Physics

Physics Research Publications

Purdue University

Year 2010

Extended radio emission in Mojave
blazars: Challenges to unification

P. Kharb

M. L. Lister

N. J. Cooper

This paper is posted at Purdue e-Pubs.

http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/physics_articles/1266

EXTENDED RADIO EMISSION IN MOJAVE BLAZARS: CHALLENGES TO UNIFICATION

P. KHARB^{1,3}, M. L. LISTER², AND N. J. COOPER²

¹ Department of Physics, Rochester Institute of Technology, Rochester, NY 14623, USA; kharb@cis.rit.edu

² Department of Physics, Purdue University, 525 Northwestern Ave, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

Received 2009 June 16; accepted 2010 January 3; published 2010 January 21

ABSTRACT

We present the results of a study on the kiloparsec-scale radio emission in the complete flux density limited MOJAVE sample, comprising 135 radio-loud active galactic nuclei. New 1.4 GHz Very Large Array (VLA) radio images of *six* quasars and previously unpublished images of 21 blazars are presented, along with an analysis of the high-resolution (VLA A-array) 1.4 GHz emission for the entire sample. While extended emission is detected in the majority of the sources, about 7% of the sources exhibit only radio core emission. We expect more sensitive radio observations, however, to detect faint emission in these sources, as we have detected in the erstwhile “core-only” source, 1548+056. The kiloparsec-scale radio morphology varies widely across the sample. Many BL Lac objects exhibit extended radio power and kiloparsec-scale morphology typical of powerful FRII jets, while a substantial number of quasars possess radio powers intermediate between FRIs and FRIIs. This poses challenges to the simple radio-loud unified scheme, which links BL Lac objects to FRIs and quasars to FRIIs. We find a significant correlation between extended radio emission and parsec-scale jet speeds: the more radio powerful sources possess faster jets. This indicates that the 1.4 GHz (or low-frequency) radio emission is indeed related to jet kinetic power. Various properties such as extended radio power and apparent parsec-scale jet speeds vary smoothly between different blazar subclasses, suggesting that, at least in terms of radio jet properties, the distinction between quasars and BL Lac objects, at an emission-line equivalent width of 5 Å, is essentially an arbitrary one. While the two blazar subclasses display a smooth continuation in properties, they often reveal differences in the correlation test results when considered separately. This can be understood if, unlike quasars, BL Lac objects do not constitute a homogeneous population, but rather include both FRI and FRII radio galaxies for their parent population. It could also just be due to small number statistics. We find that the ratio of the radio core luminosity to the k -corrected optical luminosity (R_v) appears to be a better indicator of orientation for this blazar sample than the traditionally used radio core prominence parameter (R_c). Based on the assumption that the extended radio luminosity is affected by the kiloparsec-scale environment, we define the ratio of extended radio power to absolute optical magnitude ($L_{\text{ext}}/M_{\text{abs}}$) as a proxy for environmental effects. Trends with this parameter suggest that the parsec-scale jet speeds and the parsec-to-kiloparsec jet misalignments are not affected by the large-scale environment, but are more likely to depend upon factors intrinsic to the active galactic nucleus, or its local parsec-scale environment. The jet speeds could, for instance, be related to the black hole spins, while jet misalignments could arise due to the presence of binary black holes, or kicks imparted to black holes via black hole mergers, consistent with both radio morphologies resembling precessing jet models observed in some MOJAVE blazars and the signature of a 90° bump in the jet misalignment distribution, attributed to low-pitch helical parsec-scale jets in the literature. We suggest that some of the extremely misaligned MOJAVE blazar jets could be “hybrid” morphology sources, with an FRI jet on one side and an FRII jet on the other. It is tempting to speculate that environmental radio boosting (as proposed for Cygnus A) could be responsible for blurring the Fanaroff–Riley dividing line in the MOJAVE blazars, provided a substantial fraction of them reside in dense (cluster) environments.

Key words: BL Lacertae objects; general – galaxies: active – quasars: general – radio continuum: galaxies

1. INTRODUCTION

Radio-loud active galactic nuclei (AGNs) that are characterized by extreme variability in their radio cores, high and variable polarization, superluminal jet speeds, and compact radio emission are collectively referred to as “blazars” (Angel & Stockman 1980). Blazars comprise flat-spectrum radio-loud quasars and BL Lac objects. Their extreme characteristics have commonly been understood to be a consequence of relativistic beaming effects due to a fast jet aligned close to our line of sight (Blandford & Konigl 1979).

The radio-loud unified scheme postulates that quasars and BL Lac objects are the beamed end-on counterparts of the Fanaroff–Riley (FR; Fanaroff & Riley 1974) type II and type I radio

galaxies, respectively (Urry & Padovani 1995). The relatively lower radio luminosity FRI radio galaxies are referred to as “edge-darkened” since their brightest lobe emission lies closer to the radio cores and fades further out, while the higher radio luminosity FRII radio galaxies are “edge-brightened” because of the presence of bright and compact hot spots where the kpc-scale jets terminate. This definition turns out to be useful for FRI–FRII classification when hot spots are not clearly delineated (cf. Section 3.2).

The Fanaroff–Riley dichotomy has been proposed to arise due to differences in one or more of the following properties: host galaxy environment (Prestage & Peacock 1988) and jet-medium interaction (Bicknell 1995), jet composition (Reynolds et al. 1996), black hole mass (Ghisellini & Celotti 2001), black hole spin (Meier 1999), accretion rate and/or mode (Baum et al. 1995; Ghisellini & Celotti 2001). Many recent findings are,

³ Major part of this work completed at Department of Physics, Purdue University, 525 Northwestern Ave, West Lafayette, IN 47907.

however, posing challenges for the standard unified scheme. These include the discovery of FRI quasars (Heywood et al. 2007), FRII BL Lac objects (Landt et al. 2006), and “hybrid” radio morphology sources with an FRI jet on one side of the core and an FRII jet on the other (Gopal-Krishna & Wiita 2000). One of the primary cited differences between quasars and BL Lac objects has been the presence of strong, broad emission lines in the quasar spectra and their apparent absence in BL Lac objects. Stickel et al. (1991) and Stocke et al. (1991) have defined the distinction between BL Lac objects and quasars at an emission-line equivalent width of $5 \text{ \AA}$. However, this distinction has been questioned (e.g., Scarpa & Falomo 1997; Urry 1999; Landt et al. 2004), and it is known that some BL Lac objects have a broad-line region (e.g., Miller et al. 1978; Stickel et al. 1991; Vermeulen et al. 1995; Corbett et al. 1996).

In this paper, we examine the unified scheme and FR dichotomy in the MOJAVE⁴ sample of blazars. MOJAVE is a long-term program to monitor radio brightness and polarization variations in the jets associated with active galaxies visible in the northern sky on parsec-scales with Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI; Lister et al. 2009b). The MOJAVE sample consists of 135 sources satisfying the following criteria: (1) J2000.0 declination $> -20^\circ$; (2) galactic latitude $|b| > 2.5^\circ$; (3) Very Long Baseline Array (VLBA) 2 cm correlated flux density exceeding 1.5 Jy (2 Jy for declination south of 0°) at any epoch between 1994.0 and 2004.0. Of the 135 AGNs, 101 are classified as quasars, 22 as BL Lac objects, and eight as radio galaxies of mostly the FRII type. Four radio sources are yet to be identified on the basis of their emission-line spectra and have no redshift information. Overall, eight sources (four BL Lac objects and four unidentified) have unknown or unreliable redshifts. Based on the synchrotron peak in the spectral energy distributions (SEDs), BL Lac objects have been divided into low-, high-, and intermediate-energy peaked classes (LBLs, HBLs, and IBLs, respectively; Padovani & Giommi 1995; Laurent-Muehleisen et al. 1999). All but three MOJAVE BL Lac objects are classified as LBLs (see Nieppola et al. 2006, NED). The three BL Lac objects, viz., 0422+004, 1538+149, and 1807+698, are classified as IBLs. There are no HBLs in the MOJAVE sample.

The compact flux density selection criteria of the MOJAVE sample bias it heavily toward highly beamed blazars with high Lorentz factors and small viewing angles. While relativistic boosting effects are likely to dominate the source characteristics, the extensive multi-epoch and multi-wavelength data available for the MOJAVE sample on both parsec- and kiloparsec-scales provide us with unique constraints to test the unified scheme. Throughout this paper, we adopt the cosmology in which $H_0 = 71 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.27$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$. Spectral index, α , is defined such that flux density S_ν at frequency ν is $S_\nu \propto \nu^{-\alpha}$.

2. DATA REDUCTION AND ANALYSIS

We observed seven MOJAVE quasars at 1.46 GHz with the Very Large Array (VLA; Napier et al. 1983) in the A-array configuration (typical synthesized beam $\sim 1''.5$) on 2007 June 30 (Program ID: AC874). Sixty MOJAVE sources were previously observed by us with the VLA A-array and presented in Cooper et al. (2007). Data for the remaining sources were reduced directly using archival VLA A-array data or obtained

through published papers. The data reduction was carried out following standard calibration and reduction procedures in the Astronomical Image Processing System (AIPS).

Our new observations included some new expanded VLA (EVLA) antennas, and the observations were made in a spectral line (four-channel pseudo-continuum) mode, which resulted in a total effective bandwidth of $(2 \times 21.875 =) 43.75 \text{ MHz}$. Four ≈ 9 minute scans of each source were interspersed with 1 minute scans of a suitable phase calibrator. 0713+438 was used as the bandpass calibrator for the experiment. After the initial amplitude and phase calibration, AIPS tasks CALIB and IMAGR were used iteratively to self-calibrate (Schwab 1980) and image the sources. The resultant rms noise in the maps was typically of the order of $0.06 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$. The quasar 1124–186 was observed with an incorrect source position, which resulted in significant beam smearing. We instead obtained archival VLA data for this source. The new radio images of the six sources are presented in Figures 1, 2, and 3.

Since most of the MOJAVE sources have highly compact radio structures, most of the archival data comprised of snapshot observations where the sample sources were observed as phase calibrators. By choosing archival data sets with exposure times $\gtrsim 10$ minutes, we were able to obtain maps with typical rms noise levels of $\sim 0.15 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$. We present previously unpublished images of 21 blazars in the Appendix.

A compilation of the basic parameters for each source is given in Table 1. The integrated flux densities for the cores were obtained in AIPS using the Gaussian-fitting task JMFIT, while the total flux densities were obtained by putting a box around the source, using the AIPS verbs TVWINDOW and IMSTAT. The extended radio flux density was obtained by subtracting the core flux density from the total radio flux density.

All the maps were created with uniform weighting using a ROBUST parameter of 0 in the AIPS task IMAGR. We also obtained core and lobe flux densities from maps with different weighting schemes (by using ROBUST parameters -5 and $+5$, respectively) for a fraction of the sample. We found that the integrated core flux density estimates differed typically by less than 1%, between different weighting schemes. The extended flux density values differed typically by $< 2\%$, with a large majority of sources showing less than a 5% difference. Only in a handful of sources, where there seemed to be an unresolved compact component close to the core (e.g., 1038+064, 1417+385), the difference between different weighting schemes was significant (10%–20%). However, following our radio galaxy study (Kharb et al. 2008b), we have found that nearly 15%–20% of the extended flux density could be getting lost in A-array observations, compared to combined-array observations. Therefore, we conclude that the lack of short spacings in the A-array observations is far more detrimental to the determination of accurate extended flux values than (not) adopting different weighting schemes on the A-array data to obtain core and extended flux densities. The weighting scheme approach does suggest that the errors in the extended flux density values are typically of the order of 2%–5%, but could be of the order of 10%–20% for sources where a compact component close to the core is not clearly resolved.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Extended Radio Power

The 1.4 GHz extended radio luminosities for the MOJAVE sources are plotted against redshift in Figure 4. Sources with no discernible extended emission are represented as upper limits.

⁴ Monitoring Of Jets in Active galactic nuclei with VLBA Experiments: <http://www.physics.purdue.edu/MOJAVE/>.

Table 1
MOJAVE Sample

Source Name	z	V (mag)	Type	Opt Pol	I_{peak} (Jy beam $^{-1}$)	I_{rms} (Jy beam $^{-1}$)	Freq (GHz)	β_{app}	S_{core} (Jy)	S_{ext} (mJy)	Radio Morph	PcjetPA (degree)	KpcjetPA (degree)	Ref
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
0003-066	0.347	18.50	B	Y	2.605	1.6×10^{-4}	1.4000	2.89	2.66	43.9	2	282	15	AL634 ^a
0007+106	0.0893	15.40	G	...	0.075	4.0×10^{-5}	1.4000	0.97	0.08	17.6	2hs	284	239	AL634 ^a
0016+731	1.781	19.00	Q	N	0.398	4.2×10^{-4}	1.4000	6.74	0.40	7.8	1hs	132	2	AL634 ^a
0048-097	...	17.44	B	Y	0.562	1.8×10^{-4}	1.4250	...	0.57	139.7	1hs+2, 2hs	337	190	AB1141
0059+581	0.644	17.30	Q	...	1.554	1.1×10^{-4}	1.4000	11.08	1.57	19.4	ch+1	236	...	AL634 ^a
0106+013	2.099	18.39	Q	Y	2.760	1.4×10^{-4}	1.4000	26.50	2.81	530.6	1hs, 2	238	184	AL634 ^a
0109+224	0.265	15.66	B	Y	0.361	7.8×10^{-5}	1.4000	...	0.36	3.9	1	82	93	AL634 ^a
0119+115	0.57	19.70	Q	...	1.188	9.8×10^{-5}	1.4000	17.09	1.24	113.7	1hs, ch	6	33	AL634 ^a
0133+476	0.859	19.50	Q	Y	1.872	9.6×10^{-5}	1.4000	12.98	1.88	8.7	1hs	331	340	AL634 ^a
0202+149 ^b	0.405	21.00	Q	Y	3.808	1.4×10^{-4}	1.4000	6.41	3.86	1.1	c	319	...	AL634 ^a
0202+319	1.466	17.40	Q	N	0.648	8.4×10^{-5}	1.4000	8.30	0.65	11.7	1hs	357	2	AL634 ^a
0212+735	2.367	19.00	Q	Y	2.458	1.0×10^{-4}	1.4000	7.63	2.47	1.7	1hs, 2hs	122	253	AL634 ^a
0215+015	1.715	16.09	B	Y	0.416	6.9×10^{-5}	1.4000	34.16	0.45	71.1	2hs	104	92	AL634 ^a
0224+671	0.523	19.50	Q	...	1.476	1.0×10^{-4}	1.4000	11.63	1.48	149.2	2hs	355	184	AL634 ^a
0234+285	1.213	19.30	Q	Y	2.274	1.0×10^{-4}	1.4000	12.31	2.33	99.9	1hs	349	331	AL634 ^a
0235+164	0.94	15.50	B	Y	1.501	5.8×10^{-5}	1.4000	...	1.51	25.5	1hs+2	325	343	AL634 ^a
0238-084	0.005	12.31	G	...	1.091	6.0×10^{-5}	1.4000	0.34	1.11	111.3	2	66	275	AL634 ^a
0300+470	...	16.95	B	Y	1.166	5.7×10^{-5}	1.4000	...	1.18	60.1	1, ch	148	225	AL634 ^a
0316+413	0.0176	12.48	G	N	20.76	1.0×10^{-3}	1.3121	0.31	21.40	1103.0	2hs	178	158	BT024
0333+321	1.263	17.50	Q	N	2.994	1.0×10^{-4}	1.4000	12.78	3.02	71.8	1hs	126	149	AL634 ^a
0336-019	0.852	18.41	Q	Y	2.908	1.5×10^{-4}	1.4000	22.36	2.92	70.3	1hs	67	344	AL634 ^a
0403-132	0.571	17.09	Q	Y	4.043	3.3×10^{-4}	1.4000	19.69	4.33	9.1	1hs+2	155	202	AL634 ^a
0415+379	0.0491	18.05	G	...	0.542	5.7×10^{-4}	1.4899	5.86	0.57	2700.0	2hs	71	62	AR102
0420-014	0.914	17.00	Q	Y	2.887	1.0×10^{-4}	1.4000	7.34	2.91	70.2	2hs, 1hs+2	183	189	AL634 ^a
0422+004	...	16.98	B	Y	1.083	1.3×10^{-4}	1.4000	...	1.09	6.1	2hs	357	200	AL634 ^a
0430+052	0.033	15.05	G	...	2.845	1.7×10^{-4}	1.4649	5.38	2.95	159.5	1, 2	252	293	AB379
0446+112	...	20.00	U	...	1.527	1.2×10^{-4}	1.4000	...	1.56	15.4	1hs	103	207	AL634 ^a
0458-020	2.286	18.06	Q	Y	1.629	3.8×10^{-4}	1.4899	16.51	1.66	148.1	1hs, 2hs	321	230	AN047
0528+134	2.06	20.00	Q	...	2.204	1.2×10^{-4}	1.4000	19.14	2.24	60.1	2hs, 1hs+2	19	261	AL634 ^a
0529+075	1.254	19.00	Q	...	1.518	5.7×10^{-5}	1.4000	12.66	1.54	126.8	2hs, 1hs+2	353	221	AL634 ^a
0529+483	1.162	19.90	Q	...	0.641	6.7×10^{-5}	1.4000	19.78	0.65	21.5	1hs+2	32	75	AL634 ^a
0552+398 ^b	2.363	18.30	Q	...	1.539	1.0×10^{-4}	1.4000	0.36	1.55	1.2	c	290	...	AL634 ^a
0605-085	0.872	17.60	Q	...	2.344	2.8×10^{-4}	1.4250	19.79	1.20	123.9	1hs	109	99	AD298
0607-157 ^b	0.324	18.00	Q	...	3.011	1.4×10^{-4}	1.4000	3.94	3.02	1.1	c	52	...	AL634 ^a
0642+449 ^b	3.396	18.49	Q	...	0.644	7.5×10^{-5}	1.4000	0.75	0.65	1.4	c	90	...	AL634 ^a
0648-165	...	...	U	...	2.085	1.4×10^{-4}	1.4000	...	2.12	11.2	c, 1	273	272	AL634 ^a
0716+714	0.31	15.50	B	Y	0.645	6.8×10^{-5}	1.4000	10.06	0.69	376.4	2hs, 1hs+2	40	300	AQ006
0727-115	1.591	20.30	Q	...	3.182	9.4×10^{-5}	1.4250	...	3.22	2.4	c, 1hs	292	...	AC874 ^c
0730+504	0.72	19.30	Q	...	0.676	6.0×10^{-5}	1.4250	14.06	0.69	82.5	2hs	210	82	AC874 ^c
0735+178	...	16.22	B	Y	1.92	0.7×10^{-3}	1.4650	...	1.91	20.6	1	77	165	Murphy
0736+017	0.191	16.47	Q	Y	2.327	3.2×10^{-4}	1.4899	14.44	2.34	40.9	2hs	273	297	AA025
0738+313	0.631	16.92	Q	...	2.16	0.4×10^{-3}	1.4650	10.75	2.16	65.0	2hs	156	166	Murphy
0742+103	2.624	24.00	Q	...	3.600	2.3×10^{-4}	1.4899	...	3.62	5.8	1, 2	354	81	AY012
0748+126	0.889	18.70	Q	...	1.43	0.5×10^{-3}	1.4650	18.36	1.43	27.0	2hs	110	125	Murphy
0754+100	0.266	15.00	B	Y	2.07	0.4×10^{-3}	1.4650	14.40	2.07	6.7	2hs	10	293	Murphy
0804+499	1.436	19.20	Q	Y	0.64	0.3×10^{-3}	1.4650	1.82	0.64	5.3	1hs	134	...	Murphy
0805-077	1.837	19.80	Q	...	1.439	5.8×10^{-5}	1.4250	50.60	1.58	59.8	1hs	332	278	AC874 ^c
0808+019	1.148	17.20	B	Y	0.449	9.1×10^{-5}	1.4899	12.99	0.46	18.2	2hs	182	200	AA025
0814+425	0.245	18.18	B	Y	1.015	3.1×10^{-4}	1.5159	1.70	1.03	76.8	2hs	136	322	AK460
0823+033	0.506	16.80	B	Y	1.33	0.4×10^{-3}	1.4650	17.80	1.32	4.1	1hs	15	...	Murphy
0827+243	0.94	17.26	Q	...	0.743	1.4×10^{-4}	1.5100	21.97	0.76	62.7	1hs+2	135	199	AV150
0829+046	0.174	16.40	B	Y	0.788	1.9×10^{-4}	1.4000	10.10	0.80	150.8	2, 1hs+2	60	151	AG618
0836+710	2.218	17.30	Q	N	3.168	1.7×10^{-4}	1.4000	25.38	3.34	73.6	1	211	205	AL634 ^a
0838+133	0.681	18.15	Q	...	0.287	8.0×10^{-5}	1.4250	12.93	0.35	2216.6	2hs	76	94	AH480
0851+202	0.306	15.43	B	Y	1.563	1.4×10^{-4}	1.5315	15.17	1.57	10.7	1hs, 1	260	251	AS764
0906+015	1.024	17.31	Q	Y	1.00	0.4×10^{-3}	1.4650	20.66	1.00	38.0	1hs	46	54	Murphy
0917+624	1.446	19.50	Q	...	1.11	0.4×10^{-3}	1.4650	15.57	1.11	6.4	1hs+2	341	234	Murphy
0923+392	0.695	17.03	Q	N	2.399	3.1×10^{-4}	1.5524	4.29	2.83	361.8	1hs+2	98	83	AB310
0945+408	1.249	18.05	Q	N	1.23	0.9×10^{-3}	1.4650	18.60	1.23	95.0	1hs	114	32	Murphy
0955+476 ^b	1.882	18.65	Q	...	0.606	1.0×10^{-4}	1.4899	2.48	0.62	1.1	c	124	270	AP188
1036+054	0.473	...	Q	...	0.916	4.9×10^{-5}	1.4250	6.14	0.94	57.0	1hs+2	350	226	AC874 ^c
1038+064	1.265	16.70	Q	...	1.465	1.5×10^{-4}	1.6649	11.86	1.49	11.0	1	146	166	GX007A
1045-188	0.595	18.20	Q	...	0.726	6.6×10^{-5}	1.4250	8.57	0.76	509.4	1hs+2	146	125	AC874 ^c
1055+018	0.89	18.28	Q	Y	2.675	1.5×10^{-4}	1.4250	10.99	2.70	230.8	2hs	300	186	AB631

Table 1
(Continued)

Source Name	z	V (mag)	Type	Opt Pol	I_{peak} (Jy beam $^{-1}$)	I_{rms} (Jy beam $^{-1}$)	Freq (GHz)	β_{app}	S_{core} (Jy)	S_{ext} (mJy)	Radio Morph	PcjetPA (degree)	KpcjetPA (degree)	Ref
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
1124–186	1.048	18.65	Q	...	0.652	1.9×10^{-4}	1.4250	...	0.66	12.3	1hs	170	134	AD337
1127–145	1.184	16.90	Q	...	4.460	2.3×10^{-4}	1.4649	14.17	4.58	59.3	1hs	66	44	AB379
1150+812	1.25	19.40	Q	...	1.874	6.5×10^{-4}	1.4000	7.08	1.89	89.2	1hs+2, 2	163	257	AL634 ^a
1156+295	0.729	14.41	Q	Y	1.433	2.4×10^{-4}	1.4899	24.85	1.55	196.1	2, 1hs+2	14	344	AA025
1213–172	...	21.40	U	...	1.676	1.0×10^{-4}	1.4250	...	1.85	119.3	1	117	266	AC874 ^c
1219+044	0.965	17.98	Q	...	0.548	5.9×10^{-5}	1.4250	2.34	0.60	155.5	1hs+2	172	0	AC874 ^c
1222+216	0.432	17.50	Q	...	0.930	8.0×10^{-5}	1.4000	21.02	1.10	956.4	2hs	351	76	AL634 ^a
1226+023	0.158	12.85	Q	N	34.26	1.5×10^{-3}	1.3659	13.44	34.89	17671	1hs	238	222	AE104
1228+126	0.0044	12.86	G	...	3.558	2.3×10^{-4}	1.6649	0.032	3.88	115012	2	291	67	BC079
1253–055	0.536	17.75	Q	Y	10.17	6.4×10^{-4}	1.6649	20.58	10.56	2095.0	2hs	245	201	W088D5
1308+326	0.996	15.24	Q	Y	1.321	1.5×10^{-4}	1.4000	27.14	1.33	69.1	2hs, 1hs+2	284	359	AL634 ^a
1324+224	1.4	18.90	Q	...	1.128	9.6×10^{-5}	1.4000	...	1.14	20.4	1hs	343	237	AL634 ^a
1334–127	0.539	19.00	Q	Y	1.992	9.9×10^{-5}	1.4899	10.26	2.07	151.0	1hs, 1hs+2	147	106	AD176
1413+135	0.247	20.50	B	...	1.056	1.1×10^{-4}	1.4899	1.79	1.08	5.8	2	55	256	AC301
1417+385	1.831	19.69	Q	...	0.506	6.4×10^{-5}	1.4000	15.44	0.52	2.5	1	164	123	AL634 ^a
1458+718	0.905	16.78	Q	N	5.760	8.7×10^{-4}	1.4000	7.05	7.57	68.9	c, 1	164	11	AL634 ^a
1502+106	1.839	18.56	Q	Y	1.795	7.9×10^{-5}	1.4000	14.77	1.82	38.3	1hs	116	159	AL634 ^a
1504–166	0.876	18.50	Q	Y	2.354	4.0×10^{-4}	1.4899	4.31	2.39	11.4	1hs, 2hs	165	164	AY012
1510–089	0.36	16.54	Q	Y	1.380	1.0×10^{-4}	1.4899	20.15	1.45	180.2	1hs, 2hs	328	163	AY012
1538+149	0.605	17.30	B	Y	1.479	6.0×10^{-5}	1.4000	8.73	1.67	71.4	ch, 1	323	320	AL634 ^a
1546+027	0.414	17.45	Q	Y	1.15	0.4×10^{-3}	1.4650	12.07	1.15	18.8	1hs+2	170	162	Murphy
1548+056	1.422	19.50	Q	Y	2.106	1.6×10^{-4}	1.5524	11.56	2.21	42.9	ch	8	...	AB310
1606+106	1.226	18.70	Q	...	1.35	1.3×10^{-3}	1.4650	18.90	1.35	26.5	1hs	332	271	Murphy
1611+343	1.397	18.11	Q	N	2.83	0.5×10^{-3}	1.4650	14.09	2.83	20.6	2hs	166	194	Murphy
1633+382	1.814	18.00	Q	Y	2.17	0.4×10^{-3}	1.4650	29.46	2.17	32.0	1hs+2	284	176	Murphy
1637+574	0.751	16.90	Q	N	0.996	1.1×10^{-4}	1.5524	10.61	1.01	71.2	1hs	198	282	AB310
1638+398	1.666	19.37	Q	...	1.147	2.0×10^{-4}	1.4899	12.27	1.17	27.6	2hs	300	150	AR250
1641+399	0.593	16.62	Q	Y	7.153	1.3×10^{-3}	1.5149	19.27	7.95	1476.9	1hs+2, 2hs	288	327	AS396
1655+077	0.621	20.00	Q	Y	1.199	1.4×10^{-4}	1.5524	14.44	1.27	199.1	1hs+2	314	269	AB310
1726+455	0.717	18.10	Q	...	0.993	1.3×10^{-4}	1.4899	1.81	1.00	55.3	1hs+2	271	328	AK139
1730–130	0.902	19.50	Q	...	6.101	3.4×10^{-4}	1.4250	35.69	6.13	517.8	2hs, 1hs+2	2	273	AL269
1739+522	1.375	18.70	Q	Y	1.571	1.5×10^{-4}	1.4899	...	1.61	27.6	1hs+2	15	262	AC301
1741–038 ^b	1.054	20.40	Q	Y	1.677	1.5×10^{-4}	1.4250	...	1.70	3.5	c	201	134	AL269
1749+096 ^b	0.322	16.78	B	Y	1.039	1.6×10^{-4}	1.4899	6.84	1.05	4.9	c	38	...	AC301
1751+288	1.118	19.60	Q	...	0.265	1.7×10^{-4}	1.4350	3.07	0.27	8.1	2, 1	349	40	AS659
1758+388	2.092	17.98	Q	...	0.327	1.7×10^{-4}	1.5149	2.38	0.33	3.2	1hs+2	266	270	AS396
1800+440	0.663	17.90	Q	...	0.465	2.1×10^{-4}	1.5149	15.41	0.50	246.6	1hs+2	203	239	AS396
1803+784	0.68	15.90	B	Y	1.969	1.0×10^{-4}	1.4000	8.97	1.98	20.8	2hs	266	192	AL634 ^a
1807+698	0.051	14.22	B	Y	1.133	1.1×10^{-4}	1.5149	0.10	1.20	368.7	1hs	261	242	AB700
1823+568	0.664	19.30	B	Y	0.873	6.1×10^{-4}	1.4250	20.85	0.95	137.4	2	201	94	AM672
1828+487	0.692	16.81	Q	N	5.140	6.3×10^{-4}	1.5524	13.65	8.21	5431.2	2hs	310	119	AB310
1849+670	0.657	16.90	Q	...	0.468	1.1×10^{-4}	1.4000	30.63	0.47	101.0	1hs+2	308	209	AL634 ^a
1928+738	0.302	16.06	Q	N	3.186	1.6×10^{-4}	1.4000	8.43	3.22	356.5	1hs+2, 2hs	164	165	AL634 ^a
1936–155	1.657	20.30	Q	Y	1.064	1.8×10^{-4}	1.4899	2.59	1.08	10.5	1hs+2, 2	180	214	AD167
1957+405	0.0561	15.10	G	...	33.56 ^d	6.5×10^{-3}	1.5245	0.21	0.65	1.4×10^6	2hs	282	291	AC166
1958–179 ^b	0.65	18.60	Q	Y	1.789	1.5×10^{-4}	1.4899	1.89	1.82	9.4	c	207	...	AA072
2005+403	1.736	19.00	Q	...	2.420	5.1×10^{-4}	1.6657	12.21	2.47	10.6	1hs+2	127	137	AK4010
2008–159	1.18	18.30	Q	...	0.546	1.0×10^{-4}	1.4899	7.98	0.55	7.5	1hs	7	5	AK240
2021+317	...	...	U	...	2.968	6.8×10^{-4}	1.6657	...	3.06	132.9	ch, 1	201	...	AK4010
2021+614	0.227	19.00	G	N	2.647	2.9×10^{-4}	1.4899	0.41	2.67	1.3	2	33	...	AM178
2037+511	1.686	21.00	Q	...	4.604	6.4×10^{-4}	1.6657	3.29	4.97	657.6	1hs	214	327	AK4010
2121+053	1.941	20.40	Q	Y	1.08	0.6×10^{-3}	1.4650	13.28	1.08	4.8	1, 1hs	271	...	Murphy
2128–123	0.501	16.11	Q	N	1.393	1.3×10^{-4}	1.4899	6.94	1.42	39.8	1hs+2	209	190	AY012
2131–021	1.285	19.00	B	Y	1.320	1.3×10^{-4}	1.5149	20.03	1.37	151.9	2hs	108	137	AB700
2134+004	1.932	17.11	Q	N	4.82	0.4×10^{-3}	1.4650	5.93	4.82	6.6	1hs	266	298	Murphy
2136+141 ^b	2.427	18.90	Q	...	1.131	1.4×10^{-4}	1.5524	5.43	1.14	0.8	c	205	...	AB310
2145+067	0.99	16.47	Q	N	2.840	1.7×10^{-4}	1.4000	2.49	2.87	27.7	1hs, 1	119	313	AL634 ^a
2155–152	0.672	18.30	Q	Y	2.627	1.5×10^{-4}	1.4000	18.11	2.70	304.7	1hs+2	214	195	AL634 ^a
2200+420	0.0686	14.72	B	Y	1.970	7.0×10^{-5}	1.4000	10.57	1.99	14.2	2, 1hs+2	182	143	AL634 ^a
2201+171	1.075	19.50	Q	...	0.820	5.8×10^{-5}	1.4000	2.54	0.87	74.6	1hs, 2hs	45	267	AL634 ^a
2201+315	0.295	15.58	Q	N	1.517	1.3×10^{-4}	1.4000	7.87	1.54	378.4	2hs	219	251	AL634 ^a
2209+236 ^b	1.125	20.66	Q	...	0.425	4.9×10^{-5}	1.4000	3.43	0.43	0.9	c	54	...	AL634 ^a
2216–038	0.901	16.38	Q	...	1.722	1.1×10^{-4}	1.4000	5.62	1.76	312.7	2hs	190	143	AL634 ^a
2223–052	1.404	18.39	Q	Y	6.769	3.4×10^{-4}	1.4000	17.33	7.13	91.6	1	99	335	AL634 ^a

Table 1
(Continued)

Source Name	z	V (mag)	Type	Opt Pol	I_{peak} (Jy beam $^{-1}$)	I_{rms} (Jy beam $^{-1}$)	Freq (GHz)	β_{app}	S_{core} (Jy)	S_{ext} (mJy)	Radio Morph	PcjetPA (degree)	KpcjetPA (degree)	Ref
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
2227-088	1.56	17.43	Q	Y	0.920	4.2×10^{-5}	1.4000	8.14	0.93	8.4	1hs	348	314	AL634 ^a
2230+114	1.037	17.33	Q	Y	6.633	3.7×10^{-4}	1.4000	15.41	6.99	148.0	1	147	144	AL634 ^a
2243-123	0.632	16.45	Q	Y	2.247	8.4×10^{-5}	1.4000	5.49	2.27	27.7	1hs	29	44	AL634 ^a
2251+158	0.859	16.10	Q	Y	13.88	4.9×10^{-4}	1.4000	14.19	14.09	822.0	1hs	297	311	AL634 ^a
2331+073	0.401	16.04	Q	...	0.602	4.3×10^{-5}	1.4000	4.47	0.61	38.4	1hs+2	225	251	AL634 ^a
2345-167	0.576	18.41	Q	Y	1.921	8.1×10^{-5}	1.4000	13.45	1.99	142.7	1hs	141	227	AL634 ^a
2351+456	1.986	20.60	Q	...	2.260	6.3×10^{-5}	1.4000	27.09	2.35	6.9	c, 1hs	278	260	AL634 ^a

Notes. Columns (1) and (2): IAU B1950 names of the MOJAVE sources and their redshifts. Column (3): apparent V-band magnitude obtained from the AGN catalog of Véron-Cetty & Véron (2006) or NED. Column (4): blazar classification. Q: quasar; B: BL Lac object; G: radio galaxy; U: Unidentified. Column (5): highly optically polarized. Y: Yes; N: No; "...": no data available. Columns (6) and (7): peak and rms intensity in radio map, respectively. I_{rms} for the sources in Murphy et al. (1993) are the lowest contour level (CLEV) values in their Table 2. Column (8): central observing frequency in GHz. Column (9): apparent parsec-scale speed of the fastest jet feature. Column (10): integrated core flux density at ~ 1.4 GHz obtained with JMFIT. Column (11): extended flux density obtained by subtracting the core from the total radio flux density. Column (12): radio morphology. c: core only; ch: core-halo; 1hs: 1 hot spot; 2hs: 2 hot spots; 1: one-sided; 2: two-sided; 1hs + 2: two sided structure with hot spot on one side. Alternate morphologies are listed separated by commas. Column (13): parsec-scale jet PA. Column (14): kiloparsec-scale jet PA. Column (15): references and VLA archive Project IDs for the radio data. Murphy: Murphy et al. (1993).

^a Data presented in Cooper et al. (2007).

^b Sources do not show any discernable extended emission.

^c New data presented in this paper.

^d For Cygnus A, the peak intensity corresponds to the south-eastern hot spot.

Figure 1. 1.4 GHz VLA images of the quasars 0730+504 (left) and 0805-077 (right). The contours are in percentage of the peak surface brightness and increase in steps of 2. The lowest contour levels and peak surface brightness are (left) ± 0.042 , 672 mJy beam $^{-1}$ and (right) ± 0.010 , 1.43 Jy beam $^{-1}$.

The solid lines indicate the FRI–FRII divide (extrapolated from 178 MHz to 1.4 GHz assuming a spectral index, $\alpha = 0.8$), following Ledlow & Owen (1996) and Landt et al. (2006). The right-hand panel of Figure 4 demonstrates the *close* relation between the radio core and extended luminosity (Table 2). Using the partial correlation regression analysis routine in IDL (P_CORRELATE), we found that the linear correlation between $\log L_{\text{core}}$ and $\log L_{\text{ext}}$ is strong even with the effects of luminosity distance ($\log D_L$) removed (partial correlation coefficient, $r_{XY,Z} = 0.303$, t statistic = 3.41, two-tailed probability that the variables are not correlated⁵, $p = 0.0009$). We note that the 18 BL Lac objects (ones with redshift information) alone fail to show a correlation between $\log L_{\text{core}}$ and $\log L_{\text{ext}}$, when the

effects of redshift or luminosity distance are removed. The implication of this finding is discussed ahead in Section 3.3.

The salient features of the extended radio emission in the MOJAVE blazars are as follows.

1. Six of the 22 BL Lac objects ($\sim 27\%$) have FRII radio powers ($\log L_{\text{ext}}^{1.4} > 26$). Four of these (viz., 0235+164, 0716+714, 0808+019, 2131-021) also have hot spots like quasars.
2. Five BL Lac objects ($\sim 23\%$) fall in the FRI/II power range ($24.5 < \log L_{\text{ext}}^{1.4} < 26$). Two of these (viz., 0823+033, 1803+784) also appear to have hot spots.
3. Seven BL Lac objects have extended luminosity $\log L_{\text{ext}}^{1.4} < 24.5$ and could be regarded as the true beamed counterparts of FRI radio galaxies. However, three of these (viz., 0754+100, 0851+202, 1807+698) also appear to exhibit

⁵ Calculated using the VassarStats statistical computation website, <http://faculty.vassar.edu/lowry/VassarStats.html>.

Figure 2. 1.4 GHz VLA images of 1036+054 (left) and 1045-188 (right). The contours are in percentage of the peak surface brightness and increase in steps of 2. The lowest contour levels and peak surface brightness are (left) ± 0.021 , 892 mJy beam $^{-1}$ and (right) ± 0.042 , 724 mJy beam $^{-1}$.

Figure 3. 1.4 GHz VLA images of 1213-172 (left) and 1219+044 (right). The contours are in percentage of the peak surface brightness and increase in steps of 2. The lowest contour levels and peak surface brightness are (left) ± 0.021 , 1.66 Jy beam $^{-1}$ and (right) ± 0.042 , 549 mJy beam $^{-1}$.

hot spot-like features. Hot spots may also be present in all four BL Lac objects with no redshift information. Overall, nearly 60% of the MOJAVE BL Lac objects appear to have hot spots. The hot spots in some BL Lac objects are however not as bright or compact as those observed in quasars.

4. Excluding the upper limits, 22 quasars ($\sim 22\%$) fall in the FRI/II power range.
5. Ten sources ($\sim 7\%$, nine quasars, one BL Lac object) do not show any extended emission—these are discussed in Section 3.4.

Based on the extended emission at 1.4 GHz, we can conclude that a substantial fraction of the MOJAVE BL Lac objects have both radio powers and radio morphologies like FRIIs or quasars. A substantial fraction of the MOJAVE quasars lie in the intermediate (FRI/II) luminosity range. These results are

consistent with a number of previous radio studies. Using a large sample of radio core-dominated AGNs, Murphy et al. (1993) observed that many high redshift ($z > 0.5$) BL Lac objects lie above the FRI/FRII luminosity division. Using a sample of 17 BL Lac objects, mostly belonging to the 1 Jy sample, Kollgaard et al. (1992) suggested that about 12%–30% of BL Lac objects in a radio flux-limited sample may be bona fide FRIIs. Based on extended radio emission and strong emission lines at one or more epochs, Rector & Stocke (2001) concluded that many radio-selected BL Lac objects belonging to the 1 Jy sample (Stickel et al. 1991) cannot be beamed FRIIs, but are more likely to be beamed FRIIs. Cara & Lister (2008) found similar intrinsic parent luminosity functions for the MOJAVE sample (consistent with FRIIs) irrespective of whether the BL Lac objects were included or not.

Figure 4. Left: 1.4 GHz extended luminosity vs. redshift. Right: 1.4 GHz core vs. extended luminosity. Open and filled circles denote quasars and BL Lac objects, respectively, while open squares denote radio galaxies. Core-only sources are represented as upper limits.

Table 2
Correlation Results

Param 1	Param 2	Class	Spearman Coeff	Spearman Prob	Kendall τ Coeff	Kendall τ Prob	Correl ?
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
L_{core}	L_{ext}	ALL	0.61	1.6×10^{-13}	0.45	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$	YES
M_{abs}	L_{ext}	ALL	-0.39	9.8×10^{-6}	-0.27	1.1×10^{-6}	YES
L_{ext}	β_{app}	ALL	0.44	5.2×10^{-7}	0.31	5.3×10^{-7}	YES
		Q	0.36	0.0004	0.24	0.0005	YES
		B	0.32	0.193	0.24	0.148	NO
M_{abs}	β_{app}	ALL	-0.27	0.0025	-0.18	0.0031	YES
R_c	L_{core}	ALL	0.26	0.003	0.19	0.002	YES
		Q	0.39	8.5×10^{-5}	0.27	8.8×10^{-5}	YES
		B	-0.02	0.912	-0.01	0.909	NO
R_c	L_{ext}	ALL	-0.49	1.5×10^{-8}	-0.35	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$	YES
R_c	β_{app}	ALL	-0.18	0.046	-0.12	0.044	YES?
		Q	-0.28	0.006	-0.19	0.006	YES
		B	0.02	0.922	0.01	0.939	NO
R_c	ΔPA	ALL	-0.13	0.146	-0.09	0.142	NO
		Q	-0.18	0.087	-0.12	0.082	YES?
		B	-0.15	0.579	-0.10	0.589	NO
R_v	L_{core}	ALL	0.62	6.5×10^{-15}	0.45	$<1 \times 10^{-5}$	YES
R_v	β_{app}	ALL	0.11	0.200	0.07	0.226	NO
R_v	ΔPA	ALL	0.23	0.011	0.15	0.017	YES?
		Q	0.26	0.011	0.17	0.015	YES?
		B	0.38	0.143	0.23	0.207	NO
		FRII	0.24	0.029	0.16	0.032	YES?
		FRI/II	0.18	0.277	0.11	0.313	NO
		FRI	0.12	0.658	0.07	0.701	NO
ΔPA	z	ALL	0.06	0.514	0.04	0.498	NO
$L_{\text{ext}}/M_{\text{abs}}$	z	Q+B	0.21	0.023	0.14	0.021	YES?
		Q	0.27	0.007	0.19	0.007	YES
		B	0.31	0.209	0.16	0.343	NO
$L_{\text{ext}}/M_{\text{abs}}$	β_{app}	Q+B	-0.02	0.810	-0.01	0.768	NO
$L_{\text{ext}}/M_{\text{abs}}$	ΔPA	Q+B	-0.34	0.0003	-0.23	0.0005	YES

Notes. Columns (1) and (2): parameters being examined for correlations. Results from a partial regression analysis are cited in the main text. The 10 core-only sources have been excluded from correlations with extended luminosity. Including the upper limits in extended luminosity as detections does not alter any of the observed trends. Column (3): the correlation test results have been presented separately if they were different for quasars and BL Lac objects considered alone. ALL: quasars + BL Lac objects + radio galaxies; Q: only quasars; B: only BL Lac objects; Q+B: quasars + BL Lac objects. FRII, FRI/II, and FRI are as defined in Section 4.5. Columns (4) and (5): Spearman rank correlation coefficient and chance probability. Columns (6) and (7): Kendall Tau correlation coefficient and chance probability. Column (8) indicates if the parameters are significantly correlated. “YES?” indicates a marginal correlation. We note that at the 95% confidence level, 1.7 spurious correlations could arise from the ≈ 35 correlations that we tested.

3.2. Radio Morphology

We observe from Figures 1–3 that all but two sources show two-sided radio structures. The variety of radio structures observed here are reasonably representative of the entire sample (e.g., see Cooper et al. 2007), as well as those previously observed in other quasar surveys (e.g., Gower & Hutchings 1984; Antonucci & Ulvestad 1985; Pearson & Readhead 1988; Murphy et al. 1993). The quasars often show two-sided radio structures with hot spots on one or both sides of the core. However, one-sided morphologies with no discernable compact or diffuse emission on the other side of the core are also common.

While determining if the blazars had an FRII type radio morphology, we relied initially on the presence of one or more compact hot spots at the leading edge of the radio lobes. When no clear hot spots were visible on either side of the cores, we resorted to the traditional “edge-brightened” definition for FRII sources, i.e., when the brightest radio emission was furthest from the core, we classified the source as an FRII. The remaining sources with no clear hot spots, and the brightest extended emission closest to the cores, were classified as FRI types. Even then, it was sometimes difficult to consign the sources to FRI or FRII classes, and we have listed more than one morphology for some sources in Table 1.

Many of the MOJAVE sources show distinctly curved kiloparsec-scale jets. Straight jets are a rarity in the sample. Many MOJAVE quasars exhibit large parsec-to-kiloparsec jet misalignments. While any intrinsic curvature in the jets is likely to be highly exaggerated by projection effects in these low-viewing angle blazars, many sources seem intrinsically distorted. We discuss in Section 4.1 how the MOJAVE selection criteria might be preferentially picking up bent jets. Apart from highly curved jets, many sources show distinct hot spot-like features both closer to the core and at the jet termination points, similar to the wide-angle tail (WAT) radio galaxies (e.g., O’Donoghue et al. 1990).

Four MOJAVE blazars have not yet been identified as quasars or BL Lac objects (Table 1). A new VLA image of one of the unidentified sources (viz., 1213–172) is presented in Figure 3. Another unidentified source (0648–165) has a similar core-dominant radio morphology (Cooper et al. 2007). A third source (2021+317) has a distinct core-halo morphology resembling a BL Lac object (see the Appendix), while the fourth (0446+112) has a straight jet with a possible hot spot at the end (Cooper et al. 2007).

3.3. Parsec-scale Jet Speeds and Extended Luminosity

The MOJAVE and 2 cm survey programs have provided apparent parsec-scale jet speeds (β_{app}) for nearly the entire MOJAVE sample, by monitoring structural changes over timescales of approximately a decade. These have been previously tabulated by Kellermann et al. (2004), and most recently by Lister et al. (2009a). For our analysis below, we use a β_{app} value that represents the fastest moving feature in that source.

The parsec-scale apparent jet speeds and kiloparsec-scale radio core luminosity (Figure 5) are related by standard beaming relations. The aspect curve in Figure 5 assumes: $L = L_{\text{int}} \times \delta^p$, $\beta_{\text{app}} = \beta \sin \theta / (1 - \beta \cos \theta)$, where the Doppler factor, $\delta = 1/(\gamma(1 - \beta \cos \theta))$ and $\beta = v/c$. The best-fit values for the curve are $\gamma = 52$, $L_{\text{int}} = 5 \times 10^{24}$, and $p \approx 2$. The relation between parsec-scale apparent jet speeds and parsec-scale core luminosity for the MOJAVE sample is discussed in greater detail by Cohen et al. (2007) and Lister et al. (2009a).

We find that the parsec-scale apparent jet speeds are correlated significantly with the extended radio luminosity (Figure 5, Table 2). Partial regression analysis shows that the linear correlation between $\log L_{\text{ext}}$ and β_{app} , with the effects of luminosity distance removed, is still highly significant ($r_{XY,Z} = 0.273$, t statistic = 3.05, two-tailed probability, $p = 0.0028$). This implies that more radio powerful sources have faster radio jets. Since extended radio luminosity is correlated with the core luminosity (Figure 4, Table 2), and the core luminosity is related to the apparent jet speed, we tested the correlation with a partial correlation test between extended radio luminosity and apparent jet speeds, after removing the effects of radio core luminosity. This too yielded a statistically significant correlation between extended radio luminosity and parsec-scale apparent jet speeds ($r_{XY,Z} = 0.246$, t statistic = 2.72, $p = 0.0075$).

The significant implication of this result is that faster jets are launched in AGNs with larger kiloparsec-scale lobe luminosities. It would therefore appear that the fate of the AGN is decided at its *conception*. This result undermines the role of the kiloparsec environment on the radio power of a given source. It also indicates that the 1.4 GHz extended emission is indeed related to jet kinetic power, contrary to some suggestions in the literature (e.g., Birzan et al. 2004). Given that there is a large overlap in radio powers between quasars and BL Lac objects, it can be concluded that most quasars have faster jets than most BL Lac objects.

The second noteworthy inference that can be drawn from Figure 5 is that there is a continuous distribution of parsec-scale jet speeds going from BL Lac objects to quasars. This supports the idea that the distinction between the BL Lac and quasar population, at an equivalent width of 5 Å, is essentially an arbitrary one *at least* in terms of radio jet properties (see also Scarpa & Falomo 1997).

However, we note that in spite of the two blazar classes displaying a smooth continuation in properties (as is evident in Figure 5 and other plots), they sometimes reveal differences in the correlation test results when considered separately. In Table 2, we have listed the correlation test results separately for quasars and BL Lac objects, if they differed from results for the combined blazar population. BL Lac objects considered alone sometimes failed to exhibit the correlations observed in quasars (also see Section 3.1). Apart from the possible effects of small number statistics, a simple interpretation of this finding could be that unlike the quasars, the BL Lac objects do not constitute a homogeneous population. As has been previously suggested in the literature (e.g., Owen et al. 1996; Cara & Lister 2008; Landt & Bignall 2008), the BL Lac objects might constitute the beamed population of both FRI and FRII radio galaxies. We note that Giroletti et al. (2004) have demonstrated that HBLs (which are absent in MOJAVE) conform to the standard unification scheme with respect to FRI radio galaxies. This again supports the proposed inhomogeneity within the BL Lac objects class.

Preliminary Monte Carlo simulations of a population of AGN similar to that of MOJAVE also show a correlation between apparent jet speed and extended luminosity (N. J. Cooper et al. 2010, in preparation). The simulations are based on the luminosity function of Padovani & Urry (1992), and assume that the extended luminosity is unbeamed and proportional to the intrinsic unbeamed parsec-scale luminosity. We are currently incorporating the luminosity function derived from the MOJAVE sample by Cara & Lister (2008) into the simulations.

Figure 5. Left: 1.4 GHz core luminosity vs. the apparent jet speed. The aspect curve assumes $\gamma = 52$, $L_{\text{int}} = 5 \times 10^{24}$, and $p \approx 2$. Right: 1.4 GHz extended luminosity vs. the apparent jet speed. Open and filled circles denote quasars and BL Lac objects, respectively, while open squares denote radio galaxies. Core-only sources are represented as upper limits.

3.4. Parsec-to-Kiloparsec Jet Misalignment

Apparent misalignment in the jet direction from parsec to kiloparsec scales is commonly observed in blazars (e.g., Pearson & Readhead 1988). This misalignment could either be due to actual large bends in the jets, or due to small bends that are amplified by projection. We present the parsec- to kiloparsec-scale jet misalignment for the MOJAVE sources in Figure 6. The procedure for estimating the parsec-scale jet position angles (PAs) is described in Lister et al. (2009b). Kiloparsec-scale jet PAs were determined for the FRII sources using the brightest hot spots, especially when no jet was clearly visible, under the assumption that the brightest hot spot indicates the approaching jet direction due to Doppler boosting. The AIPS procedure TVMAXFIT was used to obtain accurate peak pixel positions of the core and hot spot, which were then used in the AIPS verb IMDIST to obtain the jet PA. For the FRI-type sources we used TVMAXFIT for the core pixel position and the AIPS verb CURVALUE to get the pixel position roughly toward the center and end of the broad jet/lobe. Finally IMDIST was used to obtain the jet PA. Note that unlike regular radio galaxies, FRI blazars are typically one sided, which makes the approaching jet direction easy to identify. Due to the wide jet/lobes in FRIs, the kiloparsec-scale jet PA could be uncertain by 10° – 15° . When a jet feature appeared only partially resolved (viz., 1038+064, 1417+385), a two Gaussian component model was used in JMFIT to obtain pixel positions of the core and jet feature.

We note that our approach (viz., of using the brightest hot spot to determine the approaching jet direction, when no jet was clearly visible) differs from that adopted by some other authors (e.g., Xu et al. 1994) who assume that, when no jet is visible, the hot spot on the side of the parsec-scale jet represents the kiloparsec-scale jet direction. Our approach has led us to identify many more sources ($\sim 30\%$) with misalignment angles greater than 90° .

For an otherwise straight jet having a single bend, the apparent bending angle (η) is related to the intrinsic bending angle (ψ) through the relation, $\cot \eta = \frac{\cot \psi \sin \theta - \cos \theta \cos \phi}{\sin \phi}$, where θ is the angle to line of sight and ϕ is the azimuth of the bend (Appl et al. 1996). The fact that we see a majority of sources having close to zero apparent misalignment implies one of the following scenarios: (1) the intrinsic misalignment angle is small; (2) both the intrinsic misalignment and jet inclination angles are large;

Figure 6. Misalignment angle between the parsec-scale and the kiloparsec-scale jet for the MOJAVE sources. There are signatures of a weak 90° bump.

(3) the azimuth of the bend is close to 180° , i.e., the plane of the bend is perpendicular to the sky plane. Since scenario (2) is unlikely for these blazars, possibilities (1) and (3) are more favorable. In Section 4, we explain how the MOJAVE selection criteria could make it biased toward bent jets.

Pearson & Readhead (1988) and Conway & Murphy (1993) reported an unexpected secondary peak at $\Delta\text{PA} = 90^\circ$ in the misalignment angle distribution. The signatures of a weak 90° bump appear to be present in Figure 6. Conway & Murphy (1993) concluded that while the largely aligned population could be explained by straight parsec-scale jets and small intrinsic bends between parsec- and kiloparsec-scales, the secondary peak sources must have gently curving (low-pitch) helical parsec-scale jets. This helical distortion could arise due to Kelvin–Helmholtz instabilities or precession of the jet ejection axes. We reach a similar conclusion on the jet misalignments, following a different approach in Section 4.3.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Selection Effects in MOJAVE

The MOJAVE survey appears to select many sources with intermediate radio powers and radio morphology (e.g., high radio power BL Lac objects, some with hot spots, and low

Figure 7. Orientation indicators, R_c (left) and R_v (right) vs. the 1.4 GHz core luminosity. Open and filled circles denote quasars and BL Lac objects, respectively, while open squares denote radio galaxies. Core-only sources are represented as upper limits.

radio power quasars). This could be a result of the MOJAVE selection criteria, which are based on the relativistically boosted, high radio-frequency (15 GHz) parsec-scale flux densities. This would make it more likely to encompass a much larger range in intrinsic radio powers, compared to other samples selected on the basis of total kiloparsec-scale flux densities (e.g., the 3CR sample). The intrinsic radio luminosity function derived for the MOJAVE sample by Cara & Lister (2008) also supports this view.

Furthermore, as the MOJAVE survey picks up bright radio cores, most of the sources could either be fast jets pointed toward us, or curved/bent jets that have at least some portion of the jet aligned directly into our line of sight, at least at one epoch (e.g., Alberdi et al. 1993). The MOJAVE survey could therefore be biased toward bent jets. Note that in the WAT quasars, the hot spots closer to the cores could be produced at the base of the plumes (e.g., Hardcastle & Sakellou 2004), or indicate a sharp bend in the jet (e.g., Alberdi et al. 1993; Jetha et al. 2006). We discuss the possibility of beamed WAT quasars in the MOJAVE sample further in Section 4.3.

4.2. Orientation Effects in the Sample

Since the MOJAVE sample consists almost entirely of blazars, the sources are expected to have jets aligned close to our line of sight. Our examination of orientation effects in the sample, however, using two different statistical orientation indicators, R_c and R_v , reveals that a range of orientations must in fact be present in order to account for several observed trends. R_c and R_v also reveal, to some extent, dissimilar trends. We finally reach the conclusion that R_v is a better indicator of orientation for this blazar sample.

The ratio of the beamed radio core flux density (S_{core}) to the unbeamed extended radio flux density (S_{ext}), viz., the radio core prominence parameter (R_c), has routinely been used as a statistical indicator of Doppler beaming and thereby orientation (Orr & Browne 1982; Kapahi & Saikia 1982; Kharb & Shastri 2004). The k -corrected R_c ($= \frac{S_{\text{core}}}{S_{\text{ext}}} (1+z)^{\alpha_{\text{core}} - \alpha_{\text{ext}}}$, with $\alpha_{\text{core}} = 0$, $\alpha_{\text{ext}} = 0.8$) is plotted against the radio core luminosity in Figure 7. As expected, R_c is correlated with the radio core luminosity (Table 2). R_c however, shows a significant anti-correlation with respect to the extended radio luminosity (Figure 8). R_c does not show a correlation with jet misalignment (Table 2). In fact the quasars considered alone

showed a weak anti-correlation between R_c and jet misalignment (implying that the more core-dominant sources have smaller jet misalignments). These results are unexpected, because in the former case, the extended radio luminosity is expected to be largely unbeamed, while in the latter, the jet misalignment is affected by projection and therefore orientation (in the sense that the more core-dominant sources show larger jet misalignments, e.g., Kapahi & Saikia 1982). As an aside, Brotherton (1996) has noted that the anti-correlation between $\log R_c$ and $\log L_{\text{ext}}$ may be suggesting that core-dominated quasars are intrinsically fainter than their lobe-dominated counterparts. This would be consistent with the idea that the MOJAVE sources span a large range in intrinsic radio powers (cf. Section 4.1).

We also examined the relationship between R_c and apparent jet speed. While both R_c and β_{app} depend on the Lorentz factor and orientation, many of the viewing angles may be inside the critical angle for maximum superluminal speed, which would spoil any correlation. However, the quasars considered alone do show a significant anti-correlation, contrary to expectations. But as we see below, the alternate orientation indicator, R_v , does show the expected behavior.

Wills & Brotherton (1995) defined R_v as the ratio of the radio core luminosity to the k -corrected absolute V-band magnitude (M_{abs}): $\log R_v = \log \frac{L_{\text{core}}}{L_{\text{opt}}} = (\log L_{\text{core}} + M_{\text{abs}}/2.5) - 13.7$, where $M_{\text{abs}} = M_V - k$ and the k -correction is $k = -2.5 \log(1+z)^{1-\alpha_{\text{opt}}}$ with the optical spectral index, $\alpha_{\text{opt}} = 0.5$. R_v is considered to be a better orientation indicator than R_c since the optical luminosity is likely to be a better measure of intrinsic jet power (e.g., Maraschi et al. 2008; Ghisellini et al. 2009) than extended radio luminosity. This is due to the fact that the optical continuum luminosity is correlated with the emission-line luminosity over 4 orders of magnitude (Yee & Oke 1978), and the emission-line luminosity is tightly correlated with the total jet kinetic power (Rawlings & Saunders 1991). The extended radio luminosity, on the other hand, is suggested to be affected by interaction with the environment on kiloparsec-scales. Figure 7 suggests that R_v is indeed a better indicator of orientation as the correlation with radio core luminosity gains in prominence (see Table 2). R_v shows a weak positive correlation with the extended radio luminosity (Figure 8). Partial regression analysis, however, shows that the linear correlation between $\log R_v$ and $\log L_{\text{ext}}$ is no longer significant when the effects of $\log L_{\text{core}}$ are removed ($r_{XY.Z} = 0.078$, t statistic = 0.84, $p = 0.4013$). This implies

Figure 8. Orientation indicators, R_c (left) and R_v (right) vs. the 1.4 GHz extended luminosity. Open and filled circles denote quasars and BL Lac objects, respectively, while open squares denote radio galaxies. Core-only sources are represented as upper limits.

that the extended radio emission is largely unbeamed. R_v is correlated with the parsec-to-kiloparsec jet misalignment, suggesting that orientation does play a role in the observed jet misalignments, at least for the quasars. The lack of such a correlation for the BL Lac objects could again be interpreted as consequence of their comprising of not only beamed FRIs (which could have intrinsically distorted jets) but beamed FRIIs also. We discuss this point again in Section 4.5. Finally, there appears to be no correlation between R_v and β_{app} , as is expected if many blazar viewing angles are inside the critical angle for maximum superluminal speeds, which would then result in smaller apparent speeds. This result is again consistent with R_v being a better indicator of orientation than R_c .

Here, we would like to note that the correlation between apparent jet speed and absolute optical magnitude does not seem to be as significant as that observed between apparent jet speed and extended radio luminosity (Table 2). This could in principle undermine the suggestion that the optical luminosity is a better indicator of jet kinetic power than extended radio luminosity. However, since the optical luminosity is more likely to be affected by strong variability than the extended radio luminosity, the lack of a strong correlation can perhaps be accounted for, in these non-contemporaneous radio and optical observations. Nevertheless, this is an important result to bear in mind, which requires further testing.

4.3. Environmental Effects

It has been suggested that apart from projection and relativistic beaming effects, the complex radio structures in quasars could arise due to interactions with the surrounding medium (Pearson & Readhead 1988; Barthel & Miley 1988). As is observed in Figure 9, the extended radio luminosity appears to be correlated with absolute optical luminosity. However, a partial regression analysis shows that the linear correlation becomes weak or non-existent, after the effects of luminosity distance are removed (for quasars, $r_{XYZ} = -0.169$, t statistic = -1.62 , $p = 0.1088$; for BL Lac objects, $r_{XYZ} = 0.079$, t statistic = 0.31 , $p = 0.7608$). The lack of a strong correlation may again be attributable to strong optical variability in these blazars. If the extended radio luminosity is indeed affected by interaction with the kiloparsec-scale environment and the optical luminosity is closely related to the AGN power, the ratio, $[\log L_{ext}]/M_{abs}$, can serve as a probe for environmental effects on kiloparsec-scales (as suggested by Wills & Brotherton 1995). Henceforth, we will

refer to this ratio as the “environment indicator” (we will drop the “log” term in the ratio for convenience, in the following text).

A higher value of the ratio could indicate greater jet-medium interaction, (as say, from an asymmetrically dense source environment). Dense environments can decrease expansion losses in the source, but increase radiative losses, making the sources brighter at low radio frequencies (e.g., in Cygnus A, see Barthel & Arnaud 1996). Note that the optical magnitude in blazars is expected to be related to the AGN (accretion disk or jet), rather than to starlight. This might not be true for the nearby radio galaxies, where starlight might indeed be a significant contributor to the optical magnitude. The L_{ext}/M_{abs} ratio might therefore not be a good environment proxy for the radio galaxies. We have therefore excluded radio galaxies from the correlation tests related to the environment proxy indicator.

We plot the environment proxy ratio with respect to redshift in the right-hand panel of Figure 9 and find a strong positive correlation. The “alignment effect” between the radio source axis and the emission-line region in high redshift radio sources demonstrates that local galactic asymmetries (on scales of several kiloparsecs) increase with redshift (McCarthy 1993; Best et al. 1999). This result, therefore, is consistent with the suggestion of the environmental dependence of the extended luminosity. We note that the BL Lac objects considered alone fail to show a strong correlation (Table 2), which could be a consequence of an inhomogeneous parent population, as discussed in Section 3.3.

We find that while the parsec-scale jet speeds appear to be tightly correlated with the extended radio luminosity (Figure 5) and weakly correlated with the optical luminosity, they seem not to show any correlation with the kiloparsec-scale environment indicator (Figure 10). This could imply that the parsec-scale jet speeds are not driven by the kiloparsec-scale environment, but rather by factors intrinsic to the AGN, or the AGN’s local parsec-scale environment. For instance, the jet speeds could be related to the black hole spins (e.g., Meier 1999). However, like other results pertaining to optical luminosity, this lack of a correlation needs further testing with contemporaneous optical and radio data.

We find that the parsec-to-kiloparsec jet misalignment is not correlated with redshift (Figure 11). This goes against the idea that the bending of the jets is influenced by interaction with the medium; an effect which should get more prominent with

Figure 9. Left: 1.4 GHz extended radio luminosity vs. the absolute optical magnitude. Right: the environment indicator vs. redshift. Open and filled circles denote quasars and BL Lac objects, respectively, while open squares denote radio galaxies. Core-only sources are represented as upper limits.

Figure 10. Apparent parsec-scale jet speed vs. the environment indicator. Open and filled circles denote quasars and BL Lac objects, respectively, while open squares denote radio galaxies.

increasing redshift. This result is consistent with the suggestions of Hintzen et al. (1983) and Appl et al. (1996). We note that Hintzen et al. (1983) used the kiloparsec-scale jet-to-counterjet misalignment in their study. Kharb et al. (2008b) found a similar lack of correlation between jet-to-counterjet misalignment and redshift for an FRII radio galaxy sample. Thus, the jet misalignment could *also* be related to factors closely associated with the AGN itself. For instance, the presence of binary black holes or kicks imparted to the black hole via a black hole merger could switch the ejection direction on a short timescale (e.g., Begelman et al. 1980; Merritt & Ekers 2002). Black hole re-alignment due to a warped accretion disk could also give rise to jet precession (Pringle 1997). We note that a few sources have morphologies resembling fast, precessing jets (“banana” shaped) as modeled by Gower et al. (1982). Alternatively, the jet misalignment could be influenced by the AGN’s local parsec-scale environment.

The jet misalignment is inversely correlated with the kpc-scale environment indicator (see the right-hand panel of Figure 11). The inverse relation suggests that jets with smaller misalignments (i.e., straighter jets) show signatures of greater environmental effects on their lobe emission. This could either be suggestive of a uniformly dense confining medium on differ-

ent spatial scales around the source, or, of projection effects in the sample, which could boost the optical emission and decrease the $L_{\text{ext}}/M_{\text{abs}}$ ratio in sources with larger jet misalignments. If the latter is true, then this is an important caveat—the environment indicator could also be affected by source orientation in blazars. However, the orientation dependence alone cannot satisfactorily explain the correlation between the environment indicator and redshift (Figure 9).

Many MOJAVE blazars exhibit radio structures resembling those of WAT radio galaxies. Observations have indicated that WAT quasars could be members of rich galaxy clusters (e.g., Hintzen et al. 1983; Harris et al. 1983; Blanton et al. 2000). Using the NASA/IPAC extragalactic database (NED) we found that nearly 7% of the MOJAVE sources have a galaxy cluster $\sim 5'$ away, while 14% have a galaxy cluster $\sim 10'–15'$ away. While this fraction appears to be small, we must keep in mind that most of the blazars are at high redshifts, while the cluster information is highly incomplete even at redshifts $z > 0.1$ (e.g., Ebeling et al. 1998; Böhringer et al. 2004). Thus, the possibility remains that a large number of MOJAVE blazars inhabit galaxy clusters. This suggestion has interesting ramifications, as discussed below. Furthermore, this could help us understand some of the results discussed in this section.

4.4. Relation Between Radio Power and Emission-line Luminosity

Rawlings & Saunders (1991) demonstrated a tight correlation between emission-line luminosity and total jet kinetic power in a sample of radio-loud AGNs. This correlation was tighter than that observed between emission-line luminosity and radio luminosity. Landt et al. (2006) discovered a large number of “blue quasars” with strong emission lines, but relatively low radio powers in the Deep X-ray Radio Blazar Survey (DXRBS) and the RGB samples, and suggested that this went against the close relationship between emission line and jet power. These and other observations (e.g., Xu et al. 1999) could be suggesting that the mechanism for the production of the optical-UV photons that ionize the emission-line clouds is decoupled from the mechanism that produces powerful radio jets. Many MOJAVE blazars also seem not to follow the relation between emission line and radio luminosity; that is, some quasars and BL Lac objects have relatively low and high radio powers, respectively, and the suggestion of decoupled mechanisms might hold true.

Figure 11. Left: jet misalignment vs. the environment indicator. Right: misalignment angle vs. redshift. Open and filled circles denote quasars and BL Lac objects, respectively, while open squares denote radio galaxies.

However, an alternate hypothesis by which their emission-line luminosity could still be correlated with their intrinsic jet kinetic power could come about if the *outlying* BL Lac objects were present in dense confining environments, while the *outlying* quasars were old, field sources. Confinement would increase the synchrotron radiative losses due to the amplification of the magnetic field strength, making the sources brighter (see Barthel & Arnaud 1996), while expansion losses and gradual radiative losses could presumably reduce the low-frequency radio emission in the old, field quasars.

It has been suggested that a large fraction ($\sim 50\%$) of the high redshift radio sources could be gigahertz peaked spectrum (GPS) sources (e.g., O’Dea et al. 1991), which are likely to be present in confined environments. One of the highest redshift MOJAVE sources, 0742+103 ($z = 2.624$), is a GPS quasar. There are several other MOJAVE sources that have peaked spectra, but do not show the low-variability or the double-lobed parsec-scale structures that are characteristic of the GPS class. These could be “masquerading GPS” sources, whose overall spectrum happens to be dominated by an unusually bright feature in the jet, located downstream from the core.

4.5. Blazar Division Based on Radio Power

In order to gain more insight into the primary factors that drive the FR dichotomy, in this section we disregard the emission-line division (i.e., quasars, BL Lac objects) and divide sources into FRI, FRI/II, and FRII classes based solely on their 1.4 GHz extended powers (following Figure 4). Figure 12 plots the environment indicator, $L_{\text{ext}}/M_{\text{abs}}$, with respect to redshift, using the new classification. A quick comparison with Figure 9 reveals that many of the low redshift quasars fall into the intermediate FRI/II class. The low redshifts of these sources discount the possibility of a $(1+z)^4$ surface brightness dimming effect in them, which could potentially reduce their extended luminosity, making them fall in the intermediate luminosity class. This finding is consistent with the discovery of “blue quasars” by Landt et al. (2006), which have radio powers and SEDs similar to the high-energy peaked BL Lac objects.

Most beamed FRIs lie at redshifts below 0.5 in Figure 12. This could be a limitation of the flux limited sample. Interestingly, the FRI/II sources lie at all redshift ranges and throughout the $L_{\text{ext}}/M_{\text{abs}}$ distribution. This suggests that these “intermediate” luminosity sources are not produced as a result of different envi-

Figure 12. Environment indicator vs. redshift, with sources divided on the basis of extended radio luminosity into FRI (1), FRII (2), and FRI/II (filled circles).

ronmental conditions compared to, say, other FRIIs. Similarly, sources divided on the basis of the presence or absence of hot spots show no discernable difference in the $L_{\text{ext}}/M_{\text{abs}}$ versus z plane. It is important to note that environmental radio boosting, as mentioned in Section 4.4 (also Barthel & Arnaud 1996), could be instrumental in blurring the Fanaroff–Riley dividing line in the MOJAVE blazars.

Lastly, the orientation indicator, R_v , seems to be correlated with misalignment only for FRIIs, but not for FRIs or FRI/II (Table 2). This implies that projection is playing a significant role in the jet misalignment of FRII sources, but the jets are intrinsically more distorted in FRIs and FRI/II. A corollary to this is that the differences between FRIs and FRIIs could be related to factors affecting the black hole spin direction, since we have previously concluded that jet misalignments appear to be largely unrelated to kiloparsec-scale environmental effects.

4.6. Extremely Misaligned Sources

Six MOJAVE sources (viz., 0224+671, 0814+425, 1219+044, 1510+089, 1828+487, 2145+067) have jet misalignments greater than 160° . Such extremely misaligned sources could be bent jets viewed nearly head-on, as suggested for the gamma-ray

Figure 13. New radio images from the VLA archive of (a) 0727–115, (b) 0736+017, (c) 0742+103, (d) 0838+133, (e) 1124–186, and (f) 1334–127. The contours are in percentage of the peak surface brightness and increase in steps of 2. The lowest contour levels and peak surface brightness are (a) ± 0.01 , $3.15 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$; (b) ± 0.042 , $2.33 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$; (c) ± 0.021 , $3.61 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$; (d) ± 0.17 , $289.3 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$; (e) ± 0.085 , $651.2 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$; (f) ± 0.042 , $1.94 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$.

blazar PKS 1510–089 (Homan et al. 2002). An alternate scenario could be that some of these misaligned sources are “hybrid” morphology sources (e.g., Gopal-Krishna & Wiita 2000). Three of six sources with large misalignments (viz., 0224+671,

1219+044, 1510–089) indeed seem to possess radio morphologies that could be classified as “hybrid.” The large misalignment then makes sense: the VLBI jet (used to determine the parsec-scale jet PA) could be on the FRI side, while the sole hot spot

Figure 14. New radio images from the VLA archive of (a) 1504–166, (b) 1548+056, (c) 1726+455, (d) 1733–130, (e) 1741–038, and (f) 1751+288. The contours are in percentage of the peak surface brightness and increase in steps of 2. The lowest contour levels and peak surface brightness are (a) ± 0.042 , $2.36 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$; (b) ± 0.021 , $2.09 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$; (c) ± 0.042 , $998.9 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$; (d) ± 0.021 , $6.11 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$; (e) ± 0.042 , $1.68 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$; (f) ± 0.17 , $263.5 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$.

(used to determine the kiloparsec-scale jet PA) *could be* on the FR II side.

One interesting source that shows extreme misalignment ($\sim 135^\circ$) is M87 (1228+126). M87 appears to exhibit a weak hot spot on the counterjet side (e.g., Owen et al. 1990; Sparks

et al. 1992). Since the brightest emission on the counterjet side is at the extremity of the radio lobe (like in FR IIs), M87 could be classified as a hybrid radio morphology source. Its radio luminosity ($L_{178} = 1 \times 10^{25} \text{ W m}^{-2}$) also places it close to the FRI/FRII division (Biretta et al. 1999). Kovalev et al. (2007)

Figure 15. New radio images from the VLA archive of (a) 1807+698, (b) 1936–155, (c) 1958–179, (d) 2005+403, (e) 2008–159, and (f) 2021+317. The contours are in percentage of the peak surface brightness and increase in steps of 2. The lowest contour levels and peak surface brightness are (a) ± 0.042 , $1.14 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$; (b) ± 0.085 , $1.05 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$; (c) ± 0.085 , $1.77 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$; (d) ± 0.085 , $2.43 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$; (e) ± 0.085 , $544.5 \text{ mJy beam}^{-1}$; (f) ± 0.085 , $2.97 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$.

have observed a very short, weak radio counterjet on parsec-scales in M87, but perhaps that is just a slower outer sheath that quickly terminates.

If indeed some of the extremely misaligned sources are “hybrid” sources, the lack of a correlation between jet misalignment

and environment appears to contradict the suggestion that asymmetries in galactic environments, which increase with redshift (e.g., Best et al. 1999), give rise to hybrid morphology sources (e.g., Gopal-Krishna & Wiita 2000; Miller & Brandt 2009). Moreover, the fraction of such sources would be higher in the

Figure 16. New radio images from the VLA archive of (a) 2021+614, (b) 2037+511, and (c) 2128–123. The contours are in percentage of the peak surface brightness and increase in steps of 2. The lowest contour levels and peak surface brightness are (a) ± 0.042 , $2.64 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$; (b) ± 0.042 , $4.61 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$; (c) ± 0.042 , $1.37 \text{ Jy beam}^{-1}$.

MOJAVE (6%–8%) than that reported for the FIRST survey (1%; Gawroński et al. 2006), suggesting that hybrid sources may not be as rare as previously supposed. It is also interesting to note that not many MOJAVE “hybrid” morphology sources fall in the FRI/II luminosity range, but rather have FRII luminosities. In other words, the MOJAVE “hybrid” morphology sources are not necessarily “hybrid” in terms of radio power, but mostly fall into the FRII luminosity class. *Chandra* X-ray observations of these sources could resolve some of these apparent contradictions.

4.7. Core-only Sources

About 7% of the MOJAVE sources do not show any extended radio emission in our 1.4 GHz VLA A-array images. However the ratio of their 5 GHz radio flux density to their *B*-band optical flux density is of the order of a few hundred, placing them firmly in the radio-loud AGN class (e.g., Kellermann et al. 1989). The dynamic range achieved in the radio images of these sources varied from about 6000:1 (e.g., 0955+476) to 30,000:1 (e.g.,

0202+149), being typically of the order of 10,000:1. While some sources, like 1749+096, failed to reveal any extended emission in a number of different data sets (see also Rector & Stocke 2001), we did detect faint halo-like emission around 1548+056, which was listed as a core-only source by Murphy et al. (1993). Note that observations with the VLA A-array-only are likely to miss very diffuse radio structure, due to the lack of short baselines. We believe therefore that these core-only sources probably have associated faint emission which require more sensitive observations to detect.

The observed fraction of *core-only* sources in MOJAVE is smaller than that reported for other quasar surveys (20%; Gower & Hutchings 1984; Padrielli et al. 1988). While the core-only sources could have been affected by the redshift surface brightness dimming effect, our discussion in the previous section argues against this conjecture. Alternatively, these sources could just be normal quasars at very small angles to line of sight, so that the VLA resolution is insufficient to delineate the various components. This appears to be the case in 1038+064, and

1417+385, where a two Gaussian component model fits the “core” emission better than a single one. The hypothesis that at least some of these quasars could be beamed FRIs also cannot be ruled out (e.g., Heywood et al. 2007).

4.8. Optical Polarization Subclasses

Low-optical polarization radio quasars (LPRQs) consistently reveal core optical fractional polarization, $m_{\text{opt}} < 3\%$, while the high-optical polarization quasars (HPQs) routinely reveal highly polarized optical cores with $m_{\text{opt}} \geq 3\%$ (Angel & Stockman 1980). The classification of the MOJAVE sources into these categories is listed in Table 1, and was obtained from Impey et al. (1991) and Lister & Smith (2000). In all, there are 38 HPQs and 16 LPRQs in the MOJAVE sample. We find no statistically significant differences in the core or extended radio luminosity between LPRQs and HPQs. In Kharb et al. (2008a) we had noted a difference in the integrated (single-dish) 1.4 GHz radio luminosity between LPRQs and HPQs for redshifts less than 1. We do not find a difference in the total radio luminosity using the present higher resolution VLA observations. Furthermore, we do not find a statistically significant difference in jet misalignment between LPRQs and HPQs. The parsec-scale apparent jet speeds of HPQs, however, are systematically higher than those in LPRQs (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test probability that the speeds in HPQs and LPRQs are similar is $p = 0.03$). Additional optical polarimetry information on the sample is needed to further investigate these trends.

5. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

1. We describe the results from a study of the extended emission at 1.4 GHz in the MOJAVE sample of 135 blazars. New VLA A-array images of six MOJAVE quasars and previously unpublished VLA A-array archival images of 21 blazars are presented. The kiloparsec-scale structures are varied, with many sources displaying peculiar, distorted morphologies. Many blazar jets show parsec-to-kiloparsec-scale jet misalignments greater than 90° . These characteristics have been reported in other quasar surveys as well. The 90° bump in the jet misalignment distribution (of which we observe a weak signature) has been suggested to be a result of low-pitch helical parsec-scale jets in the literature.
2. A substantial number of MOJAVE quasars ($\sim 22\%$) and BL Lac objects ($\sim 23\%$) display radio powers that are intermediate between FRIs and FRIIs. Many BL Lac objects have extended luminosities ($\sim 27\%$) and hot spots ($\sim 60\%$) like quasars. The hypothesis that at least some of the core-only quasars are in fact FRI quasars cannot be discarded. In terms of radio properties alone, it is difficult to draw a sharp dividing line between BL Lac objects and quasars, in the MOJAVE sample. This could be a result of the MOJAVE selection effects which naturally pick sources with a large range in intrinsic radio power (low-power quasars and high-power BL Lac objects) and preferentially bent jets. While the quasars and BL Lac objects display a smooth continuation in all their properties, the correlation test results differ sometimes when they are considered separately. This can be understood if the BL Lac objects did not constitute a homogeneous population like the quasars, but had both FRI and FRII radio galaxies as their parent population. These findings challenge the simple radio-loud unified scheme, which links FRI sources to BL Lac objects and FRIIs to quasars.
3. We find that there is a significant correlation between extended radio luminosity and apparent parsec-scale jet speeds. This implies that most quasars have faster jets than most BL Lac objects. The large overlap between many properties of quasars and BL Lac objects (e.g., 1.4 GHz radio power, parsec-scale jet speeds) however suggests that, at least in terms of radio jet properties, the distinction between these two AGN classes, at an emission-line equivalent width of $5 \text{ \AA}$, is essentially an arbitrary one.
4. These observations suggest that the mechanism for the production of optical-UV photons that ionize the emission-line clouds is decoupled from the mechanism that produces powerful radio jets (e.g., Xu et al. 1999; Landt et al. 2006). An alternate hypothesis could be that BL Lac objects with high radio powers are present in dense confining environments, which would increase the radiative losses in them and make them brighter, while the radio quasars with low radio powers are old, field sources. Environmental radio boosting (Barthel & Arnaud 1996) could also explain why the Fanaroff–Riley dividing line is blurred in the MOJAVE sample. X-ray cluster studies could be used to test these ideas.
5. The ratio of the radio core luminosity to the k -corrected optical luminosity (R_v) appears to be a better indicator of jet orientation than the traditionally used radio core prominence parameter (R_c). This seems to be a consequence of the environmental contribution to the extended radio luminosity, used in R_c . Trends with R_v reveal that even though the sample comprises largely of blazars, there is a reasonable range of orientations present in the sample.
6. Trends with the “environment” proxy indicator, $L_{\text{ext}}/M_{\text{abs}}$, reveal that jet speeds seem not to depend on the environment on kiloparsec scales, while the jet misalignments seem to be inversely correlated. The jet misalignments are also not correlated with redshift. It appears that the parsec-to-kiloparsec jet misalignment, the parsec-scale jet speeds, and to an extent the extended emission (which is related to jet speed) are controlled by factors intrinsic to the AGN. Black hole spins could dictate the jet speeds, while the presence of binary black holes, or kicks imparted to black holes via black hole mergers could influence the jet direction. This is consistent with radio morphologies similar to precessing jet models of Gower et al. (1982) present in the MOJAVE sample, and the signature of the 90° bump in the jet misalignment distribution, which is attributed to low-pitch helical parsec-scale jets.
7. If some of the highly misaligned sources (jet misalignment $\sim 180^\circ$) are “hybrid” FRI+II morphology sources, then the fraction of such sources is higher in the MOJAVE survey ($6\%–8\%$) than that reported for the FIRST survey (1% , Gawroński et al. 2006). Furthermore, the lack of a correlation between jet misalignment and the environmental indicator would appear to contradict the suggestion that hybrid morphology is a result of the jet interacting with an asymmetric environment. X-ray observations of hybrid sources are needed to resolve these issues.
8. About 7% of the MOJAVE quasars show no extended radio emission. We expect more sensitive radio observations to detect faint emission in these sources, as we have detected in the case of 1548+056, previously classified as a core-only quasar. The hypothesis that at least some of these quasars could be beamed FRIs cannot be ruled out.

9. While the extended radio power or jet misalignments do not show statistically significant differences between the two quasar optical polarization subclasses, viz., LPRQs and HPQs, the parsec-scale apparent jet speeds of HPQs are systematically higher than those in LPRQs.

We thank the anonymous referee for a careful assessment of the manuscript, which has led to significant improvement. P.K. thanks John Peterson for useful discussions on galaxy clusters and Chris O'Dea for insightful suggestions. The MOJAVE project is supported under National Science Foundation grant 0807860-AST and NASA-*Fermi* grant NNX08AV67G. This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The National Radio Astronomy Observatory is a facility of the National Science Foundation operated under cooperative agreement by Associated Universities, Inc.

APPENDIX

PREVIOUSLY UNPUBLISHED RADIO IMAGES FROM THE ARCHIVE

In this appendix, we present previously unpublished images of 21 blazars in Figures 13–16.

REFERENCES

- Alberdi, A., Marcaide, J. M., Marscher, A. P., Zhang, Y. F., Elosegui, P., Gomez, J. L., & Shaffer, D. B. 1993, *ApJ*, **402**, 160
- Angel, J. R. P., & Stockman, H. S. 1980, *ARA&A*, **18**, 321
- Antonucci, R. R. J., & Ulvestad, J. S. 1985, *ApJ*, **294**, 158
- Appl, S., Sol, H., & Vicente, L. 1996, *A&A*, **310**, 419
- Barthel, P. D., & Arnaud, K. A. 1996, *MNRAS*, **283**, L45
- Barthel, P. D., & Miley, G. K. 1988, *Nature*, **333**, 319
- Baum, S. A., Zirbel, E. L., & O'Dea, C. P. 1995, *ApJ*, **451**, 88
- Begelman, M. C., Blandford, R. D., & Rees, M. J. 1980, *Nature*, **287**, 307
- Best, P. N., Eales, S. A., Longair, M. S., Rawlings, S., & Rottgering, H. J. A. 1999, *MNRAS*, **303**, 616
- Bicknell, G. V. 1995, *ApJS*, **101**, 29
- Biretta, J. A., Sparks, W. B., & Macchetto, F. 1999, *ApJ*, **520**, 621
- Birzan, L., Rafferty, D. A., McNamara, B. R., Wise, M. W., & Nulsen, P. E. J. 2004, *ApJ*, **607**, 800
- Blandford, R. D., & Konigl, A. 1979, *ApJ*, **232**, 34
- Blanton, E. L., Gregg, M. D., Helfand, D. J., Becker, R. H., & White, R. L. 2000, *ApJ*, **531**, 118
- Böhringer, H., et al. 2004, *A&A*, **425**, 367
- Brotherton, M. S. 1996, *ApJS*, **102**, 1
- Cara, M., & Lister, M. L. 2008, *ApJ*, **674**, 111
- Cohen, M. H., Lister, M. L., Homan, D. C., Kadler, M., Kellermann, K. I., Kovalev, Y. Y., & Vermeulen, R. C. 2007, *ApJ*, **658**, 232
- Conway, J. E., & Murphy, D. W. 1993, *ApJ*, **411**, 89
- Cooper, N. J., Lister, M. L., & Kochanzyk, M. D. 2007, *ApJS*, **171**, 376
- Corbett, E. A., Robinson, A., Axon, D. J., Hough, J. H., Jeffries, R. D., Thurston, M. R., & Young, S. 1996, *MNRAS*, **281**, 737
- Ebeling, H., Edge, A. C., Bohringer, H., Allen, S. W., Crawford, C. S., Fabian, A. C., Voges, W., & Huchra, J. P. 1998, *MNRAS*, **301**, 881
- Fanaroff, B. L., & Riley, J. M. 1974, *MNRAS*, **167**, 31P
- Gawroński, M. P., Marecki, A., Kunert-Bajraszewska, M., & Kus, A. J. 2006, *A&A*, **447**, 63
- Ghisellini, G., & Celotti, A. 2001, *A&A*, **379**, L1
- Ghisellini, G., Tavecchio, F., & Ghirlanda, G. 2009, *MNRAS*, **399**, 2041
- Giroletti, M., Giovannini, G., Taylor, G. B., & Falomo, R. 2004, *ApJ*, **613**, 752
- Gopal-Krishna, & Wiita, P. J. 2000, *A&A*, **363**, 507
- Gower, A. C., Gregory, P. C., Unruh, W. G., & Hutchings, J. B. 1982, *ApJ*, **262**, 478
- Gower, A. C., & Hutchings, J. B. 1984, *AJ*, **89**, 1658
- Hardcastle, M. J., & Sakellou, I. 2004, *MNRAS*, **349**, 560
- Harris, D. E., Dewdney, P. E., Costain, C. H., Butcher, H., & Willis, A. G. 1983, *ApJ*, **270**, 39
- Heywood, I., Blundell, K. M., & Rawlings, S. 2007, *MNRAS*, **381**, 1093
- Hintzen, P., Ulvestad, J., & Owen, F. 1983, *AJ*, **88**, 709
- Homan, D. C., Ojha, R., Wardle, J. F. C., Roberts, D. H., Aller, M. F., Aller, H. D., & Hughes, P. A. 2002, *ApJ*, **568**, 99
- Impey, C. D., Lawrence, C. R., & Tapia, S. 1991, *ApJ*, **375**, 46
- Jetha, N. N., Hardcastle, M. J., & Sakellou, I. 2006, *MNRAS*, **368**, 609
- Kapahi, V. K., & Saikia, D. J. 1982, *JA&A*, **3**, 465
- Kellermann, K. I., Sramek, R., Schmidt, M., Shaffer, D. B., & Green, R. 1989, *AJ*, **98**, 1195
- Kellermann, K. I., et al. 2004, *ApJ*, **609**, 539
- Kharb, P., Lister, M. L., & Shastri, P. 2008a, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D*, **17**, 1545
- Kharb, P., O'Dea, C. P., Baum, S. A., Daly, R. A., Mory, M. P., Donahue, M., & Guerra, E. J. 2008b, *ApJS*, **174**, 74
- Kharb, P., & Shastri, P. 2004, *A&A*, **425**, 825
- Kollgaard, R. I., Wardle, J. F. C., Roberts, D. H., & Gabuzda, D. C. 1992, *AJ*, **104**, 1687
- Kovalev, Y. Y., Lister, M. L., Homan, D. C., & Kellermann, K. I. 2007, *ApJ*, **668**, L27
- Landt, H., & Bignall, H. E. 2008, *MNRAS*, **391**, 967
- Landt, H., Padovani, P., Perlman, E. S., & Giommi, P. 2004, *MNRAS*, **351**, 83
- Landt, H., Perlman, E. S., & Padovani, P. 2006, *ApJ*, **637**, 183
- Laurent-Muehleisen, S. A., Kollgaard, R. I., Feigelson, E. D., Brinkmann, W., & Siebert, J. 1999, *ApJ*, **525**, 127
- Ledlow, M. J., & Owen, F. N. 1996, *AJ*, **112**, 9
- Lister, M. L., Homan, D. C., Kadler, M., Kellermann, K. I., Kovalev, Y. Y., Ros, E., Savolainen, T., & Zensus, J. A. 2009a, *ApJ*, **696**, L22
- Lister, M. L., & Smith, P. S. 2000, *ApJ*, **541**, 66
- Lister, M. L., et al. 2009b, *AJ*, **137**, 3718
- Maraschi, L., Foschini, L., Ghisellini, G., Tavecchio, F., & Sambruna, R. M. 2008, *MNRAS*, **391**, 1981
- McCarthy, P. J. 1993, *ARA&A*, **31**, 639
- Meier, D. L. 1999, *ApJ*, **522**, 753
- Merritt, D., & Ekers, R. D. 2002, *Science*, **297**, 1310
- Miller, B. P., & Brandt, W. N. 2009, *ApJ*, **695**, 755
- Miller, J. S., French, H. B., & Hawley, S. A. 1978, in *BL Lac Objects*, ed. A. M. Wolfe (Pittsburgh, PA: Univ. Pittsburgh), 176
- Murphy, D. W., Browne, I. W. A., & Perley, R. A. 1993, *MNRAS*, **264**, 298
- Napier, P. J., Thompson, A. R., & Ekers, R. D. 1983, *Proc. IEEE*, **71**, 1295
- Nieppola, E., Tornikoski, M., & Valtaoja, E. 2006, *A&A*, **445**, 441
- O'Dea, C. P., Baum, S. A., & Stanghellini, C. 1991, *ApJ*, **380**, 66
- O'Donoghue, A. A., Owen, F. N., & Eilek, J. A. 1990, *ApJS*, **72**, 75
- Orr, M. J. L., & Browne, I. W. A. 1982, *MNRAS*, **200**, 1067
- Owen, F. N., Eilek, J. A., & Keel, W. C. 1990, *ApJ*, **362**, 449
- Owen, F. N., Ledlow, M. J., & Keel, W. C. 1996, *AJ*, **111**, 53
- Padovani, P., & Giommi, P. 1995, *ApJ*, **444**, 567
- Padovani, P., & Urry, C. M. 1992, *ApJ*, **387**, 449
- Padielli, L., de Ruiter, H. R., & Rogora, A. 1988, *A&A*, **196**, 49
- Pearson, T. J., & Readhead, A. C. S. 1988, *ApJ*, **328**, 114
- Prestage, R. M., & Peacock, J. A. 1988, *MNRAS*, **230**, 131
- Pringle, J. E. 1997, *MNRAS*, **292**, 136
- Rawlings, S., & Saunders, R. 1991, *Nature*, **349**, 138
- Rector, T. A., & Stocke, J. T. 2001, *AJ*, **122**, 565
- Reynolds, C. S., Fabian, A. C., Celotti, A., & Rees, M. J. 1996, *MNRAS*, **283**, 873
- Scarpa, R., & Falomo, R. 1997, *A&A*, **325**, 109
- Schwab, F. R. 1980, *Proc. SPIE*, **231**, 18
- Sparks, W. B., Fraix-Burnet, D., Macchetto, F., & Owen, F. N. 1992, *Nature*, **355**, 804
- Stickel, M., Fried, J. W., Kuehr, H., Padovani, P., & Urry, C. M. 1991, *ApJ*, **374**, 431
- Stocke, J. T., Morris, S. L., Gioia, I. M., Maccacaro, T., Schild, R., Wolter, A., Fleming, T. A., & Henry, J. P. 1991, *ApJS*, **76**, 813
- Urry, M. 1999, in *ASP Conf. Ser. 159, BL Lac Phenomenon*, ed. L. O. Takalo & A. Sillanpää (San Francisco, CA: ASP), 3
- Urry, C. M., & Padovani, P. 1995, *PASP*, **107**, 803
- Vermeulen, R. C., Ogle, P. M., Tran, H. D., Browne, I. W. A., Cohen, M. H., Readhead, A. C. S., Taylor, G. B., & Goodrich, R. W. 1995, *ApJ*, **452**, L5
- Véron-Cetty, M.-P., & Véron, P. 2006, *A&A*, **455**, 773
- Wills, B. J., & Brotherton, M. S. 1995, *ApJ*, **448**, L81
- Xu, C., Livio, M., & Baum, S. 1999, *AJ*, **118**, 1169
- Xu, W., Readhead, A. C. S., Pearson, T. J., Wilkinson, P. N., & Polatidis, A. G. 1994, in *Compact Extragalactic Radio Sources*, ed. J. A. Zensus & K. I. Kellermann (Green Bank, WV: National Radio Astronomy Observatory), 7
- Yee, H. K. C., & Oke, J. B. 1978, *ApJ*, **226**, 753