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ATG: What is The Berkeley Electronic Press, and how and why was the company founded?

GT: The Berkeley Electronic Press—bepress, for short—is an Internet-based electronic publishing company. The Berkeley Electronic Press came together about two years ago as a direct result of the experience of three of our founders. Bob Cooter, Aaron Edlin, and Ben Hermann are all professors at the University of California, Berkeley, and over the course of their careers they have had vast experience as editors, authors, and reviewers. Over time, they grew frustrated with the limitations of the current scholarly publishing system, the delays from submission to ultimate publication, the high barriers to access, the limited input authors had on their work’s final appearance, and they decided to build a better mousetrap. Their idea was that an end-to-end electronic system could be built to handle all of the key steps in bringing scholarly communication to the public, from the intake of submissions, to the management of the peer-review process, to correspondence among editors and authors and reviewers, to revisions, on through to publication.

Bob, Ben, and Aaron are well-established “brand names” within economics, law, and business. They spoke with other professors in their fields, as well as colleagues in the sciences, librarians, and IT people. Basically, they wanted to know two things: did others within the academic community share these frustrations, and would they embrace an Internet-based publishing alternative. The answer to both questions was an emphatic yes, so they decided to proceed with bepress.

ATG: How did the company move from this idea to where you are today?

GT: The professor-founders recruited a team of programmers to translate the scholarly publishing process into a series of automated steps. Basically, they sat in a room and discussed all the permutations that a paper might take from submission to publication. This process began on a yellow legal pad. Very quickly, it filled several thick notebooks! Eventually, out of these discussions came EdiKit, our editorial management software. We deployed the first iteration of EdiKit in December of 2000. Since then, we have released several new versions, with the goal of increasing functionality and improving the user experience.

ATG: How does an article flow through the EdiKit system?

GT: There are several variations that our workflow allows, but a common scenario is something like this: a peer-reviewed journal is being published using the bepress system. An author goes to the Website where the journal is posted, and clicks on a link that says “Submit Your Paper.” She follows a few simple instructions, like entering her name, contact information, paper title, keywords, and so forth. Finally, she uploads her abstract and the text of the paper, then clicks “Submit.” At that point, two things happen. The author has an account created for her, basically a page from which she can track the progress of her submission. Simultaneously, an email is generated by EdiKit to the editors of the journal, notifying them that a new submission has been uploaded. The email contains all of the critical information about the paper, including metadata and so forth. The editors can then log into the system to an editorial management page and decide who among them should shepherd the submission through to adjudication. At this page, the editor can identify referees, correspond with the author, read the paper, and manage it as it moves through to publication decision. The system has other wrinkles such as automatically-generated email reminding reviewers that their reports are pending and notifications when revisions have been uploaded that are designed to ease the micro-level oversight with which editors are typically burdened. When referee reports have been submitted, the editor can request revise-and-resubmits, or accept or reject the paper through the editorial management page. If the paper is accepted, the author would give her approval, then the article would be made available on the site immediately.

ATG: How does the process work from the peer reviewer’s perspective?

GT: Again, there are a number of variations, as the system is very easily customized. Typically, however, once the editor identifies a potential reviewer, the EdiKit system will automatically send out an email to that person with details about the opportunity. If the potential reviewer is new to the bepress system, the message will contain some context about the technology and the journals. Eventually, the person is asked to click on a Web link containing the email. This transports him to a page that provides additional information about the submission. While on that page, the proposed reviewer would click on a button indicating if he accepted or declined this opportunity. Assuming he chooses the former, the editor is sent an email indicating that a reviewer has signed on. That reviewer will then have a certain number of days to complete his task. He can download the paper in a variety of formats, correspond anonymously with the author if he has need for clarification, and so forth. All of this is done via the same Web page, which is dedicated specifically to the task of this reviewer reviewing this submission. When he is ready to make a recommendation, he returns to this page, fills in a short questionnaire, and uploads his report in a format of his choosing. He then clicks a button, and the report is transmitted to the editor.

ATG: How was the business developing as EdiKit was being built?

GT: Concurrent to our technical development efforts, we were working to launch a slate of peer-reviewed journals. We were meeting with various professors from around the world to better understand their feelings toward the current publishing model. Many of these people shared the frustrations of our founders, continued on page 52.
and were frustrated that no viable alternatives existed. Eventually, we recruited several prestigious academics to found our inaugural journals, in economics and law. We are now publishing twelve economics titles, five legal titles, several working paper and monograph series, and have a number of other publications in the pipeline.

**ATG:** Was it difficult to recruit editors to use your new technology?

**GT:** Not really. Because the system was designed with direct and daily input from our professor-founders, EdiKit is, in my humble opinion, a very user-friendly system. There was clearly a learning curve, as we were asking our editors to approach their tasks in an entirely new manner. What we found, however, was that once they began playing around with the system, they were really enjoying themselves. For example, there is a component within EdiKit that allows editors to identify potential referees in a very straightforward manner, and to lodge review requests with them. If the proposed referee fails to respond within a few days, though, the editor is automatically sent an email by the system saying in effect, “Hey, this guy hasn’t gotten back to you yet. Do you want to send him another request, or should we find someone else?” Our editors get a great kick out of this; they loved that we had automated a “nagging” component and built it into the software!

Our editors are co-owners of the journals they edit, which is atypical in the academic publishing world. We truly view the development of these journals as a collaborative effort, and this shared equity helps cement that vision. To date, our journals have attracted editors from a range of top-notch institutions, including Harvard, Stanford, Princeton, Columbia, and, of course, Berkeley. Our editors, in turn, have pulled in strong editorial boards, referees, and contributors.

**ATG:** Besides EdiKit, are there other elements that distinguish your journals?

**GT:** We have a range of what we call “process innovations” to complement our technology. For example, we have created an Authors & Reviewers’ Bank to more formally track the reciprocity among various authors. When an author submits a paper, he expects to receive a certain number of thoughtful peer evaluations of his work, let’s say two. In our system, this author will have an account created for him automatically at the time of submission. This account will receive two debits, corresponding to the peer reviews his paper will get. At some point in the future, he will be asked to work off this debit by providing diligent peer reviews for two other papers. Similarly, if an editor asks me to serve as the referee for a given paper, I will have an account created and that account will receive one credit. This credit will be applied to any future paper I submit to this journal. Neither authors nor reviewers are paid in any currency save for these credits and debits.

We also have embraced what we call the “journal family” concept. We publish multiple unique journals concentrating on a single subject area. These journals share editorial boards and peer evaluation mechanisms, meaning they can adjudicate submissions very quickly. A practical example of this would be The B.E. Journals in Macroeconomics. This journal family consists of four unique titles, Frontiers of Macroeconomics, Advances in Macroeconomics, Contributions to Macroeconomics, and Topics in Macroeconomics. The Frontiers journal represents the very upper echelon of economics research, the Topics journal is akin to a good field journal, and the other two split the distance between. In the old world, an economist would submit his paper to a top journal, wait several months to hear back, most likely receive a rejection, then would submit the paper to a lower-level journal and repeat the process. What we are doing is replacing this sequential submission model with a more efficient parallel one. We guarantee a decision within ten weeks, which in many fields—the social sciences, for example—is unprecedented.

**ATG:** You mentioned SPARC. Have you worked with members of the library community to hone your offering?

**GT:** One of the things I am most proud of is our deep and continuing reliance on a network of librarians to guide us as our company grows. From the start, one of the things we wanted to do was work with the library community to better understand their frustrations with the academic publishing model. The biggest complaints we heard in speaking with dozens of librarians from different universities concerned price and availability. On the former, we have made sure to offer a pricing pledge—our journals will be at least 25% below the discipline’s average. On the latter, we have tried to enact a series of policies that ensure availability today and in the future. For example, we don’t restrict the number of users who can simultaneously access a given journal. Another example— if the title in question is a law journal, our subscription covers the entire university community, not just the law school. Over the long-term, we offer perpetual access. If a school subscribes to one of our journals for three years and then doesn’t renew, that institution will still have access to that three years worth of content.

We have been very fortunate in being able to call on an informal group of librarians, at Berkeley, the greater University of California system, and beyond to help us on licensing, pricing, and other issues.

**ATG:** Tell us about your recently-announced Partnership with the University of California’s Digital Library (CDL)?

**GT:** In developing our technology, we grew very excited by its possible extensions...
Robert D. Cooter, Interim CEO, President, & Director: Overall responsibility for the direction and management of the company. Building a group of professors ("university associates") to serve as journal editors and recruiters of editors. As a university professor, he pioneered the development of the economic analysis of law (applying formal economic theory to legal problems). Founder of the American Law and Economics Association and one of its first presidents. He has grown into a major scholarly society. Founder & current director of the law and economics program at the University of California at Berkeley, Founder of the Comparative Law and Economics Forum (CLEF).—B.A., Oxford University; Ph.D., Harvard University.

Aaron S. Edlin, Chairman of the Board & Director: Recruiter of journal editors. Cultivates and organizes university associates to recruit journal editors and contributors. Professor of economics at UC Berkeley since 1993 (tenured since 1997). Senior economist in charge of industrial organization, regulation, and antitrust on the President's Council of Economic Advisers, 1997-1998. Returning to UC Berkeley in 1998, he joined the Faculty of UC Berkeley's School of Law and became a full professor in both the law—A.B., summa cum laude, Princeton University; J.D., Stanford University, Ph.D., Stanford University.

Benjamin E. Hermelin, Corporate Secretary, Treasurer & Director: Liaison with editors of bpress.com journals. Works on the development of manuals and guides for using the bpress.com system and its editorial toolkit. Edlin has assisted in the writing of HTML code and is currently bpress.com's resident expert on PDF. Currently the Willis H. Booth Professor of Banking and Finance at the University of California, Berkeley and Associate Dean of the Walter A. Haas School of Business. In addition to being a Knight, Ben has been on the faculty at Cornell University and he has visited or taught at Yale University, M.I.T., and the École Nationale des Ponts et Chaussées in Paris. —A.B., summa cum laude, Princeton University; Ph.D., M.I.T.

David M. Sharnoff, Chief Technology Officer & Director: Chief system designer and programmer. A computer programmer and entrepreneur. David was an early employee of Inkomi, Inc; he analyzed traffic for their Web search engine and designed and built one of the industry's first search engines (Traffic Server). He founded and continues to own an Internet service provider (idcom), known for its high level of customer service and its ability to provide technically difficult services like inexpensive redundant connectivity and fault-tolerant Web hosting. David is a prolific contributor to CPAN—an archive of open source modules for the Perl programming language. He has been using the Internet since 1984; programming professionally since 1986; and involved with startups since 1987. —B.A., University of California, Berkeley.

Paul Zimmer, Chief Operating Officer: In charge of operations. Network and telecommunications manager. In charge of purchasing, including software and hardware. Human Resources Manager. Oversees office management, vendor relations, finances, and bookkeeping. In addition, programming and debugging of the bpress.com Website, including coding in Perl, Unix and shell scripts. Prior to joining bpress.com, Paul served as Partner and COO of Minidisco.com, an online retailer of electronics and digital media. In this capacity, he oversaw all aspects of running the company, including customer support, purchasing, negotiation, network operations, Website management, vendor relations, and outsourcing fulfillment. At Scripps Institution of Oceanography, Paul managed multimillion-dollar oceanographic seismic surveys, including all logistics and technical management. —B.S., cum laude, University of California, San Diego.

Greg Tananbaum, VP of Marketing: In charge of marketing, design, and liaison with libraries. Prior to joining bpress.com, Greg served as Director of Product Marketing for ISI ResearchSoft. In this capacity, he oversaw the outreach efforts, branding, positioning, and advertising of EndNote bibliographic software. Greg served as the company’s point person in the academic arena, demonstrating its software, meeting with librarians and researchers, and gathering feedback for future releases. Greg has also worked in the high-tech world as a product manager at Wink Communications, an interactive television company. —B.A., Yale University; M.Sc., London School of Economics.

Anne Stringer, VP of Product Development: Works on the continual improvement and evolution of the site based on company goals and customer feedback. Plays a key role in defining new functionality to ensure that Edikit and the bpress.com site provide innovative offerings as well as a high quality user experience for editors, contributors, and readers alike. Her role in managing the project begins with the creation of a clear feature specification, and she works closely with Development and Testing to ensure that the specification is implemented accurately and on schedule. Anne migrated from a career in psychological research to studies in the field of education, with a focus on educational technologies. That interest translated to a position working with the EndNote team for nine years (EndNote is the leading bibliographic management program). She began as a technical support analyst, and soon advanced to managing the technical support group and writing the documentation for the product. By 1997, Anne had advanced to the role of Vice President of Product Development, and was responsible for defining new releases of EndNote, and delivering the product on schedule and on budget. —B.A., magna cum laude, Brown University.

bpress.com, 2114 Berkeley Way, Berkeley, CA 94704
Phone: 510-981-0910 Fax: 510-981-0907 www.bpress.com
bpress.com - The New Standard in Scholarly Publishing
Profiles Encouraged —
One Library’s Experience:
Our Serials Solutions List

Company Profile — Serials Solutions, LLC

by Allison P. Mays (Acquisitions Librarian, Millsaps College, 1701 N. State Street, Jackson, MS 39210; Phone 601-974-1083)<maysap@millssaps.edu>

Editor’s Note: In the September issue of ATG, I wrote an article about getting our electronic and print journals organized with the company, Serials Solutions. They produce an alphabetical listing of a library’s full-text electronic journals; we included our print titles as well. We did receive our report in HTML format and it’s now on our library’s Webpage http://library.millssaps.edu/library/Journals%20and%20Periodicals/default.shtml. We also got a copy of the report in print and we will make a couple of copies to have in the library. Almost immediately the list proved to be very useful and we’re pleased with it. — AM

There are a few bugs to work out, mostly with our print titles. I checked all of our print titles that were in the original Excel spreadsheet against the printed and HTML reports and found a few typos that we had made. We had decided to use the journal title as the access point, rather than the ISSN, but when I checked some of the links to OPAC, I found that too many other titles are being pulled up in the search results. For instance, the journal title “Delta” pulls up a total of 19 titles. Either we need to try to limit the search by material type in the URL — serial/periodical — or we need to use the ISSN as the access point. We’ll probably end up using some combination of the two since our very old journal titles do not have ISSNs in the catalog records.

The other thing we do not like is the way the OCLC FirstSearch titles just dump you into the big general database.

We much prefer the way JSTOR and Project Muse links take the user directly to the specific journal. Another issue is that since the FirstSearch database has a “per search” charge, we’re afraid we’ll get hit with a massive bill. Because of these factors, we’ve decided to pull the FirstSearch titles out of the list. (Users still have access to the FirstSearch databases; we’re just pulling those titles out of the Serials Solutions list.) I was able to make the change myself because Serials Solutions has just created an online client interface; I can call up our list of databases and either add or remove databases from my list. It’s very well-organized and easy to use.

I have to admit that adding our print titles to the list has been a hassle; however, in the long run it will be worth it. It forced us to clean up our existing list and we discovered some mistakes and problems that we will correct. I still need to add the “freebie” e-journals that come with our print subscriptions, and I will get that report from EBSCO. Then I can go into the online client interface, call up the list from “EBSCO Online” and add each title to our list.

As for more changes and features, I spoke with Tim Granquist at Serials Solutions and he said they’re working on a title-based searching feature and a subject breakdown of the journal titles. This company is very user-friendly; their customer service is excellent, they’re open to ideas and suggestions, and they respond immediately. There is a good article on Peter McCracken in the August 2001 issue of Library Journal; in fact, Peter is on the cover.

If you have questions about Serials Solutions or about including your library’s print journal titles, feel free to contact me: Allison P. Mays, Acquisitions Librarian, Millsaps College, 1701 N. State Street, Jackson, MS 39210; Ph. 601-974-1083; <maysap@millssaps.edu>.

REMEMBER WHEN?

Subscription Agencies were service businesses and your account was as important as any other account your agency handled.

Basch Subscriptions, Inc. provides serial service that saves you and your library both time and money. Any agency will take your order, only Basch Subscriptions, Inc. will prove its commitment every day with the personal service you deserve.

Services for Libraries and Publishers
Basch Subscriptions, Inc.
88 N. Main Street
Concord, NH 03301
Phone: (603) 229-0662
Fax: (603) 226-9443
E-Mail: Subs@Basch.com

CONTACT INFORMATION FOR SERIALS SOLUTIONS, LLC
2118 N. 50th Street, Seattle, WA 98103
Phone: 206-545-9056
http://www.serialssolutions.com
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ATG: Will you be working with other universities?

GT: It is our sincere hope that other universities follow the University of California’s lead and work to provide their faculty with tools to manage and disseminate their research. Many universities, through groups like SPARC, have expressed real concern over the state of scholarly communication. We believe that one way to proactively address these concerns is to provide researchers with easy-to-use tools to produce viable alternatives. Combined with the efforts of undertakings such as BioOne and Project Euclid, we believe this can help restore some sanity to the world of scholarly publishing.

ATG: Tell us about you. How did you get interested in this? What do you do for fun? What do you like to read? Do you have a family? Any anecdotes?

GT: I have been at The Berkeley Electronic Press since nearly the beginning, having come on board after working with the EndNote bibliographic software team. I am glad that I get to come to work every day in an environment that is both fun and challenging. Ultimately, if bpress succeeds in its mission, we will have greatly improved the flow of scholarly communication. I think that is an honorable goal.

Free time? I’ll let you know the next time I have some! Seriously, though, most of my free time is spent with my wife Melanie and our bulldog Tess. Melanie works at Berkeley; and actually is the one who put me in touch with the bpress folks. Hopefully, that will go down as an auspicious introduction for all parties!
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