

Purdue University

Purdue e-Pubs

---

Department of Computer Science Technical  
Reports

Department of Computer Science

---

1989

## Some Thoughts on Ultimate Stability Condition for G|G|1 Queue

Wojciech Szpankowski

*Purdue University*, [spa@cs.purdue.edu](mailto:spa@cs.purdue.edu)

Report Number:

89-876

---

Szpankowski, Wojciech, "Some Thoughts on Ultimate Stability Condition for G|G|1 Queue" (1989).  
*Department of Computer Science Technical Reports*. Paper 745.  
<https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/cstech/745>

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries.  
Please contact [epubs@purdue.edu](mailto:epubs@purdue.edu) for additional information.

SOME THOUGHTS ON ULTIMATE STABILITY  
CONDITION FOR GIG1 QUEUE

Wojciech Szpankowski

CSD-TR-876  
April 1989

# SOME THOUGHTS ON ULTIMATE STABILITY CONDITION FOR G|G|1 QUEUE

Wojciech Szpankowski

Purdue University  
Department of Computer Science  
W. Lafayette, IN 47907

Let  $N^t$  be queue length at the  $t$ -th departure epoches. Then

$$N^{t+1} - N^t = A^t - D^t \quad (1)$$

where  $A^t$  and  $D^t$  represent the number of arrivals and departures in the  $t$ -th epoches. Then (1) implies

$$EN^{t+1} - EN^t = EA^t - ED^t = EA^t - Pr\{N^t > 0\}, \quad (2)$$

since  $ED^t = Pr\{N^t > 0\}$ .

To study properties of (2) we need the following lemma.

**Lemma.** Let  $a_n$  be nonnegative sequence, i.e.,  $a_n \geq 0$ . Then, the following can be proved.

(i) If  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \infty$ , then  $\limsup (a_{n+1} - a_n) \geq 0$ .

(ii) If  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n < \infty$ , then  $\liminf (a_{n+1} - a_n) \leq 0$ .

(iii) For any  $a_n \geq 0$  and  $b_n \geq 0$

$$\limsup (a_n - b_n) \leq \limsup a_n - \liminf b_n \quad (3a)$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_n - b_n) \geq \liminf a_n - \limsup b_n \quad (3b)$$

*Proof.* We prove first (i). Since  $\limsup a_n = \infty$ , then there exists an increasing subsequence  $a_{n_k}$  tending to infinity. Hence  $a_{n_k} - a_{n_{k-1}}$ . But then

$$0 \leq a_{n_k} - a_{n_{k-1}} = (a_{n_k} - a_{n_k-1}) + (a_{n_k-1} - a_{n_k-2}) + \cdots + (a_{n_{k-1}+1} - a_{n_{k-1}}) \quad (4)$$

so (4) implies that at least one of the terms in the parenthesis of the RHS of (4) must be positive. So, we can pick up in each set of integers  $(n_k, n_{k-1})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , such an index  $n_j \in (n_k, n_{k-1})$  that  $a_{n_j} - a_{n_{j-1}} \geq 0$ . This implies that  $\limsup (a_{n+1} - a_n) \geq 0$ .

The proof for (ii) goes in the same manner as above. In particular,  $\limsup a_k < \infty$ , i.e.,  $\limsup a_n = \inf \sup (a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \dots)$ . So  $\sup (a_n, a_{n+1})$  is nonincreasing, hence  $a_{n_k} - a_{n_{k-1}} \leq 0$ . This can be expressed as in (4), so at least one term in (4) is respective, and this implies  $\liminf (a_{n+1} - a_n) \leq 0$ .

Finally, part (iii) is standard and follows from the following known fact about limsup, namely  $\limsup (a_n + b_n) \leq \limsup a_n + \limsup b_n$ ; for (3b) we note that  $\limsup c \cdot a_n = c \liminf a_n$ . ■

Now we are ready to formulate our main result.

**Theorem.** For a general GIGI1 queue the following holds.

- (i) If  $\limsup EN^t < \infty$ , then  $\liminf EA^t \leq \limsup Pr\{N^t > 0\}$
- (ii) If  $\limsup EN^t = \infty$ , then  $\limsup EA^t \geq \liminf Pr\{N^t > 0\}$ .

*Proof.* We prove first (i). From (2), Lemma (ii) and (3b) we have,

$$0 \geq \liminf (EN^{t+1} - EN^t) = \liminf (EA^t - Pr\{N^t > 0\}) \geq \liminf EA^t - \limsup Pr\{N^t > 0\}$$

which implies (i).

The second thesis comes from (2), Lemma (i) and (3b), i.e.,

$$0 \leq \limsup (EN^{t+1} - EN^t) = \limsup (EA^t - Pr\{N^t > 0\}) \geq \limsup EA^t - \liminf Pr\{N^t > 0\}$$

and this immediately proves (ii). ■