June 2004

ATG Interviews Christopher Warnock

Katina Strauch

Against the Grain, kstrauch@comcast.net

Follow this and additional works at: <https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/atg>

Part of the [Library and Information Science Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Strauch, Katina (2004) "ATG Interviews Christopher Warnock," *Against the Grain*: Vol. 16: Iss. 3, Article 21.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.7771/2380-176X.4351>

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.

ATG Interviews Christopher Warnock

CEO, ebrary

by Katina Strauch (Editor, *Against the Grain*) <kstrauch@comcast.net>

ATG: Tell us about ebrary. When was it begun and by whom? Why? Who owns ebrary? What is the technology underlying your system and how is it different from your competitors?

CW: The beginning of ebrary...there are two beginnings really: The initial idea and then the actions to start the company and the development process.

In one sense, it all started while I was attending the **University of Utah** when a television special aired in which **Steve Jobs** described the computer as a bicycle for the mind. It inspired me to build a bicycle of my own. While conducting research for the bicycle, I realized that someday, all of the world's information would become accessible from computers. This beginning was followed by years of wonder as to what such a system would be like and how I could contribute to it.

All of this led me to **Adobe Systems**, where I worked on **Acrobat, Octavo** where I conducted feasibility studies on the digitizing of rare books, the **Arion Press** in San Francisco, where I learned about publishing, printing and book-binding, and **Stanford University**, where I met **Mike Keller** and **Vicky Reich**, two people who gave me a chance to formulate and express the ideas behind ebrary. Then in 1998, there was the action and creation of ebrary, which was initiated by my need to incorporate in order to become a contractor at **Stanford**.

The second and most important beginning of ebrary was when I met with **Kevin Sayar**, a friend of mine from high school, who was a lawyer at the law firm of **Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich and Rosati**. When I approached him with regard to becoming a corporation of one, he suggested that we start a business together. I told him the idea, he said "let's do it," and we did. This was over the roof of his car after lunch. **Kevin** is the president of ebrary. We then brought in **Mohamad Al-Baghdadi**, who is our VP of International Sales and Channel Development and together, along with the help, blood,

sweat, and tears of our employees and numerous librarians, we fleshed out what would become ebrary today.

ebrary is a privately held corporation that is, as of this writing, owned by our investors: **Random House, McGraw-Hill, Pearson**, some private investors and our employees.

ebrary's underlying technology essentially deconstructs PDF and builds a database that allows for the support of several different business and usage models. Incorporated within this system is **Digital Rights Management, Full-text Search, Display** technology, multiple **Discovery** engines as well as some interfaces that we have developed to facilitate integration. The system is built with the intent to be as flexible and scalable as we can make it.

ATG: Where did the name ebrary come from? How does your Website work? A lot of material is available free of charge but much of it is not available or costs a fee to view. Can you explain the model that you are working with costwise? This is an important question to answer clearly. Please spend more time on this one.

CW: I came up with the name "ebrary" while on the train, on my way home from work at the **Arion Press**. It was not taken. I had checked on **Internic** the moment I got home, so I registered it. The **New York Public Library** told us a couple of years later that we had beaten them to the name by a mere month. I don't even remember what some of our other considerations were.

ebrary supports a number of business and payment models. Our primary focus is aggregating databases of content from leading publishers that we license to libraries and other institutions. We also license our technology to customers that need to distribute and archive their own content, under the business and payment model of their choice. We also operate a few sites for individuals: **ebrary Discover**, a fee-based site designed for individual researchers who do not yet have access to our databases through their library, and our **Library Center**, a complimentary site that provides news and information for librarians and MLS students.

For our content aggregation business, we work with leading publishers to create dynamic databases, which we license to libraries and other institutions worldwide. We offer aggregated databases in multiple subject areas as well as collections from single publishers such as **Datamonitor** and **D&B**. Our databases primarily include full-text books, but we also offer sheet music, maps, journals, reports, magazines and other documents.

Libraries pay us an annual license fee for our databases based on type of library and size for simultaneous, multi-user access to content. Typically there is no cost involved for the end user, the library subscribes to multiple databases,

which many of our customers do, they get access to all of the databases individually, but the library may also request that their site be configured to provide access to a database that seamlessly integrates all of the different collections. This is one of the most powerful features that ebrary offers.

For our technology licensing business, customers pay us an annual license fee based on the number and type of documents, bandwidth and customization requirements. Customers that license our technology control the access and pricing models. For example, some customers who license our technology offer content for free, under a completely **Open Access** model including the downloading of the source PDF. Other customers stream information, but don't allow downloading. We also have customers that either provide restricted access, or access only with paid compensation either through subscription or via micro-transaction payments.

We recently announced that both **ALA** and **SLA** are licensing our technology to distribute their publications online. **ALA** is using ebrary to distribute and archive *American Libraries* magazine, while **SLA** is using our technology to distribute and archive *Information Outlook*. We also distribute *Advanced Technology Libraries*, a newsletter published and edited by **Judy Duke**.

Furthermore, we even have some libraries that have digitized either their collections or their publications using our services for distribution of their content. **Stanford University**, which is using ebrary's software to distribute information from their collections, as well as their publications that they have digitized, offer numerous collections to their user community. **UC Berkeley** and the **Library of Congress** both distribute some of their books through ebrary's aggregated databases, and of course a percentage of our publishing partners are university presses.

When it comes to models, we attempt to offer multiple options. This combined with the cost-efficiency of building databases through our software provides an effective, commercially viable means for distributing electronic documents. We believe that we arguably have the most efficient means for creating and publishing commercially viable databases on the planet. ebrary's strength is in its ability to build cost-effective databases with flexible business models to provide commercially viable solutions for distributing electronic documents. We have leveraged this strength to provide a very successful service and become a leader in the international library market.

Additionally, ebrary offers sites for individuals. **ebrary Discover**, which features a full-text databases of books, maps, reports and other documents spanning multiple subject areas, is

continued on page 67

Profiles Encouraged — Walker from page 65

MEANINGFUL CAREER ACHIEVEMENT: Possibly editing "*The Bodleian Library: A Subject Guide to the Collections*," to be published by the **Bodleian** later this year, with contributions from over 40 former colleagues.

INDUSTRY IN 5 YEARS: More hybrid than ever, and that much more exciting. I won't believe in the demise of the printed word for as long as I can go into **Blackwells** bookstore (next door to the **Bodleian**) and see all those 1000-page **printed** manuals on how to get the best out of your computer!

Warnock Interview
from page 66

designed for individual researchers who do not have access to **ebrary** through their library. To access this site, individuals must set up an account with a \$5 minimum, and are then charged only for copying and printing text.

Through our **Library Center**, we offer a complimentary aggregated database of books and newsletters specifically for librarians. We view the **Library Center** as a community service as well as an opportunity for Librarians to become familiar with our technology and services.

ATG: Tell us about ebrary's Library Center?

The **Library Center** offers a collection of books, reports and archived newsletters for public access. The site is intended to provide librarians and students with important research materials, industry news and information related to librarianship and related fields. There is no cost associated with using the site or the content.

ATG: The past year (2003) has been awesome for you from all reports. You had a customer base increase of 300% and a customer renewal rate of 95%. How did you achieve such tremendous growth in hard times? What is your secret?

We are beginning our third year of sales. Last year, our second year, was a great year, especially in light of how hard things are for libraries with regard to funding. We are very grateful for our customers and for their insights and support that they have given us. We are also very fortunate to have great people at **ebrary** and are very proud of our accomplishments. However, whenever a company achieves great results under such difficult market conditions it is most likely attributed to a very strong value proposition.

We are a small company. While people may have heard of **ebrary**, most librarians will categorize us as an eBook provider. When librarians see what we do, evaluate our title lists and participate in a trial program, they realize that we are not an "eBook" provider. In fact, **ebrary** has never been in the eBook business. Unfortunately, it has been difficult for us to effectively explain that we offer much more than mere eBooks. The good news is that when we give a demonstration, especially if people have been working with traditional eBook vendors, they see the benefits and the value of what we offer to their libraries—especially in times of reduced funding—and to their patrons in terms of research aids.

ATG: Who are your customers? Is it largely libraries? What percentage of your customers are US and what percentage are international and in what markets? Over the past year, where was the growth—in the North American market or in a different segment?

We have two types of customers. Our primary customers license our content. These are primarily academic libraries, but we are beginning to penetrate the special and public library markets as well. The second customer type licenses our technology. These are typically content aggregators, publishers, libraries, and corporations.

Our customer base is distributed throughout 41 countries, and is nearly evenly distributed between North America and the rest of the world. The growth we experienced in 2003 was also distributed evenly geographically, as well as across all of our market segments.

ATG: How does the North American market differ from the European market, or the Asian market or other international markets? Can you tell us what share of your business is in each market? How many customers do you have? How do libraries make use of your service?

CW: It is difficult to paint a picture of the differences between the US and international markets. Each is unique, just as every library is unique due to the influence of the native culture of the area, the differences due to the personalities of the Library Director, the Collection Development Librarian, or the influence of the IT staff. These differences in many ways are much more palpable than international differences.

With regard to our market share in each market, we are working to our overall plan, and things are continuing to go very well for **ebrary**.

Libraries for the most part, make use of our service the way it was intended to be used, which is to integrate with libraries' existing **OPAC** systems enabling patrons to gain access to the full text of the book, or

continued on page 68

Reference Universe

Reference
Universe is
a growing
database of
integrated
article titles
and back-of-
the-book
indexes
for more
than 5,000
specialized
encyclopedias
and reference
works, with
real time links
to local
holdings and
online reviews.

*"...an exciting product that
will get a lot of use at
reference desks in both public
and academic libraries....*

Highly recommended."

Editors' Choice 2003

*Booklist/Reference Books
Bulletin, Nov. 1, 2003*

*"Reference Universe has taken
its searching functionality to a
new level of integration with
local library catalogs...."*

American Libraries, March 2004

*"A godsend for reference
librarians."*

*Thomas Mann, The Oxford
Guide to Library Research*

*"...invaluable as a way to
promote holdings information
easily to patrons as well as an
in-house collection development
tool. 'A' Rating."*

*Net Connect/Library Journal,
Winter 2004*

P A R A T E X T
Electronic Reference Services

www.paratext.com

document from the library's home page. If you think about it, one of the biggest problems in today's information rich society is that we can instantaneously have access to too much information. The library and the OPAC system, through the standardization of cataloging practices, provides an incredibly easy means for finding and accessing authoritative information.

ATG: *You also cater to individuals. What percentage of your customer base are individuals? Are they librarians or other types of professionals? Has your growth been in this market? And how do you price to this market?*

CW: We do cater to individuals, and we have seen growth in this market, too. However, our desire is to make the authoritative content accessible through libraries. We offer **ebrary Discover** to individuals so that they have access if their library does not subscribe to **ebrary** databases. **Discover** also provides a way for individuals to connect with libraries that do subscribe to **ebrary** through a referral program.

ATG: *You work with all sorts of documents — books, maps, sheet music, journals, technical reports? What else? And how do you integrate this material or do you?*

CW: We also have photographs, magazines, newsletters, manuscripts, dissertations, theses, annual reports, catalogs... We pretty much have an example of any document that has ever been produced for a two dimensional (paper) plane. We even have some documents with less than 25 known copies in the world. All of this information, regardless of the document type, is submitted into our "system." Once a document is submitted, it just works. We are finding the more content we add, the better the system works.

Within **ebrary**, any document can be submitted with or without metadata, and we can build a database of tens of thousands of documents in a very short period of time with our existing system.

Our thoughts were that if we could extend the library's existing infrastructure to allow for the viewing and access of the document over the Web, then the library could extend their service offerings past their available open hours. We provide **MARC Records** for the majority of our titles that contain links to the document within the system. We developed the **ebrary Reader** so that we could integrate the other electronic resources that the library subscribes to, so that they are accessible for reference from within the context of the document. This enables more of the library's resources to become more easily available and accessible to their patron when they may need it most. We feel that it is important for the service to be primarily identifiable as a library offered service. Our goal in this regard is to essentially be invisible, so we offer the library the ability to customize the look and feel of their site so that it better matches and integrates with their existing site. Fundamentally there is nothing that should limit us to a specific document type or format, so we have chosen to build a system that is not limited in this scope.

Currently we are prototyping different variations of our software: we have one version where we just submitted over 10,000 documents in less than a day. I was amazed that we were able to create a fully functioning, commercially viable, **ebrary** enabled database of over 10,000 documents in less than a day. It was a huge break-through.

ATG: *Who are your competitors? There are more and more of you. Is the market going to settle down or are we just in a state of flux because we don't have the right (profitable?) model yet?*

CW: It's funny, we certainly have companies that we are competing with, but it is not what anyone would characterize as head-to-head competition, at least not yet anyway. We often get "mis-shelved" into the eBook category, yet we do not have meetings internally where we say, "so and so just released feature x, when can we have it?" Instead, we find we are competing for the library's diminishing resources and the possibility to play a role in the libraries construction of their future. We are competing with entrenched ideologies, prejudices of varying extremes, and toughest of all the status quo.

Ultimately, the question boils down to why a library would want to offer their users remote access to a database of over \$600,000 worth of recently published books with simultaneous multi-user access over the Internet from within the library's OPAC system, under the library's branding for a couple of thousand dollars per year? Last year our collection grew by more than 30%. We are the only company with an offering for primarily book content like this and we believe we have several compelling reasons as to why every library should want to partner with us, especially in times of reduced funding.

ATG: *What do you think is the best/most outstanding aspect of ebrary?*

CW: The main thing that is outstanding about **ebrary** is that we have been able to work side-by-side with publishers and libraries to make authoritative information available and accessible to the world. This has been a collaborative effort. It is truly rewarding to work with the corporations and institutions that are responsible for distributing ideas and making ideas organized so that the people who need access to authoritative information can find it and use it more efficiently. It is gratifying that we have been able to do this and maintain the publishers' and authors' compensation.

ATG: *All the talk these days seems to be about OA, open access. What types of ramifications will this type of model have on ebrary?*

CW: It seems that most of the communication regarding **Open Access** has revolved around **Scholarly Communications** involving **Journals** within **STM**. In some instances **STM Journals** and the articles they are comprised of, are funded by universities that have to pay for the research and authoring, then expend those same resources for peer-reviews and the further editing, then license that same content back in electronic form for about 20% or more of their library's materials budget.

This seems like a pretty tough pill to swallow so it seems appropriate that the academic community would look to other means of distribution to address this issue.

ebrary supports **Open Access** as a distribution model. We allow publishers to have their content downloaded, viewed, printed and copied without any fee or restriction. While I wholeheartedly believe in **Open Access**, I have not yet had a publisher or a university request for us to make their information available under this model.

With regard to the impact on **ebrary**, we intend to facilitate **Open Access** when a publisher or institution is interested. The barrier here is that non-scholarly publications and communications expect to pay their employees, their editors and their authors. This in no way detracts from the idea of **Open Access**. We are making content as openly accessible as publishers are willing, within the context of their rights, and their perspective on their industry and market. Now, that the majority of our publishers choose not to allow the downloading of their content, and expect to receive compensation, I think it is reasonable and to be expected, especially since the majority of the content within **ebrary** has not been funded by universities or grants, but through commercial channels. These are companies that are not insulated by universities or federal or state funding. Even some of our not-for-profit publishers have the expectation of at least recovering costs, and we facilitate this.

That **ebrary** technologically supports **Open Access** is not enough. We would love the opportunity to work with any **Open Access** initiatives that are looking for a powerful, yet economical means for distributing electronic documents. With regard to **ebrary's** existing database business, I think that there are some great opportunities to explore how different business and access models can be incorporated to facilitate research.

ATG: *Do you have an overall advisory board of some sort? Does it include librarians?*

CW: We have an advisory board which includes **Roger Summit**, founder of **Dialog**, **Michael Keller**, University Librarian, Director of **Academic Information Resources**, Publisher of **HighWire Press** and Publisher of **Stanford University Press** and **Craig Cline**, who is an industry pundit of Seybold fame. We also have focus groups several times throughout the year, which involve librarians, and the IT Staff at libraries, depending on the information we are trying to obtain. Ultimately, however, we rely on our relationships with our customers to help us when we need it and let us know what we need to be doing better. So to this extent, everything we do involves and includes librarians.

ATG: *What predictions can you make about the future? What will libraries and publishing be like in five or ten years?*

CW: One of my favorite quotes, from **William Gibson**, is: "the future is already here, it is just not evenly distributed." Any discussion about the future I usually preface with this.

At some point all of the information on the planet will be accessible from a computer. The goal should be to make it accessible from every computer. This obviously does not apply to proprietary or private information. Storage and bandwidth have dropped tremendously in price, enough so that I could now have a complete library of all of my several

continued on page 69

Warnock Interview
from page 68

thousand books at home as well as all of my music and videos on my iPod.

I have seen machines, developed by some friends of mine at **4 DigitalBooks** in Switzerland, that can scan a book without cutting the binding at the rate of over 1,000 pages an hour. **Stanford** has one and they are doing marvelous things with it. I have seen a printer that can print, bind and trim a paper bound book in less than 15 minutes. When these two devices are strung together via the Internet, you could have a book digitized and then printed and bound at multiple points simultaneously across the world in less than hour. Either one of these devices on its own is staggering in its implications, but the effect of when they are combined becomes incredibly disruptive in a positive sense. How profound a disruption like this could be? Only time will tell.

This may not happen in the next five years, but every year more information is digitized, and some company is trying to figure out a way in which they can gate access to all of the information for their own monetary benefit. It can be disguised as public utility, but in the end it is in no one's interest to have information access within the control of any single company, or even distributed among a few. (This would be even worse if it was a governmental agency—imagine the outcome when the budget got cut?)

It is for these reasons that I believe in the ideas behind **LOCKSS**, with the addition of the caveat that the information will also be consumed in an equally distributed fashion. This has to happen so that the price for information drops. If the price of information does not drop, then we will not have realized the distribution potential of the Internet and the "information age." By the way—I believe it is possible for prices to drop and for the publishers and authors to make more money.

In terms of the potential for the future, we have not even seen the tip of the iceberg. I would think that large, centralized repositories of information, whether they are retail-based or information-based, will be supplanted by smaller self-organizing systems that will allow for direct communication between publisher and consumer, or institution and patron, or publisher and institution. Commercial intermediaries need to be reduced or need not exist.

The future is ultimately what we collectively try to make of it. One of my favorite aspects of working within the academic community is that people talk about the future in cohesive conversations and collaborate in order to achieve it.

ATG: Finally, please tell us about yourself — family, what you like to read, what you like to do in your spare time, stuff like that.

CW: I love spending time with my family. I have two boys and a wonderful wife that I have been married to for 10 years. We live one mile from my parents and five miles from my wife's parents. My parents are two of my best friends, and I have a tremendous amount of respect for them both. I see my brother and sister as often as I can.

If I am not working, I try to spend as much time with my family on our sailboat, "Magic

New Features Include:

Research Reports

Invited Papers

Review Articles

Topical Papers

Editorial Comment

Letters to the Editor

introducing LEUKOS

The Journal of the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America

IESNA's new quarterly **online journal**, **LEUKOS**, will be available to all members and subscribers at **www.iesna.org**.

*Members will have free access to all issues, and they will have the option to purchase a printed compilation issue annually for \$25.00. *Subscribers have access to the online journal, and the compilation issue is included in the subscription price.

David L. DiLaura, Editor

For ordering information, contact:
IESNA 120 Wall Street, New York, NY 10005
Fax: 212-248-5017; Phone: 212-248-5000 ext. 111.
"for trial access, contact lpreslia@iesna.org"

Flute," as possible. If we are not on our sailboat and it is winter, then we usually go skiing. Everything I do for fun generally involves some form of water: salty, fresh or frozen: I kayak, river-board, surf (poorly) and scuba dive.

I love books. I believe that the book is a perfect technology. I have kept almost every book I have ever read, and I have many more books that I would like to read "someday." I read about a book a week. If I am traveling, I can generally squeeze in a bit more than that, unless I am someplace new, then I like to explore. I have a modest collection of first editions ranging across all my interests.

I am currently reading "Salt: A World History" by **Mark Kurlansky**. One of my favorite modern authors is **Neal Stephenson**, although I have not yet read his latest book—I will. Any opportunity I have to read, I read.

Every book has a story as fascinating and interesting as the information that it contains or the person who wrote it.

I do letterpress printing and bookbinding with my wife as a hobby.

I ride my bike or walk to work, but I would prefer to sail to work.

I try to keep my life simple and my mind open. That's about it.