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Welcome to Our Special Issue!

“Nobody sues libraries.” This bit of folk wisdom, given to me when I first entered the profession, felt reassuring for a newly minted “lawyer in the library” still finding his footing. Then everyone started suing libraries. From archiving and search (Author’s Guild v. Hathi), to library lending (Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley), e-reserves (Cambridge v Paton), streaming media (AIME v. UCLA), and accessibility (the NAD’s ongoing lawsuit brought against Harvard), the legal issues baked into nearly every facet of information sharing seem to be on some court’s docket, and thus up in the air for establishing “safe” or best practices for librarians, publishers, scholars, and students. Good news for my job security, I suppose.

Despite judicial interrogation of so much of the scholarly communication lifecycle, the most significant changes in information sharing seem to be coming from systems that bypass the legal rules so many of us seek to understand. After more than a decade of pitched battles over changing publication agreements from copyright transfer to licenses, clever application of the work made for hire doctrine, and recent federal mandates for openness, for millions of scholars in 2017 access to scholarly articles is driven by a small website created by a student in Kazakhstan.

While reports of subscription journals’ demise as a result of what Nature called “Sci-Hub’s cache of pirated papers” may be greatly exaggerated, it is the case that scholarly publishing is being transformed, just as the music industry was fifteen years ago, just about the time I was being reassured about the improbability of a lawsuit against libraries. Like those Napster-era creators, publishers, librarians, and scholars today have important questions to answer about applying old laws to new methods of sharing information.

Universities that have traditionally relied on the suite of copyright exceptions designed to support nonprofit, educational use are currently adapting to a digital, licensed, and open world. Anali Perry explores the copyright and licensing challenges that must be met for her institution, Arizona State University to fulfill its goal to be the New American University, “dedicated to the simultaneous pursuit of excellence, broad access to quality education, and meaningful societal impact.” By offering open, online courses, Perry’s institution hopes to reach new audiences and make higher education attainable for global populations, but U.S. copyright exceptions crafted in 1976 are often ill-suited to educational practice in 2017. Similarly, business practices and licenses built around the concept of enrolled students and costs tied to FTE strain to fit these new approaches. Even when a shared goal is clear, institutions must answer questions about the law, even when the law does not keep pace.

In one area, at least, Carla Myers argues that those answers are available and quite hopeful. Myers offers insights into a legal issue that libraries, publishers, and other hosts of content are currently wrestling with: accessibility. With ongoing litigation from advocacy groups and

continued on page 8
From Your (scrappy) Editor:

I suppose that I am not overly “scappy” but I feel like I have to be more aggressive in the middle of all of this moving business. I guess when you live in the same place for 40 years that it is difficult for companies to get their heads around a new address. Here it is: 1712 Thompson Avenue, Sullivan’s Island, SC 29482.

In between the scrappiness, we have been working on this great issue of ATG on Legal Issues in Information Sharing in the Era of Sci-Hub. Will Cross is our guest editor and kudos to him for doing this in the middle of a new baby and finding authors. Talk about scrappy! There are papers by Anali Perry (Global issues), Carla S. Myers (the ADA and Copyright law), Mira Waller (Grey literature and experimental works), Josh Bolick, Maria Bonn, and Will Cross (OER resources), and Darby Orcutt (Content mining research). Our Op-Ed is on categorizing scholarly communications by Bob Holley and Jim O’Donnell. Take a tour of an Amazon brick-and-mortar store in Chicago. ATG Interviews Keith Webster (Dean, University Libraries, Carnegie Mellon) and Daniel Hook (Managing Director, Digital Science).

Tom Gilson reviews many reference books, Regina Gong library and communication books, Donna Jacobs enlists Samuel Beckett and Thomas Mann, Anne Doherty of Choice continues collecting to the core about native American activism, and John Riley likes printing history. We have a case of note about nominative fair use and questions and answers from Lolly about the Sci-Hub lawsuit and the selection of the register of copyrights.

Sven Fund talks about open access and misallocating funds, Myer Kutz asks some of his contributors why they write, optimizing library services is a recap of an ER&L session, let’s get technical is about migrating to Alma, Michael Grunenberg tells how to avoid a meeting that is a waste of time, biz of acq is about transitioning from print to e, Alice L. Daugherty (in being earnest) talks about the humanities collection gap, we have many meeting reports from Ramune Kubilius, Lynda Kellam and Sever Bordeianu as well as Don Hawkins.

David Parker discusses how or if patrons, publishers and librarians can all win and Pat Saboski looks at some active scholarly bloggers. Leah Hinds updates on Charleston’s comings and goings while Michael Pelikan talks about digital verisimilitude.

Whew! Just in the nick of time, a wet Comcast repairman is at my back door!

Happy fall, everyone! See you in November! Love, Yr. Ed. 🎃

Letters to the Editor

Send letters to <kstrauch@comcast.net>, phone or fax 843-723-3536, or snail mail: Against the Grain. Post Office Box 799, Sullivan’s Island, SC 29482. You can also send a letter to the editor from the ATG Homepage at http://www.agoins-the-grain.com.

Dear Editor:

Letter addressed to: Leah, Tom, Beth, and Katina.

Thanks for an enjoyable weekend going over the proposals for the 2017 Charleston Conference. Here are a few notes from our discussions. Thank you for the opportunity to participate.

Audrey Powers <apowers@usf.edu>

Dear Audrey, Thanks so much for traveling all the way to Charleston for several days to discuss well over 300 conference proposals. Your insights, experience, and input were invaluable! On to 2018! — Yr. Ed. 🎃
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Rumors from page 1

enable organizations to change and correct their own records and allow the research community to identify author affiliations persistently and authoritatively, thereby supporting analysis of research output and impact. ISNI-IA will also set up an Advisory Board for the ISNI Organizations Registry to ensure that the scholarly communications community has open and transparent access to ISNI-IA and is able to steer the efforts to ensure adoption of ISNI Organization records and cross-walks between all of the important and relevant datasets.

The hard-working and focused Rolf Janke sends word that he has left sunny California for Raleigh, NC. He says it’s great to be back East again! Rolf has already had lunch with Beth Bernardt in Greensboro. Plus, Rolf is planning to drive to Charleston this November for the Conference. You will remember that Rolf is the founder and publisher of Mission Bell Media which publishes print and digital media for the library market with a focus on leadership. Titles from the Peak Series represent contemporary topics for academic librarian career development.

Still on the subject of books, did you see the article in the Wall Street Journal about Sue Grafton (August 25, p. M3). Sue’s father was a novelist himself. Both parents...
Legal Issues in Information...

from page 1

numerous investigations by the Department of Justice, there is no more live issue, particularly for online materials. While core academic values clearly support full accessibility, many institutions are struggling with both the cost of making materials accessible and a perception that copyright law creates additional obstacles in tension with the requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act. Myers provides a guided tour through these complex issues and reminds us that copyright is in much greater harmony with accessibility than some may fear.

Just as institutions must understand legal issues like copyright as they seize new opportunities, individual creators need guidance, not only on copyright, but also privacy, free expression, and the impact of terms of service tied to the various tools and platforms used to create, share, and archive scholarship. As with Sci-Hub, these legal issues run parallel to changes in scholarly communication technology and practice. Mira Waller offers an introduction to this intersection of law, technology, and social expectations with a series of case studies from the front lines supporting student work at North Carolina State University. Like the grey market sharing facilitated by Sci-Hub, the grey literature Waller describes raises thorny legal issues of ownership, but also tests the boundaries of what we consider scholarship, how we value and evaluate work done in higher education, and what relationship libraries should have with new formats that move the scholarly communication ecosystem beyond the traditional walls of the academy. Waller argues for active engagement through hosting and educating, but ends with a series of her own questions to be answered.

Adapting to these new challenges will require new approaches and new partnerships designed to leverage the opportunities created by digital and global communication. Josh Bolick and Maria Bonn introduce one promising approach: an open educational resource (OER) for scholarly communication and legal issues. This project, which I am proud to be participating in, leverages the collaborative and iterative potential of OER to develop resources that prepare librarians for this environment. By adopting an explicitly open licensing model, OER removes many of the legal barriers addressed by Perry. This project reflects the promise of Sci-Hub’s distributed model, but grounds the work of understanding, interpreting, and explaining legal issues in a dynamic community with aboveboard open values. As with any platform, however, gathering, hosting, and curating content brings its own set of legal challenges.

As new approaches are developed, partnerships between libraries, scholars, and publishers remain essential for navigating this changing landscape. As a model for this approach, Darby Orcutt describes his Basic Access to Mining principles for text and data mining rights. This program, which has been a model for practice across the field, points to the ways that contract law can bridge the divide between stakeholders and the gaps left by other areas of law. Orcutt argues that these agreements offer a way forward that is tailored to the nuances of specific communities and users. They also offer concrete, actionable practice that cuts through legal confusion to actually get information into the hands of scholars.

With (now former) Register of Copyright Maria Pallente’s promised “Next Great Copyright Act” a distant memory and the general political discord in Washington, changes to statutory law seem unlikely. Litigation around scholarly communication is more likely to persist; almost a decade after the first motions were filed, three judges in Atlanta are deliberating about the e-reserves system at Georgia State University as I write these words. Observers from oral arguments suggest that we are likely to see yet another round of remand and reconsideration that extends well beyond the time you read them. This has not been a winning strategy for plaintiffs so far, and, as we saw with Elsevier’s lawsuit against Sci-Hub, which ended out primarily as free advertising for the platform, even a legal victory can end out more pyrrhic than substantive.

If, as has been commonly observed, Sci-Hub is analogous to the file sharing site Napster, it similarly points to opportunities to create models that are built on sustainable partnerships. From Orcutt’s license to Bolick and Bonn’s OER, this special issue suggests paths forward and raises questions for each person to answer as they make their way through the often tangled set of legal issues that surround information sharing. Whether moving towards the beacon set by Myers, into the untamed wilds of Waller and Perry explore, or blazing your own trail, I hope this issue will help you find your way. Happy reading!
the infrastructure and community necessary for an OER as a tool to meet those needs. We seek to identify the components of the OER, the potential obstacles to its adoption, and the partnerships and promotional activities that would accelerate its use. This preliminary work helps to build materials and relationships for creating an OER that can meet those needs and challenges in order to support a broad range of scholars, students, librarians, and publishers better understand how to meet a pressing need for new librarians — and librarians new to supporting scholarly communication — to engage in scholarly communication work.

Beyond the primary goal of creating a resource that serves the pedagogical needs of LIS instructors and students, we hope our project may be a model for librarians in other disciplinary roles to have stronger representation in how the theory and practice of their work is taught to their future colleagues in library school by developing similar tools in their own spaces. As noted above, while librarians and libraries are a major force in the open education movement, we frequently still learn from conventional textbooks, or perhaps as commonly, don’t learn from them because of access barriers. Decreasing these barriers may well be a piece in helping to address the alarming lack of diversity in our profession.

The OER will be structured to introduce scholarly communication at a high level, grounded in technical, social, economic and legal issues. Our discussion of legal issues in the overview section will drill down into fundamentals of copyright and licensing, the role of funder mandates, and the impact of international law, treaties, and similar agreements on global scholarship and sharing. With the fundamental issues introduced, we will present openness as the prism through which all these issues are viewed. Open access, data, education, and source all include each of the pressures described above.

This high level overview of scholarly communication will be the foundation for a collaborative series of case studies drawn from the lived experiences of practitioners across the field. Since this is a resource primarily aimed at LIS education, many of these case studies will be aimed at a library audience, but we hope that stakeholders from every corner of scholarly communication will contribute. We will seed an initial set of case studies, but much of our work will be gathering together a community that sustains, enhances, and continually refreshes the case studies. The open nature of OER will permit a vibrant community to share stories from large and small institutions, support discussion from case studies that reflect differing or even competing approaches to a topic, and invite in stakeholders we have never met. We also hope that the OER can be used to support open pedagogy, with LIS students making contributions part of active and participatory learning.

At the time of writing, we have drafted a table of contents and started identifying potential contributors that leverage expertise in specific sub-areas of scholarly communication work. Initial exploratory conversations with a publisher willing to embrace the openness of the project have been very promising. We’re looking forward to hosting a roundtable discussion at Open Education Conference in Anaheim in October (Table 3 at 11:00 and 11:30 on Thursday the 12th; swing by and participate!). Finally, we’re awaiting the result of a request for funding which will allow us to do more background research to ensure the outcomes closely address real needs.

Of course, as with any creative project, interesting legal issues arise that we will need to navigate as editors, in consultation with a publisher and potential funders, with collaborators/authors, and future users and modifiers of the text. The editors are committed to open accessibility and an open license that permits David Wiley’s 5 Rs: the rights of users to reuse, retain, redistribute, revise, and remix the work. Are commercial uses to be embraced, and if we as editors prefer that, will other contributors and a publisher agree? There are likely many potential openly licensed works (such as Wiley’s blog post defining open and the 5 Rs referenced above, for example) that we will have to use in legal ways. Will a publisher own copyright but extend broad rights to creators and users via an open license, or will contributors of newly authored content each own the copyright in their own contributions but license so as to permit publication and downstream innovative use?

We will also work to navigate legal issues related to gathering, hosting, and curating these materials. With significant litigation and ongoing investigations by the Department of Justice related to accessibility, our commitment to making these materials open to all users, regardless of disability, is in harmony with the legal environment. Similarly, issues of user privacy, copyright, and trademark are built into the use of case studies that describe the practices and works of third parties. To the extent we use multimedia content, visualizations, and cutting edge digital projects, we will also have to contend with terms of use and the laws of specific tools and platforms. An awareness of third party liability, international law, and the attendant rules and practices is also essential for a sustainable project that reflects the global, online world of modern scholarly communication.

The open education movement has grown at a rapid pace, with librarians front and center. Given our advocacy and knowledge, we can and should start leveraging open education in our own professionalization. The seed for this project is the intersection of scholarly communication, open education, and community ownership. Legal issues and scholarly communication need to be more widely taught; open education is the correct tool to drive this instruction; and the researchers, instructors, practitioners, and students are the rightful creators and owners of it. If you have ideas or expertise to share, get in touch! If you work in another area besides scholarly communication, consider how your community of practice can take control of your pedagogy and collaborate to develop and maintain an open educational resource in your discipline.

Endnotes
6. https://muse.jhu.edu/article/409892

Rumors
from page 8
U.S. Army in 1827 and 1828 and he used the island setting as the background of his story “The Gold Bug.”
http://www.cclpl.org/content.asp?id=14637&action=detail

Was excited to learn that the great debater Alison Scott has been appointed associate university librarian for collection management and scholarly communication by the UCLA Library. She will assume her role on Oct. 2. The position oversees five major departments: cataloging and metadata, preservation, print acquisitions, scholarly communication and licensing and the Southern Regional Library Facility. Alison comes to UCLA from UC Riverside, where she has been associate university librarian for collections and scholarly communication since 2014. While there she has focused in particular on enhancing the library’s approach to collection development, crafting a curation strategy that views general and special collections materials as combined into distinctive collecting areas and incorporating faculty involvement into the review process. Prior to working at Riverside, Alison served as head of collection development

continued on page 32
to add to the librarian’s toolbox. Split into eight chapters, readers are given the information needed to incorporate mindfulness into their daily practice. Each chapter addresses various applications of how mindfulness will enhance the conversation and interaction between librarians and library users. Chapter one begins with a discussion on how the partnership of librarians with mindfulness is a natural fit, detailing how and why mindfulness is important and exploring it not just as a theoretical concept but also noting evidence that is scientifically valuable to reducing stress and improving health.

The next chapter explores the connection to education; the relationship between mindfulness to teaching and learning at all grade levels may be seen. Librarians are teachers by nature and profession; including mindfulness techniques into daily life enhances the teaching experience for both the librarian and the student. Student research and writing is the focus of the next chapter. Authors explore the anxiety students face when doing research for the first time at the academic level, observing how students facing their first research project may be overwhelmed and not able to communicate adequately their research needs. The librarian who is mindful will be able to ascertain more readily the student’s research needs, eliminating their stress and the librarian’s frustration.

Chapter four is an important one for the academic community. It provides the connection between mindfulness and the ACRL framework for Information Literacy for Higher Education and explains how librarians can use mindfulness to enhance information literacy teaching efforts. Next, authors explore mindfulness and the impact it has on the typical reference desk interactions. Providing guidance in using mindfulness to meet the ALA Reference & User Services Association’s (RUSA) guidelines. In conjunction with teaching, readers learn how through thoughtful and mindful interactions they can build or develop relationships with faculty in other departments on campus. In chapter seven, the importance of mindfulness for librarians in library management and library leadership is examined. The final chapter focuses on the solo librarian, as many librarians in school systems are alone, demonstrating how mindful techniques can help reduce stress and providing ideas for how to develop professional relationships.

The Mindful Librarian provides readers with valuable information on how using mindfulness will enhance professional and personal life experiences. Librarians in all environments will learn how being in the present when teaching, speaking with, or generally interacting with library users will affect whether or not the user’s information needs are met. Providing food for thought, it is recommended reading for all librarians.


Reviewed by Corey Seeman (Director, Kresge Library Services, Ross School of Business, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor) <cseeman@umich.edu>

Academic librarians have been experiencing a seismic shift in the work that they do on campus with students and other community members. As we have seen a tremendous rise in the sophistication and self-reliant nature of our students and faculty, many academic librarians have shifted their focus from a service model to one focused on being an educator. For these professionals, the vision of information literacy as a central role in the successful graduate has changed the value proposition of the library.

This book brings different visions of the library: one that focuses on service and how we can support student learning by getting them more quickly to the information they require, and another that guides them away from projects where the information is simply not available. Through this lens, a librarian would strive to provide a strong user service ethos to help track down the resources that the student needs so they can assess and analyze that information for their more subject or discipline approach to learning. To use the colloquialism, this would be providing the student the fish versus teaching them how to fish.

This might be the biggest conundrum facing librarians at this point in time — do we teach or do we serve? Does the library promote information literacy (among other literacies) as a pillar in its own right among the skills that a student is expected to leave school with? Or is the role of the library to support their understanding of their chosen path of discipline? Seems to be a librarian version of the Hatfields and McCoys.

Author Barbara Allan is a trainer who has worked in libraries and business schools where she has focused on the student experience and enhanced learning. She has written extensively on libraries over the years, especially with regard to training and student learning. The focus of this work is the librarian as an educator and focuses on ways that academic libraries develop training and curriculum opportunities to engage with students on issues of information literacy. She provides many examples throughout this work and mini-case studies that provide a roadmap for professionals wishing to pursue a deeper dive into these particular examples. These case studies provide quick overviews of information literacy activities in libraries across the world. It is, in many ways, a finger on the pulse of teaching in libraries with directions on how to dig deeper. Allan immerses people quickly into the various literacies and pedagogies used by academic librarians to engage with students on campus. If your view is librarian as service, then the book’s value is less clear.

To illustrate this, we can look at chapter four on employability, a topic that many of us in higher education are concerned about as a critical outcome of one’s degree. The focus on the chapter is the ability for students to showcase their information literacy skills to potential employers as an attribute. While that may certainly be the case, one of the general themes going against this argument is that many employers (from our experience at my business school) do not see information literacy (or any related aspects) as a particular skill. The notion that “looking it up” is something that we can all do on our phones and at anytime from anywhere has removed the value of that skill. Of course, that is not true — but who is keeping score? From my perspective, I envision this chapter as a way that the library can help the students with their job search, but it was not the case. Then again, my operation is focused on the library as service provider so it is not perfectly in sync.

Having said this, I still find value in this work, especially for librarians who put their role as an educator over their role as a service. For those who promote service, the book is less useful. While I might not need a copy of this on my personal or library bookshelf, I would hope that it would be available in my shared resources network.

---

Rumors

from page 24

at George Washington University and in a number of collection development roles at Harvard University’s Widener Library. She earned her doctorate in American and New England studies at Boston University, master’s degrees in library science and in religion from the University of Chicago and a bachelor’s degree in English literature from Whitman College.

I remember the Hyde Park Debate at the 2016 Charleston Conference between Alison Scott and Michael Levine-Clark on the topic Resolved: APC-funded Open Access is Antithetical to the Values of Librarianship. In Favor: Alison Scott and Opposed: Michael Levine-Clark. The debate was conducted in general accordance with Oxford Union rules. All in the audience voted their opinion on the resolution before the debate began using text message voting, and the vote totals were recorded. Each speaker offered a formal opening statement, followed by a response to each other’s statements, and then the floor was open for discussion. At the conclusion of the debate, another vote was taken. The winner of the debate was the one who caused the most continued on page 40
role of a librarian has changed significantly over the last few years. The center of the new role that is emerging seems to be the information academic. Each academic has his or her own specialization, for example: solution of particular types of equation, understanding a particular experimental approach or deep understanding of the social interactions of a particular civilization. But, the common element in research across all fields is understanding the tools needed to find and access relevant content and being able to determine whether this content is accurate. This is more important than ever in a world deluged by information, much of it contradictory or conflicting. No academic can be expected to be a master of all the information and all the correct tools of their own area any longer. The librarian should be an embedded partner who is able to efficiently guide the academic through the maze of information and tools. I think that it’s a little reductionist to think just in terms of particular points in the workflow. Although that is clearly appropriate from a practical perspective. Librarians should think big…their skills are in high demand and there is an opportunity to reshape the library and the role of the librarian. This is why working with CMU is so exciting for Digital Science — we know that Keith and his team understand this.

**ATG:** Keith, you’ve said that “the library must provide a reimagined ‘intellectual commons’ for a campus community.” What does that mean? How does this partnership advance that goal? How will Digital Science products contribute in reimagining the intellectual commons?

**KW:** For me, the intellectual commons is a concept — a way of articulating our important role in building a sense of academic community — allowing everyone to see us simultaneously as a physical presence and digital hub that connects people across disciplines and fosters conversations that can lead to new insights into the challenges facing society. In part, our rollout of the Digital Science suite is enabling our librarians to have deeper conversations with the faculty and researchers they serve. It gives them an opportunity to reinforce our role on campus, and to identify and build connections between people in different disciplines who may be working in similar fields. And it also allows them to engage students more closely with the research enterprise. In many ways, our students — from freshman onwards — are early career researchers. We want them to use the same tools, and engage with the libraries through that lens.

**ATG:** A key element of this effort is the capture of data from multiple internal and external sources, including citation and altmetrics data, grant data, and research data. How will it differ from existing services like Plum Analytics that rely on similar data capture?

**KW:** We’ve been able to implement our Digital Science tools through connections with campus identifier systems — so an individual can have easy and personalized access to their records — of publications and impact measures, and their research outputs. A big challenge in this work is disambiguating researcher identities in external data sources. We’ve spent a lot of time verifying individual identities in the tools which we’ve implemented, but that doesn’t flow through to other services.

**DH:** I think that we’re talking about the wider Digital Science portfolio beyond Altmetric here. However, to start with Altmetric: I think that it’s important to start by saying that Altmetric has been highly instrumental in establishing these metrics not only as of peripheral interest but as a mainstream indicator of the impact. Founded by three — we announce the winner of the competition to name the service. We had many entries from faculty, staff, and students from campuses in Pittsburgh, Silicon Valley, and Qatar. 🚀

**Postscript announcement:** The winning entry to our repository naming contest was KillHub — simultaneously reflecting our Scottish heritage, and the role of the system as the hub of CMU’s information infrastructure.

---

**Rumors**

Moving right along, we decided to take the debate online as a [Webinar] this year and we had a huge registration (362) on the debate topic of [Resolved: The Journal Impact Factor does more harm than good]. Debating were [Ann Beynon] (Clarivate Analytics) and [Sara Rouhi] (Altmetric). I have to give big kudos to [Ann, Sara, and Rick Anderson]. The debates are Rick’s creation. He acts as the moderator for each debate. We are planning for more webinars debates this year. Please send suggestions of possible resolutions to me, Leah or Rick! [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=567UeN-LKJxs]

Several months ago, [Tom Gibson] and I were able to interview [Andrea Michalek], Managing Director of Plum Analytics, to discuss its acquisition by [Elsevier]. Recently we learned that Elsevier is integrating PlumX Metrics into its leading products, expanding access to these tools to the wider academic community. We are updating the interview even as we speak. Watch for it on the [ATG NewsChannel] and in the print issues of [ATG].

Speaking of which, shocking us all, Elsevier has just acquired another U.S.-based business, bepress. WOW! Here is some of the press release. — Elsevier has acquired bepress, a Berkeley, California-based business that helps academic libraries showcase and share their institutions’ research for maximum impact. Founded by three [University of California, Berkeley] professors in 1999, bepress allows institutions to collect, organize, preserve and disseminate their intellectual output, including pre-prints, working papers, journals or specific articles, dissertations, theses, conference proceedings and a wide variety of other data. The bepress CEO and employees will continue working with the company in Berkeley, California. The acquisition is effective immediately and terms of the agreement are not being disclosed.

Got a message the other day from one of my favorite people in the whole wide world — the amazing [Scott Plutchak]. Scott says that he is retiring from UAB, but not from the rest of his life. He and [Lynn's] moved into Lynn’s dream house 17 years ago. Busted with artwork and books, perched up above a pretty little lake with swans and great blue herons. [Scott] is still on the editorial boards of several journals. He will be able to spend more time on the [Open Scholarship Initiative (OSI)], a global collaborative effort between all major stakeholders in scholarly publishing to improve open access and quality in research.
(my only experience with Elsevier is having to pass on many products because it takes so much of our scant resources. The content is fine, of course; it is just the king’s ransom we must pay to make Elsevier materials accessible requires us to say no, more often than not.

And that brings us, finally, to the leopard and its spots. Can he change them?

I suppose the best answer for now is that there is a first time for everything. Even for Elsevier.

Rumors from page 40

the future of how research information gets published, shared and accessed. There’s also the steering committee for Metadata 2020, a group effort to advocate for richer shared metadata. Scott says he will keep pushing for open data and a more open, affordable and transparent scholarly communication ecosystem. He’s not going to go looking for consulting gigs, but if some interesting projects came up, who knows? Scott plans to do some professional and personal writing, he is doing a lot of exercising and even cooking

continued on page 73

Optimizing Library Services — Tracking E-journal Perpetual Rights: A Discussion Among Publishers, Vendors, and Librarians

by Carol Seiler (Account Services Manager, EBSCO Information Services) <CSeiler@ebsco.com>

Column Editors: Caroline J. Campbell (Promotions Assistant, IGI Global) <ccampbell@igi-global.com>

and Lindsay Wertman (Managing Director, IGI Global) <lwertman@igi-global.com> www.igi-global.com

A recap of the 2017 Electronic Resources and Librarian (ER&L) session “Tracking E-journal Perpetual Rights: A Discussion Among Publishers, Vendors, and Librarians,” Presented by Teri Oparanozie, Sam Houston State University; Jackie Ricords, IGI Global; and Carol Seiler, EBSCO Information Services.

Tracking perpetual access rights is an essential part of the electronic library system. But who is responsible for tracking this information? What information needs to be tracked? This session provided a forum for discussing how librarians, publishers, and vendors can collaborate to make tracking e-journal perpetual access and entitlement easier and more efficient.

The well-attended session, led by the session moderator, Teri Oparanozie, started with a detailed look at what issues and questions exist with perpetual access.
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NOTE: This is the version without the landing page URL

"Things always go smoothly with Emery-Pratt. Their people are knowledgeable, and always provide friendly, rapid service.”

Cameron University
Lawton, OK

Emery-Pratt

Dependability. Reliability. Smileability.

1966 W M 21, Owosso, MI 48867-9317
Phone: 800 248-3887 • Fax: 800 523-6379
emery-pratt.com

8 Library Services That Will... Make You Smile.

1) Publishing Sources - Almost 200,000 at our disposal
2) New Title Selection Plan - Immediate notification
3) Electronic Ordering - Simple online ordering system
4) Early Release Program - Immediate availability guarantee
5) Cataloging - We do the busy-work for you
6) Comprehensive Reporting - Up-to-the-minute order status
7) Duplicate Order Alert - We're on guard, you avoid hassles
8) Paperback Reinforcement & Binding

Avoid expensive wear & obsolescence

For more details, visit: emery-pratt.com

Little Red Herrings from page 52

My only experience with Elsevier is having to pass on many products because it takes so much of our scant resources. The content is fine, of course; it is just the king’s ransom we must pay to make Elsevier materials accessible requires us to say no, more often than not.

And that brings us, finally, to the leopard and its spots. Can he change them?

I suppose the best answer for now is that there is a first time for everything. Even for Elsevier.
sitions and E-Resource Licensing Services at Cornell University, noted that more video content is becoming available, there are more vendors, and we are spending a lot of money on it. Streaming video is being purchased by academic libraries for entertainment, collection building, and course use. Many entertainment videos are also used for courses; they are either purchased directly or ripped from DVDs and hosted on a streaming server. Areas to be considered are the potential user base for the video, areas where deeper collections are needed, and costs. Cornell started a PDA program for videos in 2015, and it generated a lot of use: 10,793 uses (278,000 minutes) over 2,870 titles, of which 7,471 uses triggered licenses for 529 titles.

Canopy and Alexander Street have set up new analytic models to allow their users to see what their usage is, where it comes from, referral URLs, etc., which leads to different ways of thinking about usage, especially counting embeds when a user embeds a video on their site. Alexander Street can show “curated views” beyond simple counts, such as the average percent of a video that was played, which helps to determine how important it was to the viewers and whether a subscription to it should be purchased or continued. A collections strategy is being developed for long-term video usage at Cornell: questions to be answered include how video should be incorporated into the collection development policy, how it should be funded, and different needs for collection building and course use.

Andrea Eastman-Mullins, COO of Alexander Street, said that we do not have any standards yet for determining how important a subject is; COUNTER remains the only way to compare usage, but it does not give title information. So they created an “impact statistics portal” to show the subject area of the video, paging reports, engagement (which goes beyond watching the video), clips created, play lists, etc.

**Explore the Hidden Cache of Statistics at Your Library: Data Mining and Visualization Techniques for Collection Development and Assessment**

Librarians are spending increasing amounts of time working with large sets of data, but according to Stephanie Hess, E-Resources Librarian at Binghamton University (SUNY), we have not moved along to the analysis part. Collecting is only part of the battle in winning financial support; we need to provide evidence and make it shine. Hess suggested that data should be presented in exciting formats, and visualization can help convey complex data. She quoted Information Dashboard Design (Analytics Press, 2013) by Stephen Few, a data visualization expert who said,

“A dashboard is a visual display of the most important information needed to achieve one or more objectives; consolidated and arranged on a single screen so the information can be monitored at a glance.”

When Tableau was used to analyze the data visually, the outliers became readily apparent. Forecasts of potential usage can be made and vendor reports can be incorporated into the analysis process. Overview-Docs is a useful tool for visualizing documents.

**Closing Keynote**

Monica Bulger, who leads the Enabling Connected Learning initiative at the Data & Society Research Institute, presented the closing keynote on “Fake News, Reliability and Questioning: A Researcher’s Struggle to Navigate the New Information Landscape.” She began with the observation that information is social, dynamic, and depends on us to give it life. Our minds are working against us in this new information environment because when they get overloaded, they start to function automatically. It is important to realize that our minds are not objective recorders of information; we use our prior experiences and what we already know to make sense of events. We also do information status slicing; getting fast results is better than perfect ones because most of the time we have competing demands, which we do not like.

**Monica Bulger (Photo Courtesy of Sandy Tijerina)**

Not only are our minds working against us, but so are advertisers. They understand the psychology of engagement, and their programs are designed to keep us engaged. How much do we record when information gets overwhelming? We need to empower people to be critical consumers, sort through information, and find the truth.

What are the criteria of reliability? Are we teaching people to question everything? Sometimes we must turn off our feeds of news because there is too much to be engaged with. We cannot make sense of everything; choose your issue and focus on what you will worry about.

Recordings of many of the presentations are available on the ER&L website. The 2018 ER&L Conference will return to the AT&T Conference Center in Austin on March 4-7.

**Endnotes**

1. http://openathens.org/
3. https://zepeira.com/
5. http://libraries.mit.edu/about
6. https://www.kanopystreaming.com/
8. https://www.tableau.com/

**Rumors**

from page 53

several dinner several nights a week. It’s also great that Scott will have more time for guitar and harmonica. Last but not least — Scott will be in Charleston in November! We couldn’t talk him into speaking but there is still time! http://osinitiative.org/
https://twitter.com/metadata2020
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