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Licensing in an Electronic World: A Word of Advice

by Kara Phillips (Collection Development Librarian/Assoc. Dir., Seattle Univ. Law Library) <Phillips@seattleu.edu>

In the June 2007 issue of Spectrum, AALL President Holterhoff discusses the book What I Know Now: Letters to My Younger Self. The book contains letters from several famous women to their “younger” selves. The letters share the authors’ insights and describe lessons learned. In reflecting on the message of the book, President Holterhoff encourages librarians to actively mentor the next generation. With the fast-paced environment of digital licensing, it seems fitting to consider what we have learned in the last decade and what advice we have for new licensees and licensors. So, here is a letter to my younger, less grey-haired self.

Dear Kara,

I know that when you were initially hired as a collection development librarian, you were not expecting to handle licensing issues. I also recognize that contracts was not your favorite subject in law school. However, understanding licensing from the standpoint of licensor and licensee will be essential to do your job in the information era.

Get Training: While you did not have the chance to take a formal course on licensing in library school, you should be sure to take advantage of alternate training opportunities. For example, online seminars and in-person workshops are often offered through library associations like AALL or SLA or at conferences like the SWALL Annual Meeting or Computers in Libraries. As Matthew Dames points out in Information Today, “[b]uying econtent is serious business. It involves issues that would make any professional’s head swim: contract law, conflict of laws, constitutional preemption, copyright law, financing, access, digital rights management, privacy, measurement and metrics...So it’s ludicrous, if not negligent, for any organization — especially a library — to leave such serious business for any professional who is unprepared to negotiate million-dollar contracts for the procurement of information.” Training, whether formal or informal, is very important.

Monitor Trends: Keeping up with the licensing literature takes time, but can provide fresh perspectives, practical advice and the latest information. Print and online articles about licensing appear in Against the Grain, The Charleston Advisor, D-Lib, Information Today, Journal of Library Administration, LLRX, Online, Searcher, Serials Librarian, Serials Review, and many other publications. Using a current awareness resource like H.W. Wilson’s Library Literature and Information Science database or the Informed Librarian can help you stay current and connected. Look for comprehensive treatments on the topic like Licensing Digital Content: A Practical Guide for Librarians by Lesley Ellen Harris and Negotiating Licenses for Digital Resources by Fiona Durrant. Likewise, Liblicense is a popular Web resource about licensing. The Liblicense email discussion list offers perspectives from librarians, publishers, and subscription agents.

Try Outsourcing: Consider whether license outsourcing can help you save money and time. Some consortia not only negotiate pricing and access, but also tackle the specific terms and provisions in license agreements. They regularly disseminate product information and arrange trials. Compare terms, pricing and availability among several consortia. There may also be new players in the field such as subscription agents who are constantly redefining their roles in the electronic environment. Look around and see whether outsourcing makes sense for your institution. Proactively ask sales representatives whether their products are available through consortia or subscription agents.

Sign the License As Is: Gasp! I know. You, like most librarians, tend to be detail-oriented and meticulous. You like your I’s dotted and your T’s crossed. You have been taught to painstakingly review each term in a license agreement, skimming the “good” and hunting down the “bad” and the “ugly,” so it is easy to get caught up in the process. Stop driving the publishers crazy by revising every provision in the agreement. You should understand the requirements of your institution, library, as well as patrons and license with those priorities in mind.

Publishers, intermediaries, consortia, library organizations and librarians have made great strides in developing and refining license agreements. Model licenses and principles are freely available for your use, so don’t try to reinvent the wheel. The Shared E-Resource Understanding (SERU) working group of the National Information Standards Organization (NISO) is developing a best practices option to provide a no-license option for interested publishers and librarians. Looking at the bigger picture can help you determine whether the agreement is, on its whole, acceptable as is. If so, sign and return it. Be sure to thank the publisher for drafting an agreement that works for both sides.

Ask for Legal Advice: I know you are hesitant to bother legal counsel because you feel that they are unfamiliar with information procurement or that they have more pressing matters. However, legal counsel can be very helpful in understanding the institution’s legal position, state laws, specific contract language, and potential liability. If the library ever receives the dreaded breach of contract letter from a publisher’s legal department, you may need to involve legal counsel. As Steve Jarrett, General Counsel for the University of Western Ontario states, “[i]t is one thing to let problems go, it is another to try actively to solve them yourself. Before you (a) get yourself embroiled in a court case; (b) agree to assume any additional responsibility; (c) tell anyone in any format that the customer/client is a deadbeat; or (d) hire a hit man, a quick call to a lawyer may save you a lot of time and trouble.” Also, getting to know publishers’ legal counsel is often helpful if sales representatives do not have authority to change the terms of license agreements.

Educate End Users: Breaches such as excessive downloading, reposting materials to Websites or listservs, or allowing users to use login credentials, do occur. To educate both patrons and library personnel, you should regularly post license agreements and access policies for electronic materials. When the patron authenticates, terms of use should automatically display. During formal and informal training, encourage your librarian and publisher colleagues to discuss acceptable and prohibited uses of electronic products.

Preserve the Past for the Future: Give consideration to long-term access and archival issues. As we move from information ownership models to leasing models, we must continue to explore ways to preserve digital information. As Sharon Farb in her article “Libraries, Licensing and the Challenge of Stewardship” reminds us “licensing of digital resources, as currently practiced, has significantly affected the library’s traditional stewardship role, and has begun to displace time-honored and federally-protected educational and non-commercial uses of information, such as fair use, preservation and archiving. Hopefully, these initial findings will provide a starting place for discussion, further research and action which will include benchmarks and frameworks for keeping all stakeholders informed, so that scholarship created today will be preserved and endure for generations to come.” Determine your library’s role in archiving and preserving information for future patrons.

Fight Inertia: After the license agreement has been signed, don’t sit back and let the “automatic renewal clause” do its work year after year. Electronic products, just like their print counterparts, should be systematically reviewed upon renewal. Explore competitor products. Get feedback from your patrons. Analyze usage statistics. Review your existing license agreements and determine whether they should be revised to incorporate new institutional needs or technologies like distance courses and course management software linking. Ask your sales representative if their license agreement takes into account newly permitted uses.

Cultivate Lasting Relationships: Negotiating ethically and honestly can go a long way toward fostering good working relationships. Clear and predictable pricing and usage metrics are invaluable. Building good will and trust is essential. As Sam Brooks writes in Libraries/Vendor Relationships, “libraries can provide better service to their end-users if vendors can better equip the libraries to do so. For vendors to accomplish this task, they often rely heavily
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upon libraries for the insight and direction to improve products and services. Thus, dependence is evident in this circular pattern, and forging strong relationships is key to the continued development of products and services for use by librarians and patrons. "Librarians and publishers are in it for the long term, no matter whether we use licenses or not."

Also, a bit of advice: buy stock in Google before it goes public!

With high hopes for the future, Kara Phillips
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