LARS Technical Reports   Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing

1-1-1973

Geometric Correction of ERTS-1 Digital Multispectral Scanner Data

Paul E. Anuta

Follow this and additional works at: http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/larstech

Anuta, Paul E., "Geometric Correction of ERTS-1 Digital Multispectral Scanner Data" (1973). *LARS Technical Reports.* Paper 120.
http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/larstech/120

Geometric Correction of ERTS-1 Digital Multispectral Scanner Data

Paul E. Anuta

The Laboratory for Applications of Remote Sensing

Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana

1973

LARS Information Note 103073

LARS Information Note: 103073

Geometric Correction of ERTS-1 Digital
Multispectral Scanner Data

by

Paul E. Anuta

ERTS-1 Multispectral Scanner Data is received from the
satellite by NASA, processed, and delivered to users recorded
on computer compatible tape and in photographic form. The
computer tape form of the data is calibrated and line length
adjusted by NASA but no geometric corrections are applied [1].
The system-corrected photographic products are corrected for
many geometric distortions including earth rotation effects in
addition to the above two corrections. Also, these images are
rescaled so that the horizontal and vertical scales are the
same. Thus, the digital form of the MSS data contains many
geometric distortions and users of this data are faced with
the problem of compensating for these errors.

When digital MSS data is reproduced in image form on a
standard IBM computer line printer the resulting scale factor
is approximately 1" = 22400" in the horizontal direction and
1" = 25200" in the vertical direction. This scale differential
exists in addition to the skew due to earth rotation and all
other geometric errors. Similarly when this data is reproduced

on a video display device the horizontal scale is 56 meters
per point and the vertical scale is 79 meters per point.
Correction of these geometric distortions has become highly
desirable by certain researchers who require that the ERTS
images exactly match maps of terrain areas under study. The
techniques discussed in this note are an attempt to improve
the geometric quality of the ERTS digital data for research
purposes.

MSS Digital Data Geometric Characteristics

The ERTS-1 MSS system produces four spectral band
digitized imagery of approximately 100 (185 Km) nautical
mile wide strips beneath the satellite path. The scanner
has an instantaneous resolution of 79 meters and scan lines
are sampled at a rate such that samples are spaced approximately
56 meters apart and successive scan lines are spaced approxi-
mately 79 meters apart as determined by the forward motion of
the satellite. The image data are edited so that the along
size is approximately 96.3 nautical miles (155 Km). The
resulting data set consists of nominally 3240 samples horizon-
tally (E-W) and 2340 samples vertically (N-S). The geometric
distortions are due to sensor, satellite, and earth effects.
The major sources of error are listed briefly here:

  1. Scale Differential - This is the 79 meter horizontal

versus 56 meter vertical sample ratio mentioned above. These are approximate values since sensor and satellite motion effects influence the sample rate as will be discussed below.

2. Altitude Variations - The orbit is not circular and the earth is not spherical thus the altitude varies with position in orbit about the nominal 494 N mi value. Changing altitude causes the 79 meter resolution to vary and the 56 meter horizontal sample spacing also varies (i.e. horizontal scale). The magnitude is of the order $\Delta X = 9.26 \times 10^4 \frac{\Delta h}{h}$

where Δh is the altitude change over the frame, h is the nominal altitude and ΔX is the change in width of the image.

3. Attitude Variations - The satellite undergoes random roll, pitch, and yaw variations due to errors in its attitude control system. Roll causes a skew in the horizontal direction of magnitude $\Delta X = h\theta_R$ where h is the nominal altitude, θ_R is the roll variation over the frame, and ΔX is the amount of horizontal skew. Pitch variations cause a change in the vertical scale by changing the vertical size of the frame. The magnitude is $\Delta Y = h\theta_p$ where θ_p is the pitch variation

from the top to bottom of the frame. Yaw variation
causes a variable vertical skew distortion which is
difficult to simply describe.

4. <u>Earth Rotation Skew</u> - The Eastward rotation of the
   earth under the satellite path causes the area scanned
   for a frame to be a parallelogram skewed about 5% from
   square or about a 5 mile shift from top to bottom.

5. <u>Orbit Velocity Change</u> - The variation in satellite
   velocity due to the eccentricity of the orbit and
   non-sphericity of the earth causes a vertical scale
   change. The change in height of the frame due to this
   effect is $\Delta Y \approx 8.88 \times 10^4 \frac{\Delta V}{V}$, where ΔV is the velocity
   change over the frame and V is the nominal velocity.

6. <u>Scan Time Skew</u> - The scanning mirror takes a finite
   time to scan on line across the scene and in that
   period the satellite is moving forward. A line skew
   occurs which is approximately 216 meters in magnitude,
   i.e., one side of the scan line is 216 meters advanced
   along the track of the satellite than the other side.

7. <u>Nonlinear Scan Sweep</u> - The scanning mirror does not
   move evenly across the scene and the deviation from
   linearity is estimated to be at most 395 meters at any
   point across the image.

8. <u>Scan Angle Error</u> - The look angle from nadir causes a

horizontal scale error proportional to the angle.
This is a very small error since the maximum look
angle is +5.78° and amounts to a maximum of 115 meters.

9. Frame Rotation - The orientation of the frame with
respect to North is approximately 13° in the U.S.A.
clockwise due to the fact that the orbit inclination
at the equator is approximately 99.114°. This
rotation is not considered an error; however, it is
convenient to work with image products which are
North-oriented.

The magnitudes of most of these errors are unknown,
at least by LARS CCT users at present. The major
errors are the scale and skew errors. Also,
rotation to North-orientation is considered highly
desirable. A two step process was developed to
correct this data for small areas.

II. Geometric Correction

The geometric correction task was divided into two steps.
Corrections that could be predicted reasonably well such as
scaling and skew would be performed "open loop", i.e., without
feedback from ground control points, to approximately correct
the data. This approach makes improved data available to users
rapidly. The second stage is a "fine" correction which uses
ground control checkpoints to remove the remaining several

hundred meter error in the initial correction. The coarse or initial correction would be useful to those wishing to visually relate points on maps and ERTS data and especially to those studying the millions of rectangular North South-oriented agricultural fields which exist in certain areas. The fine correction would produce images which would exactly (within 1 pixel) match the image the checkpoints were taken from over the area that the points were taken from.

The coarse correction consists of five linear trans-formations which act on the entire image block. This is contrasted to a nonlinear transformation which could compensate for randomly varying scale, skew and other distortions. The ERTS image consists of discrete samples of reflected energy over a two-dimensional space. The image can be thought of as a three-dimensional array $P(i,j,k)$ where i are the rows or lines of data points, j are the columns or samples across the image and the k are the channels. The data values themselves are nonnegative integers having values between 0 and 128. The four channels are assumed to be in perfect registration in this discussion so the problem can be studied as a two-dimensional single channel image problem. The ERTS image is thus defined as an array of points P with:

$$0 \leq P(i,j) \leq 128 \qquad 1 \leq i \leq 2340, \qquad 1 \leq j \leq 3232$$

Transformation of this array into another array which when displayed on a certain type of output device has given geometric characteristics is the geometric correction problem.

Linear transformation of elements of a two-dimensional space into another two-dimensional space is accomplished by the linear combinations:

$$Y_1 = a_{11} x_1 + a_{12} x_2$$

$$Y_2 = a_{21} x_1 + a_{22} x_2$$

Or in matrix form:

$$Y = AX$$

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} Y_1 \\ Y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

The physical meaning of such a transformation is depicted in Figure 1. The nodes of the X grid represents original ERTS samples of reflected energy from discrete points on the earth. These samples are stored as a two-dimensional array of integers.

The desired samples are represented by the Y grid. These samples are oriented in a rescaled, rotated, and deskewed coordinate system. The geometric correction process assigns radiance values to nodes in the new grid using the data available from the existing grid, i.e., the raw ERTS data. Clearly the conceptually simplest way to match a map grid to the ERTS data grid is to distort the map or its topographic coordinates to match the ERTS data. This is not practical in general because large number of maps already exist in normal topographic coordinates and users wish to match ERTS data to these maps.

The linear transformation A can correct for skew and scale errors as well as rotate the image. Note that in general no original sample exists in the new grid at the desired sample points. Thus, some form of interpolation is required to perform any geometric transformation on the data. This problem is discussed in a following section. The transformations are represented by the following matrices:

1. Scale Change

The ERTS sampling ratio is approximately 3 to 2 as determined by the ratio of horizontal samples to vertical samples for the same terrain distance. The linear transformation matrix which will change the scale of the two-

dimensions different amounts is:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note that in order to change the scale of the data some samples will have to be skipped or duplicated at some points. This can be considered elimination of information or duplication of information. Since the resolution of the ERTS MSS is nominally 79 meters and the across track or horizontal sampling is every 56 meters redundancy already exists due to the overlap in this dimension. The vertical or along track sampling is the same as the resolution thus no overlap exists. The question thus arises - is it preferable to eliminate partially redundant samples or duplicate independent samples to effect a scale change? It was decided that significant information would not be lost if horizontal samples were dropped. Thus the matrix to correct the horizontal scale factor from nominally 56 meters per point to 79 meters per point is:

$$M_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.41 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

A basic feel for what this matrix will do can be obtained by observing the coordinate limits for a total frame. At line

one and column one the transformed coordinates are:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1.41 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.41 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \cong \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The resultant coordinate is rounded to the nearest integer under the nearest neighbor rule which will be discussed. At the lower right corner of the new "square" image the point 2340 lines, 2340 columns should come from the lower right corner of the original data or line 2340, column 3232. Thus when output column coordinate is 2340 the coordinate for the input is 1.41 x 2340 $\cong$ 3232. This is not an equality since 1.41 = 79/56 and 3232/2340 = 1.38. The first ratio is used since it is assumed that it is more stable, i.e., the number of samples tends to be variable. This matrix is referred to as M_1 and is labeled the scanner scale correction.

2. Rotation

Rotation through an angle θ of the "squared up" image obtained from the M_1 transformation is accomplished by a standard coordinate rotation:

$$M_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta & \sin\theta \\ -\sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$$

The amount of rotation of the ERTS frame required to bring it square with North varies with latitude. The ERTS orbit crosses

the equator with an inclination of approximately 99.119°,
i.e., clockwise from North 9.119°. At the highest latitude
reached (about 80°) the heading of the satellite is 90° in
the Southern hemisphere, 270° in the Northern. Thus the θ
varies from 9.119 to 90. The spherical trigonometric function
for the required θ for rotating the data to North is:

$$\theta = 90 - \cos^{-1} \left[\frac{\sin \theta_E}{\cos \lambda} \right]$$

WHERE: θ_E is the inclination at the equator (9.119°)

λ is the latitude

The θ obtained is approximate because the heading is varying
over the entire image and the orbit does not exactly have
the assumed inclination. The errors involved are small;
however, ground control data will be needed to remove the
remaining errors.

3. Skew due to Earth Rotation

The earth is rotating inside the orbit of the satellite
as the ERTS data is being scanned. The rotation results in
an Eastward surface velocity which causes a skew in the
resulting ERTS frame. The Eastward surface velocity beneath
the satellite is approximately:

$$V_e = R_e \cos\lambda \ \omega_e$$

WHERE:

V_e = Velocity to East

R_e = Radius of earth = 6.37816×10^6 meters

λ = Latitude of satellite

ω_e = Angular rate of the earth = $.7272 \times 10^{-4}$ radians/sec.

The satellite period is approximately 106 minutes so the angular rate is $\omega_o = 9.87 \times 10^{-4}$ radians/second. A 161 km (100 N mi) frame would be scanned in:

$$t_s = \frac{L}{R_e \, \omega_o}$$

$$= \frac{161000}{6.37816 \times 10^7 \times 9.87 \times 10^{-4}} = 25.5 \text{ sec.}$$

WHERE: L is the height (along track length) of a frame

R_e is earth radius

ω_o is the orbital angular rate

The Eastward displacement of the earth during the scanning of a frame would be:

$$\Delta X_E = t_s V_e$$

For example, at 40°N latitude V_E = 355.29 meters/second and the Eastward displacement would be:

$$\Delta X_E = 25.5 \times 355.29 = 8060.5 \text{ meters}$$

This is 8.0605/161 of a frame or about 5%. The earth rotation effect is actually acting at an angle to the scan lines due to the non-polar orbit thus the distance the bottom of the frame is actually displaced is:

$$\Delta X = \Delta X_E \cos\theta$$

If the skew correction is performed after rotation to North the cosine factor above becomes unity however the apparent orbital velocity is reduced by a $\cos\theta$ factor. The skew correction matrix for correction after rotation is:

$$M_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_{sk} \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

WHERE:

$$a_{sk} = \frac{LR_e \omega_e \cos\lambda}{R_e \omega_o L} = \frac{\omega_e \cos\lambda}{\omega_o \cos\theta} = \frac{.071713 \cos\lambda}{\cos\theta}$$

For a latitude of 37.5° the matrix is:

$$M_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & .0586 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

4. Output Scale Factor

The three transformations given above approximately correct the ERTS image to a North-oriented image having a sampling scale of 79 meters per data point in both the horizontal

(E-W) and vertical (N-W) directions. The data are reproduced in pictorial form on two different devices at the LARS laboratory. One is an IBM computer line printer and the other is a custombuilt IBM digital video display system. The line printer has a 10 column per inch print line and normally prints 8 lines to the inch down the page. This 8 to 10 aspect ratio must be compensated for if the printed image is to be "square" in scale. The matrix for this correction is:

$$M_4 = \begin{bmatrix} .8 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The physical scale which will result if the above four transformations are applied to the data to be printed the line printer is 1" on the page = 25200" on the ground (denoted 1:25200). To correct this to a standard map scale of 1:24000 the scale adjustment matrix is used:

$$M_5 = \begin{bmatrix} a_s & 0 \\ 0 & a_s \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} .9527 & 0 \\ 0 & .9527 \end{bmatrix}$$

The resulting scale can be adjusted to any value by proper choice of a_s.

The digital image display has an aspect ratio of 1:1 so the correction matrix M_4 is not needed. Also, since the scale of photographs produced from the digital display depends on the

size of the print no scale adjustment is needed. The sampling

scale of data prepared for the digital display is 79 meters per

point in both directions and the final physical scale can be

determined only after a photo print is generated. The scale

of the image on the 16" (horizontal width) screen of the display

is approximately 1:151000 if every screen point represents one

data point.

All of the transformations can be performed at once by

multiplying the matrices in the appropriate order. A 1:24000

scale line printer correction is performed by the product of

the five example matrices given above:

$$M = M_1 x\ M_2 x\ M_3 x\ M_4 x\ M_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1.03574 & .34312 \\ -.15222 & .93351 \end{bmatrix}$$

The word "approximate" was used throughout the discussion and

it should be emphasized that most of the parameters used are

not known accurately, thus these corrections are not exact.

The sensor and satellite induced errors vary randomly over the

frame thus the "rigid body" assumption implicit in the use of

the linear transformation is also invalid. The accuracy of the

correction is therefore unknown; however, measurements made using

topographic maps indicate about a 1 to 2% scale error. This

means that if a point in the data is exactly lined up with a known

ground point that, in say 1000 meters, the image would be 10 to 20

meters in error from the true ground point. Figure 2 is a

comparison of digital display images of uncorrected and corrected

data.

III. Intersample Interpolation

It can be seen from Figure 1 that when the geometric trans-
formation is applied to a sampled image new samples will be needed
between existing samples, i.e. where there is no data. Thus, some
interpolation scheme is required to produce new samples if a
uniform output grid is required. The preferred way of performing
geometric transformation would be to place existing samples in the
correct   locations in the output image; however, this requires a
randomly addressable output device with variable sample spacing.
The computer line printer, LARS digital display and most other
digital-to-analog image output devices have a fixed uniform point
spacing so there is no way to randomly address the output image
with these devices. Thus, sample interpolation is required when
fixed grid output devices are to be used.

Sample interpolation can be performed in two ways: 1.) A
combination of values of samples near the desired sample can be
used to estimate the value at the desired point, 2.) The point
nearest the desired sample location can be used to represent the
value at the desired location, this is called the "Nearest
Neighbor Rule". Method 1 distorts the original values of the
data and it is generally assumed that the new values created this
way would generate spurious multispectral vectors and would cause
classification results unrelated to that of the surrounding points.
Method 2 does not alter the values of the multispectral vectors so
the classifications for these points will be predictable. Also,
inspection of the grids in Figure 1 will reveal that the new point

generated by the nearest neighbor rule will not be more than one sample space away from its true position in the image. The bound on the position error is:

$$o \leq \varepsilon_T \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Delta L^2 + \Delta C^2} = \varepsilon_{MAX}$$

Where: ε_T = Total Euclidian Error Distance

ΔL = Line Spacing in the Data (ft or meters)

ΔC = Column Spacing in the Data (ft or meters)

For ERTS-1 data $\Delta L \doteqdot 79$ meters and $\Delta C = 56$ meters thus the upper bound on the position error is 48.4 meters or 158.5 feet. The distribution of the error over the interval (o, ε_{MAX}) would intuitively seem to be uniform for which the mean value would be $\varepsilon_{MAX}/2$.

The error for each point can be computed explicitly. The locations of points required from the original data are given by the transformation:

$$X_L = f_L(Y_L, Y_C)$$

$$X_C = f_C(Y_L, Y_C)$$

Where:

$Y_{L,C}$ = Line, Column Coordinates of the new Data Set.

$X_{L,C}$ = Coordinates of required points in the "old" original data set.

The new or Y coordinates are integer line and column numbers. Thus $Y_{L,C} = 1, 2, \ldots. N$. The $X_{L,C}$ will in general be real numbers. The error under the nearest neighbor rule will be :

$$\varepsilon_L = \begin{cases} \varepsilon = X_L - [X_L] \\ \text{If } 0 \leq |\varepsilon| \leq .5 \ \ \varepsilon_L = |\varepsilon| \ \text{ for lines,} \\ \text{If } .5 < |\varepsilon| < 1 \ \ \varepsilon_L = |\varepsilon| - 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon_C = \begin{cases} \varepsilon = X_C - [X_C] \\ \text{If } 0 \leq |\varepsilon| \leq .5 \ \ \varepsilon_C = |\varepsilon| \ \text{ for columns,} \\ \text{If } .5 < |\varepsilon| \leq 1 \ \ \varepsilon_C = 1 - |\varepsilon| \end{cases}$$

where [X] denotes greatest integer less than X.

For image rotation, deskewing and rescaling a linear transformation of the form:

$$X_L = a_{11}Y_L + a_{12}Y_C$$

$$X_C = a_{21}Y_L + a_{22}Y_C \quad \text{is used.}$$

Section II gave an example matrix for a rotation of approximately 12 degrees, rescaling to a line printer scale of 1"=24000", and deskewing 5% which is typical of operations for ERTS data. The transformation is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_C \\ X_L \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.03574 & .34312 \\ -.15222 & .93351 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Y_C \\ Y_L \end{pmatrix}$$

The distribution was evaluated using a simple program which computes the error mean and distribution for 1000 values of Y_L and 1000 values of Y_C for a total of 10^6 points. The experimental mean was .23 for each dimension which agrees well with the intuitive value of .25 . The average distance error is:

$$\epsilon_T = \quad (79 \times .23)^2 + (56 \times .23)^2 = 19.6 \text{ meters}$$

Thus, on the average about 20M or 66 feet of position error is introduced by geometric transformation of ERTS data using the nearest neighbor rule. This error is only slightly more than the 50 feet tolerance for 1:24000 scale topographic maps generated by the U.S. Geological Survey.

IV. Geometric Correction using Ground Control Points

The correction process described above uses no ground reference points to aid in determining the values of the correction parameters. The ERTS digital aspect ratio, orbit inclination and satellite velocity are all estimated values and all are slightly in error. Improved geometric accuracy can be achieved through precise knowledge of all parameters or by finding matching points in the scene and in the data and using these points to correct the data. The second approach was investigated and preliminary results are discussed next.

An experimental precision correction was carried out in conjunction with a project funded by the U. S. Geological Survey and excellent results were obtained as determined by visual inspection. CCT data from ERTS frame 1003-18175 was first corrected for scale, rotation, and skew using techniques discussed above. The data was scaled so that when printed in pictorial form on a computer line printer the scale is approximately 1" = 24000". Easily identifiable features such as schoolyards and parks were manually located on 1:24000 topographic maps. The corresponding areas were located in the ERTS data printouts. The map used was USGS 7 1/2 minute quad: San Jose West. Thirty-six matching points were found covering a 10 x 7 1/2 mile area. The coordinate system used for the map points was the UTM system. Vertical and horizontal coordinates were measured to the nearest 10 meters and punched in standard LARS checkpoint format on cards along with the line and

column coordinates for the same point in the data. These coordinates were processed by a geometric distortion function estimation program and parameters were computed to correct the remaining geometric error in the data for the given area. The data was then re-geometrically corrected to produce the final version. The results were overlayed on the topographic map to inspect the accuracy of the fit. No error could be visually observed over the 7 1/2 x 10 mile area although it is extremely difficult to estimate locations to better than one or two pixels in ERTS-1 data. The correction function used was a quadratic polynomial with terms up to xy. A least squares fit was used to the given checkpoints. The error in estimating the checkpoints by the polynomial was .6 of a resolution element RMS.

This approach holds promise for accurately correcting ERTS type data to map coordinates. The main problem is finding matching points in the scene and the data. Various automatic techniques are being investigated; however, the method which will most likely be used for the near future at LARS will be the laborious manual matched point finding process.

Reference

1. ERTS Data Users' Handbook, Document No. 7154249, Goddard Space Flight Center, NASA, Greenbelt, Maryland, 1972.

Figure 1.   Relationship of original and transformed ERTS
            data points. The new grid represents a clock-
   wise rotation and rescaling of the original grid.

Figure 2. Comparison of original and geometrically corrected
and rotated ERTS-1 MSS digital imagery. Upper
image is the original. Lower image is skew and
scale corrected and rotated to North. Scale is
such that when this data is printed on an 8 line
per inch, 10 column per inch computer line printer
the resulting scale will be approximately 1:24000.