2014

Booklover: Don't Judge a Movie by Its Book

Donna Jacobs
MUSC, donna.jacobs55@gmail.com

Follow this and additional works at: https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/atg

Part of the Library and Information Science Commons

Recommended Citation
DOI: https://doi.org/10.7771/2380-176X.6731

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.
When Pasternak was awarded the Nobel Prize for Literature in 1958 he was “immensely thankful, touched, proud, astonished, abashed.” The award came on the heels of the publication of Doctor Zhivago by the Italian publisher Giangiacomo Feltrinelli. Since the publication had been denied in his homeland the thought was such a prestigious award would bring insult to the government, and he was forced to leave Russia or to decline acceptance. He pened to Khruschev: “Leaving the Motherland will equal death for me. I am tied to Russia by birth, by life and work.” He also sent a telegram to the Swedish Academy: “Considering the meaning this award has been given in the society to which I belong, I must refuse it. Please do not take offense at my voluntary rejection.” (Lyrical even in his declination.) To which the Nobel Committee replied: “This refusal, of course, in no way alters the validity of the award. There remains only for the Academy, however, to announce with regret that the presentation of the Prize cannot take place.”

Now to a short story. It is of constant curiosity to me how I come to find certain Nobel literature. With Pasternak I would be hard-pressed to find an individual who does not have some knowledge of Doctor Zhivago. But in my little gem of a used book entitled Great Stories by Nobel Prize Winners is Il Tratto de Apelle, a short story by him. I read the 23-page story and then reread it after researching Pasternak’s life for this column. I am glad, because as I absorbed his words I believe I could feel his artistic conflict, his transition from music to writing, the impact of a short academic time in the realm of philosophy, and this new poetic lyric wording leaping from his pen as he wrote a short tale based on his visit to Italy. I will share two passages. Decide for yourself.

“The leaning tower of Pisa had pushed its way through a chain of medieval fortifications. The number of people who could see it from the bridge were increasing every minute. The red glow of the sky, like a pursuivant, crawled along the square. The streets were blocked with tiptilted shadows, some of which were still fighting in the narrow alleyways. The tower of Pisa continued its march, moving everything down, until at last one insane, gigantic shadow covered the sun. The day broke into pieces. And meanwhile the lackey, briefly and confusingly informing Heine of his recent visit, succeeded several minutes before the final setting of the sun in presenting the impatient guest with a car [sic-card] bearing a coagulating yellow stain.”

“A seat by the window. A moment later — an entirely deserted platform formed a massive
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W hen I started writing books nearly fifty years ago, I had an idea about the end result of what I was doing. That first book was a short monograph, Temperature Control, which Wiley published in 1968. I thought it would remain on library shelves for many years. Why not? After all, a decade earlier I’d had a student job at the MIT library, and weren’t the basement stacks full of old books, among other materials? Just to further entice me, the reception area at Wiley’s offices on Third Avenue in Manhattan was wood-panelled and lined with shelf after shelf of books whose ranks I yearned to join. (To this point, no surprise, my dream is reality. According to WorldCat, for instance, half a dozen research libraries within a short drive from my Upstate New York home have a copy.)

My thinking was reinforced twenty years later, when I edited the first of my twenty or so engineering handbooks. That was in 1986, when Wiley published the first edition of the Mechanical Engineers’ Handbook with my name on the spine. I had the idea that the handbook would have a long shelf life, and in some future edition, would live on long after I was gone. Again, why not? The main competitor (although it focuses on fundamentals, while mine focuses more on new practices) was the ubiquitous Marks’ handbook, which was still going strong three decades after the death of Lionel Simeon Marks. (Marks was born in Birmingham, England in 1871 and died of a heart attack in Providence, RI in 1955. According to Wikipedia (Sorry!), he held a mechanical engineering professorship at Harvard for over four decades, starting in his early twenties, and also taught at MIT in the early 1900s.) The Marks’ Standard Handbook for Mechanical Engineers was first published (without the word Standard in the title) by McGraw-Hill in 1916 and is now in its 11th edition. There have been three editors subsequent to Marks himself, but his name remains in the handbook’s title. The first of the three successor editors was Theodore Baumeister, III, who worked at DuPont. His name was as prominent as Marks’ had been. Eugene Avallone’s name was added to Baumeister’s, and Ali M. Sadegh’s was added later (both mechanical engineering professors at The City College of the City University of New York, where future editors presumably can be recruited).

Actually, Marks started as a competitor to an older Wiley handbook — Kent’s Mechanical Engineers’ Handbook, which was first published in 1895. William Kent (1851–1918) was the founder. Handbook dimensions were pocket-sized. Kent’s original title was Mechanical Engineer’s Pocket-Book: A reference-book of rules, tables, data, and formulae, for the use of engineers, mechanics, and students. At the outset, new editions came much more rapidly than they do now. The eighth edition was published in 1910, only fifteen years after the first. Kent’s son, Robert Thurston Kent, born in 1880, took over at some point in the early 1900s and new editions came more slowly. Trim dimensions had grown bigger. Eventually, others edited the handbook, but as was the case with Marks, Kent’s name remained in the title. The 12th edition was published in 1950, more than a decade after Kent had split into two volumes. In the 11th edition, published in 1936, the first volume was called Design, Shop Practice and the second volume Power. In the next edition, the first volume title was changed to the more professional and academic sounding Design and Production. It was edited by Colin Carmichael, who also edited a book on electric motors. The Power volume was edited by J. Kenneth Salisbury, an expert on steam turbines. Like early editions of Marks, Kent was bound in faux leather. Someone gave me a copy of one of the volumes as a going-away gift when I left a drafting job at the Portsmouth Navy Yard and went back to MIT for my sophomore year. (I got the job by acing an easy civil service exam.)

That 12th edition was Kent’s last. The base of Wiley’s engineering handbook program was tiny (four or five titles in all), particularly compared with McGraw-Hill’s, and nothing was done with the program until the late 1970s, when a former McGraw-Hill executive was hired to revive it. When I signed on to edit a mechanical engineers’ handbook, I thought that Wiley would keep Kent’s name in the title, but they had no intention of doing so. I wanted to make a fresh start as well, and it seemed a no-brainer to jettison much of Kent’s pages like, for instance, tables with numerical values that engineers could easily call up on the Texas Instrument pocket calculators that were so popular at the time. In the end, contributors used almost nothing from the old book in my new one. Besides, by then it was three decades since the last publication of Kent. So Wiley’s decision made a lot of sense.

Yet Kent’s name has by no means disappeared. You can still find copies of some editions of his handbook (he published several other books) on Amazon, Ebay, Abebooks, and Alibris, among other places, mostly for a few dollars, although in some cases at collectors’ prices. I’m not sure, however, even given considerable time, that you would be able to put together a collectable set of your own of all the Kent editions. Of course, numerous state and academic libraries have various editions in their physical-copy collections. The book has been digitized, and you can peruse the contents of some pre-1923 editions. So Kent, in one edition or another, appears destined for perpetual life, albeit in a vegetative state impervious to the ministrations of editors.

In my view research libraries are keeping their physical and digital copies of Kent as historical artifacts. The situation with Marks appears to be far more preferable — although I don’t know McGraw-Hill’s plans for it. In any case, Marks appears to be a living organism with body parts (chapters) that editors and contributors can fix as they see fit. They can keep the handbook roughly the same size or they can expand it into a multi-volume work. Whatever the decision, Marks has its place on the shelves of research libraries.

Until recently, I’d thought the same about my own Mechanical Engineers’ Handbook, which is published in four volumes, to take into account the growing breadth of the discipline. The fourth edition is in production, with publication due at the end of this year. I hope to be around for several more editions. I also hope that Wiley will see fit to keep the Handbook alive and will keep my name in the title or at least on the cover or title pages of future editions that other editors will deal with. But now that research libraries are increasingly buying published materials in digital format, is it safe to assume that future editions of my handbook will reside in those libraries in one form or another? Handbook publishers – commercial houses, pretty much — rightly in business terms and in the interests of shareholders, will focus on whatever deals they can make that maximize the bottom line. Of course, publishers and libraries do have a, should I say, contentious partnership. For example, publishers are making complete archives of scholarly journals available to libraries as a benefit to patron researchers. Perhaps handbooks in multiple editions will be treated the same way. I can only hope that the promise of pride I felt when I first entered the book-lined reception area at Wiley so long ago, and my hope for longevity of my books, will not be lost.