Erratum: A Description of Data Citation Instructions in Style Guides

Mark Newton, Hailey Mooney, and Michael Witt
December 28, 2011

In the course of further research, the poster authors identified text from the *Chicago Manual of Style*[1] suggesting an omission had been made in the categorization of *Chicago*'s treatment of digital research data as described in the poster.

Specifically, the *Chicago Manual of Style* provides instructions to cite data from scientific databases in one of its illustrative examples on page 764 of the 16th edition:

> ***14.272 Scientific databases.*** In the sciences especially, it has become customary to cite databases by listing, at a minimum, the name of the database, a descriptive phrase or record locator (such as a data marker or accession number) indicating the part of the database being cited or explaining the nature of the reference, an access date, and a URL. In bibliographies, list under the name of the database.
>
> 1. NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (object name IRAS F00400+4059; accessed October 6, 2009), http://nedwww.ipac.caltech.edu/.
> 2. GenBank (for RP11-322N14 BAC [accession number AC017046]; accessed October 6, 2009), http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/.
>
> GenBank (for RP11-322N14 BAC [accession number AC017046]; accessed October 6, 2009). http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Genbank/.
> NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (object name IRAS F00400+4059; accessed October 6, 2009). http://nedwww.ipac.caltech.edu/.

The authors of the poster acknowledge this omission here.

---

[1] University of Chicago Press. *The Chicago Manual of Style*. 16th ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2010.