Against the Grain

Volume 20 | Issue 6 Article 5

December 2008

Purposeful Collaboration for Research Libraries and University Presses

Michael J. Furlough The Pennsylvania State University Library, mfurlough@psu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/atg



Part of the <u>Library and Information Science Commons</u>

Recommended Citation

Furlough, Michael J. (2008) "Purposeful Collaboration for Research Libraries and University Presses," Against the Grain: Vol. 20: Iss. 6, Article 5.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.7771/2380-176X.2600

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.

c/o Katina Strauch 209 Richardson Avenue MSC 98, The Citadel Charleston, SC 29409

TM

ALA MIDWINTER ISSUE

VOLUME 20, NUMBER 6

DECEMBER 2008 - JANUARY 2009

Against the Grain "Linking Publishers, Vendors and Librarians"

Purposeful Collaboration for Research Libraries and University Presses

by **Michael J. Furlough** (Assistant Dean for Scholarly Communication, Co-director Office of Digital Scholarly Publishing, The Pennsylvania State University Library) <mfurlough@psu.edu>



ollaboration is king. It's a value, a benefit, an answer to limited resources, a carrot on a stick, or, if it doesn't go well, sometimes it's just the stick. Librarians in particular value collaboration, and naturally so. Libraries are in the sharing business, and some of their most important infrastructure (interlibrary lending, cataloging) depends upon cooperative, cross-institutional work. In my library's recent strategic planning efforts, our brainstorming sessions frequently brought forth numerous calls for collaboration (sometimes without a clear objective — but that's what brainstorming is for).

ISSN: 1043-2094

University presses provide an interesting counterpoint. Presses do form business partnerships and collaborate in professional ways through the **Association of American University Presses**. But in general they understand each other as potential competitors for sales and manuscripts, not as resource sharers. In spring 2007 the **Andrew W. Mellon Foundation** announced a call for proposals to support collaboratively-based university press

publishing for first time authors in underserved fields. That call ultimately yielded four very interesting collaborative publication efforts involving over a dozen presses, focused in the fields of Slavic Studies, American Literatures, South Asian Studies, and Ethnomusicology (see http://www.aaupnet.org/news/press/mellon12008.html). One story circulating, perhaps apocryphal, has it that the first reaction among many in the community involved collective head-scratching: Collaborate? What do you mean? True or not, it's a useful reminder that collaboration requires a habit of mind. When two parties begin to explore how to work together it takes time and effort to establish trust. Both will be operating on new turf, territory might be redrawn, and the discussion has to move through a focus on threats to a focus on trade-offs that establish mutual benefits.

It's much easier to talk about collaboration and its potential benefits than to actually engage in it. The authors of this set of essays on library-university press collaborations know this well. **Patrick Alexander** and I are grateful to *Against the Grain* for the opportunity to guest edit this issue on the benefits and practicalities of library-publisher collaborations. Attendees of the November 2008 **Charleston Conference** had a chance to preview some of these essays during our panel discussion "Strategies and Mechanics of Collaboration." We especially want to thank our contributors, each of whom brings a unique case study to highlight the many different routes these activities may take.

We have heard much about these types of efforts in the past few years. 2007's report from Ithaka, "University Publishing in a Digital Age," which initially began as a study of University Presses and their futures, grew

continued on page 14

If Rumors Were Horses

Where to begin? Happy New Year! Here's hoping it will be a good one for all of us — the Best of Times!

Speaking of the **Conference themes**, if you have an idea to suggest, please send it on! Current suggestions — The Sky is Falling, the Sky is falling; it seemed like a good idea at the time; solutions in search of problems/problems in search of solutions. More suggestions are welcome. Send them on to <kstrauch@comcast.net>.

Just as we were going to press learned that a Continental flight crashed just off the runway last Saturday night! On board was Marc Lenzini, Becky Lenzini's husband who was going to Honduras to visit Marc and Becky's youngest daughter, Annie Lenzini. Marc is fine. He got off the plane and boarded another flight and is now in Honduras spending Christmas with his daughter. Talk about nerves of steel! You wouldn't have gotten me on a plane ever again or at least only after some serious down time! Thank goodness Marc is fine along with the

other passengers! Whew and hooray! http://www. latimes.com/news/nationworld/nation/la-nadenver-airport-crash23-2008dec23,0,131089. story

What To Look For In This Issue:
Some Considerations in Selecting
Scientific Journal Backfiles47
reSearcher: The Open Source Solution
for Managing Electronic Resources 52
Screencasting for Acquisitions 66
A Post-Mortem for Gutenberg-e: Or,
Why Ross Atkinson's Dream Is Still a
Dream 67
Interviews
Steve McKinzie50
Profiles Encouraged
Profiles Encouraged Michael Furlough14
•
Michael Furlough14
Michael Furlough14 Catherine Mitchell24
Michael Furlough



1043-2094(200812/200901)20:6;1-N

Purposeful Collaboration ... from page I

to encompass a wider range of publishing activities, including those based in libraries, and outlined the potential benefits of working together (http://www.ithaka.org/strategic-services/Ithaka%20University%20Publishing% 20Report.pdf). Karla Hahn's report for the Association of Research Libraries, Research Library Publishing Services: New Options for University Publishing, provides the best data on library based publishing so far. **Hahn's** survey found that of 80 surveyed and responding research libraries, 44% were offering some type of publishing service and another 21% were expecting to do so. However, Hahn found that only a small portion of library publishing services were offered with university presses (http://www.arl.org/bm~doc/research-librarypublishing-services.pdf). No doubt this has to do with the small number of university presses, but there are many institutions where the library and the press have chosen not to work together for various reasons. But when it might make sense to work together, then what?

In the essays that follow, Raym Crow (SPARC) outlines a new SPARC guide, Campus-based Publishing Partnerships: A Guide to Critical Issues, that provides readers with some practical questions to ask when exploring new library-publisher partnerships. Crow points out that both partners need to understand and share the risks and rewards of collaboration, and that the distinct business cultures, missions, and market relationships will inflect how these factors are perceived and lived. The full SPARC guide includes more case studies of some of the projects discussed in this issue.

Catherine Mitchell (California Digital Library) and Laura Cerruti (University of California Press) discuss the history of collaboration between their two organizations, moving from experimental projects to a more formalized strategy based on deep research. As they explain, a clearly articulated set of needs doesn't make it simple to define a new publishing services partnership. But they also report on their initial pilot efforts and the strategy underlying their approach.

Monica McCormick (New York University Library), alone among our contributors, can refer to both the press and library in the first person. As Digital Scholarly Program Officer she reports to both the press director and the dean of libraries and functions as a collaboration hub in a very decentralized environment. With a background in both publishing and libraries, **McCormick** is in a position to bridge cultural gaps while remaining sympathetic to the core values of her colleagues.

Terry Ehling (Cornell University Library) and Erich Staib (Duke University Press) detail a partnership formed across organizational and institutional boundaries to support Project Euclid, an already established journal aggregation. Their discussion points to some of the distinct benefits that a business partnership can bring to a market-oriented li-

continued on page 16

against the spile profile_

Assistant Dean for Scholarly Communications Co-director, Office of Digital Scholarly Publishing Penn State University, 510 Paterno Library Penn State University, University Park, PA 16802 Phone: (814) 863-5447 • <mfurlough@psu.edu>

BORN & LIVED: Columbia, SC.

EARLY LIFE: Grew up in Myrtle Beach, SC. Spent high school selling LPs and cassettes. Attended **University of South Carolina** and hung out with the wrong crowd. Went to grad school at the University of Virginia, joined an even worse crowd. Began working at **UVA Library** and ended up on the straight and narrow.

FAMILY: Married to Ellie Goodman. Two cats.

PROFESSIONAL CAREER AND ACTIVITIES: I am really a humanist by training and joined the library community because it allowed me to explore the way that knowledge is created in many different fields. At UVA Library I got to experience the earliest waves of digital services (including publishing services) in libraries, and that has colored my whole professional life. Currently I am serving on ACRL's Scholarly Communications Committee, and I've been active in DLF and Educause as well.

IN MY SPARE TIME I LIKE: Guitar, recently ukulele, previously the presidential

FAVORITE BOOKS: Magazines and newspapers.

PET PEEVES/WHAT MAKES ME MAD: Questionnaires.

HOW/WHERE DO I SEE THE INDUSTRY IN FIVE YEARS: I hope that in five years we can stop focusing on generational differences, especially as they relate to library work cultures and services. For one thing, age doesn't define our relationship to technology and change: attitude and aptitude do. Many of today's students will be as conservative and hesitant as many of today's faculty. Instead, I hope that in five years we will have normalized the range of activities that fall under the rubric "scholarly communications" and begin to think of them as ingrained in our everyday services. 🐡

Rumors from page 12

Furlough

Michael

in Copyright Wars — Google concedes that information isn't free," by L. Gordon Crovitz. The article largely focuses on **Google** and the recent copyright settlement with publishers which focused on the five million books that are still in copyright but are not in print. The settlement seemed to agree that 20% of a book can be previewed without payment. But for the other content, a registry will be maintained which will allow Google to offer millions of books for sale, sharing the proceeds with publishers and authors. Books long out of print will be searchable and available for a fee. Time will tell how this all plays out. http://online.wsj. com/article/SB122688619008032339.html#

We are sorry that we are not able to print the Out of the Box essay by Hana Levay which won the Charleston Conference/Swets Out of the Box Essay Competition for the 2008 Charleston Conference. However, the essay IS loaded on the Charleston Conference Website and at the Swets homepage. Many thanks are due to Christine Stamison and her crew who single-handedly honchoed this new initiative! And, you know what? Christine is Greek and she and I had many Greek-flavored

conversations together. And her Greek is so much better than mine that I am counting on her to teach me more!

Speaking of Greece, just heard from the delightful Tove Rosado <gabriel.rosado@ snet.net> (once at the Univ. of Connecticut, now retired). Tove and her husband Gabriel just took a 3-week trip to Greece. They went on a cruise around the islands and also by bus around much of the country. They had a great time visiting and re-visiting all the beautiful sites and antiquities. You see, Tove and Gabriel went from Denmark to Greece on their honeymoon in 1962, before they came to the U.S.A. Gabriel is classically educated in Greek and Latin. Tove tells me that she and Gabriel are well and enjoying their beautiful garden (when it's not snow-storming, which it is now). Tove, hopefully Lyman Newlin, one of your biggest fans, is reading this in

Also got several other cards. Barbara Meyers (now Ford), the Charleston Conference publishing panel wizard. Well, Barbara has been busy getting married but we hope to get her back to Charleston soon!

Oh! Got a great card from Betty and Sevgin Oktay (Betty is retired from Vassar, you'll

Purposeful Collaboration ... from page 14

brary-based publishing program, and highlights the resource challenges facing new publishing

Sylvia Miller (University of North Caro**lina**) writes about a multi-party collaboration, Publishing the Long Civil Rights Project. Funded by the Andrew W. Mellon Foundation, this fascinating program brings together a university press, university library, and two different research centers, one based in law and the other in history. The effort is potentially quite challenging logistically, but the common focus on an emerging area of research promises to create unique types of scholarship.

Patrick Alexander (Penn State University Press), my co-editor and colleague, writes about the unique assets — both tangible and intangible — that university presses can bring to a partnership with a library, and which the press should emphasize to its host university. Working together, he suggests, can enable both organizations to leverage not only their expertise, but their brands to help drive users to original scholarly content and to collections.

Patrick's essay developed in part through work we have undertaken together at Penn State, where we share responsibilities as codirectors of the Office of Digital Scholarly Publishing, a joint effort of the University Libraries and Penn State Press. As at NYU and other universities, the press reports up to the library, but a reporting line is not a collaboration. Some of the groundwork for our partnership was laid by our predecessors, our dean, and the press director. Indeed, several publications detailed projects with fast approaching deadlines were waiting for us when we joined Penn State, about two years ago. Implementing these projects, which included an open-access monograph series, journal back files, a reprint publication series, and a conference proceedings publication service, presented a crucible in which cultural, business, and process distinctions reared their head. The collaborative projects — which required resource commitments from both the press and library — gave us as newcomers a different perspective with which to assess our base orga-

nizations, including the strengths, interests, and capacities of our staff and colleagues. It also allowed us to quickly try out theories about the collaboration and the problems it could solve, both on campus and more widely.

We have both been thinking critically about how to move the collaboration further down in our organizations via other projects, not necessarily product oriented, that we couldn't ordinarily undertake alone. Cross-marketing each other and our content is one possibility. Involving the press in program assessments of the library's services to campus might be another, especially as the library begins exploring larger-scale digital services to the campus. But we have both tried to think carefully about what assets we have to bring to the table. As a short counterpoint to **Patrick's** essay. I will respond briefly with three library assets, which are discussed in various ways throughout the other essays in this issue.

Collections

Why did Google knock on the library's door? To gain access to millions of books. As their proposed settlement with the **Authors** Guild and the American Association of Publishers shows, they (and their plaintiffs) stand to make a tidy sum by aggregating and licensing access to that content back to libraries and individuals. This is a great reminder about the value of the collections libraries have amassed. Fortunately, Google doesn't have rights to it all, and library special collections can be mined not only for digitization and online presentation, but also as a source for original scholarship and publications in a variety of forms. The Long Civil Rights Project presents one such case where this will be crucial.

Connections

The librarian's focus on service brings them into closer contact with researchers at early stages of a project. Their understanding of their client's preferences and scholarly practices can help the press understand their authors and their markets in a new way. There is much more for both of us to do together here to harness these connections and use them better. Michael Jensen adds a useful corrective in his recent Library Trends essay: "Nor am I sanguine that our existing cultures, if carried forward, could avoid being made moot: nearly all of the

changes necessary depend on something our cultures are bad at: attention to the personal — the customer, the citizen, the individual. Publishers, like libraries, need to spend the next five years understanding the nature of their relationships with individuals."1

Cycles

The library mission to collect, organize, make accessible, and preserve information brings library staff into contact with many more dimensions of the information life cycle. Libraries' core business is not in acquiring discrete titles and articles, but in the processes that surround those objects. Linking that mission with the publisher's focus on creation, credentialing, and distribution can allow us to focus on the system at large and to develop new services accordingly. For example, emerging digital scholarship suggests that future works will be less fixed in form and more open to interaction, which bring new questions about how to sustain and preserve the research record.

These are not the only assets and some may be more important in local contexts. These collaborations are still very young and haven't yet revealed either their full potential or demonstrated that they are not going to be effective. If they are going to flourish, each will need to consider some overarching questions about the future of both organizations. These can be the subject of their own essays, but I will outline just a few here:

How Does the Collaboration Fit Within a Larger Content Strategy?

In other words: what else are the library and press doing to provide access to scholarship, cultural heritage materials, and related information, and does the partnership reinforce those activities? University presses still must consider what subjects to acquire and where they want to market their content. The Ithaka Report on university publishing suggested the need for a large scale e-monograph aggregator, similar to Project Muse. Would such a service find a niche in today's market, or will Google Book Search create the market (and the platform) for e-monographs? For libraries, the publishing program needs to be squarely tied

continued on page 18

Rumors from page 14

remember). Anyway, the card seems to have a Turkish greeting on it which translates into Happy New Year, I think! I know I told y'all in the November ATG (p.8) that Betty and Sevgin were coming to Charleston and we were planning to have dinner together. As it turns out, we had a horrendous rain storm in Charleston the night that we had planned our outing. If you have ever been in Charleston in the middle of a rain storm, you know what I mean — everything floods and the downtown roads are impassable except by boat. So — long story short — we didn't see **Betty**

and Sevgin, just lots of rain, wind, and water. Hopefully next year they will visit Charleston and there won't be any rain!

And got a great and newsy greeting from Jack and Lesley Montgomery (Western Kentucky University) < jack.montgomery@ wku.edu>. Did you know that they celebrated their 26th wedding anniversary this year and they picked New Orleans as the celebration site! Besides writing (have you read Jack's new book, American Shamans: Journeys with traditional healers? It's truly fascinating), Jack is recording music. We sure had fun with him and Graham Hudspeth when they played at the Aquarium Reception during the 28th **Charleston Conference.**

Oh, and speaking of the fantabulous Lesley, I enjoyed her piece in the last ATG about the Art of Knitting (ATG, November 2008, p. 16). It made me want to knit again. Maybe when I retire? And in this issue, p.83, Cris Ferguson, another knitter, adds to Lesley's column!

Speaking of books — looking through some new books on the approval plan, I ran into this one from Libraries Unlimited - Defining Relevancy, Managing the New Academic Library, edited by Janet McNeil Hurlbert, 978-1-59158-419-3. \$45). It's about the challenges we are all facing regarding the college library today. One of the chapters especially caught my eye. It is by

Purposeful Collaboration ... from page 16

to their collection development and service strategy. What kinds of digital content does the library want to collect and distribute? Can the library serve only some areas and not others? How will the collections endure? Libraries are familiar with the life cycle of information, but haven't yet fully developed the same processes and strategies that we have for print that we will need in order to build, manage, and preserve digital collections (as opposed to simply licensing or renting them).

What Will Our Organizations Become?

For many of our colleagues, this question goes to the very heart of the threat that collaboration can bring: a challenge to existing expertise, knowledge, and identity, based on a rigorous path of credentialing and dues payments. It's now commonplace to state that libraries and presses will be very different in ten years, and that if they are not they will not survive. Our skills are well defined, complementary, and allow us to capitalize on unique strengths, but we cannot assume that these same skills will serve our community well in the future. These types of collaborations alone probably won't be enough, but working together at the very least exposes new skills, and can support the hybridization of staff. Assuming we both will need ever more specialization, can these early collaborations at least help us visualize where we are headed?

Finally Are These Collaborations Revolutionary and Disruptive, or **Evolutionary and Responsive?**

Library based electronic publishing, and the institutional repository movement, began with clarion call to dramatically change the landscape of scholarly communications. I don't believe that this has really happened, and I am doubtful that even together we have the necessary capital to make it so. As **Terry** Ehling and Erich Staib suggest in these pages, bringing an alternative publishing channel online takes significant investments. Though there have been some shifts in stance and postures among libraries and publishers after ten years of advocacy and experimentation, I can't think of a commercial academic publication put out of business by an open-access or alternative publication. Ultimately we won't change that landscape: researchers will. It may be that the disruption won't be wholly systemic, but localized, enabling both organizations to become more agile in light of their fluid market and information environments. This in itself is ambitious.

Such questions can't be answered only at our individual campuses. But working together, the presses and the libraries may find new ways of carrying out their missions and in responding to, even anticipating, the needs of their changing client base. Or they may decide that there is not enough common cause and go their different ways. At the very least, however, these collaborations are challenging our assumptions about our historical relationships to scholarship and the points of contact that make up those relationships among the scholar, the publisher and the library. Let's use the opportunity well.

Endnotes

1. "Cultural Tenacity within Libraries and Publishers," Library Trends 57 (1, Summer 2008).

University-based Publishing Partnerships: A Guide to Critical Issues

by Raym Crow (Senior Consultant, Scholarly Publishing and Academic Resources Coalition) <crow@arl.org>

igital information technologies and ubiquitous networking have introduced a fundamental conceptual shift in scholarly and scientific communication. This changing environment has led university libraries to redefine their roles, and the services they provide, to better serve the research and teaching needs of their institutions. As a result, many university libraries have broadened their missions to launch online publishing programs that explore new models for scholarly communication.

The advent of digital publishing has also exerted pressure on university presses, traditionally the principal channels for university-based publishing. As they have struggled in a difficult market, university presses have been criticized for failing to exploit the benefits of online publishing models. Yet such criticism often ignores the constraints under which the presses operate, including a financial model that typically requires them to recover over 90% of their costs, and — more significantly — the expectations of their host institutions, indeed of the entire academy, that they continue to fulfill their traditional roles as publishers of original scholarly monographs.

As their roles continue to evolve, the boundaries separating the publishing activities of the library and the press have become less distinct. It is not surprising then that the potential for libraries and university presses to cooperate in creating new digital publishing channels — aligned with the research and teaching missions of their host institutions and capable of contributing to a transinstitutional publishing system — is

receiving increasing

attention.

While their respective missions one centered on the research and teaching needs of the host institution, the other serving the academy as a whole - differ in significant ways, libraries and presses recognize the need to address fundamental

problems in the current system of scholarly publishing, and understand the interdependence of their organizations in achieving a solution. Active collaboration can use the mission tension between libraries and presses to drive a shared exploration of alternative publishing models, an exploration that coordinates their own interests with those of other stakeholders - most notably, their institution's faculty and administration.

In many institutions, the library and the press are taking the lead in developing collaborative publishing ventures intended to demonstrate the potential of integrated, university-based publishing strategies. However, despite the commitment of many libraries and presses to launching digital publishing partnerships, such collaborations confront issues that limit their progress and slow their evolution. These issues include:

- · Establishing governance and administrative structures that integrate the core competencies and resources of libraries and presses, without disrupting the broader objectives of either;
- Identifying funding models that accommodate the disparate financial objectives, incentives, and missions of libraries and presses;
- Defining the partnership's objectives to align the vertical, institution-specific mission of the library with the horizontal, transinstitutional mission of the press;
- · Determining what services to provide, based on the current and future scholarly communication and publishing needs of the institution's faculty and researchers; and
- · Demonstrating the value of the collaboration to university administrators in order to secure resources and long-term support.

As the number of publishing initiatives based on library-press partnerships continues to grow, addressing the issues above becomes increasingly important to advance the exploration of university-based publishing models. To help libraries, presses, and other university units establish effective publishing partnerships, SPARC (the Scholarly Publishing and Academic Resources Coalition) has developed Campus-based Publishing Partnerships: A Guide to Critical Issues.

About the SPARC Guide

SPARC intends its guide to help university library and press staff charged with launching a publishing collaboration by providing practical guidance on defining and structuring the partnership and on