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In March, I was pleased to attend SPARC’s first North American meeting devoted to all matters open access. While the organization has hosted a series of conferences on digital repositories since 2004, this event expanded upon its predecessors to encompass the many threads of the open access movement. Three hundred librarians from around the world convened in Kansas City to hear from some of the leading voices in scholarly communication, including Heather Joseph, John Willbanks, Michael Carroll, and Caroline Sutton. I will leave it to others to provide a detailed summary of the various talks and sessions. Instead, I am using this issue’s column to present the three big picture conclusions I took away from the meeting, there is intense interest in accessibility truly angered a large number that a certain segment of the publishing ill-fated Research Works Act stirred deep antipathies within academia. The notion that a certain segment of the publishing industry would seek to roll back postprint accessibility truly angered a large number of professors, researchers, and librarians. Shortly before the SPARC 2012 Open Access Meeting, Elsevier withdrew its support of the Research Works Act. Nevertheless, a theme both on the dais and in the hallways was the need for practical action to capitalize on this emotion. One popular subject was the “Cost of Knowledge” Elsevier boycott, which has accumulated nearly 9,000 signatories as of this writing. A decade ago, the Michael Eisen/Pat Brown petition against subscription control helped kick-start the open access movement. It eventually led to the establishment of the Public Library of Science. It remains to be seen whether the Research Works Act kerfuffle will have similar consequences. Judging by the clear-eyed determination of the SPARC 2012 Open Access Meeting participants, there is intense interest in moving from the “talking” to the “doing” stage. This could certainly include a new wave of campus-based publishing initiatives, a hot topic at the meeting. It might also mean a redoubling of efforts to pass the Federal Research Public Access Act (HR 5037), a bill that would ensure free, timely, online access to the published results of research funded by eleven U.S. federal agencies. The bill, known as FRPAA, would require those agencies with annual extramural research budgets of $100 million or more to provide the public with online access to research manuscripts stemming from such funding no later than six months after publication in a peer-reviewed journal. The bill gives individual agencies flexibility in choosing the location of the digital repository to house this content, as long as the repositories meet conditions for interoperability and public accessibility, and have provisions for long-term archiving. It may well be, of course, that this anger fades into complacency; however, judging by the intensity of feeling in the City of Fountains (more than 200, according to the hotel magazine),