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I
n 2009, the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS) approved the Belmont Abbey College quality enhancement plan (QEP) titled: “PILOT: Promoting Information Literacy Over Time” as a ten-year plan. PILOT has been our initiative to enhance undergraduates’ information literacy knowledge and skill-sets and thereby better prepare them to enter an information-driven and knowledge-based economy. Structured around the six core competencies identified by the Association of College and Research Libraries (ACRL), titled “Information Literacy Competency Standards of 2000,” the plan was designed to help lower-division students understand these core competencies in first-year general education courses, and then enable upper-division students to deepen their understanding of them, sharpen the skill-sets associated with them, and apply that understanding and those skills in research-intensive and capstone courses in their disciplinary majors. We set forth three initial goals for our first five year milestone:

INITIAL QEP GOAL 1: Information literacy will be introduced to traditional freshmen students in First Year Symposium, and to Adult Degree Program students through the Adult Transitions Classes, with focus on ACRL IL Competency Standards 1, 2, and 3. These will be supported by three video tutorials, produced by the library’s Reference Department, with accompanying quizzes developed with the help of Institutional Research. Initial Intended Outcome: To expose first-year students to the ACRL IL Competency Standards, with emphasis on 1, 2, & 3, as well as selected IT skills pertinent to the iSkills exam from ETS.

INITIAL QEP GOAL 2: Research-intensive and capstone courses in five PILOT disciplinary majors will be reviewed by a Faculty QEP Committee to confirm that their assessment rubrics align with ACRL standards, or to bring their rubrics into alignment accordingly. Library instructional staff will assist with the initiative to bring rubrics into alignment with ACRL competencies as needed, and will also be available to provide research skills sessions to the students of these classes. Initial Intended Outcome: To assist students in the five PILOT majors to build on their initial exposure from First Year Symposium and to extend these competencies into effective research skill-sets within their disciplinary majors.

INITIAL QEP GOAL 3: The College agreed to apply for a $100,000 LSTA Major Technology Grant to convert the Reference Room (with 10 workstations) into a more fully equipped Learning Commons with 30 workstations. The southwest corner would be partitioned off for an Information Literacy Instructional Area, and the Library would be budgeted to acquire an enhanced set of research databases identified by the Faculty Library Committee (FLC). Initial Intended Outcome: To eliminate longstanding student wait lines for use of library workstations, to provide access to disciplinary research databases, and to provide individual library consultation and class instruction in use of those databases.

Changes Made to the QEP and the Reasons for Making Those Changes

Assessment Testing: Our plan originally called for the ETS iSkills test to be our primary assessment instrument, to be used to score incoming freshmen and then again to score graduating seniors four years later. Because iSkills initially meshed information literacy (IL) and information technology (IT) elements in one instrument, our initial planning for related QEP activities and secondary assessments involved a parallel meshing of IL and IT elements. But after we had administered iSkills to freshmen in Fall 2009, ETS temporarily discontinued iSkills for extended revision. We therefore needed a substitute instrument, and reviewed two options: a) Standardized Assessment of Information Literacy Skills (SAILS); and b) Research Readiness Self-Assessment (RRSA). Our review of RRSA raised concerns over its reported conflation of objective and subjective elements, in that it measured both students’ actual competence but also their perceived competence, as confirmed by a later research study. This approach deviated from the objective premise of both iSkills and SAILS, neither of which attempts to explore student self-confidence. We therefore switched from iSkills to SAILS. We reset initial freshman SAILS testing “for the Project Record” to Fall 2010. Correspondingly, four years later, the first seniors in the PILOT courses were tested on SAILS “for the record” in Spring 2014. Freshman testing with SAILS has continued each Fall since 2010, and continued for seniors through Spring 2015.

First Year Symposium: Our plan originally called for first exposing freshmen to the ACRL IL Competency Standards in First Year Symposium (FYS), and this was the case through academic year 2012-13, but we found that the content agenda for FYS was increasingly burdensome. A core curriculum revision that replaced the EN 101-102 sequence with Rhetoric I & II offered what our QEP Committee felt was a more suitable context for freshman exposure to the ACRL IL competencies. This was supported by our sampled assessment of IL tutorial quiz results in Spring 2013, which indicated that Rhetoric II students were viewing the tutorials to a greater degree than anticipated (discussed further below). So in academic year 2013-14, FYS was replaced by the Rhetoric I & II sequence as the standard introduction to information literacy for freshman students.

Five PILOT Disciplines: Our plan originally called for the five PILOT disciplines to be Psychology, Elementary Education, History, Biology, and Business Management. The intense involvement of English faculty in the conversion from English 101 to Rhetoric I brought that entire department more directly into our campus conversation about IL. Consequently, English faculty requested a 2-day overview of the ACRL Standards and database research methods by our (then) Research Specialist, William Spivey (conducted on June 3-4, 2010). Meanwhile, the retirement of the former Chair of Business was followed by a period of internal reassessment of that department’s curricular goals. These factors led the QEP Committee (in its meeting of Spring 2014), with approval of the Director of Institutional Research, to replace Business Management with English/Rhetoric as the fifth PILOT discipline.

Longitudinal Database Usage: Our initial QEP assessment regime included two fully longitudinal measures: a) iSkills/SAILS; and b) annual cumulative tracking of “full-text pageview downloads” from a selected set of research databases through our state library consortial portal, NCLIVE. We chose to focus on usage of the EBSCO family of databases because these had been (as of 2010) the most stable long-term licenses across multiple disciplines since the birth of NCLIVE in the late-1990s. And these longitudinal tracking measures of EBSCO full-text pageview downloads did in fact show very favorable impact from the QEP, as will be shown in a subsequent column. But in Summer 2014, the NCLIVE governing board announced a competitive bidding process that resulted in a broad-based replacement of EBSCO products by ProQuest products as of January 1, 2015. So going forward, we intend to reset this measurement regime, and restart the longitudinal tracking of full-text pageview downloads from ProQuest databases for the expected duration of our QEP.
AN ESSENTIAL RESOURCE FOR THE INFO PRO AND SALESPERSON

“It is a rare book that can transform relationships and engage all players in a sector, but Buying and Selling Information has that power. The Gruenberg road map will help librarians and sales professionals work together to successfully negotiate the future.”

—Stephen Abram, M.L.S., Lighthouse Consulting Inc., and past president, SLA, CLA, OLA

Both sides of the negotiating table are represented in Buying and Selling Information—a practical and much-needed guide by a veteran of the electronic information field. Michael L. Gruenberg’s time-tested tips, techniques, and anecdotes are geared to helping librarians and salespeople understand what the “other guy” is grappling with to achieve the best possible outcome whenever and wherever information is bought and sold.
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