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We propose an approach to optical imaging beyond the diffraction limit, based on transformation optics in concentric circular cylinder domains. The resulting systems allow image magnification and minimize reflection losses due to the impedance matching at the input or output boundaries. While perfect impedance matching at both surfaces can be obtained only in a system with radius-dependent magnetic permeability, we demonstrate that comparable performance can be achieved in an optimized nonmagnetic design. © 2007 Optical Society of America

OCIS codes: 160.4670, 160.3918.

The recent progress in metamaterials—artificial structures patterned on a subwavelength scale to tailor the dielectric, magnetic [1,2], and mechanical [3] response—opened the way to many applications from planar [4] and time-reversal [5] imaging to optical cloaking [6–8]. In particular, the hyperlens [9,10] based on strongly anisotropic metamaterials, can resolve subwavelength details and project the magnified image into the far field, where it can be further manipulated by the conventional (diffraction-limited) optics. With potential applications ranging from bioimaging and nanolithography, the concept of the hyperlens has received considerable attention, resulting in what we believe to be the first experimental demonstrations of the device [11,12].

The hyperlens is essentially a (half) cylinder metamaterial with the opposite signs of the dielectric constant in the radial and tangential directions, leading to the hyperbolic dispersion of the TM-polarized waves. As a result, such a medium can in principle support propagating waves with arbitrarily high wavenumbers. As the diffraction limit of conventional optics is related to the upper bound on the wavenumber of the propagating waves, it can be broken by the hyperlens.

However, the original concept of the hyperlens [9,10] suffers from a significant problem: the huge impedance mismatch at the surface of the strongly anisotropic metamaterials leads to a high reflection, dramatically reducing the light throughput of the device. As the strong anisotropy of the media forming the hyperlens is essential for its performance, it is not obvious whether this problem can be mitigated within the existing theoretical framework.

In the present Letter, we develop a general approach to subwavelength imaging devices with magnification. Using the formalism of transformation optics [8], we generalize the concept of the hyperlens to different geometries and (meta)material compositions and demonstrate how impedance matching can be incorporated into the device to suppress, and even completely reduce, the reflection losses (which are more than 25% of the incident intensity at normal incidence for the design of [9]).

An ideal imaging device will translate the field from its inner to its outer interface; a trivial example of such is a ring with a very small thickness. If we could transform this virtual ring into a physical domain [see Fig. 1(b)] with nonzero dimensions, preserving the field pattern at the boundaries, the resulting device would enable perfect imaging.

In a system with cylindrical symmetry, for TM-polarization the magnetic field (parallel to the symmetry axis $H=zh$) can be expanded in cylindrical modes, $h(\rho,\phi)=\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} h_m(\rho) \exp im\phi$, and the wave equation for the $m$th mode reduces to

$$\varepsilon_\rho \rho^{-1}(\rho \varepsilon_\phi^{-1} h_m')'+[k_0^2 \varepsilon_\rho \mu_\phi - (m/\rho)^2] h_m = 0.$$

Here, the physical Cartesian coordinates $(x,y,z)$ are defined through the cylindrical coordinates $(\rho,\phi,z)$ as $x=\rho \cos \phi$, $y=\rho \sin \phi$, and $z=z$; the prime corresponds to the radial derivative $(\partial/\partial \rho)$; $\varepsilon_\rho$ and $\varepsilon_\phi$ are the only nonzero diagonal components of the anisotropic permittivity tensor; and $k_0$ is the wavenumber of free space.

The simplest approach to mapping concentric circular domains is based on a radial transformation, $\rho=\rho(r)$, where a point at radius $r$ of the initial virtual space is mapped onto the point with radius $\rho$ in the physical world at the same azimuthal position $\phi$. This approach has been employed in recent cloaking
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Fig. 1. (Color online) Transformations of concentric circular domains. (a) Virtual domain (circle A) is mapped onto physical domain (ring B) in a cloaking device. Both domains share the same boundary at $\rho=r=b$. (b) Mapping of the virtual domain (thin ring A) onto physical domain (thicker ring B) in a hyperlens with shared boundary at $\rho=r=a$. 

© 2007 Optical Society of America
devices [13–15]. The concentric mapping for the cloaking device and that for the lens are compared in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b). In contrast with the cloak transformation shown in Fig. 1(a), where circle A is mapped onto ring B sharing the same exterior boundary, \( \rho = r = b \), a hyperlens spatial transform of Fig. 1(b) maps thin circular ring A, \( \alpha \leq r < b \), onto thicker ring B, \( \alpha \leq \rho < l \). In addition, the internal boundary, \( \rho = r = a \), is shared by both domains in this case.

Given that a perfect hyperlens translates the field from interface \( b \) to interface \( l \) preserving the rotational symmetry of each cylindrical wavefront, the anisotropic permittivity and permeability should also be preserved. Figure 2(a) shows the magnitude of \( \mathbf{H} \) field illuminating the internal boundary, \( \rho = a \), and \( H_z \) inside the lens. The light gray curve shows the field calculated by converting the near-field image into a far-field image, while the dark gray curve represents the layer absorbing electromagnetic energy, although without noticeable reflection. PML functioning has been additionally validated using nonlocal boundary conditions formulated similar to those of a quasi-static case [16] and proved to have sufficient accuracy [17].

Constructing magnetically reactive metamaterials in the optical range is, however, a demanding task; thus a nonmagnetic version of the imaging device would be more practical. The existence of such a non-

by five coherent test sources placed with a subwavelength offset at a circumference with \( \rho = 570 \text{ nm} \) in free space. A view of the sources is zoomed in the inset of Fig. 2(a). Figure 2(b) depicts the same five sources projected through an ideal hyperlens with the design rules of Eq. (5) and automatically matched impedance at the external boundary, \( \rho = a \), by converting the near-field image into a far-field image with the projected details dimensions of about \( \lambda / 6 \) obtained at the internal boundary, \( \rho = a \), and \( \alpha = 600 \text{ nm} \). Externally, \( l = 3 \mu m \), for the external (projection) surface and virtual radius, \( b = 610 \text{ nm} \), are used. Since a wavelength of 632 nm is utilized in both cases, the figure confirms that the lens is capable of resolving the near-field image with the spatial details of about \( \lambda / 6 \) obtained at the internal boundary, \( \rho = a \), by converting the near-field image into a far-field image with the projected details dimensions of about \( \lambda \), which could be observed through conventional optics.

Good imaging performance is confirmed through comparison of the input field calculated at \( \rho = a \) versus the output field calculated at the external boundary \( \rho = l \). The results are shown in Fig. 3(a), where the near-field imaging transformation of the lens is validated. The dark gray curve indicates the magnitude of the H field illuminating the internal boundary, \( \rho = 0.6 \mu m \), in front of the cylindrical arcs of the sources. The light gray curve shows the field calculated at the external boundary.

The simulations are performed using a commercial finite element software (Comsol Multiphysics) utilizing quintic finite elements (FEs). The FE domain is truncated by a cylindrical perfectly matched layer (PML). The PML, an intrinsic part of the software, is also based on a spatial transformation mapping of the unbounded exterior space onto a bounded ring sharing the same truncation boundary as shown in Fig. 3(b). In contrast with the design rules of Eq. (4), the layer absorbs electromagnetic energy, although without noticeable reflection. PML functioning has been additionally validated using nonlocal boundary conditions formulated similar to those of a quasi-static case [16] and proved to have sufficient accuracy [17].

The above rules are valid for any device using a concentric mapping of circular cylindrical domains with either linear or high-order scaling transform, \( \rho (r) = b \), which keeps the rotational symmetry of the material properties intact. The impedance \( Z = \sqrt{\mu_\Phi / \epsilon_\Phi} \) is always matched to free space at the common interface, \( r / \rho = 1 \).

For the ideal lens, a straightforward linear transformation \( \rho (r) = l - \pi (b - r) \) with \( \pi (l - a) / (b - a) \) gives \( r = r^{-1} (\rho / l) + b \) and \( r^* = r^{-1} \). Thus

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_\rho &= 1 + (\alpha l) / \rho, \\
\epsilon_\Phi &= 1 / \epsilon_\rho, \\
\mu_z &= \rho / \rho. 
\end{align*}
\]

Numerical simulations of the ideal lens are illustrated in Fig. 2, where the TM polarization is considered. Figure 2(a) shows the magnitude of \( \mathbf{h} \) generated...
magnetic system should not come as a surprise, as a similar reduction has been already suggested for cloaking applications [13–15].

This reduction is not unique; here, we propose two possible nonmagnetic designs. The first design enforces impedance matching at the internal interface, \( \rho = a \). This can be achieved with the following rules:

\[
\varepsilon_\phi = \rho / r, \quad \varepsilon_\rho = r / (r' \rho), \quad \mu_z = 1, \quad (6)
\]

The second design provides impedance matching at the external interface, \( \rho = l \), using

\[
\varepsilon_\phi = (\rho / r)(b / l), \quad \varepsilon_\rho = r / (r' \rho), \quad \mu_z = 1. \quad (7)
\]

The performance of the nonmagnetic devices is validated with the test sources of Fig. 2(a). The results for all designs are compared in Fig. 3(b) [18]. Qualitative comparison of the field distribution for nonmagnetic designs is shown in Fig. 4. In comparison with the ideal case of Fig. 2(b), Fig. 4(a) indicates an additional reflection of the fundamental cylindrical mode from the external interface, which is not matched in this design. In contrast with Fig. 4(a), the design with the external match is somewhat contaminated by high-order cylindrical modes reflected from the walls of the internal cavity, which are seen as image noise in Fig. 3(b). Although the nonmagnetic designs are nonideal, impedance matching at either input or output interface boundaries provides good performance, and the simplifications of the nonmagnetic designs introduce little change to the quality of subwavelength imaging. The essentially nonmagnetic nature of such a device, however, dramatically simplifies its fabrication, making it accessible to the existing methods.

Most recently, it has been suggested to match impedance with a quadratic transform in cloaking devices [15]. High-order transformations provide additional flexibility in the nonmagnetic designs of a cylindrical hyperlens and will be discussed elsewhere.

This work was supported in part by Army Research Office (ARO) grant W911NF-04-1-0350 and by ARO-MURI award 50342-PH-MUR.

References
17. The validation procedure will be discussed elsewhere.
18. Note that forcing \( \mu_z = 1 \) in the set of Eq. (5) without any impedance matching results in about one order of magnitude decrease of the output field intensity.