259
TRAFFIC SPEED REPORT 78

TRUCK SPEED-WEIGHT STUDY

JANUARY 1964

NO. 1

Joint
Highway
Research
Project

by
L.L. SCHULMAN

PURDUE UNIVERSITY
LAFAYETTE INDIANA

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2011 with funding from
LYRASIS members and Sloan Foundation; Indiana Department of Transportation

Progress Report

"Traffic Speed Report No. 78"

TO: K. B. Woods, Director
Joint Highway Research Project

December 17, 1963

FROM: H. L. Michael, Associate Director
Joint Highway Research Project

File: 8-3-4
Project: C-36-10D

Attached is a Progress Report entitled "Traffic Speed Report No. 78". The results of the 1963 study of the relationship between truck weights and truck speeds are contained herein. The report has been prepared by Mr. L. L. Schulman, graduate assistant on our staff, and was prepared from data obtained during the annual truck study performed by the Highway Planning Survey Section of the Indiana State Highway Commission. The Project has cooperated in this study in a similar manner for many years.

Participation in this study by personnel of the Project was not as great this year as in previous years as the study was conducted about one month later this year thereby causing many conflicts with the beginning of classes for our personnel.

This report will be distributed in the usual manner to the Highway Commission, the Bureau of Public Roads, the State Police, the Office of Traffic Safety and the Traffic Safety Foundation. It is presented to the Board for information and for the record and for approval of such distribution.

Respectfully submitted,

Harold L. Michael

Harold L. Michael, Secretary

HLM:bc

Attachment

Copy:

F. L. Ashbaucher
J. R. Cooper
W. L. Dolch
W. H. Goetz
F. F. Havey
F. S. Hill
G. A. Leonards

J. F. McLaughlin
R. D. Miles
R. E. Mills
M. B. Scott
J. V. Smythe
E. J. Yoder

Progress Report

TRAFFIC SPEED REPORT NO. 78

by

**Lawrence Schulman
Graduate Assistant**

Joint Highway Research Project

File No: 8-3-4

Project No: C-36-10D

Purdue University

Lafayette, Indiana

December 17, 1963

TRAFFIC SPEED REPORT NO. 78

Introduction

This report is an analysis of the 1963 annual truck speed-weight study conducted during the months of August and September in conjunction with the Highway Planning Survey Unit of the Indiana State Highway Commission. The Highway Planning Survey Unit makes annual studies of truck weights, size, material hauled and this year included an origin and destination study. Personnel of the Joint Highway Research Project observe the speeds of the trucks at some point along the road and by use of an identical method of classification match the truck weight and speed. Although the Highway Planning Survey Unit makes its observations at twenty locations throughout the state, nine stations have been used annually for the speed-weight study by the Project.

This year, due to a very late data collection schedule, the Project was unable to collect data at all nine of the usual stations. Consequently this report is for only six of the nine stations usually observed. The stations utilized are shown on Figure 1 and are further described as follows:

<u>Station</u>	<u>Highway</u>	<u>Location</u>	<u>Date of obs.</u>	<u>No. of Lanes</u>
58B	U.S. 31	0.2 mi S of Southport Road	Aug. 20	4
75	U.S. 41	0.2 mi S of U.S. 41 Bus.	Aug. 21	4
81	U.S. 150	0.5 mi E of S.R. 56	Aug. 23	2
45B	S.R. 67	1.0 mi SW of Muncie	Aug. 29	2
5*	U.S. 30	1.3 mi E of Burbon	Sept. 23	2
4*	U.S. 31	0.2 mi S of U.S. 6	Sept. 24	2
2*	U.S. 20	0.3 mi W of S.R. 2	Sept. 20	4
14	U.S. 41	0.5 mi S of S.R. 2	Sept. 11	4
42	U.S. 52	at Jct. of S.R. 38	Sept. 16	4

*Not observed in 1963.

Equipment and Field Procedure

The speed data were collected by use of the Electromatic Radar Speed Meter-Model No. S-5. Prior to conducting the study, the Meter had been checked for accuracy by use of a fifth wheel device, and during the study the meter was periodically tested to insure continued accuracy by use of 30 mph and 45 mph tuning forks.

To lessen the effect of the observer on the traffic stream, the meter was placed in a cardboard barrel near the roadway and the observer and recording unit were stationed at least twenty feet from the edge of the roadway. Complete concealment was not found to be practical on modern highways having wide shoulders. The meter was placed approximately three feet from the edge of the pavement at an angle of less than 10° with the centerline of the roadway. Speed inaccuracies are negligible at small angles and corrections were unnecessary.

The speed observations were made on level, tangent sections of the highway between one and three miles from the weighing stations. In all cases, sufficient distance was allowed for the trucks to regain normal cruising speeds since data were desired for "free-moving vehicles." For this report "freemoving vehicle" refers to one which is not hampered by other traffic or effected by a change in speed due to stopping or turning. Care was also taken to minimize the opportunity for the vehicle to turnoff the road.

The speed stations were operated during the same hours as the weighing stations, 8 a.m. to 4 p.m. During the four morning hours the observations were made on vehicles moving in one direction and during the four afternoon hours the apparatus was moved to the other side of the roadway to observe vehicles in the opposite direction.

Procedure and Analysis

For purpose of the analysis the data were classified by truck type - single unit or multi-unit - and by road type - two lane or four lane. The single unit trucks were further classified into those less than 5000 lbs. and those over 5000 lbs. This weight classification corresponds to the existing Indiana speed limits for trucks which are as follows:

65 mph for light (less than 5000 lbs.) trucks

55 mph for heavy (over 5000 lbs.) trucks on 4-lane
highways with a median of 20 ft. or more

50 mph for heavy trucks on other roadways

This classification allowed a comparison of the observed speeds with the legal and "enforced" speed limits. These results are presented in tabular form in Table III.

A breakdown of the data is presented in Tables I and II. The observed values are separated into weight classes and the number observed and average speed within each weight class is shown. The tables also show the average weight, average speed and 95% confidence limits for each truck classification at each station and summaries by truck classification on both types of highway facility. Table IV is a tabulation of the number of observations and average speeds and weights by truck classification for the last fifteen years.

Figures II, III, and IV are cumulative frequency curves by truck classification and by facility type. Figures V and VI indicates the trends in 85th percentile speeds. Figures VII-IX show simple regression curves of truck speed to truck weight.

Summary of Results

Analysis of Tables I and II show the following average characteristics for the various road and truck classifications:

Single Unit

	2-lane	4-lane	All
No. of Vehicles	167	321	488
Average Speed - mph	41.7	46.2	44.9
Average Weight - lbs	10,300	12,000	11,500

Multi-unit

	2-lane	4-lane	All
No. of Vehicles	126	610	736
Average Speed - mph	42.9	46.4	45.9
Average Weight - lbs	40,170	44,280	43,600

Table III shows that the following percentages of trucks exceeded the existing and enforced speed limits (where the enforced speed limit is 5 miles faster than the legal speed limit).

		Single Unit		Multi-unit
		Light	Heavy	
2-lane	Speed Limit	0	7.2	7.9
	Enforced limit	0	.7	.8
4-lane	Speed limit	0	.8	5.3
	Enforced limit	0	2.1	.5

From the above table one can conclude that there is a negligible percentage of trucks exceeding the "enforced" speed limit on Indiana highways.

Observation of the steepness of the central portion of the cumulative frequency curves (Fig. II, III, IV) for the heavier trucks indicates that a smaller variation in speeds exist between heavy trucks than between light trucks. These figures also show that the greatest variation in the confidence band for the calculated means occurs for light, single-unit trucks. This may be an indication of too small a sample size in comparison with the other classifications.

Figures V and VI show the plots of the 85th percentile speeds on 2-lane and 4-lane. No trend regression lines have been drawn since the data are widely scattered.

Figures VII-IX show the computed regression lines and equations for the simple linear regression analysis of the speed and weight data. This analysis was done on the LGP-30. These figures show that there is a slight decrease in speed for an increase in weight, but the decrease in most cases is insignificant. Furthermore the r^2 values or the correlation coefficient show that there is little correlation between speed and weight. If a perfect correlation existed, the r^2 value would be 1. In this study the computed r^2 's between speed and weight were as follows:

Equation	r	r^2
2-lane single unit	.0355	.0012
4-lane single unit	.2092	.0437
2-lane multi unit	.0972	.0094
4-lane multi unit	.1853	.0343
Multi unit (total)	.1465	.0214
Single unit (total)	.1048	.0109

It should be noted that the sample size for many of the weight classifications was too small to give a reliable regression line for sample unit trucks and that the largest correlation coefficient observed for multi-unit or total truck classifications was .0343. This indicates little correlation. It can, therefore, be concluded that increasing weight apparently accounts for only a very small part of the observed speed differences.

Table IV indicates that the average speeds of single unit and multi-unit trucks have decreased 3.8 and 3.3 mph respectively from the last observation. This represents a substantial decrease from the slowly rising trend in average speeds which has been occurring. Closer observation also shows that speeds at each of the six stations were in most cases 2-5 mph less for all classes of trucks than they were last year.

Because of this unusual decrease, the radar meter was again calibrated after the study to determine if any operational error was present. The calibration was made by running a vehicle at known speeds past the meter. The results showed that no error existed.

Part of the decrease in the overall average may be due to not observing the three stations in Northern Indiana which in the past have given slightly higher speeds. This is especially true of the 2-lane roadways. However, no explanation is apparent for the observed decrease at each of the individual stations.

FIG. 1 LOCATIONS OF TRUCK WEIGHT - SPEED STATIONS

PERCENTILE

FIGURE II

FIGURE III

PERCENTILE

FIGURE IV

FIGURE V TRENDS IN THE 85th PERCENTILE TRUCK SPEED ON TWO-LANE HIGHWAY (1953-1963)

FIGURE VI TRENDS IN 85th PERCENTILE TRUCK SPEEDS ON FOUR-LANE HIGHWAYS (1953-1963)

FIGURE VII REGRESSION ANALYSIS: SINGLE UNIT TRUCKS BY ROADWAY TYPE

FIGURE VIII REGRESSION ANALYSIS: MULTI UNIT TRUCKS BY ROADWAY TYPE

FIGURE IX REGRESSION ANALYSIS: ALL TRUCKS BY TRUCK TYPE

Station	Domestic Flights								International Flights								Total Passengers					
	Jan		Feb		Mar		Apr		May		Jun		Jul		Aug							
London Heathrow	100,000	105,000	110,000	115,000	120,000	125,000	130,000	135,000	140,000	145,000	150,000	155,000	160,000	165,000	170,000	175,000						
Heathrow	0	0			38.5	8	38.5	8			0	0	0	0	48.0	3	47.7	3	47.9	8	48.3	14
Stansted	45,000	48,000			27.5	14	43.5	20			43.0	1	50.8	4	50.4	20	46.2	14	46.2	29	45.5	69
Gatwick	4				22		22				1		4		13		17		23			63
Luton	4,000				4.0		4.0				4.0		4.0		4.0		4.0		4.0			4.0
Manchester	46,000				38.5		46.6				43.0		50.8		49.8		46.5		48.1			44.8
Birmingham	950				950		950				950		950		950		950		950			950
Bristol	450				450		450				450		450		450		450		450			450
Cardiff	450				450		450				450		450		450		450		450			450
Edinburgh	450				450		450				450		450		450		450		450			450
Glasgow	450				450		450				450		450		450		450		450			450
Leeds	44,700	47,000			39.0	45	46.5	38			38.1	7	54.4	8	47.9	41	45.9	43	47.8	79		117
Liverpool	46,000	48,000			40.2	30	47.8	45			51.8	8	47.8	23	47.8	14	44.7	28	45.5	75		116
Luton	44,700	47,000			38.1	9	43.2	29			46.0	7	54.7	11	47.5	11	44.9	10	44.8	39		59
Manchester	45,000	48,000			38.1	11	46.1	50			50.8	4	47.4	8	46.5	29	44.6	7	47.0	38		100
Gatwick	44,000	46,000			37.5	12	38.8	14			45.2	3	44.3	2	44.0	4	37.9	14	40.5	23		37
Heathrow	0	0			41.0	1	41.0	1			47.2	5	47.7	3	44.0	1	44.0	3	43.4	12		13
Luton	50,000	52,000					50.0	1				0	0	0	0	0	51.0	1	51.0	1		1
Gatwick												0	0	36.5	1	36.5	1	36.5	2		2	
Luton												50.0	1	44.0	5	36.0	1	43.1	7		7	
Manchester																						
Birmingham														41.0	1	44.0	0	0	0	45.2	5	45.3
Bristol																	44.5	2	39.0	1	44.7	3
Cardiff																						
Edinburgh																						
Glasgow																						
Leeds																						
London Heathrow	50				50		120				24		39		100		89		280			475
Heathrow	11,300				11,700		11,800				14,000		13,000		13,000		10,000		13,000			17,000
Stansted	44.5				38.2		41.9				49.4		47.8		47.2		43.4		46.7			44.7
Gatwick	950				950		950				950		950		950		950		950			950
Luton	47.0				47.4		43.3				55.8		46.8		34.9		45.0		47.2			45.5
Manchester	43.1				38.0		46.7				42.0		46.4		38.1		41.9		45.5			44.3
Heathrow																						

Station	Single Units												Multiple Units		
	Trucks Weighing Under 5000 lbs.						Trucks Weighing Over 5000 lbs.								
	No. Obs.	% Exceed 65 mph	% Exceed 70 mph	No. Obs.	% Exceed 50 mph	% Exceed 55 mph	No. Obs.	% Exceed 60 mph	% Exceed 65 mph	No. Obs.	% Exceed 50 mph	% Exceed 55 mph	No. Obs.	% Exceed 50 mph	% Exceed 55 mph
45-B	6	0	0	51	17.6	2.0	81	12.3	1.2						
5	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
4	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
81	22	---	---	88	1.1	0	45	0	0						
Total	28	0	0	139	7.2	.7	126	7.9	0.8						
Four-Lane Highways															
2	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---						
14	1	0	0	34	14.7	5.9	210	8.1	0.5						
42	4	0	0	59	5.1	3.4	176	2.3	0.6						
58-B	13	0	0	104	6.7	1.9	144	4.2	0.7						
75	17	0	0	89	10.1	0	80	2.5	0						
Total	35	0	0	286	8.4	2.1	610	4.8	0.5						
Summary	63	0	0	425	8.0	1.6	736	5.3	0.5						

TABLE III
PERCENT OF TRUCKS VIOLATING SPEED LIMITS