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Third time is charming, and I soon got a fabulously flowing, almost gushing email, at least compared to the others. This is it, I had hit a homerun; it was everything asked for and more, yada, yada, yada. It was surely a go, and the contract would be forthcoming. By now, April had turned into July.

My correspondent and I exchanged many more emails over the next six months. I was to submit most of the book by the end of December, and, by golly, I nearly made it. I asked several questions by email, included a snippet here and there, and all seemed to be on track. By the end of the first week of January I had submitted everything. I would hear back soon, I was told.

I emailed in March when I had heard nothing. Then came the a-bomb email in April, late April, really. Corrections coming, I was told, but don't be alarmed. Uh-oh.

After spending weeks in September and October going over what this was to look like and how the summary chapter was to appear, etc., my correspondent seemed to have changed his mind. He wrote but that apparently did not do well. You’d only be half right, and therein lies a tortuous tale. This book is not out-of-print because it never saw the light of printed day.

In February of 2009 (I still have all the emails so that’s how I can be so sure of this), I got an interesting correspondence with the subject line “looking for writers.” I was about to delete it when I thought, “What the heck,” and clicked on it. Besides, this was an extremely well-known publisher, and I felt I couldn’t go wrong. Ah, “what dire offense from [casual] causes springs/What mighty contests rise from trivial things!” I should have been more wary of Pope and his Dunciad, for my lock was about to get raped.

I answered the email and said I was interested. The kind editor wrote back with great excitement and overmuch flattery. A few more emails were exchanged and I agreed to a book on the topic of which I needed to know more. All my books have been on topics I wished to know more about. Yes, yes, I hear wiseacres saying, “So that’s what’s wrong with them.” But isn’t that why we all write?

In any event, flattering editor and sycophantic writer agreed on social networking as the topic of said forthcoming book. This would be a book-for-hire. Until then, I had always written for royalties alone and been happy — mostly — with the outcome. Undertaking a book-for-hire was new for me and, honestly, something I agreed to with more than a little trepidation. Payment would be made in three installments. One immediately, another on submission of the full manuscript, and a final one after all edits. One immediately, another on submission of the full manuscript, and a final one after all edits.

The editor asked for a “detailed” outline as quickly as possible. Every editor I’ve had the pleasure to work with has asked for a sketchy outline at best — general, broad categories — and certainly no more than a page or two. But hope springs eternal in the heart of fools, so I began working on the outline. In the exuberance of the email exchanges, I asked if the editor wanted the names of other writers. Yes, of course. I sent a dozen names, perhaps. I also completed said “detailed” outline — about eight pages long, and sent it in.

Meanwhile, I wrote friends to tell them about this “opportunity” forwarding the emails sent to me. At least two came forward and replied to my newfound friend. Meanwhile, my outline came back. No, said the editor, this was not exactly what was wanted. Not even close. Do I want to try again? I was baffled but redoubled my efforts, spending a great deal more time and energy on the outline than I’ve ever spent on any other.

About two weeks later came another email. Oh, you are “this close” said my new digital pen pal. But, well, no, not there yet. I called. “What exactly are we looking for here?” I’m baffled that I have struck out, so to say.” But this spider to my fly had just the right parlor-game answer: it was not at all uncommon and surely another whack would fell the tree. So, I said fine, but to be clear, I said, this would be my final strike. Surely, I was not the right person after all.

I f you look at the screen-shot captured here (accessed 19 November 2012) you’ll think that this book, now out-of-print, is one I wrote but that apparently did not do well. You’d only be half right, and therein lies a tortuous tale. This book is not out-of-print because it never saw the light of printed day.

In February of 2009 (I still have all the emails so that’s how I can be so sure of this) I got an interesting correspondence with the subject line “looking for writers.” I was about to delete it when I thought, “What the heck,” and clicked on it. Besides, this was an extremely well-known publisher, and I felt I couldn’t go wrong. Ah, “what dire offense from [casual] causes springs/What mighty contests rise from trivial things!” I should have been more wary of Pope and his Dunciad, for my lock was about to get raped.

I answered the email and said I was interested. The kind editor wrote back with great excitement and overmuch flattery. A few more emails were exchanged and I agreed to a book on the topic of which I needed to know more. All my books have been on topics I wished to know more about. Yes, yes, I hear wiseacres saying, “So that’s what’s wrong with them.” But isn’t that why we all write?

In any event, flattering editor and sycophantic writer agreed on social networking as the topic of said forthcoming book. This would be a book-for-hire. Until then, I had always written for royalties alone and been happy — mostly — with the outcome. Undertaking a book-for-hire was new for me and, honestly, something I agreed to with more than a little trepidation. Payment would be made in three installments. One immediately, another on submission of the full manuscript, and a final one after all edits. One immediately, another on submission of the full manuscript, and a final one after all edits.

The editor asked for a “detailed” outline as quickly as possible. Every editor I’ve had the pleasure to work with has asked for a sketchy outline at best — general, broad categories — and certainly no more than a page or two. But hope springs eternal in the heart of fools, so I began working on the outline. In the exuberance of the email exchanges, I asked if the editor wanted the names of other writers. Yes, of course. I sent a dozen names, perhaps. I also completed said “detailed” outline — about eight pages long, and sent it in.

Meanwhile, I wrote friends to tell them about this “opportunity” forwarding the emails sent to me. At least two came forward and replied to my newfound friend. Meanwhile, my outline came back. No, said the editor, this was not exactly what was wanted. Not even close. Do I want to try again? I was baffled but redoubled my efforts, spending a great deal more time and energy on the outline than I’ve ever spent on any other.

About two weeks later came another email. Oh, you are “this close” said my new digital pen pal. But, well, no, not there yet. I called. “What exactly are we looking for here?” I’m baffled that I have struck out, so to say.” But this spider to my fly had just the right parlor-game answer: it was not at all uncommon and surely another whack would fell the tree. So, I said fine, but to be clear, I said, this would be my final strike. Surely, I was not the right person after all.

Third time is charming, and I soon got a fabulously flowing, almost gushing email, at least compared to the others. This is it, I had hit a homerun; it was everything asked for and more, yada, yada, yada. It was surely a go, and the contract would be forthcoming. By now, April had turned into July.

My correspondent and I exchanged many more emails over the next six months. I was to submit most of the book by the end of December, and, by golly, I nearly made it. I asked several questions by email, included a snippet here and there, and all seemed to be on track. By the end of the first week of January I had submitted everything. I would hear back soon, I was told.

I emailed in March when I had heard nothing. Then came the a-bomb email in April, late April, really. Corrections coming, I was told, but don’t be alarmed. Uh-oh.

After spending weeks in September and October going over what this was to look like and how the summary chapter was to appear, etc., my correspondent seemed to have changed his mind. He wrote but that apparently did not do well. You’d only be half right, and therein lies a tortuous tale. This book is not out-of-print because it never saw the light of printed day.

In February of 2009 (I still have all the emails so that’s how I can be so sure of this) I got an interesting correspondence with the subject line “looking for writers.” I was about to delete it when I thought, “What the heck,” and clicked on it. Besides, this was an extremely well-known publisher, and I felt I couldn’t go wrong. Ah, “what dire offense from [casual] causes springs/What mighty contests rise from trivial things!” I should have been more wary of Pope and his Dunciad, for my lock was about to get raped.

I answered the email and said I was interested. The kind editor wrote back with great excitement and overmuch flattery. A few more emails were exchanged and I agreed to a book on the topic of which I needed to know more. All my books have been on topics I wished to know more about. Yes, yes, I hear wiseacres saying, “So that’s what’s wrong with them.” But isn’t that why we all write?

In any event, flattering editor and sycophantic writer agreed on social networking as the topic of said forthcoming book. This would be a book-for-hire. Until then, I had always written for royalties alone and been happy — mostly — with the outcome. Undertaking a book-for-hire was new for me and, honestly, something I agreed to with more than a little trepidation. Payment would be made in three installments. One immediately, another on submission of the full manuscript, and a final one after all edits. One immediately, another on submission of the full manuscript, and a final one after all edits.

The editor asked for a “detailed” outline as quickly as possible. Every editor I’ve had the pleasure to work with has asked for a sketchy outline at best — general, broad categories — and certainly no more than a page or two. But hope springs eternal in the heart of fools, so I began working on the outline. In the exuberance of the email exchanges, I asked if the editor wanted the names of other writers. Yes, of course. I sent a dozen names, perhaps. I also completed said “detailed” outline — about eight pages long, and sent it in.

Meanwhile, I wrote friends to tell them about this “opportunity” forwarding the emails sent to me. At least two came forward and replied to my newfound friend. Meanwhile, my outline came back. No, said the editor, this was not exactly what was wanted. Not even close. Do I want to try again? I was baffled but redoubled my efforts, spending a great deal more time and energy on the outline than I’ve ever spent on any other.

About two weeks later came another email. Oh, you are “this close” said my new digital pen pal. But, well, no, not there yet. I called. “What exactly are we looking for here?” I’m baffled that I have struck out, so to say.” But this spider to my fly had just the right parlor-game answer: it was not at all uncommon and surely another whack would fell the tree. So, I said fine, but to be clear, I said, this would be my final strike. Surely, I was not the right person after all.

This book is not out-of-print because it never saw the light of printed day.
Booklover — Mo Yan

Column Editor: Donna Jacobs (Research Specialist, Transgenic Mouse Core Facility, MUSC, Charleston, SC 29425) <jacobsdf@musc.edu>

Ju Dou, the 1990 Chinese film directed by Zhang Yimou, was banned in China for several years after the pro-democracy stance at Tiananmen Square. When the film played in the Basic Science Auditorium at the Medical University of South Carolina, as part of a university film series, there was not an empty seat in the auditorium. Every Chinese student, post-doctoral fellow, and professor at the university was most likely present to watch this film. Zhang Yimou became my favorite director that day after watching this tragic story unfold in vivid technicolor on a screen in an auditorium surrounded by his countrymen. The story is set in a dye mill, and Zhang uses the color red to his complete and commanding advantage.

This memory flooded in after learning that Mo Yan had been presented the 2012 Nobel Literature Prize and discovering that his 1997 novel, Red Sorghum, had inspired a Zhang Yimou’s film of the same name. I immediately ordered the book and patiently waited its arrival. Amazon is relatively quick with delivery so soon I was immersed in the saga of three generations of a Shandong province family and surrounded once again by the color red.

On the opening page Mo Yan greets the reader: “With this book I respectfully invoke the heroic, aggrieved souls wandering in the boundless bright-red sorghum fields of my hometown. As your unfilial son, I am prepared to carve out my heart, marinate it in soy sauce, have it minced and placed in three bowls, and lay it out as an offering in a field of sorghum. Partake of it in good health!” This is a tough read, but so beautifully written that I found myself stopping to reflect on the ability to craft words in such a way that even the horrid is a pleasure to read. I will share this excerpt from the Chapter entitled Sorghum Funeral:

“What is love? Everybody has his own answer. But this demon of an emotion has spilled doom for more valiant men and lovely, capable women than you can count. Based upon Granddad’s romantic history, my father’s tempestuous love affairs, and the pale desert of my own experiences, I’ve framed a pattern of love that applies to the three generations of my family. The first ingredient of love — fanaticism — is composed of heart-piercing suffering: the blood flows through the intestines and bowels, and out of the body as feaces the consist-

tency of pitch. The second ingredient — cruelty — is composed of merciless criticism: each partner in the love affair wants to skin the other alive, physically and psychologically. They both want to rip out each other’s blood vessels, muscles, and every writhing internal organ, including the heart. The third ingredient — frigidity — is composed of a protracted heavy silence. icy emotions frost the faces of people in love. Their teeth chatter so violently they can’t talk, no matter how badly they want to.”

In an interview after the Nobel Prize announcement Mo Yan related that “his greatest challenge as a writer has been to reflect the social realities of his native China without allowing personal political opinions to suppress his work.” Nevertheless, one of his works, The Garlic Ballads, was also banned in China during the post-Tiananmen Square time. I felt oddly duty-bound to seek out and read this novel. I downloaded it onto my Kindle and discovered yet another beautifully written tough read with controversial overtones.

Mo Yan, which means “don’t speak,” is the pen name for Guan Moye. He was advised not to speak his mind because of the potential...