

2020

Full Daytime Sub-Ambient Radiative Cooling in Commercial-like Paints with High Figure of Merit

X. Li
Purdue University

J. Peoples
Purdue University

Z. Huang
Purdue University

Z. Zhao
Wuhan University

J. Qui
Harbin Institute of Technology,

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: <https://docs.lib.purdue.edu/coolingpubs>

Li, X.; Peoples, J.; Huang, Z.; Zhao, Z.; Qui, J.; and Ruan, X., "Full Daytime Sub-Ambient Radiative Cooling in Commercial-like Paints with High Figure of Merit" (2020). *CTRC Research Publications*. Paper 369. <http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrp.2020.100221>

Authors

X. Li, J. Peoples, Z. Huang, Z. Zhao, J. Qui, and X. Ruan

Full Daytime Sub-ambient Radiative Cooling in Commercial-like Paints with High Figure of Merit

Xiangyu Li,^{1,2} Joseph Peoples,^{1,2} Zhifeng Huang,^{1,3} Zixuan Zhao,¹ Jun Qiu^{1,4,5} and Xiulin Ruan^{1,2,6*}

¹ School of Mechanical Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA.

² Birck Nanotechnology Center, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA.

³ School of Power and Mechanical Engineering, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China.

⁴ School of Energy Science and Engineering, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, China.

⁵ State Key Laboratory for Digital Manufacturing Equipment and Technology, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China.

⁶ Lead Contact

*Correspondence: ruan@purdue.edu

SUMMARY

Radiative cooling is a passive cooling technology by reflecting sunlight and emitting radiation in the sky window. Although highly desired, full daytime sub-ambient radiative cooling in commercial-like single-layer particle-matrix paints is yet to be achieved. In this work, we have demonstrated full daytime sub-ambient radiative cooling in CaCO₃-acrylic paint by adopting large bandgap fillers, a high particle concentration and a broad size distribution. Our paint shows a high solar reflectance of 95.5% and a high normal emissivity of 0.94 in the sky window. Field tests show cooling power exceeding 37 W/m² and lower surface temperature > 1.7°C below ambient at noon. A figure of merit RC is proposed to compare the cooling performance under different weather conditions. The RC of our cooling paint is 0.49, among the best radiative cooling performance while offering unprecedented benefits of the convenient paint form, low cost, and the compatibility with commercial paint fabrication process.

Keywords: radiative cooling; atmospheric sky window; nanocomposite; thermal radiation.

INTRODUCTION

Cooling represents a significant sector of energy consumption in both residential and commercial applications.¹ Passive radiative cooling can cool surfaces without any energy consumption, by directly emitting heat through a transparent spectral window of the atmosphere, from 8 μm to 13 μm (the “sky window”), to the deep sky which functions as an infinite heat sink with a temperature of 3K. By sky window we particularly mean 8 μm to 13 μm which is also the widely used convention. Other sky windows could be specified such as the second sky window, etc. If the thermal emission of the surface through the sky window exceeds its absorption of the sunlight, the surface can be cooled below the ambient temperature under direct sunlight. Compared to conventional air conditioners that consume electricity and only move heat from the inside of the space to the outdoors, passive radiative cooling not only saves power, but also combats global warming since the heat is directly lost to the deep space.

The use of passive radiative cooling can be dated back centuries,² and scientific studies on radiative cooling started as early as the 1960s.^{3–9} Paints with sub-ambient daytime radiative cooling capability have been pursued for long,^{10–15} and TiO₂ particles, the common pigments in commercial paints, were used in most of these studies. The particle size was usually selected to be on the order of hundreds of nanometers to several microns, since light can be strongly scattered and reflected by particles with a size comparable to the wavelength.^{16–18} A thin layer of TiO₂ white paint coated on aluminum demonstrated daytime below-ambient cooling during a winter day, and the high solar reflectance was attributed to the aluminum substrate.¹⁰ Recently, full daytime sub-ambient cooling has been demonstrated in photonic structures and multilayers,^{19,20} which renewed the interest in the development of various

radiative cooling materials. A subset of the authors theoretically predicted full daytime sub-ambient cooling using a dual-layer structure with TiO₂-acrylic paint layer on top of a carbon black layer,¹³ or utilizing a broad particle size distribution instead of a single size to enable efficient broadband scattering of sunlight.²¹ However, these theoretical proposals have not been experimentally confirmed yet. In fact, the performance of TiO₂-acrylic paints are limited by solar absorption in the ultraviolet (UV) band due to the moderate 3.2 eV electron bandgap of TiO₂, and near-infrared (NIR) 0.7-3 μm band due to acrylic absorption²¹. Theoretical studies have indicated that the solar reflectance of TiO₂-acrylic paint is unlikely to exceed 92%.^{13,21} Other materials with higher bandgaps, such as SiO₂,¹⁵ ZnS²² and BaSO₄,²³ have been studied to reduce the UV absorption, while they suffer from lower refractive indices compared that of TiO₂, which hurts scattering. Among these, a single-layer SiO₂ particle bed was developed and partial daytime sub-ambient cooling was demonstrated except for the noon hours.¹⁵ A recent review paper on existing commercial heat reflective paints summarizes that their solar reflectance is moderately high, around 80% to 90%, and none of the paints has achieved full daytime sub-ambient cooling.¹² On the other hand, porous structures have also been explored to enhance solar reflectance,²⁴⁻²⁶ and a recent work based on porous polymers accomplished daytime cooling and they are paint-like.²⁷ Other non-paint daytime radiative cooling solutions include polymer-metal dual layer,²⁸ silica nanocomposite-metal dual layer,²⁹ silica-metal dual layer,³⁰ and delignified wood.³¹ Considering these existing studies, it is clear that it is still a pertinent and challenging task to create single layer commercial-like nanoparticle-matrix paint for daytime radiative cooling to gain wide commercial applications.

In this work we have experimentally demonstrated high solar reflectance, high sky-window emissivity in the normal direction, and full daytime sub-ambient radiative cooling in single-layer particle-matrix paints with strong performance. The results are also included in a provisional patent filed on October 3, 2018 and a non-provisional international patent application (PCT/US2019/054566) filed on October 3, 2019 and published on April 9, 2020.³² Our work is enabled by the use of several different approaches from commercial paints. To minimize the solar absorption in the UV band, we consider and investigate many alternative materials with higher electron band gaps, and achieve strong performance with CaCO₃-acrylic paint, where CaCO₃ has an electronic band gap >5 eV. CaCO₃ has also been shown as a compatible and stable material used in paints as spacers,³³ but here it is used for a different purpose. To compensate its low refractive index³⁴ and enable strong scattering, we adopt a particle volume concentration of 60%, which is considerably higher than those in commercial paints. It is known for TiO₂ paints that the optical reflectance increases with the particle concentration up until around 30%, when the optical crowding effect often occurs and further increase of particle concentration would lead to overall decrease of the reflectance.³³ However, as the particle concentration continues to increase and pass the critical particle volume concentration (CPVC), the reflectance will increase again.³³ Hence, we select the 60% concentration, which is well above CPVC. It also reduces the volume of acrylic and its absorption in the NIR band. Furthermore, a broad particle size distribution instead of a single size is used to efficiently scatter all wavelengths in the solar spectrum and hence enhance the solar reflectance, as predicted in our previous simulations.²¹ Finally, the acrylic matrix introduces vibrational resonance peaks in the infrared band thus ensures a high sky window emissivity. Our paint shows a high solar reflectance of 95.5% and a high normal emissivity of 0.94 in the sky window. Field tests demonstrate cooling power exceeding 37 W/m² and lower surface temperature more than 1.7°C below ambient at noon. A figure of merit RC is proposed to compare the cooling performance under different conditions. The RC of our cooling paint is 0.49, among the best radiative cooling performance reported, while offering unprecedented benefits of the convenient paint form, low cost, and the compatibility with commercial paint fabrication process.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optical Property Characterization and Modeling

CaCO₃-acrylic paint with 60% volume concentration was made using a commercially compatible process. A mixture of Dimethylformamide (DMF), acrylic and particle fillers was left fully dried. The thickness of the sample was around 400 μm to make the properties substrate-independent. The details are presented in the Experimental Procedures. The free-standing CaCO₃ nanoparticle-acrylic paint sample is shown in Figure 1A along with commercial white paint (DutchBoy Maxbond UltraWhite Exterior Acrylic Paint, 400 μm thickness). The SEM image of the sample surface is shown in Figure 1B, where CaCO₃ fillers are rod-shape

with length about 1.9 μm and diameter around 500 nm. Some air voids were introduced when the concentration goes beyond CPVC, and they can possibly have a significantly role in increasing the solar reflectance.

The solar reflectance and sky window emissivity were then characterized. To achieve full daytime below-ambient cooling, it is critical to have both high solar reflectance and high sky-window emissivity. The solar reflectance is mainly contributed by fillers, and the sky-window emission can come from the matrix and/or fillers, as shown in Figure 2A. High solar reflectance results from a combination of several factors including filler refractive index, volume concentration, particle size and size distribution. To compensate the low refractive index of CaCO_3 compared to TiO_2 , we set the filler concentration as 60%, which is above the CPVC to enhance the solar reflectance. Through experimental trials, 60% filler concentration provides sufficient solar reflectance while maintaining good reliability. For operating temperatures close to 300 K, the dominant spectral range of the sky window is between 8 μm to 13 μm , where phonon (from the filler) or vibrational (from the polymer matrix) resonance peaks are essential for passive radiative cooling. At those peaks, photons are absorbed while interacting with phonons or vibrons, leading to high absorptivity and emissivity. Since CaCO_3 alone has a low emissivity in the 8 μm to 13 μm spectral range, the high sky window emissivity is mainly contributed by the acrylic matrix. The normal emissivity from 250 nm to 20 μm is shown in Figure 2B. While maintaining a high normal emissivity 0.94 in the sky window, our CaCO_3 -acrylic paint reaches 95.5% reflectance in the solar spectrum due to low absorption in the UV and NIR regions, compared to 87.2% reflectance of the commercial white paint (DutchBoy Maxbond UltraWhite Exterior Acrylic Paint). Although the DutchBoy paint is not a heat reflective commercial paint, the solar reflectance of the CaCO_3 paint is still substantially higher than those of the commercial heat reflective paints which show solar reflectance of around 80% to 90%.^{12,35} Further comparison between our paint with a wide range of commercial paints is underway.

To help illustrate the physics behind the strong radiative properties, we performed photon Monte Carlo simulations of the nanoparticle composites with a thickness of 400 μm . Due to the ellipsoidal shape of the CaCO_3 particles, an effective particle size³⁶ was utilized with a diameter $\mu_d = 517.3$ nm with $\sigma_d = 95.9$ nm and length $\mu_l = 1744$ nm with $\sigma_l = 408.4$ nm. The uncertainty of the measurements was found to be ± 15.1 nm. The dielectric function of CaCO_3 was obtained from previous literature.³⁴ A modified Lorentz-Mie theory²¹ was used to obtain the scattering coefficient η_s , absorption coefficient η_a , and asymmetric parameter of the nanoparticles in the matrix. A simple correction was then used to capture the dependent scattering effect due to the high concentration,³⁷

$$\eta_{sd} = \eta_s(1 + 1.5f - 0.75f^2) \quad (1)$$

$$\eta_{ad} = \eta_a(1 + 1.5f - 0.75f^2) \quad (2)$$

where f is the particle volume fraction, and η_{sd} and η_{ad} are the scattering and absorption coefficients after considering dependent scattering, respectively. These modified coefficients were then applied to a homogenous effective medium, where we used Monte Carlo method to solve the Radiative Transfer Equation by releasing 500,000 photons into the effective medium to predict reflectivity, absorptivity and emissivity. We covered 226 wavelengths from 250 nm to 2.5 μm . The results are shown in Figure 2C. We observed that using the single average particle size results in a solar reflectance of 90.9%, which is considerably lower than the experimental value. Including the size distribution increases the solar reflectance to 97.3% and agrees with the experimental value much better. Although one single particle size is able to strongly scatter one narrow spectral band, a broad distribution of particle sizes can effectively scatter a larger spectral range, leading to a higher overall solar reflectance. The results support our previous theoretical proposal that multiple particle sizes can effectively scatter broadband wavelength.²¹ The uncertainty from the Monte Carlo simulations is $\pm 0.3\%$. In addition, the effect of paint thickness is discussed in the Supplementary Note 1 and Supplementary Figure S1. Thinner films with thicknesses of 98, 131, and 177 μm still provide high solar reflectances of 88.9%, 93.4%, and 95.1%, respectively.

Cooling Performance Characterization

A field test of surface temperature demonstrated full daytime cooling in West Lafayette, IN on March 21-23, 2018, as seen in Figure 3A. The sample stayed 10°C below the ambient temperature at night, and at least 1.7°C below the ambient temperature at a peak solar

irradiation around 963 W/m². The relative humidity at 12 PM was around 40% with total precipitable water of 1613.5 atm-cm. In another demonstration, a “P” pattern was painted with the CaCO₃-acrylic paint and the rest was painted with commercial white paint of the same thickness. It was then placed under direct sunlight. As shown in Figure 3B, the pattern was nearly invisible under a regular camera since both paints share similar reflectance in the visible spectrum (0.4-0.7 μm). However, it became much more distinctive under an infrared (IR) camera, clearly showing that the CaCO₃-acrylic paint was able to maintain a lower temperature under direct sunlight compared to the commercial white paint. The cooling power measurement using a feedback heater in Figure 3C showed an average cooling power of 56 W/m² during nights and 37 W/m² around noon (between 10 AM and 2 PM) in Reno, NV on August 1-2, 2018, when the relative humidity was 10% at 12 PM with total precipitable water of 1755.7 atm-cm. A detailed analysis of the cooling power and comparison to experimental data are provided in the Supplementary Note 2, Figure S2 and Figure S3.

Radiative Cooling Figure of Merit

In the literature^{20,27-30} and thus far in this work, the cooling powers were reported for different locations and weather conditions. In fact, the weather conditions can critically affect the cooling power. For instance, humid weather can significantly reduce the cooling power compared to dry climates.³⁸ Although considering these various factors is very important when a radiative cooling material moves down to the application stage, it makes hard to fairly assess different radiative cooling systems under similar conditions. Hence, it is beneficial to introduce a metric that can provide initial assessment of a material's radiative cooling. Here we define a simple figure of merit, RC, to help unify the radiative cooling performance by using the same ideal weather condition:

$$RC = \epsilon_{sky} - r(1 - R_{solar}) \quad (3)$$

where ϵ_{sky} is the emissivity in the sky window, R_{solar} is the total reflectance in the solar spectrum, and r is the ratio of the solar irradiation power over the ideal sky window emissive power. The term $r(1 - R_{solar})$ represents the ratio of the absorbed solar irradiation to the sky window emissive power, and multiplying RC by the sky window emissive power would yield the net cooling power. RC can be calculated to fairly evaluate different radiative cooling systems at the same solar irradiation and weather condition. We recommend to define a “standard figure of merit” using a standard peak solar irradiation of 1000 W/m², surface temperature 300K, sky window emission power of 100 W/m² and a standard r of 10. An ideal surface with 100% solar reflectance and an emissivity of 1 in the sky window has an RC of 1. Here we can also see that due to the higher solar intensity compared to the sky window emissive power, achieving higher solar reflectance is the key to enhance the overall cooling power and RC. The standard figures of merit for commercial white paint and our CaCO₃-acrylic paint come to be -0.33 and 0.49, compared to other state-of-the-art non-paint approaches as 0.32,²⁰ 0.53,²⁹ 0.35³⁰ and 0.57.²⁷ The RC of our CaCO₃-acrylic paint is comparable to or better than these reported radiative cooling systems. If the figure of merit is positive, the surface should be able to provide a net cooling effect. It is recommended that our standard figure of merit is used for an initial assessment of the material's potential for radiative cooling, especially when the ideal dry and summer days are not accessible for researchers to perform field tests. Our standard figure of merit is defined for surface temperature of 300K and a specific weather condition. It will not differentiate between hemispherical and near-normal emittance, selective and broadband emitters. For example, the solar adsorption will have a more significant role in the cooling performance under more humid conditions when the radiation loss through the sky window can be significantly reduced below 100 W/m². Furthermore, a broadband emitter will perform better than a selective surface under high operating temperatures even with the same figure of merit. Once the material is moved down to the application stage, field tests should be performed and other figure of merits can be defined based on the specific application, location, weather, cost, etc. We note that a solar reflectance index (SRI) is widely used for paints.³⁹ Our RC is not intended to replace SRI, but to offer a complementary figure of merit specifically for assessing radiative cooling performance of different systems.

Reliability Tests and Viscosity Characterization

Abrasion tests were performed with Taber Abraser Research Model according to ASTM D4060.⁴⁰ A pair of abrasive wheels (CS-10) were placed on the surface with 250g load per wheel. The mass loss was measured every 250 cycles and the refacing was done every

500 cycles as required. The wear index (I) is defined as the weight loss in the unit of mg per 1000 cycles as

$$I = \Delta m \times 1000 / C \quad (4)$$

where Δm is the weight loss and C is the cycle number. Using a linear fit to the mass loss, Figure 4A shows that the wear indexes of the commercial exterior paint and CaCO_3 paint are 104 and 84, respectively. Overall, our cooling paint showed similar abrasion resistance compared to commercial exterior paints. In a weathering test, the CaCO_3 paint was exposed to outdoor weathering including rain and snow for around 3 weeks. Figure 4B shows that the solar reflectance remained within the uncertainty level during the testing period. The sky window normal emissivity stayed 0.94 at the beginning and the end of the test. Moreover, the Supplementary Video S1 of a running water test is provided to illustrate that our paint is water resistant. The acrylic matrix strongly bonds the CaCO_3 fillers together, which helps reduce the damage from exterior abrasion and outdoor weathering. Nevertheless, long term reliability test is underway, including UV exposure, dust, surface adhesion and water/detergent resistance. Due to the air voids introduced in the paint, it is also important to conduct reliability test regarding to possible penetration by acidic water in future studies.⁴¹ The viscosity of the CaCO_3 paint was measured and compared with water and oil-based commercial paints in Figure 4C. The viscosity of the commercial paints was retrieved from Whittingshall's work.⁴² Our CaCO_3 paint showed lower viscosity, indicating that it can be brushed and dried similarly to commercial paints, as demonstrated by the Supplementary Video. The viscosity can be further adjusted by changing the type and amount of solvent used.

Due to the wide availability of CaCO_3 in natural minerals, the cost of our radiative cooling paints is anticipated to be comparable to or even lower than that of commercial white paints. The cost of the particle fillers for covering 100 m² area is less than \$1.5 for CaCO_3 paint, making them among the most cost-effective radiative cooling solutions with full daytime below-ambient cooling capability. A detailed analysis of material costs and energy savings is given in the Supplementary Note 3.⁴³

In this work, we have demonstrated that CaCO_3 -acrylic paint, with high particle concentration and broad size distribution, is able to achieve full daytime below-ambient cooling with high figure of merit of 0.49. The intrinsic large band gap, appropriate particle size, broad particle size distribution and high particle concentration are all essential to strongly reflect the sunlight. The vibrational resonance peaks of the acrylic matrix provide strong emission in the sky window. Our radiative cooling paint showed cooling performance among the best of the reported state-of-the-art approaches, while offering unprecedented combined benefits, including convenient paint form, low cost, and the compatibility with commercial paint fabrication process. Future studies can aim at achieving higher performance with thinner films by exploring materials and structures. During the review process of our paper, we were made aware that similar results to part of our work, i.e., high solar reflectance in paints embedded with high concentration wide bandgap particles, were also reported in a recent paper.⁴⁴

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Resource Availability

Lead Contact

Dr. Xiulin Ruan: ruan@purdue.edu

Materials Availability

This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and Code Availability

All data associated with the study are included in the article and the Supplemental Information. Additional information is available from the Lead Contact upon reasonable request.

Sample Fabrication

The CaCO_3 particles were mixed with DMF first, followed by a 10-minute sonication to reduce particle agglomerations. We used Elvacite 2028 from Lucite International as the acrylic matrix for its low viscosity. The acrylic was then slowly added to the mixture to dissolve. The mixture

was later degassed in vacuum chamber to remove air bubbles introduced during mixing and ultrasonication. The mixture was then poured in a mold and dried overnight till all solvent was gone. The dried paint was released from the mold as a free-standing layer with a thickness around 400 μm .

Optical Measurement

The solar reflectance was measured on a Perkin Elmer Lambda 950 UV-VIS-NIR spectrometer with an integrating sphere, using a certified Spectralon diffuse reflectance standard. The effective solar reflectance was calculated based on the reference AM 1.5 solar spectra.⁴⁵ The uncertainty was $\pm 0.5\%$ based on the results of five different samples made in separate batches. Additional calibration was done with a silicon wafer, and the solar reflectance of the CaCO_3 -acrylic paint was 94.9%, which is consistent with that from the diffuse reflectance standard. The IR measurements were done on a Nicolet iS50 FTIR spectrometer with a PIKE Technologies integrating sphere for a near-normal incidence. The true hemispherical emittance can be 2-3% lower for rough surfaces when estimating the heat loss. The uncertainty of the PIKE Technologies diffuse reflectance standard was ± 0.02 . The atmospheric transmittance is under the condition of air mass 1.5 and water vapor column 1.0 mm (IR Transmission Spectra, Gemini Observatory.).

Field Test Setups

Two field test setups were made to characterize the cooling performance of the paints on the roof of a high-rise building, as shown in Figure 5. Figure 5A is a temperature test setup to demonstrate the below-ambient cooling capability. A cavity was made from a block of white styrofoam, providing excellent insulation from heat conduction. The 5 cm square sample was suspended above the styrofoam base. A thin layer of low-density polyethylene (LDPE) film was used as a shield against forced convection. T-type thermocouples were attached to the bottom of the samples for temperature measurement. Additional shaded thermocouple outside of the setup was used to monitor the ambient temperature. A pyranometer was placed to measure the solar irradiation, including both direct and diffuse components. According to the manufacturer, the accuracy depends on the angle of the irradiation, with directional errors less than 20 W/m^2 at 80 solar zenith angle. Figure 5B shows the cooling power test setup. A feedback heater was attached to the back of the sample to synchronize the sample and ambient temperatures. As the sample was heated to the ambient temperature, the power consumption of the heater was recorded as equal to the cooling power. The uncertainty, $\pm 5 \text{ W}/\text{m}^2$, was calculated as the standard deviation of measured night-time cooling power at a stable surface temperature. The conduction and convection losses were insignificant (see details in Supplementary Note 2). Additionally, the setups were located on a wood board, which was further insulated on a metal table above the ground to avoid the heating effect from the ground (Figure 5C). Both setups were covered by silver mylar to reflect solar irradiation. The actual pictures of the two onsite cooling setups were shown in Figure 5D. The sidewalls and the bottoms of the styrofoam setups were enclosed by silver mylar to prevent additional radiation heat transfer.

Monte Carlo Simulation

The Monte Carlo simulations were performed in a similar manner as our previous work,²¹ but a correction for dependent scattering was added. The photon packet is released at the top of the interface between air and the nanocomposite. The photon starts with a weight of unity and a normal direction to the air-composite interface. As the photon propagates across air-composite interface and through the medium it will lose weight scaling with the absorbing coefficient and will change direction affected by the scattering coefficient and asymmetric parameter. If the photon propagates to the bottom interface and makes it through, that weight is considered transmitted. If the photon propagates back up to the top surface and goes through the interface it is considered reflected.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Mian Wang, Jacob Faulkner, Professor George Chiu and Professor Zhi Zhou at Purdue University for their help on sample fabrication, and thank Professor Yan Wang at University of Nevada Reno for his assistance on the field tests. This research was supported by the Cooling Technologies Research Center at Purdue University and the Air Force Office of

Scientific Research through the Defense University Research Instrumentation Program (Grant No. FA9550-17-1-0368).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization, X.R.; Methodology, X.R. and X.L.; Software, J.P., Z.H. and J.Q.; Investigation, X.L., J.P. and Z.Z.; Writing – Original Draft, X.R. and X.L.; Writing – Review & Editing, X.R., X.L., J.P., Z.H., J.Q. and Z.Z.; Funding Acquisition, X.R.; Resources, X.R.; Supervision, X.R.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

X.R., X.L., Z.H. and J.P. are the inventors of a provisional patent application filed on October 3, 2018 and a non-provisional international patent application (PCT/US2019/054566) filed on October 3, 2019 and published on April 9, 2020.³²

REFERENCES

1. Administration, U.S.E.I. (2018). Annual Energy Outlook 2018 with projections to 2050. *J. Phys. A Math. Theor.* *44*, 1–64.
2. Barker, R. (1857). Process of Making Ice in the East Indies. *Sci. Am.* *13*, 75–75.
3. Catalanotti, S., Cuomo, V., Piro, G., Ruggi, D., Silvestrini, V., and Troise, G. (1975). The radiative cooling of selective surfaces. *Sol. Energy* *17*, 83–89.
4. Granqvist, C.G., Hjortsberg, A., Granqvist, G., and Hjortsberg, A. (1981). Radiative cooling to low temperatures : General considerations and application to selectively emitting SiO films Radiative cooling to low temperatures : General considerations and application to selectively emitting SiO films. *4205*.
5. Andretta, A., Bartoli, B., Coluzzi, B., Cuomo, V., Andretta, A., Bartoli, B., Coluzzi, B., Selective, V.C., and For, S. (1981). Selective Surfaces for Natural Cooling Devices. *J. Phys. Colloq.* *42*, C1-423-C1-430.
6. Lushiku, E.M., Hjortsberg, A., and Granqvist, C.G. (1982). Radiative cooling with selectively infrared-emitting ammonia gas. *J. Appl. Phys.* *53*, 5526–5530.
7. Lushiku, E.M., and Granqvist, C.-G. (1984). Radiative cooling with selectively infrared-emitting gases. *Appl. Opt.* *23*, 1835.
8. Diatezua, D.M., Thiry, P.A., Dereux, A., and Caudano, R. (1996). Silicon oxynitride multilayers as spectrally selective material for passive radiative cooling applications. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* *40*, 253–259.
9. Gentle, A.R., and Smith, G.B. (2010). Radiative heat pumping from the Earth using surface phonon resonant nanoparticles. *Nano Lett.* *10*, 373–379.
10. Harrison, A.W. (1978). Radiative cooling of TiO₂ white paint. *Sol. Energy* *20*, 185–188.
11. Orel, B., Gunde, M.K., and Krainer, A. (1993). Radiative cooling efficiency of white pigmented paints. *Sol. Energy* *50*, 477–482.
12. Pockett, J. (2010). Heat Reflecting Paints and a Review of their Advertising Material. In CHEMECA 2010: Engineering at the Edge, 26-29 September, pp. 1–13.
13. Huang, Z., and Ruan, X. (2017). Nanoparticle embedded double-layer coating for daytime radiative cooling. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* *104*, 890–896.
14. Bao, H., Yan, C., Wang, B., Fang, X., Zhao, C.Y., and Ruan, X. (2017). Double-layer nanoparticle-based coatings for efficient terrestrial radiative cooling. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* *168*, 78–84.
15. Atiganyanun, S., Plumley, J., Han, S.J., Hsu, K., Cytrynbaum, J., Peng, T.L., Han, S.M., and Han, S.E. (2018). Effective Radiative Cooling by Paint-Format Microsphere-Based Photonic Random Media. *ACS Photonics* *5*, 1181–1187.
16. Mie, G. (1908). Beiträge zur Optik trüber Medien, speziell kolloidaler Metallösungen. *Ann. Phys.* *330*, 377–445.
17. Lorenz, L. (1890). Lysbevægelsen i og uden for en af plane Lysbølger belyst Kugle.
18. Song, J., Qin, J., Qu, J., Song, Z., Zhang, W., Xue, X., Shi, Y., Zhang, T., Ji, W., Zhang, R., et al. (2014). The effects of particle size distribution on the optical properties of titanium dioxide rutile pigments and their applications in cool non-white coatings. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* *130*, 42–50.
19. Rephaeli, E., Raman, A., and Fan, S. (2013). Ultrabroadband photonic structures to achieve high-performance daytime radiative cooling. *Nano Lett.* *13*, 1457–1461.
20. Raman, A.P., Anoma, M.A., Zhu, L., Rephaeli, E., and Fan, S. (2014). Passive radiative cooling below ambient air temperature under direct sunlight. *Nature* *515*, 540–4.
21. Peoples, J., Li, X., Lv, Y., Qiu, J., Huang, Z., and Ruan, X. (2019). A strategy of hierarchical

- particle sizes in nanoparticle composite for enhancing solar reflection. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* *131*, 487–494.
22. Nilsson, T.M.J., and Niklasson, G.A. (1995). Radiative cooling during the day: simulations and experiments on pigmented polyethylene cover foils. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* *37*, 93–118.
 23. Grum, F., and Luckey, G.W. (1968). Optical Sphere Paint and a Working Standard of Reflectance. *Appl. Opt.* *7*, 2289.
 24. Syurik, J., Jacucci, G., Onelli, O.D., Hölscher, H., and Vignolini, S. (2018). Bio-inspired Highly Scattering Networks via Polymer Phase Separation. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* *28*, 1706901.
 25. Zou, W., Pattelli, L., Guo, J., Yang, S., Yang, M., Zhao, N., Xu, J., and Wiersma, D.S. (2019). Biomimetic Polymer Film with Brilliant Brightness Using a One-Step Water Vapor-Induced Phase Separation Method. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* *29*, 1808885.
 26. Leroy, A., Bhatia, B., Kelsall, C.C., Castillejo-Cuberos, A., Di Capua H., M., Zhao, L., Zhang, L., Guzman, A.M., and Wang, E.N. (2019). High-performance subambient radiative cooling enabled by optically selective and thermally insulating polyethylene aerogel. *Sci. Adv.* *5*, eaat9480.
 27. Mandal, J., Fu, Y., Overvig, A., Jia, M., Sun, K., Shi, N., Zhou, H., Xiao, X., Yu, N., and Yang, Y. (2018). Hierarchically porous polymer coatings for highly efficient passive daytime radiative cooling. *Science* *362*, 315–319.
 28. Gentle, A.R., and Smith, G.B. (2015). A Subambient Open Roof Surface under the Mid-Summer Sun. *Adv. Sci.* *2*, 2–5.
 29. Zhai, Y., Ma, Y., David, S.N., Zhao, D., Lou, R., Tan, G., Yang, R., and Yin, X. (2017). Scalable-manufactured randomized glass-polymer hybrid metamaterial for daytime radiative cooling. *Science* *7899*, 1–9.
 30. Kou, J., Jurado, Z., Chen, Z., Fan, S., and Minnich, A.J. (2017). Daytime radiative cooling using near-black infrared emitters. *ACS Photonics* *4*, 626–630.
 31. Li, T., Zhai, Y., He, S., Gan, W., Wei, Z., Heidarinejad, M., Dalgo, D., Mi, R., Zhao, X., Song, J., et al. (2019). A radiative cooling structural material. *Science* *364*, 760–763.
 32. Ruan, X., Li, X., Huang, Z., and Peoples, J.A. (2019). Metal-free Solar-reflective Infrared-emissive Paints and Methods of Producing the Same. PCT/US2019/054566. <https://patentscope.wipo.int/search/en/detail.jsf?docId=WO2020072818>
 33. Diebold, M.P. (2014). *Application of Light Scattering to Coatings: A User's Guide* (Springer).
 34. Ghosh, G. (1999). Dispersion-equation coefficients for the refractive index and birefringence of calcite and quartz crystals. *Opt. Commun.* *163*, 95–102.
 35. Berdahl, P., and Bretz, S.E. (1997). Preliminary survey of the solar reflectance of cool roofing materials. *Energy Build.* *25*, 149–158.
 36. Frisvad, J.R., Christensen, N.J., Jensen, H.W., Frisvad, J.R., Christensen, N.J., and Jensen, H.W. (2007). Computing the scattering properties of participating media using Lorenz-Mie theory. In *ACM SIGGRAPH 2007 papers on - SIGGRAPH '07* (ACM Press), p. 60.
 37. Kaviany, M. (2012). *Principles of Heat Transfer in Porous Media* (Springer New York).
 38. Liu, C., Wu, Y., Wang, B., Zhao, C.Y., and Bao, H. (2019). Effect of atmospheric water vapor on radiative cooling performance of different surfaces. *Sol. Energy* *183*, 218–225.
 39. ASTM E1980 - 11 Standard Practice for Calculating Solar Reflectance Index of Horizontal and Low Sloped Opaque Surfaces (2013).
 40. D4060, Standard Test Method for Abrasion Resistance of Organic Coatings by the Taber Abraser (2010).
 41. Hook, J.W., Jacox, P.J., and Spence, J.W. (1994). Acid rain effects on the exterior durability of architectural coatings on wood. *Prog. Org. Coatings* *24*, 175–188.
 42. Whittingstall, P. (2011). *Paint Evaluation Using Rheology*.
 43. Akbari, H., Levinson, R., and Rainer, L. (2005). Monitoring the energy-use effects of cool roofs on California commercial buildings. *Energy Build.* *37*, 1007–1016.
 44. Mandal, J., Yang, Y., Yu, N., and Raman, A.P. (2020). Paints as a Scalable and Effective Radiative Cooling Technology for Buildings. *Joule* *4*, 1350–1356.
 45. Standard Tables for Reference Solar Spectral Irradiances: Direct Normal and Hemispherical on 37° Tilted Surface (2020). In West Conshohocken, PA; ASTM International, pp. G173-03.




Figure 1. CaCO₃-acrylic Paint

(A) Our free-standing radiative cooling paint sample along with commercial white paint.
 (B) An SEM image of the CaCO₃-acrylic paint. The particle size distribution is measured from SEM images.




Figure 2. Radiative Cooling Schematic, Spectral Characterization and Monte Carlo Simulations

(A) For cooling paints exposed to direct solar irradiation, the fillers reflect sunlight between 0 to 3 μm, and the particles and/or polymer matrix emit in the sky window between 8 μm and 13 μm.
 (B) The normal emissivity of our radiative cooling paint characterized from 0.25 μm to 20 μm, compared with commercial white paint.
 (C) Monte Carlo simulations on the solar reflectance of the CaCO₃-acrylic paint at 60% concentration compared with experimental results. Broad size distribution enhances the solar reflectance, and the results are in good agreement with the experimental measurement (black line).




Figure 3. Field Tests of the Cooling Paint

(A) Field temperature measurement for the CaCO_3 -acrylic paint over a period of more than one day.
 (B) A "P" pattern painted with the CaCO_3 -acrylic paint and the rest with the commercial white paint was placed under direct sunlight. The pattern was nearly invisible under a regular camera but became much more distinctive under an infrared (IR) camera due to the lower temperature of the CaCO_3 -acrylic paint.
 (C) Cooling power directly measured for the CaCO_3 -acrylic paint using a feedback heater.
 (A,C) The orange regions stand for the solar irradiance intensity.




Figure 4. Abrasion, Weathering and Viscosity Characterizations of the Cooling Paint

(A) The mass loss as a function of the cycle number in abrasion tests. The slope retrieved was used to calculate the wear index.
 (B) The solar reflectance remained the same during the 3-week outdoor weathering test.
 (C) The viscosity of the cooling paint was compared to that of commercial paints.⁴²




Figure 5. Field Test Setups for Cooling Performance Characterization

(A) A temperature test setup where the temperatures of the sample and the ambient were recorded. A lower sample temperature than the ambient indicated below-ambient cooling. (B) A cooling power test setup where the sample was heated to the same temperature as the ambient using a feedback heater. The power consumption of the heater was equal to the cooling power.

(C) The setups were further put on a high-rise table to eliminate the heating effect from the ground. A shaded thermocouple was located at the similar height with the cooling samples to avoid overheating from the ground.

(D) Pictures of actual setups. On the left and right are temperature and cooling power test setups, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTAL VIDEO

Video S1. Brushing, Drying and Water Running Test of the Cooling Paint