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that electronic resources management was not simply serials librarianship re-named. It is, in so many ways, the new librarianship in practice.

**ATG:** What other new journal publications are in the works? Books?

**BC:** I am not really privy to new journal launches at this point in time. Book publishing is carried out by entirely separate divisions.

**ATG:** What predictions do you have for the future of Haworth? Will you continue to be a separate imprint of Taylor & Francis?

**BC:** Haworth's journals should grow and prosper. I anticipate they may advantageously be folded into a broader, *Routledge/Taylor & Francis* imprint. By far and away, one of the most immediate advantages is that the publication lag time (defined here as meaning the time from receipt of final copy in the publisher’s office, to final print/Web publication) has already been cut from six months to three months. That time reduction will be a magnet for highly cited authors. In addition, *Taylor & Francis* promotes on both sides of the Atlantic, and maintains a 15-member sales force for “Big Deals” and other types of journal packages. Other factors includes T&F's participation in low-cost journal programs for libraries in most of Africa; technological improvements to make the Haworth journals Counter compliant; and once again the vast advantages of *informaworld*, the *Taylor & Francis* platform, which is so extraordinarily robust.

**ATG:** Do you regret your decision to sell Haworth Press to Taylor & Francis?

**BC:** No, not at all. At this time in my life, I could not go it alone. More importantly, I see the journal business as changing radically on many fronts. There is a unique paradox facing us. On the one hand, the Internet allows anyone to distribute information globally and rightful home. However, I believe new strategies of competition will be found on a number of fronts, with technological innovations will be adopted to enhance that relevance; collections continue for libraries to maintain whatever relevance they have for their constituents; technological innovations will be adopted to enhance that relevance; collections will continue their migration to electronic; institutional repositories will become a new journal launch duck soup from one planet to another. One could say, the planets are out of alignment.

**ATG:** What other new journals publications are in the works? Books?

**BC:** *Haworth's* journals should grow and prosper. I anticipate they may advantageously be folded into a broader, *Routledge/Taylor & Francis* imprint. By far and away, one of the most immediate advantages is that the publication lag time (defined here as meaning the time from receipt of final copy in the publisher’s office, to final print/Web publication) has already been cut from six months to three months. That time reduction will be a magnet for highly cited authors. In addition, *Taylor & Francis* promotes on both sides of the Atlantic, and maintains a 15-member sales force for “Big Deals” and other types of journal packages. Other factors includes T&F's participation in low-cost journal programs for libraries in most of Africa; technological improvements to make the Haworth journals Counter compliant; and once again the vast advantages of *informaworld*, the *Taylor & Francis* platform, which is so extraordinarily robust.

**ATG:** Do you regret your decision to sell Haworth Press to Taylor & Francis?

**BC:** No, not at all. At this time in my life, I could not go it alone. More importantly, I see the journal business as changing radically on many fronts. There is a unique paradox facing us. On the one hand, the Internet allows anyone to distribute information globally and rightful home. However, I believe new strategies of competition will be found on a number of fronts, with technological innovations will be adopted to enhance that relevance; collections continue for libraries to maintain whatever relevance they have for their constituents; technological innovations will be adopted to enhance that relevance; collections will continue their migration to electronic; institutional repositories will become a new journal launch duck soup from one planet to another. One could say, the planets are out of alignment.

**ATG:** What predictions do you have for the future of Haworth? Will you continue to be a separate imprint of Taylor & Francis?

**BC:** Haworth's journals should grow and prosper. I anticipate they may advantageously be folded into a broader, *Routledge/Taylor & Francis* imprint. By far and away, one of the most immediate advantages is that the publication lag time (defined here as meaning the time from receipt of final copy in the publisher’s office, to final print/Web publication) has already been cut from six months to three months. That time reduction will be a magnet for highly cited authors. In addition, *Taylor & Francis* promotes on both sides of the Atlantic, and maintains a 15-member sales force for “Big Deals” and other types of journal packages. Other factors includes T&F's participation in low-cost journal programs for libraries in most of Africa; technological improvements to make the Haworth journals Counter compliant; and once again the vast advantages of *informaworld*, the *Taylor & Francis* platform, which is so extraordinarily robust.

**ATG:** Do you regret your decision to sell Haworth Press to Taylor & Francis?

**BC:** No, not at all. At this time in my life, I could not go it alone. More importantly, I see the journal business as changing radically on many fronts. There is a unique paradox facing us. On the one hand, the Internet allows anyone to distribute information globally and rightful home. However, I believe new strategies of competition will be found on a number of fronts, with technological innovations will be adopted to enhance that relevance; collections continue for libraries to maintain whatever relevance they have for their constituents; technological innovations will be adopted to enhance that relevance; collections will continue their migration to electronic; institutional repositories will become a new journal launch duck soup from one planet to another. One could say, the planets are out of alignment.

**ATG:** What predictions do you have for the future of Haworth? Will you continue to be a separate imprint of Taylor & Francis?

**BC:** Haworth's journals should grow and prosper. I anticipate they may advantageously be folded into a broader, *Routledge/Taylor & Francis* imprint. By far and away, one of the most immediate advantages is that the publication lag time (defined here as meaning the time from receipt of final copy in the publisher’s office, to final print/Web publication) has already been cut from six months to three months. That time reduction will be a magnet for highly cited authors. In addition, *Taylor & Francis* promotes on both sides of the Atlantic, and maintains a 15-member sales force for “Big Deals” and other types of journal packages. Other factors includes T&F's participation in low-cost journal programs for libraries in most of Africa; technological improvements to make the Haworth journals Counter compliant; and once again the vast advantages of *informaworld*, the *Taylor & Francis* platform, which is so extraordinarily robust.

**ATG:** Do you regret your decision to sell Haworth Press to Taylor & Francis?

**BC:** No, not at all. At this time in my life, I could not go it alone. More importantly, I see the journal business as changing radically on many fronts. There is a unique paradox facing us. On the one hand, the Internet allows anyone to distribute information globally and rightful home. However, I believe new strategies of competition will be found on a number of fronts, with technological innovations will be adopted to enhance that relevance; collections continue for libraries to maintain whatever relevance they have for their constituents; technological innovations will be adopted to enhance that relevance; collections will continue their migration to electronic; institutional repositories will become a new journal launch duck soup from one planet to another. One could say, the planets are out of alignment.

**ATG:** What predictions do you have for the future of Haworth? Will you continue to be a separate imprint of Taylor & Francis?

**BC:** Haworth's journals should grow and prosper. I anticipate they may advantageously be folded into a broader, *Routledge/Taylor & Francis* imprint. By far and away, one of the most immediate advantages is that the publication lag time (defined here as meaning the time from receipt of final copy in the publisher’s office, to final print/Web publication) has already been cut from six months to three months. That time reduction will be a magnet for highly cited authors. In addition, *Taylor & Francis* promotes on both sides of the Atlantic, and maintains a 15-member sales force for “Big Deals” and other types of journal packages. Other factors includes T&F's participation in low-cost journal programs for libraries in most of Africa; technological improvements to make the Haworth journals Counter compliant; and once again the vast advantages of *informaworld*, the *Taylor & Francis* platform, which is so extraordinarily robust.

**ATG:** Do you regret your decision to sell Haworth Press to Taylor & Francis?

**BC:** No, not at all. At this time in my life, I could not go it alone. More importantly, I see the journal business as changing radically on many fronts. There is a unique paradox facing us. On the one hand, the Internet allows anyone to distribute information globally and rightful home. However, I believe new strategies of competition will be found on a number of fronts, with technological innovations will be adopted to enhance that relevance; collections continue for libraries to maintain whatever relevance they have for their constituents; technological innovations will be adopted to enhance that relevance; collections will continue their migration to electronic; institutional repositories will become a new journal launch duck soup from one planet to another. One could say, the planets are out of alignment.