops and WiFi, portability has become key aspect of the current information environment. The idea of the “app” for mobile devices is gaining momentum. At the same time, the idea of “platform” is becoming muddled. (The Kindle is an ebook device, but Kindle is also e-reader software that can run on non-Kindle devices.) The idea of the discrete, self-contained information package has returned, but under circumstances unimaginable during the reign of the CD-ROM. One major difference, of course, is that apps are intangible and can be shot, previewed, and downloaded from virtually anywhere. Apps have even been freed from the limitations of modems and hot spots. But the major difference is not so much that the apps have an ethereal existence; it’s that the mode of access has been transformed. Instead of elaborate hardware configurations for CD-ROMs that demand a dedicated, localized space (not to mention support), we have mobile devices. The Web, which unified information behind a single Internet platform, relies on constant connectivity. It serves its purpose when such a connection is possible. But we are swiftly returning to a world where information packages are once again singular and distributed. However, accessibility — to the apps themselves and the devices that run them — is becoming so widespread in our environment as to be almost ubiquitous.

At one point, we could simply assume that the Web was the future of a networked information universe. But now that information universe is diversifying. When looking into the future, it is no longer a question of putting information on the Web in a way that ensures that it works and is accessible. The Web will be around for a while, of course, but networked space is changing for all of us — anyone who is a part of the information environment. The question is: are apps for mobile devices the new CD-ROMs or are they the next Worldwide Web?

Are apps the next tipping point…? 🌐
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