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Open access, or the idea anyway, came to life nearly a decade and a half ago. Now almost fifteen years later, we’re still talking about it, still paying exorbitant amounts for periodicals, or their still relatively new counterparts, electronic aggregate databases. Experts tell me that fifteen years is not enough time for a good idea to catch on. I guess that’s the way it is with Murphy’s Law: bad ideas catch on instantly while good ideas come and go, most never seeing the light of day.

I’m not saying open access will not one day be the serial panacea (we Americans love one— best-ways or silver bullets for solutions to all our important problems, as witness the current presidential campaigns), but what do we do in the meantime? One place to look might be IRs, a.k.a. institutional repositories.

IRs have been around since the turn of the new century, or at least they have been talked about that long. Whether we define them as SPARC (Scholarly Publishing and Academic Resources Coalition; see also http://www.sparcurope.org/Repositories/) has — a digital collection that preserves the intellectual content of one or numerous academic communities — or as Clifford Lynch has — a digital service to members of an academic community of its intellectual output — the idea is the same. Academic communities are responsible for creating enormous amounts of intellectual output, only a fraction of which see publication. This is as it should be since much of that work is in progress or always is in progress, and some is never meant as grist for the publishing mill. But unlike a house that is not very useful until it’s completed, a good deal of intellectual output is “habitable” value while it undergoes the process toward any sort of formal publication. To mix a metaphor, bread that comes out of the oven too soon is inedible, while intellectual “bread-making” is more of a process, and can be, well, quite tasty for others. Even in the midst of that process, it does have intellectual value for its members.

Most of what goes into an IR falls under the heading of what is generally referred to as “grey literature.” Grey literature usually isn’t created with an idea for formal publication but for a specific purpose: a presentation to a group; transcripts of interviews that may or may not air; a blueprint; news about that academic community; conference proceedings; university records; theses; dissertations; preprints or e-prints; audio and visual records — in short, almost anything that an intellectual community endeavors to create. While some see IRs as potentially competing with traditional publishing, I side with Lynch and others in seeing them as supplementary or complementary to it. IRs really aren’t trying to supplant or in any way compete with publishing. They are more storehouses of materials that may or may not rise to the level of books or book-making.

The value of IRs both to the intellectual community and to libraries should be obvious. I see them as key ingredients to collaboration of that academic community’s members with each other. We who have given our lives’ work to academic communities talk a great deal about critical thinking and collaboration. After we have talked each other nearly to death about these topics (and we academics do drone on and on), we all go off into our own intellectual silos (Chemistry, Physics, Literature, Computer Science, Library Science, etc.), and never the twain shall meet. Meanwhile, all that work falls, not into cyberspace, but even farther continued on page 78
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Using Rare Books to Inspire Learning—
Part 2: Drama - Travel
by Gene Waddell (College of Charleston) <waddelle@cofc.edu>

Editor’s Note: We are pleased to publish here Part 2 of Gene’s list of great books. You can find Part 1 in the February issue of ATG, v.2001, p.70. — KS

Numerous lists of great books have been prepared, and this list contains many of the same titles, but differs in significant respects. It includes subjects that have generally been omitted from series of great books: anthropology, art history, architecture, art, book arts, correspondence, essays, exploration, geography, geology, inventions, law, sociology, speeches, and sports. It also includes shorter works that represent a turning point in the understanding of a subject.

I have included first-person accounts of major discoveries, explorations, systematic observations made possible by new instruments, sound analyses, verifiable experiments, and methodologies created for more specialized fields of knowledge. Each title set a new standard for scholarship and excellence, created a new scholarly discipline, or set a new course for the study of a subject. In my opinion, the approaches used by these authors are the ones most likely to continue to provide the best basis for adding knowledge.

Even when the information they contain has been largely superceded, these titles represent the best thinking that had been done on their subjects at the time of publication. They provide models for how to try to deal with an entire field of knowledge and how to go about solving problems. They are most worth reading to learn how major problems were finally solved.

I have had to omit many famous histories and works of literature to be able to focus on the ones that I considered most worth acquiring. I have preferred well established principles to theories. I have nearly always omitted titles by living or recently deceased authors.

In some cases, better editions than the first have been subsequently published, and these editions and translations are also needed. In some cases, such as the first printing of the Columbus letter or the Gutenberg Bible, a facsimile or later edition will nearly always have to suffice because of their extreme rarity. One first edition of a Shakespeare play could substitute for the First Folio. Regardless, every library should have as many first editions of key works as it can acquire.

To make more facsimiles and translations widely available of standard works is also a publishing opportunity. A surprising number of these titles are out of print, and some have never been fully translated into English.

As more first editions are becoming available online, what is the point of having copies that are too valuable to be handled? The point is to inspire similar accomplishments. A first edition can be as inspiring as an original work of art no matter how many copies exist. It is to make readers wonder why these books are important, what it took to create them, why they have been so influential, and why so many of them still need to be read.
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