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STATISTICAL DIMENSIONS

INTRODUCTION

The application of statistical dimensions offers the advantages of better product quality, lower manufacturing costs and in some cases, an alternative to selective fits. Although the concept has been with us for several decades, the degree of implementation is quite small. This is most likely attributed to a feeling of apprehension on the part of the designer or engineer regarding statistical methods. This paper will attempt to demonstrate some of the obvious advantages of statistical dimensions, discuss their application and comment on the necessary controls for their success.

BASIC THEORY

An understanding of the basic statistical concepts is essential to the application and control of this type of dimensioning. A simple comparison of conventional and statistical dimensions will demonstrate their relative impact on tolerances.

Assume that three components, A, B and C are to be stacked and positioned into an assembly, D, which has a required clearance of .001" to .005" as shown in Figure 1. The tolerance on each of the four dimensions will be equal in this example.

A fundamental requirement for the application of this technique is that statistically treated dimensions must conform to or at least approach a normal or Gaussian distribution. Non-normal distributions can be accommodated, but by a different approach which will be discussed later.

The normal distribution is the familiar "bell" curve. Two parameters, the mean (μ) and variance (σ²), totally describe a normal distribution. Statistical theory says that the stack up of random observations from a series of normal distributions will result in a new normal distribution having a mean and variance equal to the sum of these parameters from the individual distributions.

\[ \mu_{\text{total}} = \mu_1 \pm \mu_2 \pm \mu_3 \pm \cdots \pm \mu_n \quad \text{Eq (1)} \]
\[ \sigma_{\text{total}}^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 + \sigma_3^2 + \cdots + \sigma_n^2 \quad \text{Eq (2)} \]

The square root of the variance, or σ, is referred to as the "standard deviation" and is defined as the root-mean-square deviation of the observed values from their mean. This measure of dispersion is used more often in discussing normal distributions because it has more physical significance than the variance.

To achieve the required clearance by conventional methods, each dimension, A, B, C and D must be held to ±.0005". Using statistical dimensions, each part would carry limits of ±.001" or twice as much as the conventional method and still maintain the .001"/.005" specification.
Figure 2 shows that for a normal distribution having a mean, \( \mu \), and variance \( \sigma^2 \), 68.2% of the population will fall between \( \mu \pm \sigma \), 95.5% between \( \mu \pm 2\sigma \), and 99.7% between \( \mu \pm 3\sigma \). Since a spread of \( 6\sigma \) covers essentially all of the distribution, it can be equated to the overall tolerance for a given dimension. In the earlier example, each component had a statistical tolerance of 0.002" (\( \pm 0.001" \)). This particular distribution is shown in Figure 3. Conventional tolerancing is not concerned with the distribution of dimensions as long as they are contained somewhere between the min/max dimensions. Statistical tolerances however, require this bell shaped distribution of dimensions.

Recall that four components were involved in the stack up. Of interest here is the clearance of the assembly, not the individual dimensions. From Equations 1 and 2, the clearance will be:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mu_{\text{clear}} &= \mu_D - \mu_A - \mu_B - \mu_C \\
\sigma_{\text{clear}}^2 &= \sigma_D^2 + \sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 + \sigma_C^2
\end{align*}
\]

Note that in stack ups of this type, the sign of the means must be considered but variances are always added. Since equal tolerances were specified for the components,

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\text{component}} &= 0.002/6 = 3.33 \times 10^{-4} \\
\sigma_{\text{clear}}^2 &= 4\sigma_{\text{component}}^2 \\
\sigma_{\text{clear}}^2 &= 4(3.33 \times 10^{-4})^2 \\
\sigma_{\text{clear}} &= 6.667 \times 10^{-4} \\
6\sigma_{\text{clear}} &= 4 \times 10^{-3} \text{ or } \pm 0.002"
\end{align*}
\]

The clearance tolerance of \( \pm 0.002" \) will satisfy the requirement of a \( 0.001"/0.005" \) clearance when applied to the proper mean dimensions.

To demonstrate how one would reverse the process to determine the component tolerances and also include non-identical specifications, assume that the manufacturing process capability for dimension \( \text{D} \) is \( \pm 0.0015" \). Components A, B and C will all be produced on similar equipment and can have a common tolerance.

Total Clearance = \( 6\sigma_{\text{clear}} = 0.004" \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\text{clear}} &= 6.667 \times 10^{-4} \\
\sigma_{\text{clear}}^2 &= 4.444 \times 10^{-7} \\
\sigma_D^2 &= (2 \times 0.00155/\sigma)^2 = 2.5 \times 10^{-7} \\
\sigma_{\text{clear}}^2 &= \sigma_A^2 + \sigma_B^2 + \sigma_C^2 + \sigma_D^2, \\
\text{But, } \sigma_A^2 = \sigma_B^2 = \sigma_C^2 \\
3\sigma_A^2 &= 1.944 \times 10^{-7} \\
3\sigma_A &= 7.638 \times 10^{-4}
\end{align*}
\]

The limits on each of the components \( A, B \) and \( C \) must be \( \pm 0.0007" \). Conventional min/max stack up using these tolerances would indicate a clearance range of \( 0.0072" \) as opposed to the requirement of \( 0.004" \).

As long as we keep in mind that we are working with normal variances to arrive at the statistical tolerance, we can simplify the computation as follows:

Let \( 6\sigma = T \), the Tolerance (max-min)

\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{T^2}{36} \quad \text{Eq (3)}
\]

Substitute Eq (3) into Eq (2)

\[
\frac{T^2}{36} = \left( \frac{T_1^2 + T_2^2 + T_3^2 + \cdots + T_n^2}{n} \right) / 36
\]

Using statistical dimensions amounts to prescribing the probability for a given fit. In Figure 2 it was shown that a spread of \( \pm 3\sigma \) about the mean will encompass 99.7% of the cases. In other words, there exists a probability of 0.003 (three out of 1,000) that a poor fit will result. If this is still too risky, a spread of more than \( \pm 3\sigma \) could be used.

To demonstrate the probabilistic assessment of fits, the classical example of a shaft and bearing having normally distributed diameters will be used.
Shaft Diameter = 1.0015"/0.9985"
i.e. \( \mu_{\text{shaft}} = 1.0000" \)
\( \sigma_{\text{shaft}} = 0.0005" \)

Bearing Diameter = 1.0030"/1.0000"
i.e. \( \mu_{\text{bearing}} = 1.0015" \)
\( \sigma_{\text{bearing}} = 0.0005" \)

The problem is to determine the probability of obtaining a clearance less than 0.0005".

\[
\mu_{\text{clear}} = \mu_{\text{brg}} - \mu_{\text{shaft}} = 1.0015 - 1.0000 = 0.0015
\]

\[
\sigma_{\text{clear}}^2 = \sigma_{\text{brg}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{shaft}}^2 = 0.0005^2 + 0.0005^2 = 5 \times 10^{-7}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\text{clear}} = 7.07 \times 10^{-4}
\]

Figure 4 shows the statistical distribution of clearances. It must now be determined how far 0.0005" is from the mean clearance of 0.0015" in terms of "standard deviations".

\[
\text{Standard Deviations} = \frac{0.0015 - 0.0005}{0.0007} = 1.43
\]

Tables of the standardized normal distribution are readily available in textbooks and manuals. From these tables it can be found that the area of the distribution to the left of 1.43 standard deviations accounts for 7.7% of the total distribution. Therefore, the probability of having a clearance less than 0.0005" is 0.077.

When using conventional dimensioning, the designer is concerned with the possibility of a poor fit. Statistical dimensioning mathematically establishes the probability of a poor fit.

**PUTTING STATISTICAL DIMENSIONS INTO PRACTICE**

Computing and specifying statistical dimensions does not guarantee their success. From a practical standpoint there are several aspects which deserve careful consideration.

1. **Non-Normal Distributions** - To apply statistical dimensioning in the manner described, it is essential that the distribution of dimensions tend toward normality. This is easily checked with a process capability study. Most operations have a natural tendency to conform to normality, that is, most of the dimensions from a given process will be closely grouped and symmetrical about the mean with fewer and fewer observations occurring as you move away from the mean.

2. **Dimensional Independence** - Mating parts relying on statistical dimensions must be statistically independent. The stack up of several identical spacers produced successively by the same tool, or the accumulation of center distances between holes which are controlled by the same drill jig would not be independent. Each dimension must be uncorrelated to mating dimensions.

3. **Random Selection** - Components should be randomized either by nature of the manufacturing process or artificially so that the probability of a given dimension at assembly approaches the probability of occurrence for the distribution itself. This requirement becomes an important consideration for very small production runs where a single setup may produce all of the parts toward one end of the allowable spectrum, or in the case of gradual shift in a dimension because of tool wear.

4. **Truncated Distributions** - If a process is either out of control or tending toward one end of the tolerance band, implementation of 100% inspection to reject those pieces out of spec does not constitute an acceptable solution in the case of statistical dimensions. Figure 5 shows how 100% inspection can "load" one end of the distribution so that the probability of selecting prescribed dimensions has been drastically altered.
An extreme dimension is very likely to occur in this case as opposed to the very small probability of occurrence for such a dimension from the specified distribution.

5. **Statistical Dimension Awareness** - When statistical dimensions are called for, they should be identified on the print so that the proper controls may be imposed. Vendors must be educated in the production and control of statistical dimensions. They should also be informed as to the receiving inspection procedures which will apply to such dimensions.

6. **Process Capability** - In order to consistently produce statistical dimensions, a rule of thumb regarding process capability says that the process capability should not exceed 80% of the print tolerance if frequent adjustments are to be avoided. Figure 6 graphically shows this condition.

Figure 7 shows a process capability much tighter than needed. In addition to the probable misapplication of an expensive piece of equipment, there is a danger that the process mean could slip too close to one end of the tolerance band. The result would be similar to the 100% inspection procedure previously discussed. One end of the tolerance spectrum could become biased.

In some cases the process capability is essentially equal to the tolerance. The only way this condition will be economically feasible is when the process is very stable. That is, there is little or no shift of the mean dimension and the scatter of dimensions is controlled.

7. **Quality Control** - Statistical dimensions change the ground rules somewhat in regard to controlling quality. As has been pointed out, just because all of the parts are within the print tolerance, it does not follow that the results will be satisfactory.

There are several methods available to Quality Control to monitor statistical dimensions. One of the most common and most useful is a technique called PRE-Control. The PRE-Control lines are symmetric about the center of the tolerance and have a separation equal to 1/2 of the print tolerance. That is, they are placed at the 25% and 75% points of the print tolerance. For a normal distribution, approximately 7% of the population should occur above and below these lines. Figure 8 shows an upper and lower PRE-Control value superimposed on the print tolerance.
Once the process is running smoothly, frequency gaging is used to monitor the output. For example, every tenth or twentieth piece is checked. Whenever one piece falls outside the PRE-Control limits, the very next piece must be checked also. For a normally distributed, in-control process, the probability of observing two consecutive pieces outside these limits is very remote. Therefore, should it occur, the process must be adjusted and monitored until it is again producing satisfactorily. Complete details of this procedure may be found in the references. An obvious advantage of this technique over control chart methods is that no computations or plotting are required.

STATISTICAL TOLERANCES FOR NON-NORMAL DISTRIBUTIONS

If the condition of non-normal distributions exists, a different approach to a statistical evaluation may be employed. Each dimension to be considered is sampled from the actual manufacturing process in sufficient quantity to obtain a good definition of the distribution. Most often, a simple histogram is adequate for this purpose. These empirical distributions may then be programmed into a computer simulation routine.

For example, suppose three mating component dimensions have been identified as non-normal. With the aid of a computer, a random value from each of the distributions is obtained and an overall or composite dimension computed. This can be repeated thousands of times, keeping track of the frequencies of the composite dimensions, which are eventually printed out. It is interesting to note that the "central limit" theorem says that the frequency plot of the composite dimensions will always be a normal distribution. With relative ease, a trial and error determination of the individual dimension requirements may be made.

Implementing process controls for non-normal distributions is not as straightforward as it is for normal distributions, but a statistician can easily develop a technique similar to the PRE-Control method for any unique distribution.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The comments to this point have centered around specifying statistical tolerances. However, even if the dimensions going into a given stack-up are not specified or controlled as "statistical dimensions," it often makes sense to treat them as such when analyzing a system. Suppose that it were of interest to determine the minimum and maximum clearance between piston and valve plate for a reciprocating compressor. In this case, there are many independent dimensions involved in the stack-up. A simple min/max analysis of the tolerances is unrealistic. To obtain, by chance, the extreme dimension of each component approaches the impossible. A far more realistic approach is to combine all of the nominal dimensions and then compute a statistical tolerance based on the individual tolerances. A statistical analysis of this particular situation reduces the possible range of clearance by almost 50% for one compressor analyzed.

CONCLUSIONS

The discussion regarding statistical tolerances has been confined to mechanical dimensions. However, it holds equally true for electrical characteristics, chemical composition, etc.

Cases have been described in the literature where without the use of statistical dimensions it would have been economically impossible to build the product. This then is one of the primary advantages of the method - manufacturing costs. Application of this technique always opens up tolerances. Because of the greater likelihood of nominal fits with statistical dimensions, better quality and more consistent assemblies will result. By always keeping the process headed toward the nominal dimension, scrap and rework will be reduced.

One disadvantage to this approach is that it requires a different manufacturing philosophy. It requires understanding and commitment on the part of the entire organization to reap the benefits.
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