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a print book from another library. As POD becomes a viable option, it can be added to this function. ILL should become a means of borrowing only materials that are not available — either as POD or eBook — on demand.

While DDA allows us to reconsider our collections and the ILL function, it also requires us to invent an entire array of tools and services to manage it. As our collections expand to include a large pool of titles under consideration for demand-driven acquisition, we need to develop a management structure to allow us to keep the consideration pool at the optimum size, to keep it filled with the right mix of titles, and to remove titles that no longer make sense for the collection. This process is too complicated to be managed by the library, so we will need vendors to help develop these services.

This consideration pool will consist of all titles available for purchase or lease through a DDA model. In DU’s case, it will consist not just of the EBL titles already there, but also of eBook purchases and books from other vendors and publishers — all based on YBP profiling. While we are using our existing profile now, it may make sense to write a less restrictive profile for DDA, adding more books in subjects that we have traditionally been unable to afford. For instance, though DU does not have an architecture program, we do have some demand for books on architecture, so we purchase a handful of titles every year. With an approval plan, our aim has always been to narrow the number of titles in this area down as much as possible. With a DDA plan, it might be more logical to expand it as widely as possible under the assumption that we will still have relatively low demand for architecture so we should only buy the few titles specifically needed in our users.

A much more complicated aspect of DDA management is long-term maintenance of the titles available in the consideration pool. At some point, we will need to remove titles for a number of reasons — age, content, replacement with a newer edition, and financial risk. Some titles will be removed because they are no longer current or because the content no longer matches collecting needs. More titles will be removed to keep the pool at the optimal size relative to budget; this is much more complicated than populating the pool in the first place.

Libraries will need to craft rules for long-term maintenance of the pool. At DU, we assume that we will keep some subjects around longer than others. For instance, we already know that books in the humanities are used much longer than those in subjects like business and engineering; it stands to reason that we should leave books in these sorts of subjects in the pool for potential purchase longer. We also assume that some titles, or series, or publishers will be deemed to be core. In other words, they will be seen as important enough to keep in the pool forever — even if they are never purchased. These titles will have to be identified and tracked in some way to ensure that we provide access in the long term. Other titles will be removed regularly — after a certain length of time in the pool perhaps — but only if they have not been used. In a model that allows some use before purchase, we do not want to frustrate our users by removing titles that have recently been used. In some cases we may want to remove titles temporarily. For instance, if spending outstrips the budget, some portion of the pool might be blocked until the next fiscal year. All of this is incredibly complicated and requires someone — most likely our approval vendors — to develop the services to manage the pool.

In order to adopt DDA models on a large scale, we need vendors to develop these services.

Approval vendors are the logical developers and managers of these services. They already have experience developing rules to get books into our collections based on a variety of subject and non-subject criteria. They should expand those rules to include methods for managing DDA from multiple eBook vendors, and should develop new rules and services to help us keep the optimum number and mix of titles in our consideration pools.

Demand-Driven Acquisition is the hot topic of the moment, but so far it is too difficult to make it the primary means of building collections. Publishers are not providing enough titles as eBooks (and certainly are not providing enough for local POD). And there are no structures in place to allow libraries to easily shift from prospective to demand-driven collection building. We need those shifts to occur because DDA can allow us to provide broader collections to our users and can make it possible to more efficiently access any book not owned in the local library. Thoughtful management of a DDA plan will involve many of the same sorts of decisions always made in managing traditional collections — with the emphasis now placed on the pool of titles available for potential purchase: which titles to make available, how long to keep those books available, and how and when to weed titles. The key difference between traditional collection building and demand-driven collection building is that after the point of initial selection into the consideration pool we will have to manage the size of this collection based on budgetary constraints. While librarians should set these rules based on local collecting needs, we should employ vendors — just as we do now for our approval plans — to manage the complex sets of decisions we have made about our collections.
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