PROJECT OVERVIEW
How We Got Here

- 2004: Draft Environmental Impact Statement
  - Preferred Alternative
  - Not financially feasible – Project Suspended in 2005
- 2014: KYTC Feasibility Study – Identified an additional corridor
- 2016: Governors agreed to re-start project – tolling would be part of funding solution
- January 2017: I-69 ORX Project initiated
- Summer 2017: Screened Corridors to 3 based on engineering and environmental factors
- December 2018: Published DEIS identifying preferred alternatives
The NEPA process for I-69 ORX

- More than just a two state project
  - INDOT and KYTC teams
  - Two FHWA divisions plus several federal agencies
  - Two communities tied together by a current single crossing
  - Many opportunities for public involvement to get local communities, local businesses, and public officials input during the NEPA process
Project Goals

• Provide cross-river system linkage and connectivity between I-69 in Indiana and I-69 in Kentucky that is compatible with the National I-69 corridor
• Develop a solution to address long-term cross-river mobility
• Create a cross-river connection that reduces traffic congestion and delay
• Improve safety for cross-river traffic
Existing Bridges

- **Existing Bridges**
  - Northbound: 1932
  - Southbound 1965
  - Each carries 2 lanes
  - Each is eligible for the National Register
- **Region only needs 6 lanes of cross-river capacity**
- **DEIS Alternatives proposed keeping either 1 or 0 existing bridges**
- **Removing an aging US 41 bridge from service = $145 million saved**
Preferred Alternatives: Central 1A and 1B

- Build a 4-lane I-69 bridge
- US 41 northbound retained for two-way, local traffic
- 11.2 miles of interstate (8.4 miles of new roadway)
- Three new interchanges
- Improvements to three existing interchanges
- Maintain local access roads
Preferred Alternatives: Central 1A and 1B

- Route, bridge location and lane configuration are identical
- Tolling options are the only difference between the two
- Central Alternative 1A would toll both the I-69 bridge and the remaining US 41 bridge
- Central Alternative 1B would toll only the I-69 bridge
“IF YOU FAIL TO PLAN, YOU ARE PLANNING TO FAIL.”

- BENJAMIN FRANKLIN
Is this a New Project?

- 2001 Notice of Intent
- 2004 DEIS
- 2005 Project Suspended (No Record of Decision)
- 2017 Project Restarted

- 23 U.S.C. 102(b): No ROD ➔ repayment of Federal funds
  - Revised Notice of Intent

12-year gap
Getting Started on the Right Foot

- FHWA Project of Division Interest (PODI)
  - Early Coordination with FHWA – including HQ
  - EJ process
  - Administrative Record
- NEPA Strategic Planning Workshop
- Detailed Project Schedule
  - Full P6 schedule – reviewed by everyone
  - Buy-in and Accountability
  - 23 months from NTP to DEIS
Maximizing Value of Previous Studies

- TEA-21 allows for use of previous studies
- Alternatives Development
  - 2004 DEIS
  - KYTC SIU#4 Feasibility Study
- Review of Previous Environmental Studies
Two States – Two sets of rules

- Two FHWA Divisions
- State Law/Agencies
- Federal Agency Regions – USEPA, USFWS
- State DOT Procedures/Policies

Practice, Policy, or Law?

- Methodology Memos
  - Regulations
  - Agencies involved
  - Recommendations
  - IAC Coordination
Internal Coordination

- Progress Meetings
- Legal Advisor Meetings
- FHWA Meetings
- Environmental Team Meetings
- Design Team Meetings
- SharePoint
- Review Workflows
GETTING TO THE “D”
Ohio River Navigation

- Early outreach to USCG
- Seaman’s Church Institute
- Two approved span arrangements → Bridge Type flexibility

### JOHN T. MYERS LOCK AND DAM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VESSEL TYPE</th>
<th>NUMBER OF VESSELS (2016)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Vessels</td>
<td>4,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barges</td>
<td>40,599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Commercial Vessels</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational Vessels</td>
<td>1,653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VESSEL TYPE</td>
<td>NUMBER OF VESSELS (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barges</td>
<td>14,415 Empty, 26,184 Loaded</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Vessels</td>
<td>4,351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Flotillas</td>
<td>4,322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Commercial Vessels</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreational Vessels</td>
<td>1,653</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

JOHN T. MYERS LOCK AND DAM
Selecting a Corridor: Business Impacts vs. Bypass

- Coordination with business community
- Interchange modification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>West Alternative 1</th>
<th>West Alternative 2</th>
<th>Central Alternative 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Residences</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Businesses</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Threatened and Endangered Species

- Bats
  - Known maternity roosting area
  - Survey of bridges
- Mussels
  - Recorded in Ohio River and Green River
  - Rain, Rain, Go Away!
Retaining Northbound US 41 Bridge

- US 41 northbound being retained because of historic significance
- NB bridge opened in 1932; has historic significance because of how it was constructed and funded
- SB bridge opened in 1965; is only historic when paired with NB bridge
- Similar costs to rehabilitate and maintain either bridge
Tolling

- Tolling I-69 bridge accepted early in process
- Tolling Education
  - All electronic tolling
- Toll Rates – *We don’t know yet.*
- Tolling the Existing Bridge Too – *You want to do what?!?!!*
Environmental Justice

• Early Coordination with FHWA – Carolyn Nelson
  – Outreach Plan
  – Qualitative/Quantitative Analysis Plan (Margaret Moore)

• Outreach
  – EJ Subcommittee
  – Community Conversations
  – Speakers Bureau
  – Constantly talking about tolling and mitigation
Funding and Financing

- **Education**
  - Funding Sources
  - Declining Gas Tax Revenues and Effect of Inflation
  - “We have a math problem”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expected Toll Revenue</th>
<th>Financing Capacity</th>
<th>Funding Gap</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Alternative 1A</td>
<td>$2.6 billion = $500 million</td>
<td>$750 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Year of collection dollars</strong></td>
<td>40% of Upfront Capital Costs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Alternative 1B</td>
<td>$1.2 billion = $250 million</td>
<td>$1 billion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>20% of Upfront Capital Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Reader Friendly EIS

• Oxymoron?
• Achieving a “single voice”
  – Style Guide
• Length
  – CEQ regulations:
    The text of final environmental impact statements (e.g., paragraphs (d) through (g) of § 1502.10) shall normally be less than 150 pages and for proposals of unusual scope or complexity shall normally be less than 300 pages.
    – I-69 ORX DEIS: 335 pages (so close!)
    – Balancing legal sufficiency with readability
    – Tech Reports: ~7,000 pages
Finish Line in Sight?

- Archaeology Surveys
- Section 7 Coordination with USFWS
- Waters of the U.S. Jurisdictional Determination
- Tolling Decision
- Final Environmental Impact Statement
- Record of Decision
THANK YOU