can be a time-intensive and daunting endeavor for instructors. Many librarians are finding themselves well-suited to assisting instructors with the OER curation process: helping them find, aggregate, and assess the quality of relevant materials from a broad array of sources. In “Getting Faculty into the Fight: The Battle Against High Textbook Costs,” Ann Agee and Christina Mune describe their “Textbook Alternatives Project” in which the San Jose State University Library provides faculty with administrative support and monetary incentives to adopt free and low-cost textbook alternatives like OER. The authors also created a list of “Textbooks Available as eBooks in the Library” and by promoting the list to instructors and students, they were able to achieve significant savings in a short amount of time. 

Besides commercial publishers, university bookstores are often viewed as one of the bandits in the textbook ecosystem, charging high prices to the somewhat captive audiences at the campuses they serve. Universities themselves play a role here — many schools give the bookstore the exclusive right to serve as the sole textbook provider on campus in exchange for a small portion of sales. So it is interesting to note that the university bookstore plays prominent roles in many of the initiatives described in this issue. Such is the case for David Gibbs and Jessica Bowdoin, who describe the success they have had with easing the burden of textbooks costs for students by building a strategically-focused textbook reserves program in their article “TextSelect: Purchasing Textbooks for Library Reserves.”

One reason, among many, for high-priced textbooks is the disproportionate amount of market control that lies in the hands of a few large commercial publishers — Pearson, Wiley, and McGraw Hill are the biggies in higher education. In “All Dressed Up and Nowhere to Go: The Internet2/EDU-CAUSE E-textbook Pilot Projects.” Monica Metz-Wiseman describes her adventures being involved in a national initiative that provided discounted pricing on electronic versions of textbooks from commercial publishers. Why would commercial publishers, notably unkeen on lowering prices, be willing to do this? The initiative employed a business model that required the purchase of an e-textbook for all students in participating courses, providing publishers with what they call “100% sell through.” Publishers are willing to go low on price because this model guarantees increased sales volume, effectively eliminating students from skipping, sharing, buying used, pirating, or relying on library copies.

Therein lies the biggest challenge to this approach: student loss of choice. Students today face a broken damn barrage of options for acquiring textbooks: rent or buy; print or electronic; new or used; shop online or in-person. There is some ambiguity and conflicting data about current student preferences among all these options. Generally, more students prefer print textbooks over electronic ones, but many will go with e-textbooks if they are cheap enough. By the time you read this that could be a fading solitary data point in this quickly transforming landscape. But one thing is clear: students want and need the freedom to choose the option that best meets their needs (financial, academic, and technological).

Among all those options, one that is becoming alarmingly popular is skipping the purchase of textbooks altogether, even required ones. Indeed, the concept of a “required” textbook, long crumbling, is now pretty much in rubble. This has as much to do with cost, as it does with faculty reliance on textbooks (or lack thereof). One can understand the frustration of the student who purchases an expensive textbook only to discover that the instructor rarely refers to it. RateMyProfessors.com, a source that many students consult, for better or worse, before enrolling in a course, recently added a category (“Textbook Use”) for students to rate the importance of textbooks for particular courses. Course packs, highly-customized learning materials that contain only the content relevant to the course for which they are created, are designed to help solve this problem. In “The Michigan State University Course Materials Program: Packaging Up Your Textbook Troubles with Course Packs,” Tyler Smeltzko describes the logistics and successes that can come from libraries investing in course pack creation.

If textbooks were free, of course, students would have no excuse for going without access to them, no matter how infrequently their instructors might refer to them. Open textbooks are just that — freely available online textbooks. In “Library Publishing of Open Textbooks: the Open SUNY Textbooks Program,” Kate Pitcher describes the ambitious grant-funded pilot she is leading in which SUNY faculty are incentivized to author textbooks in their area of expertise that are formally peer-reviewed, edited, and published online using Open Monograph Press.

It is worth noting that libraries are not the only ones moving in to the textbook space. An indicator that an industry is being threatened by technology-driven disruption is the emergence of many smaller entrepreneurial players developing innovative products. Such is the case with textbooks, where numerous newer firms, many with odd-sounding names, are offering up their own “new and improved” versions of the traditional textbook: Thuze, PackBack, Trunity, GingkoTree, Boundless, Flatworld, Chegg, and panOpen are a few. Many of the learning materials from these companies can really only be considered “textbooks” by the loosest of definitions as they bear little resemblance to the ten-pound bricks often lugged around today’s campuses.

Library initiatives are similarly redefining the concept of what constitutes a “textbook” with the goal of creating course materials that are not only more affordable but also “better.” In “The UCLA Libraries Affordable Course Materials Initiative: Expanding Access, Use and Affordability of Course Materials,” Sharon Farb and Todd Grappone describe their efforts to create learning materials “focused on the diversity of material used in teaching that extends well beyond any notion of a textbook alone.”

Reinvention has long been a dog-eared page in the academic library play book, and “supporting the teaching and learning needs of the campus” is one of the most underlined statements on the page. Involvement with the provision and creation of more affordable learning materials is emerging as a compelling way that librarians can reinvent themselves as partners to teaching faculty and to forge deeper ties into the curricula at their institutions. I hope the initiatives highlighted in this issue will inspire readers to fight for textbook affordability on their campuses — doing so will help us in our neverending quest to increase library relevance and demonstrate our value.