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Since the 1980s, an increasing number of researchers have documented Hmong former refugees’ cultural and economic adaptation in the United States. Vang’s *Hmong America* provides a recent depiction of Hmong’s historical experiences with colonialism and imperialism, their struggles for basic survival during the wars in Laos, their forced displacement, and their attempts to rebuild ethnic communities in Minnesota’s Twin Cities. By taking account of Hmong’s pre-migration experiences, *Hmong America* helps clarify the dynamically evolving adaptation of Hmong former refugees and their children, especially their adaptation to new communities, institutions, and governments. By describing Hmong Americans’ ongoing trans-state activities, Vang’s book also sheds light on the decades-long social, economic and political relationships between Hmong in the U.S. and their Southeast Asian compatriots.

Displaying vigilance and sensitivity to the consequences of group stereotypes, Vang reminds her readers that “the Hmong American community” is neither a monolithic nor homogeneous ethnic community. Rather, Vang points out, immense internal diversity of identities and interests exist in Hmong American communities. This diversity is manifested in the great variety of sub-categories such as dialects, clans, subclans or lineages, voluntary associations, political organizations, ethnic churches, and other categories. From discussing the intra-ethnic divisions created by French colonial co-optation following indigenous revolts in Laos (pp. 20-22) to discussing Hmong Americans’ contemporary “politics of representation” (pp. 88-96), each of Vang’s chapters invites readers to think about what internal diversity could mean for ethnic members’ ability to construct instrumental ethnicity and maintain meaningful cultural traditions.

In my view, it is in Chapters 4 and 5 that *Hmong America* provides the most lively discussions of Hmong Americans’ forms of cultural adaptation and ethnic-political agency. In chapter 4, “Continuity and Reinvention of Traditions,” Vang describes the Hmong New Year
celebration in Minnesota and examines its role in “affirming established Hmong cultural identities and creating new ones” (p. 98). Vang’s discussion of Hmong individuals’ varied interpretations of the Hmong New Year celebration evokes some of the most interesting, albeit understudied, processes of Hmong’s cultural and ideological change.

One such process involves Hmong individuals’ anxiety over what they perceive to be the increasing “commercialization” of the traditionally celebrated Hmong New Year event. Arguing that “Hmong Americanization [sic] has come to signify increasing American cultural and economic influence,” Vang points out that “many Hmong Americans contend that New Year celebrations have become too commercialized” (p. 105). Ten pages later, Vang briefly revisits this general idea. Vang cites a written passage by an individual who expressed discontent with the new year event because, according to him/her, it has come to contain “strange and odd” programs and be “full [of] business booths ... [that] only [benefit] business folks and the organizers” (p. 116). Vang interprets the writer’s discontent to mean that s/he was “argu[ing] against the commodification of New Year celebrations” (p. 116). This interpretation is provocative. However, I wish Vang would have clarified her usage of these Marx-formulated concepts, examined how and in what ways the Hmong New Year has been commodified, and discussed what consequences processes of commodification have had for other social domains of Hmong American life. If by commodification Vang means the transformation of formerly non-commercial relationships into exchange relations, then it would seem important to also address questions such as the following: In the Hmong American context, who or what is doing the commodification, to whom, and under what conditions? And what consequences have commodification of certain practices and their attendant social relationships had, if any, for the maintenance of particular cultural traditions or for the maintenance of ethnic solidarity?

Chapter 5 contains several examples of political activism within Hmong American communities. These examples not only illustrate individual and collective agency, but also help clarify the very important fact that Hmong Americans, despite their relative political invisibility, have never been social isolates. Instead, Hmong Americans frequently engage with people and governments around them and, in doing so, create tangible changes for their communities. Highlighting Hmong Americans’ active role in pursuing rights, resources and representation in the U.S. context, Vang points out that especially in recent years, Hmong Americans have engaged in political coalition building and sought political offices at school boards and state legislatures. Furthermore, Vang points out, segments of the Hmong American population continue to participate in contentious “homeland politics,” including “long-distance nationalism” (p. 136), which maintains not only the imagined community but also the often ambivalent relationship between Hmong exiles and their former homelands.

Vang contends that through their participation in these forms of U.S. and foreign political activism, “Hmong Americans have been partially responsible for the maintenance of their perpetual refugee identity” (p. 122). To be sure, several factors, including Hmong’s involuntary refugee status, make their relationship with the U.S. government a perpetually fluctuating one. That is, Hmong former refugees and their U.S.-born children have, at times, been treated as U.S. allies, but at other times, as aliens ineligible for public benefits, as second class citizens, as perpetually unassimilable foreigners or, even worst, as former terrorists or indicted terrorism plotters. Furthermore, it is often the case that Hmong Americans must construct and evoke a military-service frame during most social movements in order to seek political recognition or resources from state and federal government departments. However, in my view, the claim that
Hmong Americans are responsible for maintaining an imposed label (i.e., perpetual refugees) demands more substantiation and debate.

Although not “the first scholarly work ... from inside the Hmong community”\(^1\) to examine Hmong’s experiences in Laos or their ongoing struggles in America, Vang’s book serves as an invaluable introduction to contemporary Hmong American society. One of its strengths is in the breadth of issues in Hmong American communities that it covers. Another is in its careful attention to Hmong’s pre-migration experiences, their internal group diversity, and how these complicate and condition the remaking of ethnic communities. Students interested in the processes of refugee adaptation, cultural change and race-ethnic relations in the United States should read Vang’s *Hmong America*.
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