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New Feature — Common Cause

by Nicholas A. Veliotis (President, Association of American Publishers)

With this issue, we begin a periodic contribution to Against the Grain on the part of the AAP, the Association of American Publishers. We are excited about this. Welcome to the AAP! Vive la conversation.

Publishers and Libraries: More Alike Than Different

We’re all aware of the areas in which publishers and libraries disagree. Questions of copyright, “fair use,” and document delivery frequently find them holding different views. And as issues such as the “electronic superhighway” and the Library of Congress Fund Act work their way through Congress, the two communities will end up together on some particulars and apart on others. Considering the maxim that where you stand depends on where you sit, it could hardly be otherwise.

But a recent internal review by the

“Where you stand depends on where you sit.”

Association of American Publishers (AAP) points up a heartening number and variety of instances in which AAP interacts with and supports the library community. Together, we at AAP feel, they form not only a platform for current joint activity, but also the basis for expanded cooperation in the future.

Perhaps the best-known and longest-standing cooperative effort involves AAP’s Freedom to Read Committee and ALA’s Intellectual Freedom Committee. The FTFC, a group dedicated to protecting the First Amendment rights of authors, publishers, and readers, has a history of cooperation with its ALA counterparts that dates back at least 40 years. In 1953, for example, in the depths of the McCarthy era, ALA and the forerunner of AAP together put forth a resounding statement defending the freedom to read; the statement was reissued in 1972 and again last year. In 1981, the two organizations, in concert with the Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development, published the pamphlet Limiting What Students Shall Read, a survey documenting and deploiring the censorship of educational materials.

Today, the FTRC and ALA’s Intellectual Freedom Committee meet together formally twice a year. They also sponsor a joint program at the ALA’s annual conference. A near-capacity crowd of 1,000 flocked to a huge room in the New Orleans convention center for this year’s program. It featured a showing of the provocative documentary film “Damned in the U.S.A.” as well as informal addresses by two noted scholastic authors of books for young people — Walter Dean Myers and Virginia Hamilton.

Richard P. Kleeman for AAP and Judith F. Krug for ALA have led this cooperative effort. As Kleeman notes, “Judy and I have been attending each other’s meetings for more than 20 years.” The two organizations can only hope they’ll be doing so for 20 more because they are among the most zealous and persistent defenders of First Amendment guarantees. Krug’s comments and analyses of current threats to those guarantees are an integral, important part of the Freedom to Read Committee’s monthly meetings.

AAP also makes common cause with the nation’s libraries in other areas —

- It joins more than 100 education and library groups on the Committee for Education Funding, which lobbies for full federal funding of education initiatives.

- It supports the building of libraries’ capacity to purchase technical books and journals by endorsing a 1992 recommendation of the Committee for the Preservation of Science Information Resources. The committee seeks to a) require institutions receiving federal science-research grants to purchase technical books and journals with the “indirect” amounts negotiated for reimbursement of library use and b) establish an Office for Scientific and Technical Information to represent the R&D community’s interests and assure maintenance of the quality of archival science information resources.

AAP has nominated member publishers to serve on the High Performance Computing Advisory Committee, whose tasks include the development of accounting mechanisms that will properly compensate copyright owners. (At this writing, both the LOC Fund Act and the electronic superhighway are pending before Congress.)

- In a recently decided U.S. Supreme Court case questioning the importance of peer review of scientific journal articles, AAP and the library community strongly supported the affirmative position. Their agreement, notes Barbara Meredith, director of AAP’s Professional and Scientific Publishing (PSP) Division, re-emphasizes the fact that “publishers and libraries are part of the same circle; one group creates, one ac-
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quires and disseminates. Each is integral to the operation and success of the other.”

AAP also participates in various organizations that either include the ALA and other library groups among their members or deal with issues of concern to libraries. For example, AAP and some of its members sponsor the Commission on Preservation and Access, and the AAP President serves as a member of its board. The commission develops and coordinates strategies for preserving the nation’s intellectual record and assuring its availability to scholars and scientists; in addition, the commission helps identify problems of access and preservation in book, journal, and electronic formats.

Along with ALA, the Library of Congress, and the Special Libraries Association (SLA), AAP takes an active role in the Public Affairs Roundtable, a loose coalition representing government, as-
sociations, and private companies that meet monthly to discuss legislative and regulatory initiatives. Among the subjects dealt with are — Categorical Aid to School Libraries and the Ready to Learn Act’s authorization of funding educational TV for Head Start programs.

Formally and informally, AAP works in coalition with such groups as ALA, the SLA, and the Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL). Issues on which librarians and publishers at least broadly agree include postal rates, education funding, and the exciting potential of new technologies.

In Washington, Diane Rennert and Eileen Cooke, lobbyists for AAP and ALA, respectively, have long maintained a close working relationship. They are currently combining their efforts in behalf of increased federal funding for education and libraries.

As impressive as those efforts are, officials in the two communities believe their cooperative activities can and should be more extensive. One promising area is public relations. At the ALA’s New Orleans conference, AAP’s Director of Public Affairs, Roger M. Williams, and his ALA counterparts, Peggy Barber and Linda Wallace, discussed a number of possibilities for effective joint action.

Literacy is an obvious area for cooperation. Publishers and librarians worked long and successfully to create the National Institute of Literacy, and AAP has expressed strong interest in joining the National Coalition for Literacy, which was founded by ALA. The three public affairs officers are also working jointly on a major newspaper and magazine campaign to educate the public about the extent of current cutbacks in library funding and the dangers they pose to all Americans.

AAP realizes that professional and scientific publishing is an area that requires much more dialogue and interaction with librarians. For our part, we believe there’s plenty to discuss on both sides as technological developments influence and perhaps even transform traditional methods of publishing. A major project of PSP’s Barbara Meredith will be to talk with librarians about the significant added value publishers bring to the materials and information they produce for libraries.

Will such efforts ease our differences of opinion over copyright and related matters? Perhaps, and we can work on them in other ways, too. But even if those differences remain, they should not stand in the way of other cooperative efforts that will benefit both publishers and librarians. And, at a minimum, we will understand each other better. As our Diane Rennert, a veteran of forging tactical alliances, puts it cheerily, “In the public policy world, you’re friends with an organization on some issues, opponents on others. In any case, you keep right on talking to each other.”

---

You Gotta Go to School for That?

by Jerry Seay (Rookie Librarian, College of Charleston Libraries)

Faxing the Dead Sea Scrolls

Is this world changing fast or what? The other day in interlibrary loan my intrepid assistant, Shirley, brought a patron request to my attention. “Jerry,” she said, “we got another strange one.”

Every other request is strange in ILL. “What this time?”

“This guy wants the Dead Sea Scrolls.”

“What?”

“Yep, but that’s not the strange part. He wants them faxed.”

Are you folks as perplexed, confused and otherwise bumbled about all this new information, multimedia instantaneous access as I am? OK, so everybody and their aunt Lou are talking, writing, e-mailing and downloading about what all this new stuff is, how it makes our lives better or worse and why you should tune in, upload and link up. But, what is this doing to us? It’s making us nuts that’s what it’s doing. And it’s making us want everything fast fast fast. It is screwing up our whole sense of time.

Admit it. You get impatient if it takes the computer more than two seconds to respond to a command or a request. After three seconds you say, “Gee, this thing sure is slow today.” You start thinking of all the things you could have accomplished if you had not been sitting at the computer for the last three seconds. Wow, the things you could have done... the lives you could have lived. But, nooooo. You just wasted three seconds. Three seconds shot, down the drain.

And after five seconds you are banging on the <ENTER> key. You will have your info now! You demand that this blasted thing spit out what you want or suffer a terrible fate. You begin to imagine the cathartic damage you could wreak with a sledge hammer.

Seven seconds pass and you begin to second guess your search strategy. Should you have put "watermelon carving and Buddhist monasteries" or should you have used an "or? Should you have even used a Boolean operator in the first place? You begin to regret entering the request.

Your mind begins to wander and you dream about what the world will be like when computers have completely taken over everything...

Boy: “Hi, my I’m jonese@bambone.isu.edu.bitnet. Do you <ENTER> this downlink often...<BACKSPACE>...BTW, sorry if this is a FAQ but, do you mind if I interface?”

Girl: “I come here to <ESC>. I’m smithl@ashley.tnt.mit and IMHO that is the oldest FAQ in cyberspace. ftp a better line next time. INCORRECT PASS-WORD> ACCESS DENIED.”

Your mind is snapped back to reality for, finally, after nine gut-wrenching seconds the information rushes forth. Then you become cynical. “I thought computers were supposed to be fast.”

---
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