

November 2013

Rachel K Schenk Memorial Scholarship

Editor

Follow this and additional works at: <http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/atg>



Part of the [Library and Information Science Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Editor (2003) "Rachel K Schenk Memorial Scholarship," *Against the Grain*: Vol. 15: Iss. 4, Article 34.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7771/2380-176X.4501>

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.

Can a Classic Improve Its Style
from page 30

ily not definitive and will doubtless change [emphasis added] as technology, scholarly uses of electronic materials, and electronic publication practices evolve. (208)


Although the more cynical reader might think *MLA* is throwing in the proverbial towel, this reviewer believes *MLA* has developed a philosophy about citing Internet sources, which allows guidelines, but gives the researcher latitude to cite a source as information is given. Considering the nature of the Internet, the admission they cannot pose strict rules is actually gratifying. It may make users uncomfortable, especially those who need a visible framework, but it is appreciated *MLA* is willing to work with technological changes rather than stonewall them. Yet, in the very nature of bibliographic citation, the user works with the information that is given. It just seems Internet sites are much more erratic in providing the necessary information for a complete citation.

Examination of Chapter 5, Section 9, where the examples of electronic citation are compiled,

contains more examples of electronic possibilities than this reviewer could have imagined. The examples are in keeping with *MLA's* decision to adhere closely to citing scholarly sources. Yet, at least for the undergraduate student, most of these examples will be superfluous. That is the beauty of a good thorough citation guide. The user is given examples of as many possibilities conceivable and even though there might not be the exact example, the skilled user can piece together an acceptable citation.

Does this reviewer agree with all the recommendations *MLA* makes for electronic citation? No. Not really. The quibbles are small. They may be more just a matter of preference than anything. The primary one deals with the use of the URL following the citation for a library subscription service. The use of it is felt to be unnecessary by the reviewer. Experience shows that most, if not all students, access these services through links in a library homepage. Using the URL—even from a computer whose ISP is recognized by the service as a subscriber—often gets the searcher to the company's main page and no further. Finally, URLs can be inconsistent. But such an adjustment can be made without any difficulty. As sources on the Internet are refined—we can only

hope—the uniformity and consistency for citing electronic sources will also be refined.

Admitted, this reviewer has had a love-hate relationship with the *MLA Handbook* over the years. It has been necessary to use it as both a librarian and as an instructor, but a level of satisfaction and trust—for lack of a better word—was never quite achieved. With the introduction of the 6th edition, Gibaldi and *MLA* have reached a balance acknowledging research and citation as it exists presently, but leaves the door open for adapting to the inevitable changes technology brings. My ho-hum attitude is gone. This is the standard for all future editions. 

References

- Gibaldi, Joseph. *MLA Handbook for Writers of Research Papers*. 1977. New York: Modern Language Association, 2003.
- Jeffers, Thomas L. "Plagiarism High and Low." *Commentary* 114.3 (2002): 54-60.
- Batchelor, John Calvin and Craig McNeer, eds. *Oscar Wilde's Guide to Modern Living*. New York: Doubleday, 1996.

www.katina.info/conference

2003 Charleston Conference — 23rd Annual — Issues in Book and Serial Acquisition

2003 Theme "Games People Play"

Wednesday, November 5, 2003 — Preconferences and *TCA* Vendor Showcase
Thursday-Saturday, November 6-8, 2003 — Main Conference
College of Charleston, Lightsey Ctr., 160 Calhoun St., Charleston, SC 29424

The Conference Directors for the **2003 Charleston Conference** include — **Rosann Bazirjian** (Penn State University) <rvb9@psu.psu.edu> and **David Goodman** <dgoodmanny@aol.com>, **Chair, Deana Astle** (East Carolina University) <astled@mail.ecu.edu>, **Barbara Dean** (Arlington County Public Library), **Stuart Grinell** (Ambassador Books and Media) <bookman@iquest.net>, **Ramune Kubilius** (Northwestern Health Sciences Library) <r-kubilius@nwu.edu>, **Heather Miller** (SUNY-Albany) <hmillier@uamail.albany.edu>, **Jack Montgomery** (Western Kentucky University) <jack.montgomery@wku.edu>, **Vicky Speck** (ABC-Clio) <vspeck@abc-clio.com>, **Katina Strauch** (College of Charleston) <kstrauch@comcast.net> or www.katina.info/conference.


Some topics to be discussed this year include: Acquisitions Department Websites; Alternative Models of Scholarly Communication/Publishing Alternatives; Budget Allocation Between Print and Electronic Resources; Creating URLs for Electronic Resources; eBooks: State of the Art; Electronic Acquisitions; Future of the Book; Government Publishing: State of the Art; Improving Communication Between Publishers and Librarians; Management and Change; Open URL Linking; Pricing Models; Problems With the Persistence of the Electronic Article; Setting up an Academic Library from Scratch; Subscription Agents as Intermediaries; The Library As Place: From the Inside Looking Out and From the Outside Looking In; To Boldly Go Where No Librarian Has Gone Before; Tragedy of Commons; Update On Project COUNTER.

For more information or to register visit our Website at www.katina.info/conference.

Or contact: Katina Strauch

MSC 98, The Citadel, Charleston, SC 29409

Phone: 843-723-3536 Fax: 843-805-7918

<kstrauch@comcast.net> www.katina.info/conference 

Rachel K. Schenk Memorial Scholarship

This year a **Rachel K. Schenk Memorial Scholarship** of \$1,200 will be awarded to the person who has demonstrated a true love of books. There are three requirements:

- 1) The applicant must write an essay of 600 words on "my love of books."
- 2) The applicant must be a librarian with a library degree.
- 3) The applicant must be a first-time attendee to the Charleston Conference for 2003.

Please note: The **Rachel K. Schenk Memorial Scholarship** will be given for the next five years and will be an award of \$1,200 per year. So if you don't get it one year, there is always the next year.

Deadline for application is October 15, 2003. For more information, visit <http://www.katina.info/conference/scholarship.html> or contact <kstrauch@comcast.net>.