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Dear Editor:

My favorite cartoon of the days when cartoons were funny was George Bungle. His favorite philosophy was “Let sleeping dogs lay down”. Perhaps I should follow this philosophy in regard to Leonard Schrift’s letter in the February issue of ATG.

On the other hand, I don’t believe that it is fair to Leonard or Richard Abel to let things lay. I suggest to my friend Lenny that he take a look at Bill Schenck’s letter (same issue). I believe Bill has caught the intent of both Dora Biblarz and Dick in their collaboration. I have talked to both of these people and they seem to be a bit surprised at Lenny’s reaction.

For the record and for the sake of harmony, I would like to make two comments:

1. I have known Dick for almost thirty years and I am sure, as I re-read the remarks in question that he had no intention of being condescending nor of casting epithets at his fellow booksellers past and present.

2. The term “bean counters” was intended to describe the driving power behind publishers and booksellers in the last thirty or forty years of this century. No longer do we have publishers who have only the interest of authors and readers in mind; they are compelled by their money managers (i.e. bean counters) to mind the bottom line more than the best interest of literature and the good life. No longer can an energetic, brilliant young mind espouse a cause, such as improving book distribution, without submitting to the bean counters. I am not going to contend that Abel had no intention of making money, but I have always been convinced that more importantly he was driven by a desire to improve the distribution of knowledge via books in libraries.

Having worked very closely with Dick, I agree completely with his feelings that his company would have survived had the bean counters in publishing houses been able to perceive that Richard Abel and Company was bent on improving their lot as well as the libraries’.

Yours very truly,
Lyman W. Newlin
(Book Trade Counselor)

New Feature - Plus Ça Change

Column Editor: Karen Schmidt (U. of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign)

Cheap Versus Quick Revisited

The more things change, the more they stay the same. . . . A little historical perspective is a good thing. With this issue, we welcome the fabulous Karen Schmidt who will be editing a regular column on the history of our world of acquisitions and collection development. This is gonna be fun! — Yr. Ed.

Do you wait to get a book as cheaply as you can, or do you get it quickly, disregarding the price? This is a tussle that many of us have been through over the years. Indeed, it is something of a rite of passage for acquisitions librarians, with the outcome symbolically placing you with your own kind. With this test, you become either the Careful Steward or the Efficient Servant.

We have heard all the arguments pro and con. But did you know that the war has been raging for over 100 years? The Library Journal in 1884 reports at length on discussions of this very topic between Herr Dzialsk and Herr Petzoldt, two gentlemen whose role in life was — despite some research — not that clear. (Petzoldt and Dzialsk seem to have been engaged as bibliographers working with the Centralblatt für Bibliothekswesen and the Neuer Anzeiger.)

It seems that American, English, and German booksellers were complaining that their business was in decay and that libraries ought to support them in their own countries. Apropos this egregious situation, Herr Dzialsk proposed the principle that librarians should be able to buy the books needed as cheaply as possible, regardless of where they might be purchased.

Petzoldt challenged him, saying that it was much more important to get books as quickly as possible. His way, he insisted, ensured that the public bookseller, however, Petzoldt insisted that all of library dealings should be with the agent and not the publisher, since the agent is “the natural medium.”

A study of transcontinental publishing conducted a few years after this discussion revealed that American editions of English publications were more expensive, and that nearly $20.00 could be saved by purchasing the English editions. The Macmillans in particular were called to task for this, with the study pointing out that a title from their U. S. catalog costing 68 cents in this country could be purchased, as an English edition from an English firm, for 50 cents.

The general feeling among librarians attending the Dzialsk-Petzoldt disagreement was that Dzialsk’s principle should be upheld. “There can be no doubt that the duty of the librarian, public, proprietary, or collegiate, is to get as much as he can with the money intrusted to his charge . . . If the retail dealer supplies a want of the community, he will be sustained; if in the new conditions of society he does not, no sacrifice that the libraries can make will be able to retain him in existence.”

And so followers of the Careful Steward school will find an historical imperative for their point of view.
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