

INDEX

Page numbers in italics refer to tables, boxes, and figures.

A

- ABET accreditation criteria, 31, 63
- Accessibility, 41
- Accuracy of information, 139
- Acknowledgment, 68
- Active cognitive processing, 168
- Ad hominem/appeal to authority, *30*
- Allan, T. J., 40
- American Society for Civil Engineering Code of Ethics, *65*
- American Society for Engineering Education (ASEE), 196
- American Society of Civil Engineers, 120
- American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME), *119*
- Appeal to authority, *30*
- Appeal to common knowledge, *30*
- Appeal to ignorance, *30*
- Application and documentation of information, 24–25
- Appropriate application of information, *178*
- ASM Materials Information database, 155
- Assessment
 - of contextual applicability of design information, *141*, 141–146, *143–145*
 - diagnostic, 189–190, *189*
 - of forward communication of information and knowledge, 178–180, *180*
 - of technologies and methods, *54*
- Association of American Colleges and Universities, 28, *29*
- Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL), 22
- Atman, C. J., 38, 102
- Attribution, 68
- Audience
 - intended, 140–141, 161
 - viewing of presentations, 167–168
- Authority
 - appeal to, *30*
 - trustworthiness of information and, 139

Page numbers in italics refer to tables, boxes, and figures.

B

Bacon, Francis, 29–30
 Bailey, D. E., 163
 Barley, W. C., 163
 Barriers to information use, 41–42
 Beitz, W., 9, 11
 Bias, confirmation, 30
 Books, 131–132
 Bursic, K. M., 38

C

Calibrated support and CELT, 190
 Cardella, M. E., 103
 Case-based reasoning, 128
 Category suits, 129
 CES Selector, 155
 Challenger, space shuttle, 18, 76
 Childress, D., 78
 Choices, false, 30
 Choosing the preferred approach, 46, 47
 Citation management, 78–79, 79, 147
 in the classroom, 79–81
 Clarification, task, 46, 47, 48, 49–50
 Clarity, 18–19, 116
 Clients, 88, 88–89. *See also* Stakeholders
 backgrounds, exploring, 91
 eliciting information from, 91–92
Code of Ethics for Engineers, 62
 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), 121
 Codes and regulations, 120–121, 121
 Coffemaker activity, 190–192, 191
 Collection stage, ISP, 26–27
 Commercial off the shelf (COTS) components,
 152–153
 locating, 156, 156
 Common challenges for students, 195–197
 communication, 89–91, 160–162
 decision making, 138–139
 design practices, 102–103

Common challenges for students (*continued*)

 ethics, 62–63
 information literacy, 186–187
 knowledge management, 76–77
 materials and components, 150–151
 presentations, 160–162
 prior art, 126–128
 reflection, 173–174
 safety, 116–117
 stakeholders and, 89–91
 Common fallacies of reasoning, 28–30
 Common knowledge, appeal to, 30
 Communication. *See also* Presentations
 with all stakeholders, 46, 47, 48, 51–52, 52
 assessment of forward, 178–180, 180
 common challenges for students, 89–91,
 160–162
 for eliciting information from clients and
 other stakeholders, 91–92
 identifying critical information for, 163–164
 persuasion with integrity, 162–163
 stage, design, 38
 using media effectively for, 168
 Competency, 66–67
 Concept development stage, 37
 Conceptual design stage, 38
 Confidentiality, 69
 Confirmation bias, 30
 Consensus heuristic, 167
 Constraints, using information to develop,
 109–110, 110
 Context, 105–107
 applicability of design information, 141,
 141–146, 143–145
 establishment, 54
 information locating, 106–107
 used in framing of problem, 107–109
 Copyright, 70, 70–72
 Costs, 41, 106, 108

Creative exploration, directed, 14, *14*
 Credibility of presentations, 162
 packaging of critical information for, 164–167
 Criteria, using information to develop, 109–110, *110*
 Criteria for Accrediting Engineering Programs, 31, 63
 Critical analysis of team processes, 176, *177*
 Critical Engineering Literacy Test (CELT), *189*, 189–190
 Critical information
 identifying, 163–164
 packaged for successful presentations, 164–167
 Critical thinking, 27–28
 design as, 12–13
 VALUE rubric, 28, *29*
 Critical Thinking Foundation, 27–28
 Cultural context, 105, *108*
 Currency of information, 140

D

Data, Information, Knowledge, Wisdom (DIKW) model, 36, *37*
 Dealing with uncertainty, 16–17
 Decision making
 acknowledging sources of ideas and, 146–147
 assessing the contextual applicability of design information and, *141*, 141–146, *143–145*
 common challenges for students, 138–139
 pro/con evaluation and, 142, *143*
 Pugh Analysis and, 142–143, *144*
 trustworthiness of information and, 139–141, *141*
 weighted, 143–146, *145*
 when there are gaps in knowledge, 146
 Descriptive and prescriptive models of engineering design, 8–11
 Design communication stage, *38*
 Design fixation, 126, 165
 Design information audit, 130, *130*

Design practices. *See also* Materials and components
 categories of information importance and, 103–105
 codes and regulations in, 120–121, *121*
 common challenges for students, 102–103
 contextual information in, 105–107
 international issues in, 121–122, *122*
 locating and accessing standards in, 122–123
 using context in framing the problem, 107–109
 using information to begin ideation, 110–112, *111*
 using information to develop criteria and constraints, 109–110, *110*
 Design specifications, 118–119
 Design standards, *119*, 119–120
 codes and regulations, 120–121, *121*
 Design thinking movement, 12
 Detailed design stage, *37*, *38*
 Diagnostic assessment, 189–190, *190*
 Digital natives, 23
 Directed creative exploration, 14, *14*
 Distillation and translation of project knowledge, *55*
 Documentation and application of information, *24–25*, *178*
 Dossick, Carrie, 163
 Dropbox, 76
 Duong, K., 79
 Dym, C. L., 36, *38*

E

Economics of projects, 41, 106, *108*
 Eisenberg, M. B., 138
 Elemental engineering design activities, 46–48
 Eliciting strategies, information, 95, *96*
 Ellis, D., 40
 EndNote, 79, *79*
 Engel, D., 39
 Engineering design, 195–197. *See also* Design practices

Page numbers in italics refer to tables, boxes, and figures.

- Engineering design (*continued*)
 as critical thinking, 12–13
 defined, 8
 descriptive and prescriptive models of, 8–11
 elemental activities, 46–48
 failures, 15–19, 172, 172, 173
 human-centered, 89
 implications for student projects, 19
 information use in, 36, 37, 37, 38
 informed approach to, 195
 interdisciplinary nature of, 8
 as learning activity, 11–12, 56
 as lived experience, 13–14
 as problem solving, 8
 risks, 17, 17
 success factors in, 15–19
 ways to think and talk about, 8–14
 Web-based collaboration, 19
- Engineer's Handbook*, 155
- Environmental considerations, 105–106, 108
 materials and components selection
 and, 152
- Eppinger, S. D., 36, 37
- Ethics
 common challenges for students, 62–63
 competency and, 66–67
 concept of professional integrity and, 64–66
 confidentiality and, 69
 copyright, 70, 70–72
 ethical use of information and, 24–25
 intellectual property and, 69–71, 70
 objectivity and, 67–68
 patents and, 70, 70–71, 72
 professional expectations of integrity and,
 63–64
 truthfulness and, 68–69
- Evaluation of information, 23–24
- Evidence-based decision making, 14, 14
- Existing knowledge. *See* Prior art
- Expectations, managing, 16
- Exploration
 directed creative, 14, 14
 stage, ISP, 26–27
- F**
- Failure of engineering projects, 15–19, 172, 172,
 173
- Fallacies of reasoning, common, 28–30
- False choices, 30
- Familiarity, 41–42
- Farr, J. V., 152
- Felder, R. M., 95
- Feynman, Richard, 18
- Format, 42
- Formulation stage, ISP, 26–27
- Fosmire, M., 31, 103
- Freedom, 14, 14
- Frog Design, 128
- G**
- Gaps, knowledge, 146
- Generalization, inappropriate, 30
- Gerstberger, P. G., 40
- Gooch, S. D., 15
- Google, 23, 26
 Drive, 76, 83
- Grasping opportunities, 17
- Gunn, A. S., 118
- Gunn, C. J., 63
- H**
- Hales, C., 15
- Haugan, M., 40
- Head, A. J., 138
- Hertzum, M., 40
- Heuristics, 167
- Hiort af Ornäs, V., 53
- Historical information, 105, 108

- Hogan, Christine, 174
 Honesty, 68–69
How People Learn, 25–26
How Students Learn, 172
 Human-centered design, 89
- I**
- ICR Grid method, 129
 Idea-test cycle, 11–12
 Ideation deck, 128
 IDEO, 18, 92, 97, 128, 129
 Idols of the cave, 29–30
 Idols of the marketplace, 30
 Idols of the theater, 30
 Idols of the tribe, 29
 Ignorance, appeal to, 30
 Ill-structured design, 32
 Inappropriate generalization, 30
 Industry magazines and blogs, 133–134
 Information gathering
 - design setting and, 107
 - identifying stakeholders for, 92–93
 - InfoSEAD model, 186, 187, 187–188, 190
 - models, 36–37
 - sources, 188
 - supporting the argument, 188
 - techniques and tools for effective, 128–134
 - value of, 37–39
- Information habits of engineers, 39–41
 Information literacy
 - applying and documenting information in, 24–25
 - common challenges for students, 186–187
 - common fallacies of reasoning and, 28–30
 - critical thinking and, 27–28
 - defined, 22
 - engineering design and, 32
 - evaluation of information in, 23–24
 - facets of, 22–25, 187
 - goals for engineering students, 31–32
 - integrating, 78, 78
- Information literacy (*continued*)
 - knowledge management and, 77–78
 - locating of information in, 23
 - managing expectations and, 16
 - need for, 22
 - process model for information gathering
 - and, 26–27
 - recognizing need for information in, 22–23
 - reflective judgment and, 28, 28
 - scaffolding student skills in, 186, 188–193, 189, 191–192
- Information locating, 23, 106–107
 - about material properties, 154–155
 - standards, 122–123
- Information management. *See* Knowledge management
- Information needs, 22–23, 103–105
 Information overload, 42
 Information-Rich Engineering Design (I-RED)
 - model, 48–52, 77
 - activities mapped to information space, 53–56, 55
 - application of, 56
- Information Search Process (ISP), 26–27, 50
 Information-seeking activities
 - InfoSEAD model, 187–188
 - prompting questions for, 53, 54, 54–55
- Information trustworthiness, 139–141, 162
 Information use barriers, 41–42
 InfoSEAD model, 186, 187, 187–188, 190
 - scaffolding and, 188–193, 189, 190–192
- Infrastructure, 106, 108
 Inherent safety, 117–118
 Initiation stage, ISP, 26–27
 Inner Earth Object (IEO) items, 153
 Innovative design, 18
 Instance cards, 129
 Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), 116
 Integration of information literacy within
 - knowledge management, 78, 78

Page numbers in italics refer to tables, boxes, and figures.

- Integration of technical details, *54*
 Integrity. *See also* Ethics
 concept of professional, 64–66
 persuasion with, 162–163
 professional expectations of, 63–64
 Intellectual property, 69–71, *70*
 Intended audience, 140–141, 161
 Intentional progression, 14, *14*
 International Electrotechnical Commission (IEC), 121
 International issues, 121–122, *122*
 International Organization for Standardization (ISO), 121
 International Telecommunications Union (ITU), 122
 Interview techniques, 93–97, *94*
 learning styles and, 95, *96*
 personas and, 97
 Investigation of prior work, *54*
 Ion, W. J., 36, 129
 I-RED model. *See* Information-Rich Engineering Design (I-RED) model
- J**
 Jeffries, J., 41
 Jones, L., 31
 Journals and proceedings, 132
- K**
 Kilgore, D., 102
 King, D. W., 38, 39, 41
 King, P. M., 28
 Kirkwood, P. E., 146
 Kitchener, K. S., 28
 Knovel, 155
 Knowledge, skills, and abilities (KSA), 162
 Knowledge management, 195–196
 citation management and, 78–81, *79*
 common challenges for students, 76–77
- Knowledge management (*continued*)
 defined, 76
 evaluation of interventions in instruction for, 81–83, *82*
 expanding the skill set in, 83–84
 information literacy and, 77–78
 integrating information literacy within, 78, *78*
 librarian instruction in citation management for, 79–81
 plan assessment rubric, 81, *82*
 processes improvement, 55
 reflection and, 172–173
 strategy development, *54*
- Knowles, M. S., 25
 Kraaijenbrink, J., 76, 77, 78
 Kuhlthau, C. C., 53, 77
 Kulp, C., 39
 Kwasitsu, L., 39, 40
- L**
 Lafferty, M., 41
 Latent knowledge, 92
 Learning
 activity, design as, 11–12, 56
 how to learn, 25–26
 need, 25
 self-directed, 25
 styles, 95, *96*
 transfer problem in, 26
 Leckie, G. J., 39
 Legal information, 106, *108*
 Leonardi, Paul, 163
 Leone, L. L., 63
 Lessons learned, 176, *177*
 Level of information, 140–141
 Librarians, 79–81, 196
 Liking heuristic, 167
 Literature review, 36–37

Little, P., 36, 38

Lived experience, 13–14

Locating of information, 23, 106–107
 about material properties, 154–155
 on standards, 122–123

M

Managing expectations, 16

Mapping Information-Rich Engineering
 Design activities to information space,
 53–56, 55

Mars climate Orbiter, 116

Materials and components
 classes and examples of, 151, 152
 commercial off the shelf (COTS), 152–153
 common challenges for students, 150–151
 environmental considerations, 152
 locating commercial off the shelf (COTS),
 156, 156
 locating information about properties of,
 154–155
 selection procedure, 153–154, 154
 selection strategy, 151–152
 sources of information and data on, 155–156

Materials Project, 155

MatWeb, 156

Measurable ways to meet design criteria,
 165–166

Measures of success, 18

Media, 168

Mendeley, 79, 79

Metzger, M. J., 139

Model(s)
 of engineering design, descriptive and
 prescriptive, 8–11
 information gathering, 36–37
 Information-Rich Engineering Design (I-RED),
 48–52

Model world, 12

Moriarty, M., 31

Mosberg, S., 37

Mythbuster activity, 189, 192, 192

N

NASA, 172, 173

National Academies, 25

National Institute of Standards and
 Technology, 120
 Data Gateway, 156

National Research Council, 26

National Society of Professional Engineers
 (NSPE), 62, 63, 64, 65

Need for information, 22–23, 103–105

Neff, Gina, 163

NIST Data Gateway, 156

O

Oakes, W. C., 63

Objectivity, 67–68
 of information, 139–140

Observation, 92–93

OpenOffice, 83

OpenProj, 83

Open Source and Creative Commons
 Licensing, 71, 71

Opportunities, grasping, 17

Organization, team, 46, 47, 48, 48–49

Organized translation, 14, 14

O’Sullivan, C., 77

P

Pahl, G., 9, 11

Parker-Gibson, N. T., 146

Part to whole, 30

Patents, 70, 70–71, 72
 information gathering using, 132–133

Peer reflection on presentations, 175

Pejtersen, A. M., 40

Personal synthesis, 14, 14

Persuasion with integrity, 162–163

Personas, 97

Pettigrew, K. E., 39

Page numbers in italics refer to tables, boxes, and figures.

Physical world, 12
 Piccinino, R., 31
 Pilerot, O., 53
 Planning design stage, 37
 Preliminary design stage, 38
 Pre-reflective judgment, 28, 28
 Prescriptive and descriptive models of engineering design, 8–11
 Presentations. *See also* Communication
 common challenges for students, 160–162
 credibility of, 162
 knowing how audience views, 167–168
 packaging critical information for successful, 164–167
 peer reflection on, 175
 using media effectively for, 168
 Presentation stage, ISP, 26–27
 Prior art, 70, 70–71
 common challenges for students, 126–128
 team processing of, 134
 techniques and tools effective information gathering and, 128–134
 Prior work investigation, 54
 Problem definition design stage, 38, 195
 Process improvements, 176, 177
 Process model for information gathering, 26–27
 Pro/con evaluation, 142, 143
 Production design stage, 37
 Product/trade literature, 133–134
 Professional expectations of ethics and integrity, 63–64
 Progression, intentional, 14, 14
 Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK), 15, 16, 17
 Project management plan, 176, 177
 Proof by example, 30
 Publicity, right of, 70, 70–71
 Pugh Analysis, 142–143, 144
 Purzer, S., 103

Q

Quality
 information, 188
 project, 42
 Quasi-reflective judgment, 28, 28
 Questions for information-seeking activities, 53, 54, 54–55

R

Reasoning
 case-based, 128
 common fallacies of, 28–30
 reflective, 28, 28
 Recognition of need for information, 22–23
 Refinement, solution, 46, 47, 48, 51
 Reflection, 52, 172–173
 application in design class, 175–180, 177–180
 and assessment of forward communication of information and knowledge, 178–180
 common challenges for students, 173–174
 on design processes, 176–177, 177–178
 frameworks for disciplined, 174–175
 on interim team reports, 175–176
 Reflective judgment, 28, 28
 Reflective reasoning, 28, 28
 RefWorks, 79, 79
 Regulations and codes, 120–121, 121
 Relevance/information overload, 42
 Repetition, 30
 Right of publicity, 70, 70–71
 Riley, D., 31
 Risks, engineering design, 17, 17
 Robbins, S., 39
 Rogers Commission Report, 18

S

Safety, 18–19, 117–118
 codes and regulations, 120–121, 121
 common challenges for students, 116–117
 locating and accessing standards for, 122–123

- Safety (*continued*)
 standards, 119, 119–120
- SAID (Situation, Affect, Interpretation, Decision)
 framework, 174–175
- Sapp Nelson, M., 31, 49
- Scaffolding, 186, 188–193, 189, 191–192
- Scenarios, 107–108, 108, 109
- Scope and focus of paper, 178
- Scope/depth/breadth of information, 140
- Segee, B., 153
- Selection
 material, 151–154, 154
 solution, 46, 47, 48, 50–51
 stage, ISP, 26–27
- Self-directed learning skills, 25
- Seven Pillars of Information Literacy, 22
- SharePoint, 83
- Silverman, L. K., 95
- Simplicity, 18–19
- Singh, J., 77
- Society of College, National, and University
 Libraries (SCONUL), 22
- Solomon, B. A., 95
- Solution
 refinement, 46, 47, 48, 51
 selection, 46, 47, 48, 50–51
 synthesis, 46, 47, 48, 50, 195
- Specificity and verifiability, 166
- Stages of information searches, 26–27
- Stakeholders, 88, 88–89, 146
 common challenges for students working
 with, 89–91
 communicating effectively with, 46, 47, 48, 51
 eliciting information from, 91–92
 exploring client backgrounds and, 91
 identified for information gathering, 92–93
 interview techniques for, 93–97, 94
 personas and, 97
- Standards, 119, 119–120
 codes and regulations, 120–121, 121
 information gathering from technical, 133
 locating and accessing, 122–123
- Storyboards, 108–109
- Students, engineering
 common ethical challenges for, 62–63
 design principles and, 19
 information goals for, 31–32
- Style/grammar, 178
- Success
 factors in engineering design projects,
 15–19
 measures of, 18
- Sustainability, 65–66
- Sylvain, C., 39
- Synthesis
 personal, 14, 14
 solution, 46, 47, 48, 50, 195
- System design stage, 37
- T**
- Tacit knowledge, 92
- Task clarification, 46, 47, 48, 49–50
- Teams
 organization, 46, 47, 48, 48–49
 processing of prior art, 134
 reflection on interim reports by, 175–176
 stakeholders and, 88, 88–89
- Tenopir, C., 38, 39, 41
- Testing design stage, 37
- Trademark, 70, 70–71
- Trade/product literature, 133–134
- Trade secret/trade dress, 70, 70–71
- Transfer problem, 26
- Translation, organized, 14, 14
- Trustworthiness of information, 139–141, 162
- Truthfulness, 68–69
- U**
- U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, 132
- Ulrich, K. T., 36, 37
- Uncertainty, dealing with, 16–17
- Users, 88, 88–89. *See also* Stakeholders
 gathering input from, 90
 observing, 92

Page numbers in italics refer to tables, boxes, and figures.

V

Valid Assessment of Learning in Undergraduate
Education (VALUE), 28, 29
Value of information gathering, 37–39
Vesiland, P. A., 118
Von Kármán, Theodore, 8

W

Weighted decision making, 143–146, 145
Wertz, R. E. H., 103

Wijnhoven, E., 76, 77, 78
Winchenbach, S. A., 153
Wodehouse, A. J., 36, 129

Z

Zotero, 79, 79