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Remembering 1968 ....

by Bruce Heterick (Director, Library Relations, JSTOR) <bruce.heterick@jstor.org>

With the pending national election in the U.S., it’s popular to wax nostalgic about one of the most volatile and influential years in the brief (by most measures) history of the United States, 1968. Forty years ago. In a year characterized by tumultuous change, 1968 was also the year that Garrett Hardin published his famous essay in Science, “The Tragedy of the Commons,” 1 as well as the year that Elvis Presley starred in the musical comedy, Live a Little, Love a Little.

It should not be lost on us that today — some 40 years later — through the work of libraries as well as the longevity of paper and film, scholars still have ready access to Hardin’s article and Elvis-faithful can still catch a glimpse of “The King” on film. It should also not be lost on us that neither Hardin’s article nor Elvis’ movie were “born-digital,” and as we continue the shift from paper and film to ones and zeros, access to the born-digital content is not guaranteed.

In September 2005, a number of academic librarians, university administrators, and other interested individuals met at the offices of The Andrew W. Mellon Foundation to discuss the conundrum that the academic community was facing with regard to the long-term preservation of electronic journals. Coming out of that meeting was a statement, edited by Don Waters of the Mellon Foundation, entitled, “Urgent Action Needed to Preserve Scholarly Electronic Journals.”2 The “Urgent Action Statement” — as it was to become known throughout the library community — became a seminal reference point for the scholarly community in its effort to raise awareness of this important problem with a variety of stakeholders; including librarians, university administrators, scholarly publishers, faculty, and funding agencies.

The statement was formally endorsed by ARL, ACRL, ALCTS, and ICOLC, as well as being adopted informally by a myriad of other organizations. It spurred tremendous interest about the topic over the next year (2006) with college/university libraries. Nearly every library/scholarly communications conference entertained presentations and panels on the topic of e-journal preservation. Preservation initiatives such as Portico, LOCKSS, and CLOCKSS received a great deal of attention, and the publishing community began to give earnest attention to the issue.

It has now been three years since the “Urgent Action Statement.” There are many

continued on page 16

If Rumors Were Horses

So much is going on that it’s hard to keep track of it all! Gosh! Where to start. And, you know, we are posting Rumors on the ATG News Channel — http://www.against-the-grain.com — frequently, nearly every day. It’s impossible to put all of those Rumors in print so you need to go to the Website to keep up!

First up, Deb Vaughn, our book review editor, has a baby girl!!! Congrats to Deb and her husband, Bo Crader, on the birth of their daughter, Helen Katherine Crader born August 12th, 2008, at 9:50 PM weighing in at 7 lbs. 2 oz. Deb is on maternity leave at the moment but she is still working on ATG. See this issue, p. 63.

Speaking of new babies, Cullen Ferguson just turned one year old! How time flies!

Remember last year when he was just born? And exposure to chicken pox kept them away from Charleston in 2007?

And speaking of Cris, her report on the 8th Annual Mid-South E-Resource Symposium will be loaded on the ATG News Channel shortly, before it appears in print.

www.against-the-grain.com/

And some non-baby news for a change of pace. Congratulations! Andrew Hutchings has been appointed Blackwell Group Chief Executive Officer. In his new role Andrew will have full responsibility for both Blackwell Book Services and Blackwell UK Ltd. Andrew takes up his new role with immediate effect and the BUK Board now report directly to him. Andrew joined Blackwell’s Oxford office in 1987 when he led both the Information Technology and Marketing departments in Oxford while also completing the Blackwell’s Management Training program. After a three-year assignment in Blackwell USA Oregon offices leading the integration of the global
From Your (meeting-d out) Editor:

The beginning of the school year is always hectic — meetings, meetings, and more meetings! And this year has its own set of new challenges.

But we still persevered and turned out another outstanding issue of Against the Grain thanks to our many columnists and guest editors! This issue is guest-edited by the incomparable Bruce Heterick of JSTOR and encompasses many important issues and questions that we all must consider for the good of the order of librarianship and our place in society. Topics covered include ejournal archiving, library director attitudes toward digital preservation, digital preservation for the smaller academic library, eBooks, and more. We have several special reports from Tony Horava (libraries as publishers; publishers as libraries), Jeanette Smith and Elizabeth Titus (the MLS), and Camille McCutcheon (psychology collection development). Our interviews this issue is with Helen Parr, Executive editor of Medical Online and Ursula Schwarz in Philip Hallman’s Media Minder.

Back Talk is about butterflies and POD technology, Greg Tananbaum’s Train column gets us to thinking about the theme of the 2008 Charleston Conference — The Best of Times, The Worst of Times, Todd Carpenter talks about standards and culture shock, Kris Ferguson clicks her way to information literacy, Rick Lugg and Ruth Fischer take on a new identity with their column — from I, User to Future Tense — and discuss weeding, Xan Arch tell us about Wikis in acquisitions which we can all take to heart. There is so much more in this issue and even more to come in November so do not quit reading.

Now I have to stop and go to, you guessed it, a meeting … who was it that said “meetings are held to discuss many problems which would never arise if we held fewer meetings?”

Love, Yr. Ed. 🌼

Letters to the Editor

Send letters to <kstrauch@comcast.net>., phone or fax 843-723-3536, or snail mail: Against the Grain, MSC 98, The Citadel, Charleston, SC 29409. You can also send a letter to the editor from the ATG Homepage at http://www.against-the-grain.com.

Dear Editor:

Greetings — I just had an opportunity to read Mark Herrings’ June 2008 “Little Red Herrings” column for ATG (n.20#3, p. 75). I wanted to thank Mark for providing a fair and balanced look at the Blended Librarian approach that John Shank and I have been sharing the last few years. We’ve never been evangelistic about the ideas or the community. We simply put it out there as something that our colleagues may find of interest to them. If it doesn’t appeal to you (a “naysayer” I guess), feel free to find whatever works for you. John and I respect that there are other librarians out there offering their own solutions that might have more appeal for some librarians than what we have to offer. We do find that our ability to offer ongoing free Webcasts on topics related to improving ourselves and our libraries continues to be popular among our colleagues.

Aside from Mark’s mentions of BL, I really enjoyed what he said in the column this time. We are certainly of a like mind with respect to our concerns for this profession and those we serve.

Thanks again. I hope that as a result of Mark’s column some new colleagues will take a closer look at Blended Librarianship. We continue to evolve the ideas and goals we’ve set for the community, and now are exploring new territory over at Designing Better Libraries (http://dbl.lishost.org).

Regards,
Steven J. Bell
(Associate University Librarian for Research and Instructional Services, Temple University Libraries) <bells@temple.edu> http://library.temple.edu 🌼

AGAINST THE GRAIN DEADLINES VOLUME 20 & 21 — 2008-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2008 Events</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Ad Reservation</th>
<th>Camera-Ready</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Charleston Conference</td>
<td>November 2008</td>
<td>09/03/08</td>
<td>09/17/08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALA Midwinter</td>
<td>Dec. 08/Jan. 09</td>
<td>10/29/08</td>
<td>11/19/08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2009 Events</th>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Ad Reservation</th>
<th>Camera-Ready</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annual Report, ACRL</td>
<td>February 2009</td>
<td>12/10/08</td>
<td>01/07/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLA, SLA, Book Expo</td>
<td>April 2009</td>
<td>02/11/09</td>
<td>03/04/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALA Annual</td>
<td>June 2009</td>
<td>04/08/09</td>
<td>04/29/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Publishing</td>
<td>September 2009</td>
<td>07/08/09</td>
<td>07/29/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleston Conference</td>
<td>November 2009</td>
<td>09/02/09</td>
<td>09/23/09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT

Toni Nix <justwrite@lowcountry.com>; Phone: 843-835-8604; Fax: 843-835-5892 or Edna Laughery <elaughrey@aol.com>; Phone: 734-429-1029; Fax: 734-429-1711 Address: 291 Tower Drive, Saline, MI 48176. 🌼

Rumors from page 1

Businesses, Andrew took on global responsibility for the Acquisitions and Distribution functions. In March 2005, he took on the role of BBS UK Managing Director and led the recovery in performance within that business unit. Andrew helped to guide the business through another system change when Blackwell Book Services moved from its mainframe technology to a new Windows-based system. In March 2007, Andrew was appointed Blackwell Book Services CEO. Congratulations, Andrew and good luck as you expand into the Retail side of the business!

Basch Subscriptions has moved into a larger facility! The new facility is spacious (to accommodate continuous growth), bright and still in downtown Concord, NH. Here are the new details: Basch Subscriptions, Inc., 10 Ferry Street, Concord, NH 03301, Phone: 603-229-0662 (no change). Fax: 603-226-9443 (no change). His two preconferences at the 2008 Charleston Conference are among the most popular as well. I tell you, it is impossible to keep up with the Energizer Buzzy!

ebrary has been selected by Ambassador Books and Media to provide Ambassador’s new eBook offering. Under the terms of the agreement, Ambassador will resell ebrary’s eBooks under a perpetual access model to its customer base in more than 30 countries. A growing selection of more than 67,300 eBooks and other titles in a variety of academic and professional subject areas will be available for purchase under a single or multi-user access model, and Ambassador will fulfill eBook orders using the ebrary Ordering Platform (eBOP). Ambassador Books and Media is now celebrating its 35th anniversary as a provider of print and non-print materials to college, university, school, corporate, public, government, and medical libraries. OneSource is Ambassador’s proprietary, password-protected software that offers access to a database of over 3 million book titles and over 1.5 million media titles. Founded in 1999, ebrary is privately held, is headquartered in Palo Alto, CA, and currently offers a growing

continued on page 8

<http://www.against-the-grain.com>
Several readers have asked about the composition and purpose of the “Library Justice and Universal Protection Task Force.” From their Website we’ve learned that they prefer the shortened moniker “The Protectors,” and their mission statement is “the guarantee of universal library services, the return of lost or stolen books, and the general defense of the planet against invaders of any sort.” Though not otherwise well known, one publisher, Marvel, has covered “The Protectors” extensively and the following biographical sketches were gleaned from Marvel publications.

Dr. Hans Schneider received his MLS degree from Universitat Stuttgart in 1981, and his PhD in Hazardous Chemical Management from the Max Planck Institute in 1996. After a brief illness in 2002, Dr. Schneider began disintegrating distant objects using a beam of dark light projecting from his right index finger. Making the most of that ability, Dr. Schneider managed to prevent the allusive, and vaguely-Soviet, Ivan Slaughter from subjugating all humanity from his island compound in the South Atlantic. Dr. Schneider also retrieved several long-overdue books from the compound. Though his talents are many, an aversion to creamed corn turns the otherwise good-natured doctor taciturn and seemingly unable to lift his finger.

Miss Molly May was raised an only child on a Minnesota farm, just a stone’s throw from the Massahopac Nuclear Power Plant. While working on her MLS at the University of Illinois, Miss May discovered she could see through walls and other sorts of visual obstacles. She famously used that ability, working with the aforementioned Dr. Schneider, to disable several alien spacecraft during a short-lived invasion attempt in the summer of 2004. Since then, Miss May has been serving as ALA’s Vice-Chair for Book Fines and reading to children at the Evansburg County Library. Her unique visual abilities are rendered useless by the presence of her mother, Anna Belle May, who is outspoken in her belief that Molly should be married and raising children.

Vance Clarkson, after a short stint with the Defense Intelligence Agency, became Head of Technical Services for the Buddy Hackett Memorial Library, Constant College, in 1997. Mr. Clarkson later used his uncanny mind-reading ability to assist counter-intelligence efforts in Afghanistan, and to press for greater library funding in his home state of Ohio. For his efforts, Clarkson was given ALA’s prestigious Hugo Boss Award in 2003. It should be noted that his mind-reading ability fails him when his subject is watching television. Vance Clarkson currently serves as Secretary for the Library Justice and Universal Protection Task Force, a rotating chair.

Henrietta Means learned at an early age, and through many hours searching through her public library, how to focus her pubescent anger and frustration toward impressive acts of physical strength. While working toward her Master of Information Studies degree at State University, Ms Means did her internship in Costa Rica battling a gigantic half-man-half-serpent creature that had risen from the sea. After a few years at the Library of Congress, she was hired by the Institute of Museum and Library Services where she reviews grant requests. Though she still enjoys saving the world from supernatural creatures, Ms Means spends much of her time reading and making small decoupage lapel pins as gifts for her friends. And every urge toward focusing her incredible strength is sapped when faced with a really good Jimmy Stewart movie.
1996 and fills a niche for Amazon in hard-to-find or out-of-print books. Rather than hold its own inventory, AbeBooks acts as a digital marketplace for established booksellers. On a related note, AbeBooks also owns 40 percent of LibraryThing (a social app for keeping track of your books and finding other like-minded book lovers). Whereas Amazon is an investor in Shelfari, now Amazon will own a piece of both of those competing startups. AbeBooks CEO Dr. Hannes Blum sent an email out to booksellers today talking about the acquisition, saying the company would continue to operate as a stand-alone business, AbeBooks’ headquarters will remain in Victoria, BC, Canada, and European offices will remain in Dusseldorf, Germany, and he will continue to lead AbeBooks.

www.techcrunch.com/2008/08/01/amazon-to-acquire-abebooks/

And, speaking of Librarything — the fantastic Glenda Alvin has single-handedly arranged for Tim Spalding of Librarything to speak to us in Charleston on November 7. Come on down!

And speaking of the Charleston Conference, I was poking around the Web the other day and came across Sue Polanka’s fantastic moderated discussion list — No Shelf Required. Sue says, “Anyone interested in eBooks should take a look at the Charleston Conference Program November 5-8!” Have you done that? Have you registered? And Sue herself will be presenting in Charleston in November. Check this out!

www.libraries.wright.edu/noshelfrequired/ www.against-the-grain.com www.katina.info/conference

The Berkeley Electronic Press (bepress) has appointed Alison Denby as a new Publisher. Alison will be responsible for the bepress journals publishing program, which includes 42 owned and scholarly society journals. She will report to the CEO of bepress, Gordon Tibbitts, and will be based at bepress headquarters in California. Commenting on the appointment, Gordon Tibbitts said, “Alison is one of the industry’s leading journal publishers... ...[Her] skills and experience are a perfect fit with bepress’s strategy to continue to drive the growth and development of our journals program and bepress’s broader suite of services.” Alison brings close to 20 years of journals editorial and sales experience in the publishing industry to bepress. She has held senior positions at John Wiley & Sons and Blackwell Publishing, where she worked closely with academic societies and journal editorial teams.

www.bepress.com/journals

Charlie Rapple has been appointed Head of Marketing Development, a newly-created position, at TBI Communications, Ltd. Charlie will lead the expansion and development of TBI’s marketing services for academic and professional publishers and societies. TBI offers both strategic consultancy and outsourced marketing implementation to publishers and societies, around the world. As you will remember, Charlie joins TBI from Publishing Technology (previously Ingenta), where she held the post of Head of Group Marketing. And did you know/remember that Charlie was part of the original team at Catchword before they were acquired by Ingenta? Melinda Kenneway is Director of TBI. For more information, contact Joanna <cross@tbicomcommunications.com>.

And an update on the industrious and no-grass-grows-under-my-feet Bob Schatz <everbob@yahoo.com> <bob@impact2info.com>. Bob has left Coutts (amicably). He says he just celebrated 30 years in the business and realized that there are other things he wants to explore, hopefully allowing him to stay a part of the library/vending community, but allowing him to travel less. (He was a bit burnt out on 120+ nights a year on the road.) Anyway, Bob has begun his own business — Bob Schatz, Business & Professional Writing Services, adding impact to information — and is a writing consultant to libraries, publishers, and vendors. Bob is offering research, editing, and writing services for anything from single brochures to major communications campaigns. So far, he has produced a library market report for a publisher in California, assisted vendors in evaluating RFP responses, and put together an effective writing workshop. Bob will be attending the Charleston Conference and says he is looking forward to seeing old friends, and to talking with anyone who would like to utilize his skills. And do you remember his fantastic Sotto Voce columns in ATG? I sure do.

www.impact2info.com

This from the Chronicle of Higher Education (9/16/08) — “Feminist Magazine with Academic Following Faces Financial Crisis,” by Robin Wilson. Bitch magazine (which our library takes as well as nearly 200 other libraries) is a quarterly publication that needs $40,000 by October 15 or it will stop publishing.” This is on the Chronicle higher education news blog and there is continuing discussion there.

chronicle.com/news/article/5156/

Susan Campbell and Pam Cenzer are gearing up to mentor at the 2008 Charleston Conference and what a great job! But in the meantime, Susan was on NPR. Yes, you heard/read it right! — On Thursday, June 26, at 11:50 AM, our very own Susan appeared on “Voters Group Reflects Hurdles Facing Candidates.” Like WOW! Here’s the URL to hear it all for yourself.


And more celebrity appearances! This time by Mark Herring <herringm@wlu.edu> on the Learning Times network. Mark’s column in the June 2008 issue of ATG (v.20#3, pp.75-76) was on blended librarianship (“How do you Like Your Librarianship: Straight-up or Blended?”) and is praised by Steven Bell <bells@temple.edu>. If you continued on page 16
Interview — Helen Parr
from page 14

HP: We offer flexible licensing models. The concurrent user model is very popular, though many institutions do prefer the unlimited site license. Individual titles may also be licensed at both the individual and institutional levels, however, with fewer special features and functionalities.

ATG: What might be some features to look forward to in future enhancements? What are some industry trends you hope to follow or consider? Or perhaps you want to set some new industry standards?

HP: The value and importance of providing educational and high-quality online multimedia resources has been thoroughly impressed upon us as we have launched the specialty Websites. Online users want to not only read what the best minds in the field think on a topic, but they also want to be able to view a Grand Rounds from a renowned lecturer at a different institution, watch a surgical video while listening to a detailed explanation of the operational approach, or view an animation demonstrating how to conduct a key physical examination. Technical enhancements to these resources will be made to make our multimedia even more practical and usable and engaging. Going forward we intend to increase the media on AccessMedicine and apply these lessons learned.

Editor’s Note: Thank you, Helen, for your answers. Good Luck with the latest redesign and have fun planning future enhancements. — RKK

Rumors
from page 10

haven’t read this article yet, check it out. Bell and John Shank have come up with a “redeigned” librarianship. Is blended librarianship a model for proactive librarians? home.learningtimes.net/library/go=1845668

My bosom swelled with pride (à la Gilbert and Sullivan’s HMS Pinafore) when the alert Joyce Ogburn sent me the URL to this write up about the AAUP (American Association of University Presses) recent meeting in Montreal. (“Digital Daze” by Scott McLemee). Reported the Charleston Conference and ATG were mentioned repeatedly in AAUP meetings, panels, and less formal discussions. ATG was heralded as a “must-read for anyone in academic publishing who wants a glimpse of how their colleagues across campus are discussing the new information tools.” But then, you knew that already, didn’t you? www.insidehighered.com/views/2008/07/02/mLclemee

And more praise for the Charleston Conference courtesy of the alert Dr. Elaine Yontz <eyontz@valdosta.edu>. It’s a paper on an MLIS’ student’s attendance at the Charleston...continued on page 24

Remembering 1968 ...
from page 1

accomplishments that we — as a community — can point to and say “good job.” Most of the major publishers (commercial, university presses, and societies) have adopted a long-term preservation strategy for their e-journal content and a few are getting out ahead of the issue with eBooks. “At-risk” content is getting some focus, as are open-access journals. Third-party preservation initiatives have moved from infancy to early adolescence, and important lessons have been learned and shared with the community. Preservation choices have emerged for libraries and publishers: commercial vs. not-for-profit, 3rd-party vs. national vs. local solutions; migration strategies vs. emulation strategies, etc., etc. And, for the first time, academic libraries in particular are beginning to see that there is a sustainable migration path from print to electronic.

But the work is not yet done. Preservation and archiving is a community-wide problem that requires contributions from across the community, lest we endure a tragedy of the commons. There are still too many free riders relying on the work and contributions of the few; too many libraries allowing a small number of their colleagues to carry the “preservation freight” on behalf of the entire library community; too many institutions talking about the importance of the long-term preservation of born-digital content, but still too few willing to ante up and support the still-nascent initiatives that are attempting to address collectively — this preservation challenge. It is a classic manifestation of the “commons dilemma” that Hardin described in his influential 1968 article.

There is no doubt that this remains fairly new and complex territory. While there has been good progress, we are not yet near the point of having the robust, comprehensive, and multi-layered solution we need to have in place to confidently make the complete transition to electronic access, with all of its benefits. And, the long-term preservation of electronic journals is not, and cannot be regarded as, just a “large research library problem.” It is a community problem that requires broad support across the spectrum of libraries — higher education in particular. Every survey that I have seen on the topic — including the Ithaka/Portico survey outlined in this issue — leave no doubt that librarians philosophically believe that the long-term preservation of these assets is incredibly important. However, as they say, the road to hell is paved with good intentions. So, as Elvis sings in his 1968 classic, “a little less conversation, a little more action please.”

Whodda thunk that Garrett Hardin and Elvis Presley had so much in common? 🤙

Endnotes

people profile

Bruce Heterick

BORN AND LIVED: Born in Blacksburg, VA; currently live in Barrington, RI.

PROFESSIONAL CAREER: Did my undergraduate and graduate work at Virginia Tech, started my career as a developer at Virginia Tech, then worked for VTLS, Faxon, Blackwells, SCT/SunGuard, and JSTOR for the past nine years.

FAMILY: Married to Jill for past 20 years; 4 children (Wesley-16, Miller-13, Sloane-9, Cary-6).

PET PEEVES/WHAT MAKES ME MAD: People who take themselves too seriously; bad pizza; Rhode Island government.

PHILOSOPHY: Be happy where you are, but not content.

MOST MEANINGFUL CAREER ACHIEVEMENT: Working at JSTOR.

SPARE TIME: Golf and Virginia Tech sports.

FAVORITE BOOKS: For One More Day.

GOAL I HOPE TO ACHIEVE FIVE YEARS FROM NOW: Run an organization that is doing something important and meaningful.

HOW/WHERE DO I SEE THE INDUSTRY IN FIVE YEARS: See my “Shift Happens” presentation from 2007 Charleston Conference.

Director, Library Relations, JSTOR, ARTstor, Portico, Aluka
149 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010
Phone: (212) 358-6416 • Fax: (212) 358-6499
<bruce.heterick@jstor.org> www.jstor.org
www.artstor.org www.portico.org www.aluka.org
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The report then goes on to suggest other filters which can be deployed by libraries once a decision has been made to invest in an archiving solution, to assist in selecting which is most appropriate for their specific needs. These filters include the range of content covered, costs and the basis of charging, and whether post-cancellation access is offered and if so, how and when it is provided. It recognizes the complex permutations that exist, so that a “one size fits all” approach is not possible, while at the same time provides some guidance for making responsible and defensible choices.

Conclusion
Finding practical, cost-effective solutions to e-journal archiving and preservation which are acceptable to both publishers and libraries has become of pressing importance as the trend to e-only access accelerates. Over recent years, there has been significant progress in e-journal archiving solutions which are gaining the trust of both publishers and libraries. The JISC funded study focused on six e-journal archiving solutions which appeared to be of particular relevance to the needs of the UK library community. Four of the six e-journal archiving solutions assessed by the study are able to satisfy at least some of the needs of the UK library community. This is a considerable advance on the situation a few years ago and so is an extremely encouraging sign. The choices libraries make will be based on a mix of factors and risk management will help to determine which e-journal archiving solution they should support. The decision-making process will be considerably simplified as more publishers participate in e-journal archiving, thereby increasing the volume of e-journals safely archived for current and future use.

Acknowledgements
The Joint Information Systems Committee (JISC) has funded several projects aimed at furthering progress in digital preservation generally. JISC funded initiatives cited in this report are PRESERV and SHERPA DP (investigating methods and strategies for preserving the content of institutional repositories), the UK LOCKSS pilot project and its evaluation, and a comparative study of e-journal archiving solutions (the subject of this article).

I would also like to acknowledge the co-authors of the study, Terry Morrow (Teem Consulting), Julia Chruszcz (Top Class Computer Technologies Ltd); Neil Beagrie (Charles Beagrie Ltd).
The next set of questions needing exploration then, was: what could be leading to this gap between what library directors say they know is important, and what they are actually doing? What could explain why librarians would acknowledge the importance of e-journal preservation but not act on it?

Who Acts and Who Does Not?
While libraries of all sizes voiced their support for e-journal preservation initiatives, perhaps unsurprisingly taking action correlated strongly with size of the institution, as measured by either enrollment (FTE) or materials budget (LME). Similarly, those institutions which identified themselves as either research-focused or research and teaching-focused, were more likely to also be taking active steps towards digital preservation. These institutions have clearly stepped up to the preservation challenge. While nearly half of those libraries which described themselves as research or research and teaching focused also reported participation in an e-journal preservation initiative; only 24% of those institutions which described themselves as primarily teaching-focused had taken action.

What Was Learned About Non-action Takers?
Looking more closely at just those institutions which had not taken action, it was noted that a large majority (74%) of those not yet taking action agreed that “libraries need to support community preservation initiatives because it’s the right thing to do.” What could be causing this disconnect?

Lack of Urgency
While there is widespread agreement on the overall importance of the issue, respondents to the survey were split on just when that action needed to be taken. The distribution of responses to the statement “E-journal preservation does not require any action from our library today or within the next two years” creates a nicely symmetrical graph, but in essence illustrates that most institutions who have not yet acted do not feel compelled to act anytime soon. It would be important to better understand why this many do not feel the “urgency” of the Urgent Action statement: might they feel that other institutions — the ‘early adopters’ — have already taken care of this responsibility for them? If so, do those libraries that have taken action understand that they are shouldering this responsibility for the broader community?

Who Acts and Who Does Not?
Over half of the respondents who have not yet taken action felt that “research libraries should be taking care of e-journal preservation on behalf of the entire library community,” as opposed to less than a quarter of those participating libraries. Specifically, among the non-action-takers, 59% of the teaching-focused institutions felt that preservation was the responsibility of the research institutions, while only 38% of research institutions agreed. These provocative findings suggest that there may need to be more dialogue about how the digital preservation responsibility could be distributed across the library community. Are the research institutions funded at a level sufficient to carry this burden for all others? As digital collections grow — and become more similar — across the library spectrum, are preservation obligations more broadly shared?

Budget is a Concern
Budgetary concerns are common in libraries of all types. About half of those libraries not yet participating in an e-journal preservation initiative agreed that budgetary constraints limited them from “adopting new products or approaches until we see they are broadly adopted by the library community.” This hesitation, likely borne of a need to allocate scarce resources to known quantities, is also underlined by the way the library directors characterized their institutions: those already participating in e-journal preservation initiatives were nearly twice as likely to consider themselves “trailblazers” than were those who had yet to take action.

The funds allocated for e-journal preservation activities are found in a range of places in a library budget...”

The Influence of Campus Stakeholders
It was also noted a significant difference regarding the interaction with faculty on the question of e-journal preservation between those libraries which had taken action and those which had not yet. The former were nearly twice as likely to report that they had been approached about e-journal preservation than those who had not yet taken action. At some level it isn’t at all surprising that librarians respond to faculty requests, but is there an opportunity for proactive campus leadership here? Do libraries have an opportunity to get out in front of faculty or administrator queries and proactively lead conversations on this issue? Is there an opportunity to position the library as a campus leader ensuring long-term access to critical scholarly content?

continued on page 30

Rumors
been slashed from $2 million in State of SC funding to $200,000! That’s quite a cut! We are scrambling to see how many of the online databases that we will be able to continue to provide to our patrons in light of this huge defusion (is that a word?) of cash. www.heraldonline.com/109/story/653565.html pascalsc.org/content/view/173/1/

And I just remembered to mention that the highly motivated researcher Sherman Pyatt, once of the Citadel as well as the Avery Research Center, is now Coordinator of the Collection Development unit at South Carolina State University in Orangeburg, SC.

And, speaking of forgetting (is that the half empty and remembering is the half full way of looking at things?) — My daughter Ileana

continued on page 32
resources to allow the university to be certified by professional associations. Although the materials are not unique, the individual titles have more weight than general materials because they support researchers who are doing more thorough and exacting research.

- Finally, we acquire materials in support of advanced degrees in subject fields that the university has defined as its core areas of expertise. While some of these materials may be older, they still provide historic context for the discipline. Every title in support of these subjects is unique and special; each is irreplaceable. Many organizational libraries have these same basic, intermediate, and advanced collection levels although specific subject areas are defined differently according to the mission and identity of the organization. For example, we understand that the discipline of Expressive Arts Therapies is far more important at Lesley University than at other schools and that Mathematics is far more important at other schools than at Lesley University. For us, all Expressive Arts Therapies materials are critically important.

To support the physical preservation of these materials that we define as part of our core areas of expertise and that support programs that are certified or monitored by outside organizations, we have tried to create an environment that is controlled for temperature, light, and humidity. We also bind journal issues for durability. Because we don’t physically segregate our collections by category of importance to the university’s mission, we are preserving all of our acquisitions, not just the mission critical materials. In this way, we feel that we have some control over the continued availability of these materials for our patrons over time.

But how can we create a preservation environment in the digital realm? In order to preserve our digital materials, we would have to “capture” them since we don’t have actual possession of this content. This means gathering text files, graphics files, audio and video files, possibly data files. And it means putting the pieces back together to form a coherent replication of the original digital work. It would also entail finding a way to keep the materials readable in appropriate configurations no matter how formats or software packages or hardware platforms change over time. It sounds like a rather daunting task that would take time and money and staff and technical expertise and hardware and software. Or we could choose to outsource the preservation and archiving of digital materials.

To meet our needs in this area, the Lesley University Library looked for an archiving service that was wholly focused on archiving born digital materials, that was actively replicating and participating in the development of industry-wide digital preservation standards, that could be monitored or certified in some way, that had a demonstrable track record for meeting expectations and that required no technology commitment from us since we do not control our own technology services. And the outsourcing solutions needed to be affordable now and for the foreseeable future.

Portico met our needs as an outsourcing service. The organization is associated with JSTOR and has background, growing expertise and a proven track record. Portico staff members have participated in the development of industry standards and have designed the ingestion, manipulation, storage and future migration of content according to these standards and industry best practices. Portico has also participated in the development of auditing and certification procedures for archives. Finally, Portico requires no technological support on the library’s side.

All that’s left then is to determine if Portico is an affordable solution. In FY2007, Lesley University became one of the Portico Archive Founders. Aside from the honor, this has netted the university a 10% savings for each year of the next five years. We have assumed that over time, the archive would grow to include more titles, and the more titles covered by the archive, the more titles from the Lesley University collection will be covered. In December 2006, when Lesley University joined the program, Portico had committed to archiving approximately 5,300 journals. As of this writing, there are over 7,600 journals in this category. In our first year of membership, the archive was committed to preserving 23% of the titles in the Lesley University Library collection. This worked out to $18.71 per title per year for the service of preserving and archiving titles in the collection. In FY2008, Portico was committed to preserving 24.6% of the titles in the Lesley University Library collection. The cost per title per year fell to $17.64. This is only slightly higher than the cost per title per year for binding, which is only one of our preservation expenses in the print environment. Portico does, truly, offer us an affordable solution for a significant portion of our digital archiving needs.

Until recently, the solution Portico offered us applied only to journals in digital formats. In June 2008, Portico announced that it would begin archiving eBooks also. As our library acquires more eBook packages and individually purchased titles, this new initiative is a welcome addition to the archiving effort. One concern we still have regarding the archiving of digital materials is that many of publishers of more esoteric titles in our core areas of expertise are smaller operations and may not have the technical or financial ability to join an archiving initiative like Portico. We would encourage Portico to find a way to reach out to these publishers whose digital content may be at risk than content from larger and more robust publishing organizations. This concern aside, we believe that we have made a sound financial decision in selecting our preservation and archiving choice for materials in the Lesley University Library digital collection.

**People Profile**

Collection Management Librarian, Lesley University Library

30 Mellen Street Cambridge, MA 02138

Phone: (617) 349-8859 • <mgeller@lesley.edu>

**Marilyn Geller**

**Born and Lived:** Born in New Jersey, lived in New Jersey, West Virginia and Massachusetts.

**Professional Career and Activities:** In my adult life, I’ve always been a librarian except for a brief flirtation with graduate work in anthropology.

**Family:** Husband, one daughter, one son-in-law, and two sons.

**Favorite Books:** There are too many to pick from, and I’m not done reading yet.

**PET PEEVES/WHAT MAKES ME MAD:** Clichés, especially “think outside the box” and “perfect storm.”

**HOW/WHERE DO I SEE THE INDUSTRY IN FIVE YEARS:** I hope libraries will continue to be the one thing you can count on in an information blizzard.

**Rumors**

*Collection Management Librarian at Lesley University*

I spent a delightful afternoon with Leslie Abrams and her talented and heading-to-college-soon son Nick in San Diego a few months ago. Turns out, Nick and I are big horse-racing fans and we commiserated on the loss of the Triple Crown by Big Brown in the Belmont Stakes. Anyway, getting back to business, Leslie way back when was Head of Reference at the College of Charleston and she is now in charge of the Art & Architecture Library at UCSD.  

continued on page 40
Was talking to Janet Flowers the other day. Janet has retired after 38 years at UNC-Chapel Hill (!) but not really if you ask me. Janet and her husband Eddie are coming to Charleston in November AND Janet is doing a Lively Lunch at the 2008 Conference called “Web 2.0 Tools for Newbies.” This program (Thurs-day, from 12:30-1:40) will provide an orientation to the 23 Things approach to learning Web 2.0 tools. Boy, you talk about taking a “glass half full” optimist’s ap-proach. Instead of being old, we are newbies. I like it! www.katrina.info/conference

And speaking of UCSD, the incredible Brian E. C. Schottlaender, the Audrey Geisel University Librarian at UCSD, is our keynote speaker on Saturday, November 8! Don’t forget to be there! And, did you know that Brian once worked for Harras-sowitz? www.ucsd.edu/

We’re truly thrilled to have Ned Kraft back with his Adventures column. And the one in this issue is about Heroes, p 8.

From the New York Times, August 24, 2008 — A group of scholars are trying to make George Orwell more relevant to the younger generation by creating a blog of his extensive diaries. For example on September 7, 2008, Orwell’s entry for September 7, continued on page 44

BRIEF PEOPLE PROFILE

Martha Anderson

Director of Program Management, National Digital Information Infrastructure and Preservation Program (NDIIPP) 101 Independence Avenue SE.
Phone: (202) 707-2598 • Fax: (202) 252-3173 <mande@loc.gov> • http://digitalpreservation.gov

PROFESSIONAL CAREER AND ACTIVITIES: Prior to my current position at the Library — I have served as project manager for the Office of Strategic Initiatives at the Library of Congress working with preservation architecture projects. I manage the capture of Web content in support of the Library’s Digital Strategic Initiatives Program and I am the Library’s program officer for the Web-at-Risk, California Digital Library Project and the MetaArchive Project. During the first charter period, 2003-2006, of the International Internet Preservation Consortium (IIPC), I chaired the Metrics and Testbed working group. The IIPC is an international organization of 37 national libraries and non-profit archives dedicated to collecting and archiving significant content from the Web. I now serve on the Steering Committee managing the communications.

During my tenure on the staff of the National Digital Library Program at the Library of Congress, I served in the roles of Manager of the Digital Conversion Group, Production Coordinator for American Memory Historical Web collections, Contracting Officer’s Technical Representative (COTR) for the multi-year paper scanning contract and as the National Digital Library Program Liaison to the Manuscript Division at the Library of Congress.

Prior to joining the Library of Congress in 1996, I worked in electronic publishing as editor and project manager for a variety of CD-ROM reference products including Time Almanac and the Patrología Latina Database.

IN MY SPARE TIME: I am a Master Gardener.
PHILOSOPHY: There is much to learn about digital preservation from the natural world. Diversity survives in nature.

HOW/WHERE DO I SEE THE INDUSTRY IN FIVE YEARS: The explosion of user-generated content and the availability of personal publishing tools is changing the information environment for libraries and publishers alike. Within the next five years, more and more libraries will serve their online patrons in more dynamic and personalized ways. There will also be a greater demand for more robust searching and filtering mechanisms to help users manage information.

What About eBooks?

by Toni Tracy (Director, Publisher Relations, Portico) <toni.tracy@portico.org>

In response to a growing number of requests from the publishers and library communities to preserve non-journal scholarly literature, including eBooks, Portico developed a study in late 2007 to help offer insight to the community about the current eBook landscape, including business models, data formats, and preservation needs. The study was designed to understand what level of need and support for eBook preservation exists in the scholarly publishing and academic library communities and to analyze the structure and preservation requirements of eBooks to determine the operational and technological infrastructure required to offer eBook preservation.

Portico invited eleven publishers and eBook aggregators to participate in the study and nine accepted. These organizations all participated in an interview, and the publishers supplied eBook content for technological and preservation assessment.

- American Mathematical Society
- Elsevier
- Morgan & Claypool
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)
- Royal Pharmaceutical Society
- Taylor & Francis
- ebrary
- MyiLibrary
- NetLibrary

Portico also invited eleven libraries and one consortium to participate in the study and all accepted. These organizations also participated in an interview about eBooks within their own institutional setting, or those of their members in the case of the consortium.

- California Digital Library
- Case Western Reserve University
- Cornell University
- McGill University
- Quinnipiac University
- SOLINET
- University College of London
- University of Montana
- University of New England (Australia)
- University of Texas
- Yale University
- York College (PA)

continued on page 42
but the reliability of implementation methods is regarded as unknown.

- **Preservation Concerns/Solutions:** All libraries reported that preservation arrangements needed to be more robust. Several noted that they do not (yet) raise this issue with publishers routinely but expect to do so as eBooks become a more permanent part of the collections.

- **Role of Portico in E-Book Preservation:** All of the libraries interviewed expressed a desire for Portico to undertake eBook preservation as a way of facilitating a more secure transition to reliance upon eBooks and permanent inclusion of this content into the collections, but the expressed urgency of this need varied considerably across institutions and disciplines. The greatest sense of urgency was expressed by librarians responsible for medical collections.

### Technical and Preservation Summary

The publisher participants in the survey provided sample content for the technical portion of the study. One reason these particular publishers were selected to be invited into the survey was to cover as wide a variety of publishers as possible, including eBook products in standard formats such as OEB, monographs created and/or hosted in journal systems, high-end reference publications, and output from digitization projects for older monographs.

#### Technical Findings:

- **Content in Standard eBook Reader Formats:** There are a number of standard eBook readers and formats, including Open eBook Publication Structure (OEB) and its successor, the .EPUB family of standards which has been recently released. eBook content produced for eBook readers is inherently standards-driven and highly uniform across publishers; this is in contrast to e-journal content which is designed to work specifically in the publisher’s own Website or delivery platform of choice and can be highly idiosyncratic.

- **Content Created or Hosted on e-journal Systems:** This content is typically PDF plus HTML with XML source files behind the HTML. It is similar to the vast majority of the content in Portico’s current e-journal preservation program. It may present some metadata challenges as a number of publishers may be offering monographs via a journal delivery system, bending the metadata structures in the process.

- **Content on Custom Websites:** This was the most problematic data in the study as there were no other data formats beyond the Website HTML available. HTML Web pages are notorious for lack of standards compliance and none of the sites examined had fully valid HTML and many suffered from hard-coded relative file paths.

- **High-end Reference Works:** These are, in effect, custom-designed and semantically-rich databases and they do not lend themselves to generic preservation approaches, at least not without serious loss of meaning. Each preservation project of this type will require a custom preservation plan.

In comparison to the current e-journal content seen by Portico, the best eBook content is much more straightforward: the eBook standards have jumped ahead of the e-journal standards and provide a more robust basis for content management and long-term content preservation. The worst eBook content is no worse than the messiest e-journal content — the difficulties that may arise for preservation will be due to lack of scale and resulting costs. For journals, the significant variations in data tend to be between titles, not at any smaller levels; in contrast, some of the eBook data studied varied from monograph to monograph.

### Conclusions

While libraries are still experimenting with eBooks and how to properly include them in their collection development strategies, the eBook publishers are immediately ready to implement a preservation strategy. The technological analysis of the study shows that even the more difficult eBook content will be no more difficult than e-journals.

### Rumors

1938, will be posted from his political diary where Orwell was “consumed by the clouds gathering” for the second World War. Jean Seton, a professor at the University of Westminster in London, had the idea for the blog and administers the project. Seton says the site will be publishing at least until 2010 and has received many visitors. The original material can be found in University College London where many of our ATG friends are located — Anthony Watkinson, David Nicholas, Liz Chapman are just a few of them. But as we all know, putting the material on the Web will make it more visible and accessible. See — “What George Orwell Wrote, 70 years Later.”

### People Profile

**Carol Richman**

**BORN AND LIVED:** Boston, MA, El Salvador, Los Angeles, Chicago, Baltimore.

**PROFESSIONAL CAREER AND ACTIVITIES:** English teacher and professor.

**FAMILY:** My husband and our pets!

**IN MY SPARE TIME I LIKE:** Bicycling, hiking, sitting on the beach reading.

**FAVORITE BOOKS:** Anything by Eudora Welty and great non-fiction.

**PET PEEVES/WHAT MAKES ME MAD:** People who stand at the top of the escalator and not move — looking bewildered...

**PHILOSOPHY:** Sighing helps clear the mind...

**MOST MEMORABLE CAREER ACHIEVEMENT:**?

**GOAL I HOPE TO ACHIEVE FIVE YEARS FROM NOW:** Decide what I would like to do in retirement…not retire but do something else…

**HOW/WHERE DO I SEE THE INDUSTRY IN FIVE YEARS:** I think it will be greatly changed and much the same…technology will truly guide events and how we learn, do research, and conduct business. But libraries will still exist…I have been in this industry for a while and I remember the head of Welch Library at Johns Hopkins telling me that libraries were going to be a thing of the past, that everything would be online…that was over 20 years ago…and, here we are today. So, in five years, there will be a few changes; but libraries will be that much stronger.

---

<http://www.against-the-grain.com>
Op Ed — Little Red Herrings
from page 54

Near Virtual Silence
That’s right, $100 million has been, essentially, handed to us to infuse new blood into our graying and some would say, dying, profession and we have greeted it with our hands over our mouths. The usual suspects, such as those on library juice (http://www.libraryjuice.com), have nothing at all good to say about the program. Some contend that the program is really the Higher Education Act of 1965, Title-B, Fellowship Program resurrected. If true, why, until this program, was our profession like the Cheshire cat, but with only its frown remaining? One would engage any substantive disagreements (as opposed to hysterical screeds), but there simply aren’t any. These are, however, partisan-political ones, and oft of the wing-nut variety regularly found among the far left and the far right.

It would be one thing if our profession took equal opportunity shots, but it doesn’t. During the Clinton administration I often read near hagiographic assessments of what the Clintons had done for libraries though I could find no programs that really benefited us and certainly none that supported our profession into the next generation. Of course many who were then swooning over the Clintons every move are, today, Obama supporters and excoriating the Clintons almost mercilessly. How soon they forget. I should not be surprised, of course, because our profession has been backed by such partisan political nonsense since the late seventies.

It’s too bad, too, because the Bush administration deserves both respect and loud applause, at least on this matter. Our profession has been quick to criticize almost all Republican administrations for just about anything and slow and shame-closed to give credit when appropriate. Given our reaction to this one so far, don’t be shocked if the next one ignores us altogether, and with good reason.

In any event, I could not let pass the opportunity to give three cheers to the Bush administration and I do so now. Disagree if you will about the war, taxes, the budget and so on. But be fair. When something good comes our way by whatever hand, give credit where credit is due. I for one am not looking this gift-horse in the mouth. 

Endnotes
1. Full disclosure: the author is a member of the IMLS board. Neither he nor his institution has, however, ever received an IMLS grant. Dr. Herring contributed to this article in his personal capacity. The views expressed are his own and do not necessarily represent the views of Institute of Museum and Library Services or the United States Government.
Notes from the Field from page 60

Discussion

Comments from the surveyed librarians suggest that collection development, library instruction, specialized reference, and keeping faculty abreast of changes in library resources and services were the duties and responsibilities shared by most of them. When questioned about the best practices they had used to identify core/classic titles and what suggestions they had for other librarians attempting to identify these titles in their own libraries, there was considerable overlap in their responses. Consulting unspecified best book lists, other librarians, psychology faculty, and specified resources such as Books for College Libraries and CHOICE’s Outstanding Academic Titles were frequently mentioned. The methods that librarians have used to publicly identify core/classic titles have varied, from placing notes in the item record to stamping books as classic titles. When asked how often psychology collections were weeded and the methods that were used, the responses revealed that the frequency varied widely and that common methods used were circulation/usage statistics, appearance/condition of the book, and the removal of duplicate copies and outdated materials.

Although the responses from this survey will be of interest primarily to psychology librarians, many of the suggested resources, such as Books for College Libraries, CHOICE’s Outstanding Academic Titles, Reference Sources for Small and Medium-Sized Libraries, American Reference Books Annual, and Balay’s Guide to Reference Books, are certainly valuable to collection management librarians who work with other disciplines such as the humanities or the sciences. Likewise, even some of the best practices cited in this article are most relevant to librarians working with psychology collections, many would still be useful to those undertaking collection management projects in disciplines such as the humanities, the sciences, or the social sciences.

Conclusion

This article fills a niche in the library literature concerning best practices of librarians who work in psychology collection management. The sixty-four survey respondents represent only a small sampling of the total number who work with psychology collections, yet their responses provide a revealing snapshot. Perhaps some of the responses may provide “food for thought” to librarians liaising with disciplines other than psychology.

Status of the USC Upstate Project

With faculty retirements and new faculty hires, identifying core/classic psychology titles in the USC Upstate Library has been an ongoing process that has been recently completed. The remaining part of the project concerns identifying the books so that they will not be inadvertently withdrawn and so that librarians and library users will recognize them as core/classic books. I plan to have notes placed in the item records alerting the library staff that per my instructions, these books should not be withdrawn. To benefit library users, there will be notes placed in the library catalog records, and the books will be stamped as core/classic titles.

Endnotes

1. Core/classic titles referenced in this article are defined as ones cited often within the psychology literature and those that are included in recommended works such as Balay’s Guide to Reference Books, Resources for College Libraries, the third edition of Books for College Libraries, and the Harvard List of Books in Psychology.

2. When the librarians participated in this survey, Resources for College Libraries, 2007 had not yet been published.

Rumors from page 55

And still speaking of blogs, inspired by Eleanor and the George Orwell project (above) I have begun a lesser blog. Have you seen it? www.against-the-grain.com/d/taxonomy/term/13

And speaking of the ATG News Channel, we are no longer requiring user names and passwords in order to access the Website so visit it and see what you think. We would love your input.

www.against-the-grain.com/

Was talking to the incredible Ann Okerson (who WILL be at the Charleston Conference in November — hooray! ). Ann was talking about peaches and I was telling her that SOUTH CAROLINA is really the peach state, not Georgia. Did you know that? (I guess it depends on where you’re from.) But I think that peaches will never replace chocolate in Ann’s heart.

Speaking of the Conference, have you registered yet? The Early Bird Deadline is September 26!

I am hoping to have some obituaries and reminiscences about the wonderful Matthew J. Brucoli in an upcoming issue of ATG. If any of you are interested in writing something, please let me know <kstrauch@comcast.net>.

continued on page 81
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ers. They are presented with theoretical reference questions and several options for answering the reference question. Students are asked to select the best option for addressing the reference question using the clicker. If the students select different resources / options they are invited to discuss their various approaches and the merits of each approach.

The clickers “add a dimension of interactivity to class that makes things a little more interesting. Especially working with a group you don’t know, the clickers help get discussion going. A student who might not raise his/her hand to answer a question will gladly ‘click’,” says Libby Young, Government Documents/Reference Librarian at Furman. Young emphasizes that clickers are not appropriate for every circumstance. “You just don’t want to overuse them to the point they drag down the presentation,” she says.

Texas A&M University (TAMU)

Texas A&M University Library has experimented with the use of clickers in three different types of instruction sessions: faculty and staff training instruction, instruction during Academic Integrity Seminars, and instruction for English Composition classes.

While clicker systems allow instructors to track individual responses and comprehension, at TAMU “the sessions were designed to keep student responses completely anonymous in order to encourage maximum participation.” (Hoffman and Goodman, 430)

Hoffman and Goodman observed several benefits of the use of clicker technology. They found that the clickers served as an ‘ice breaker’ in instruction sessions. “Before the first clicker question was even asked, students were engaged and curious about the clickers and what the instructors were about to teach.” (430) It was also determined that the clickers helped to keep students focused, increase participation, promote discussion, and increase retention. (Hoffman and Goodman, 430)

Brigham Young University (BYU)

Librarians at Brigham Young University play an active role in preparing students for a research project as part of a required First-Year writing course. Students attend two library instruction sessions, “which are weighted toward demonstration and hands-on practice.” (Julian and Benson, 258) Beginning in the fall of 2006, library instructors began using clickers during these information fluency sessions. “Because they spend considerable time watching demonstrations, some students lose focus during the session and miss important information. Clickers seem to increase attention through active participation and provide valuable feedback in the session.” (Julian and Benson, 258)

Using the data from the instruction sessions where the clickers were used, BYU identified three advantages the clickers offered: instantaneous assessment of student progress (how far along students are on a particular assignment), assessment of learning comprehension throughout the instruction session, and students’ opinions of their own learning comprehension. (Julian and Benson, 258)

Julian and Benson offer this advice when implementing clickers into information fluency instruction: “Carefully worded questions that fit the context of the instruction provide the best experience for students and the most valuable data for teachers.” (260) [For further information on best practices when incorporating clickers into the classroom, see the articles by both Caldwell and Martyn.]

What Do Clickers Cost?

There are several audience responses systems available on the market. Turning Technologies (http://www.turningtechnologies.com) offers TurningPoint, which can be configured for a variety of settings: higher education, K-12, or corporate applications. According to the TechKnowledge section in the August 2006 issue of School Library Journal, a 32-seat student response system from Turning Technologies runs $1,483. (Staino, 25) Dickinson College reported paying $2800 for its TurningPoint system. (Bombaro, 298)

Texas A&M University (TAMU) Libraries selected the InterWrite PRS system (http://www.interwriteteaching.com/) from GTCCO Calcomp, which is also used at the University of Nebraska. “Given a small budget, it seemed to be a cost-effective, bare-bones system that also offered several special features relevant to TAMU Library needs: it can create questions ‘on-the-fly’ and has interoperability with WebCT, Microsoft PowerPoint, and Microsoft Excel.” (Hoffman and Goodman, 427-428)

Ultimately the price for any ARS will vary based upon the application of the system (academic, K-12, public library, etc.), the number of clickers purchased, and the features the organization desires.

When used effectively, Audience Response Systems have the ability to engage students actively in library instruction sessions. They foster anonymity, garnering responses from students that might not otherwise participate, and providing a “safe” way for students to respond when dealing with touchy issues, such as academic integrity. At a price between $2000 and $3000 for an ARS installation, the cost of the clickers is not terribly expensive. In the same neighborhood as an electronic reference resource, it is an expense well worth the end results: active user engagement and positive publicity for the library.
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Speaking of Dr. Brucoli, Joel Swardlow wrote to obtain a PDF copy of the essay “The End of Books and the Death of Libraries” (ATG, v. 19#1, Feb 2007) with his students at the U. of Texas in Washington, DC this fall.

And, speaking of PDFs, Felicia M. Cleburn, <FCLEBURN@mail.twu.edu> who works for Texas Woman’s University as a library assistant in Electronic Resources participated in an online class in August called Fundamentals of Electronic Resources Acquisitions, sponsored by the American Library Association. Turns out the course reading list included an article from ATG — “Biz of Acq — A Database By Any Other Name” (ATG, v.14#2, April, 2002). And Felicia’s was just one of many requests that ATG received for the article! Sue Wiegand, the author, gave us permission to post this online so it is now available for all to see on the ATG News Channel! Thanks to Sue and to Felicia. And, let us know if there is a specific article that you would like to see online and we will do our best to comply.

www.against-the-grain.com

And, last but not least, if you have time (Friday 2:15-3:00), please stop by for a discussion of The Charleston Conference Observatory – A Proposal by David Nicholas (UCL). Currently there is no mechanism by which the exciting ideas and challenges raised can be tested or researched further and the results reported back to the Charleston Conference to ensure or build continuity and dialogue. The Charleston Observatory will provide a mechanism by which this can be done. The Observatory will be the research adjunct for the Conference, the medium by which the ideas generated are turned into robust research projects, which provide the evidence base for strategic planning. The Observatory will be a place where information experiments can be undertaken...
more than 90% full, one component of the plan calls for weeding of 175,000-350,000 volumes.

- The University of California, Santa Cruz, as part of a building renovation, had to select and move 50,000 volumes to the UC System’s Northern Research Library Center in a three-month period. It is unclear how many of those will return to the library when the renovation is complete.

- The University of Utah’s Marriott Library recently opened an Automated Retrieval Center (ARC), which now houses 40% of the print collection in robotically-served compact shelving. Among its other benefits, students reportedly achieve alpha-wave trance states while watching it operate.

- The Auraria Library at present has no additional shelf space in subclass ranges N-XX, until a major shift (which doubles as a student worker fitness program) has been completed. This means that the newest Art books are stored on overflow shelves behind the Circulation desk.

These are just top-of-the-head examples, intended only to highlight how common and severe the problem actually is. Nor are these isolated situations; in our experience, variations on these circumstances exist in almost every library. The space associated with storing print books and journals is beginning to look very scarce and very expensive — in direct costs, maintenance costs, and opportunity costs.

Solutions such as automated compact shelving (e.g., Colgate’s LASR (Library Automated Storage & Retrieval) and Valparaiso’s ASRS (Automated Storage & Retrieval System) enable denser storage of books onsite and will buy some time. Shared offsite storage facilities such as the Harvard Depository, the University of California’s Northern and Southern Research Library Centers, and the Five Colleges Library Depository (better known as “The Bunker”) provide additional low-cost space, but also enable librarians to defer decisions about withdrawal. And even these massive facilities are filling rapidly. They are part of a sustainable collections strategy, but only part. The underlying problem remains. There are too many books. There are too many copies of the same books. And there are too many unused books to justify the space they now occupy, and the time spent caring for them.

There are several ways to control collection size, of course. One is to reduce the amount of incoming material, e.g., by cancelling print subscriptions in favor of securely archived e-journals. This approach is increasingly being adopted. For current US Federal Government documents, more than 95% of current titles are available in electronic form, allowing receipt of tangible items to be dramatically reduced. For monographs, eBooks are gradually assuming a role in new title decisions. Some enterprising librarians, such as Peter Spitzform at the University of Vermont, are experimenting with a purchase-on-demand approach for new books from major publishers — deferring purchase until a title is requested by a user. Collaboration can also help control collection size. Consortia such as the Orbis/Cascade Alliance have initiated “distributed print repositories,” in which member libraries divide responsibility for last print-copy archives of major journal backfiles — allowing other members to withdraw their copies, releasing valuable shelf space. The Colorado Alliance of Research Libraries is in the third year of testing a shared approval plan, which will reduce the aggregate number of copies held by participants.

But the problem is bigger than any of these solutions. Even if the incoming volume can begin to be controlled, our collections overflow with the results of past decisions and deferred maintenance. Ultimately, libraries really do need to weed. It’s like dieting or cleaning out the attic, though. We know it’s the right thing to do, but as Slote notes “It is hard to find practicing librarians who feel that their collections have been weeded sufficiently.”

It’s time to usher in a Golden Age of Weeding — i.e., to de-select from collections built over the past 40 years with the same dedication with which we selected for them.

Permit us a note of pre-emptive defense: We are not advocating the abolition of print. We are not advocating that research libraries abandon their mission of collecting for the ages. While we believe that it is possible that some content has no value, we are not even advocating withdrawal and discard of that. We are simply suggesting that little-used content need not be so widely held, especially in print form, and that the space occupied by the miles of shelving now required can be used more effectively. There is far more redundancy in the current system than is needed, even for the most ambitious collectors.

Why focus on weeding? First, it benefits libraries and users. Slote and others cite five major benefits:

1. To save (or recover) space
2. To increase book usage
3. To increase reader satisfaction
4. To save staff time
5. To make room for new technologies

Why now? Conditions are currently better for weeding than at any time in recent memory. Consider how the following factors a) reduce the risk of withdrawal, b) increase accuracy and efficiency in weeding, and c) reinforce the need to start now:

1. More content is accessible digitally. Print is more often the format of last resort.
2. The infrastructure for resource sharing has improved. Couriers, union catalogs, direct borrowing, ILL, and shared print repositories all allow timely access to shared print titles.

3. Withdrawn content is easier to access or replace if needed. Google Book Search has 1 million digitized full-text titles; the Open Content Alliance 750,000. Lightning Source has 400,000 print-on-demand titles. Commercial eBook providers collectively offer more than 200,000 titles. WorldCat holdings are easily visible to members. Out-of-print or used book dealers can provide millions of older titles.

4. Circulation statistics are easy to retrieve from most library systems.

5. Volume count is becoming a less important metric in assessing collection strength. ARL and other statistics have begun to use materials budget rather than volume counts as a measure of collection strength (although accreditation boards for specific disciplines may not).

6. New tools are being developed to support rules-based weeding and batch maintenance transactions. B2 has a patent pending on a “Sustainable Collections System” that we hope to introduce in 2009.

7. Space for collections is becoming a lower priority. University and college administrators are more reluctant to build and maintain space for “warehousing” printed books and journals.

8. We can’t (and shouldn’t) afford to keep doing what we’re doing.

Yes, there are plenty of issues to resolve, even after we accept the need to weed. Complaints surface regularly about relying on other libraries’ collections: timeliness of access or delivery; inaccurate or disparately expressed holdings; missing issues in runs that are purportedly complete; the relative condition of materials; the effect of constant transport on their longevity; restricted access to some titles. But these can and should be managed, through standards and service agreements. Over time, digitization will ameliorate some of these problems — and create some new ones. There are also some ironies here. Better discovery tools such as consortial borrowing, ILL, Google Book Search, and WorldCat Local may actually increase print usage of older titles, even as they are being withdrawn or moved offsite. This long tail effect may be especially pronounced in research libraries, where unique content abounds.

But while all of these issues must be monitored and addressed, there remains an enormous problem, and an equally sizable opportunity. As with the making of books, there is no end to their weeding. It’s time to consider what level of collection is sustainable, and to take steps to manage accordingly. It’s time to move vigorously in this direction, as responsible stewards not only of our collections, but of our space, talent, and other resources that also belong to our host institutions and users.
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