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Abstract

How do we make a home in spaces not built for us? What does an academic home for Southeast Asian American (SEAA) women look and feel like? This is the story of how a collective of SEAA women came together to create an alternative space in higher education. Continuing the radical act of resistance modeled by other Black, Indigenous, and women of color scholars, the SEAAster Scholars Collective uses a feminist epistemological approach to further their mission—to advance knowledge and understanding of the postsecondary educational experiences of SEAA students, staff, and faculty.
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Introduction

I don’t think I planned on crying last time we talked. But I was wondering what was it about our first conversation that gave me space and trust? One big thing is that I don’t necessarily have to explain things. That feeling was emotional for me because it’s been a while since I didn’t have to do a lot of explaining and still feel heard and still feel seen. So even though I haven’t met you [in person], Latana, I feel like I trust you (laughter). I feel like there is a level of trust to open up and share in a vulnerable way.

—Jacki

In this quote, Jacki shares the visceral impact of a space\(^1\) where she felt a deep sense of trust and validation. This space represented one of the first group conversations we had that explicitly focused on exploring our experiences as Southeast Asian American (SEAA) doctoral women. It is important to note that this conversation occurred over a video conference and Jacki and Latana had yet to meet in person. Even so, we recognized that something powerful was happening. This essay represents the exploration of what this “something” was and continues to be. We hope that readers engage this piece as a reflection and an attempt to make meaning while we share our story of homemaking in academia.

Our creation of the SEAAster Scholars Collective (further referred to as “the Collective”) represents a desire to understand our experiences as SEAA at the margins, both as sites of oppression and as sites of resistance, empowerment, and transformation (hooks, 2013). The Collective is our home and represents an act of self-determination. We created a space for ourselves in a context where we were not (yet) seen, where our invisibilization and presumed silence is no longer acceptable (Minh-Ha, 1989). By connecting our individual experiences to the larger cultural, socio-historical, and political issues impacting our community, we engage in a homemaking process for ourselves to conduct work that advances social justice for our SEAA communities, especially in higher education.

This essay is about our home and the process of homemaking in academia—what experiences we had, how this process began, what informs our approaches, and what future directions we envision for ourselves and future SEAAster Scholars. In sharing our story, we hope to connect to other SEAA scholars on this journey. We begin by first exploring some of the shared challenges we experienced navigating the academy.

Surviving in the Academy

In a collaborative autoethnographic project on our racialized and gendered educational experiences as SEAA doctoral women, our reflections revealed three major challenges we faced in our pursuit of the academy: an invisibilization of our identities as SEAA, a devaluation of our research foci on SEAA experiences by others in the academy, and experiences of tensions between our families and communities with our pursuit of the academy (Na et al., 2017). We navigated predominantly white educational institutions largely on our own and often as one of the only SEAA in our

\(^1\) In this paper, we use the term “space” to refer to a variety of spaces, such as physical space, virtual space, epistemological space, as well as figurative space.
educational spaces. Some of these experiences have resulted in an enduring sense of isolation. As emerging scholars, practitioners, and activists, we experienced and continue to experience these challenges. As such, we write about these challenges in the present tense to reflect our ongoing experiences in which we simultaneously engage in a healing process.

We readily recall moments when engaging in a classroom discussion or reviewing an assigned reading where Asian and Asian Americans, including SEAAs, are omitted. Along with Pacific Islander, Native, and Indigenous populations, Asian Americans are rarely included in class discussions about student development theory, campus racial climates, access and retention, and other topics related to race and equity in higher education (Museus, 2014). SEAAs are simultaneously positioned on binary extremes of experiencing educational success and requiring little to no support or considered dysfunctional members of society and therefore undeserving of educational support (Ngo & Lee, 2007; Um, 2003). We often find ourselves trapped within a Black-White binary frame that leaves little space to fully understand and complicate the racialized experiences of SEAAs (Ngo & Lee, 2007). Because our SEAA identities are invisibilized by our paradigms, curricula, peers, and faculty, we also feel a constant need and responsibility to educate others—including other Asians and Asian Americans—on the histories, community needs, and educational experiences of SEAAs.

We remember when a faculty member shares with us that SEAAs or a specific ethnic subgroup, such as Lao or Khmer students, is too small to focus on for scholarly inquiry. We consistently negotiate with and strategically frame our seemingly narrow work on SEAAs within our research agenda so that others may also find it important. This implicit and explicit discouragement to study SEAA populations, and even Asian American and Pacific Islander populations or communities of color, is not unique to us, but an experience shared by other women of color. Being discouraged to use theories, frameworks, epistemologies, and methodologies that are appropriate to such inquiry also contributes to our experience of SEAA scholarly inquiry being devalued.

We are heavily motivated by our families’ and our communities’ stories and histories to persist; yet at the same time, we feel burdened by the fear of our inability to honor their sacrifices if we do not succeed in the academy (Kiang, 1996; Museus, 2013). These pursuits often required and continue to require figurative and literal distance from that which motivates us to keep going—our families and communities. Therefore, the decision to continue our pursuit of the academy is nuanced, representing our simultaneous recognition of the privilege that educational opportunity provides and the reflection of the difficult navigation of the educational environments as first-generation students and students from refugee families (Covarrubias & Fryberg, 2015).

Due to these challenges, we constantly question ourselves in the academy. Some questions center on whether we truly deserve to occupy space as an emerging scholar (Clance & Imes, 1978; Craddock et al., 2011). Other questions focus on making sense of racialized and gendered experiences in everyday academic life such as being confused for other Asian and Asian American women. During particularly exceptional moments, frustration (and rage) arise from being told to pretend we are a different Asian ethnic subgroup because being SEAA was too obscure. This constant questioning is our attempt to make sense of what Gildersleeve et al. (2011) call “an incomprehensible reality” that doctoral students of color face. Such experiences left us feeling isolated, unsure, and longing for a space to be seen, heard, and supported. It is in this longing that we connected with one another. We turn now to the story of how we found each other and began the process of homemaking in academia.
Finding Each Other and Creating the Collective

Facing our individual struggles and marginalization within our programs, we longed to find community with other SEAA graduate students. The need to find community and create a space for ourselves in a way parallels how our families and communities sought to build their own neighborhoods and organizations. This was their form of resistance to the marginalization they experienced in society (Võ, 2015). On college campuses, SEAA students sought to create student-initiated retention programs as a way to build community and find their sense of belonging. These spaces not only allowed students to foster relationships with other SEAA students, but provided an opportunity for students to gain knowledge and develop skills outside of the classroom (Maldonado et al., 2005). Similarly, engaging with community organizations and student-initiated retention programs were part of our shared experiences growing up and as college students. Entering our graduate programs, we understood that finding a community would be important to us. What we did not expect was meeting each other and starting the Collective, a space that would eventually shape our graduate experience and educational trajectories.

The Collective became the student-initiated, community building, space of resistance that we were searching for. It started as a space at conferences where we would come together to share our experiences as SEAA women in academia. We were introduced to each other through mutual colleagues at various conferences. Our conversations often began like this: “One of my classmates told me about you, I heard you were interested in research on Southeast Asians.” After learning more about one another, these initial conversations would end with “Finally, someone who gets me and what I’m going through. What conference are you going to next?” As time passed, our conversations extended beyond our graduate school experiences, delving deeper into our relationships with our families, friends, and community. The Collective became our safe space where we felt seen and heard and where we could be our authentic selves. Together, we began to heal. The bond that we developed inspires the name of the collective, SEAAsters, linking together the sisterhood we built and our identities as SEAA women.

The Collective has been very meaningful to us and we desire to share this experience with other SEAA scholars. We made our formal debut as the SEAAster Scholars Collective at the 2016 NASPA Student Affairs Conference in Indianapolis, Indiana. This presentation was the first time we were able to bridge our shared experiences as SEAA graduate women and work on scholarship together. The experience sparked more conversations about how the Collective could continue to serve as a system of support for us and potentially for others. As we progressed through our programs and graduated, we continue to dedicate our capacity to engaging with others and continuing to present, produce, and publish our own scholarship as a Collective. The challenges we faced and are facing as doctoral women did not and do not stop after graduation. As such, our work as a Collective continues to evolve as we do. The Collective is a space for us to share our stories and connect with each other. Today, not only does the Collective provide that space for us, but we transformed it into a space for others. Now, we turn to sharing the epistemological influences that shape how we intentionally foster a space—a home—we have longed sought for ourselves.
Building a Home and Employing Feminist Refugee Epistemology

Using a feminist epistemological approach, we commit to advancing scholarship about, with, for, and by SEAA communities. As SEAA women, we approach this work in alignment with the growing body of knowledge theorized and produced by Black, Indigenous, and women of color scholars who seek liberation (e.g., Anzaldúa, 1993; Collins, 1990; Lorde, 1984; Martinez, 2014; Rodriguez, 2006). Indeed, it is liberation we that also seek. We envision home as a site of healing, of life, and of nourishment. It is in these spaces that we sustain our collective energies for pursuing liberation.

Moreover, we center feminist refugee epistemologies (FRE) to describe the ways we enact our politics and desires as complex persons (Espiritu & Duong, 2018; Tuck, 2009). Initially conceptualized as an alternative approach to the study of refugees, FRE reconceptualized refugee displacement to highlight social reproduction and innovation rather than focus on refugees as a site of rescue (Espiritu, 2014; Espiritu & Duong, 2018). The tenets of FRE underscore the importance of paying attention to the intersections of private grief and public commemoration, of listening to unsaid things, of relying on emotions, and of looking for the hidden political forces within the sites of intimate domestic and familial interaction (Espiritu & Duong, 2018). Though we are a generation removed from our families’ refugee experiences, we are attempting to reconceptualize and engage in life making processes of our own. Accordingly, we seek to highlight the ways we “invoke the intimate politics of everyday living” as daughters of SEAA refugees pursuing the academy (Espiritu & Duong, 2018, p. 588).

We engage in intentional life making processes together in several ways. First, we seek relationships with peers who see us and who seek us. In the act of witnessing each other’s lives, we see and seek each other’s shame, pain, fears, and tears. As daughters, we have witnessed similar emotions and vulnerabilities within our own families as they sought life and livelihood. It is this familiar and intimate relationship with these emotions and experiences of refugeeness that unite us as SEAAs in academia, as women who are committed to honoring these experiences while striving in academia. However, we are not impervious to how academia fosters competition, isolation, and alienation; similarly, these issues are not dissimilar to what our families experienced in creating a new life in the United States. Yet, it is heightened for us as we are often the lone few in our academic spaces. Nevertheless, it is our loneliness that led us to each other. Consequently, we came together to ensure that we are visible not just to each other but to academia. Our coming together, working together, and being together is a political act of survival and resistance. It mirrors the survival and resistance of our communities.

Second, we commit wholeheartedly to the political act of writing to honor voices, memories, stories, and personal agency (Bird, 1998; Martinez, 2014). Having been educated in a system that idolizes an objective “truth” and way of knowing, we are intimately aware that our subjectivities as SEAA women and daughters of refugees are rarely understood and acknowledged. Yet, we are now trained to theorize and explore how these subjectivities shape our understanding of the world. And so, we write together to commemorate our coming together as daughters of refugees committed to elevating our communities’ stories. In writing together, we put forth our stories of survival, imagination, experience, and perspectives to heal our traumas and honor our subjectivities (Martinez, 2014).
Our homemaking process has resulted in a Collective that is founded on values of collectivism and community. It has become both a physical and epistemological space that feels like home. It is an intimate space of sharing of resources and knowledge but also of sharing vulnerabilities, frustrations, and anxieties. It is also a space of celebration. It is in these moments of emotional connection that we continually solidify the foundation of our home. In our own way, in building a home for and among ourselves, we exercise individual and collective agency and empowerment. We commit to making a home in academia to ensure that we can welcome others into this space—so that we can nourish them so they can pursue a livelihood in academia. Our refugee families’ stories and our stories depend on not just building a home for ourselves but a community for others. It is in this spirit of collectivism and familial ties that we build this home for the purpose of those who will join us in resistance for liberation.

**Envisioning the Future**

We, the SEAAsterScholars, commit to advancing knowledge and understanding of the postsecondary experiences of SEAA students, staff, and faculty. This is our mission as a Collective. Our goals are to raise awareness of SEAA experiences in higher education, to increase understanding and literacy regarding the issues SEAA faces, and to ensure that this knowledge is produced by those within and from our communities.

We pursue these goals in multiple ways. First, we make ourselves visible to others within academic spaces (e.g., classrooms, conferences). We have faced both covert and overt messaging that our research interests are too narrowly focused, in which we are constantly forced to argue why it is important to consider SEAA experiences as it relates to issues of equity and justice. We intentionally seek visibility to ensure that our existence is known. We collectively commit to ensuring that SEAA experiences are included at as many conferences as possible. Since 2016, we have presented on SEAA student issues at over seven different conferences. At each conference, we have the pleasure of connecting with other SEAA individuals who are interested in this work. This is just one of the ways we grow our Collective. Second, we document our collective experiences of engaging, building, and growing this Collective via research and inquiry. We recognize that this space symbolizes our dreams and desires as complex women searching for home. It is also a site of inquiry, rich with ways of knowing, feeling, and being that speak to our humanity and collective pursuit of home. Third, we pursue grant and funding opportunities to sustain our efforts. We utilize the networks available to us to advance our collective work. For example, we have leveraged funding from our individual institutions to support our collective research. Our commitment to growing this Collective is rooted in our desire to create a space for others to feel supported to contribute perspectives rooted in our community’s knowledge.

**Reflections on Homemaking**

It is through this homemaking process that we make visible and untangle the possibilities of enacting our agency to envision an alternate way of existing collectively in higher education, whether in pursuit of the academy or not. From this process, we offer three reflections and possibilities of homemaking. First, the act of homemaking is physical, emotional, and epistemological. Building a home requires a commitment to showing up and being present in each other’s lives. It is the recognition that fostering a collective requires prioritizing the nourishment of our souls. Engaging in collaborative research serves as one such opportunity for us to work
through our own understandings of identity, community, trauma, and healing. Second, homemaking recognizes the pain we experience and the healing that must occur. We do not seek to build an idyllic home or all-encompassing structure. In fact, we recognize that “home” can also be a site of pain, trauma, and suffocation (Bui, 2017). Yet, our process of working towards the creation of a home has unearthed a foundation for understanding what it means to be children of refugees, SEAA, the only ones, women, and more. Uncovering these questions and uncertainties render them visible and therefore sites of promise. Finally, homemaking has the opportunity to extend traditional understandings of “home.” Our aim is not to replicate rigid structures and doors with the intended purpose to exclude. Instead, the process of homemaking presents opportunities to (un)weave the nourishing aspects of our community spaces and our academic journeys. This process is both ongoing and fluid, as (re)building these spaces requires constant reflection, reflexivity, and negotiation. We call for our SEAA scholar communities who seek home to make home with us in the academy.
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