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Random Ramblings — The Journal Is Dead; Long Live the Article

Column Editor: Bob Holley (Professor, Library & Information Science Program, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48202; Phone: 248-547-0306; Fax: 313-577-7563) <aa3805@wayne.edu>

I may be premature in mourning the death of the journal as the basic unit for purchasing serial publications, but I believe this change is inevitable. I don’t mean that journals will completely disappear anytime soon but rather that researchers, libraries, and vendors will come to look at the individual article, disembodied from the journal that contains it, as the key component in scholarly communication.

Look at what has happened in the music industry. Music vendors used to sell albums in the physical world; they now sell songs in the digital world. Once they had the possibility to do so, buyers stopped paying for a whole album when all they wanted were the best or most popular songs on the album. While doing so has created difficulties for the concept album where the songs were intended to be played together in a specific order, consumers welcomed this change though the music industry has not yet discovered a satisfactory, new business model. To apply this analogy to journal publication, most journals have a definite focus, but readers are not equally interested in all the individual articles that are most often not linked together except by the publication scope of the journal, except in the case of a special issue on a defined narrow topic.

In the physical world, the journal arrived as a package so that the reader was tempted to look at the entire contents even if some articles, reviews, etc., were of lesser interest. Even researchers looking for an individual article still had to handle the individual issue and perhaps flip through the pages.

The digital world is quite different. The closest equivalent is looking at a complete digital issue of a journal, but even here the reader must click on each article to browse the journal’s contents rather than skimming the printed text. Except for key journals in their field, researchers are more likely first to discover the individual article in an indexing or abstracting service, posted on a blog or discussion list, or as a citation in another relevant work. In the first and most common case, the user finds a relevant citation in an indexing or abstracting service apart from the journal that contains the article. The user will be happiest if the source includes full text. If not, the second best choice is having one of the article linking services available from many different companies including OCLC, EBSCO, Serials Solutions, and others. Most researchers are particularly pleased when the link takes them to the individual article and bypasses the intermediary stop of accessing the entire journal issue since finding the individual article within the volume takes more time.

Articles also become orphaned from the journal issue when an indexing or abstracting service only selectively indexes a publication. The researcher finds individual articles within the scope of the database but does not have access to the complete contents of any issue. For example, according to Ulrichsweb, the journal that I used to edit, Resource Sharing and Information Networks, is selectively indexed by fifteen services, mostly in computer science and engineering, since only some of the articles
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The journal becomes even less important when a resource presents a collection of completely disembodied articles on a defined subject. I could find but one example, All-About-Psychology.com (http://www.all-about-psychology.com/psychology-journal-articles.html). It describes itself as: “Written and regularly updated by a lecturer in psychology, this Website was launched in March 2008 and is designed to help anybody looking for informed and detailed information on psychology.”

One vision for the future includes collections like the one above but with much greater academic respectability. One option would be for publishers to sell collections of articles in the same way that they now sell collections of eBooks. A major journal publisher might bring together all the articles on an important topic such as “biology” or “criminal justice” that would be of interest to a broad audience. I could see these collections being created for both undergraduate and master’s level research; they would have less value for advanced researchers on specialized topics. Such a collection might be of particular interest to smaller academic libraries that could not afford to subscribe to all the journals from which the articles were taken. The publisher would most likely already either own the copyright or have some clause in the contract to allow them to reuse the articles.

A second option would be for publishers to work together to create such article collections or for compilers, either on their own or as part of a vendor initiative, to select the articles in the same way that libraries have anthologies of English literature. These collections might have increased respectability if experts in the field did the selection. One issue would be obtaining the permissions, though I would hope that publishers would welcome another revenue stream.

The next step could be a complete disassociation of the article from the journal. In some ways, this model would borrow many features of open-access publishing. Some review board, perhaps monitored by a professional organization in the discipline, would evaluate articles submitted to it with the same care, including peer review, as do editors in the current system. Articles after review and editing would enter into a subject-based collection. I see two potential business models that are not mutually exclusive. The first would be to charge a fee (I would hope that it would be as low as possible) for each submitted article. The second would be for the database’s owner to sell access in the same way as the commercial publishers do. I would consider this to be a much less preferable option, unless the subscription price were kept low. The main obstacle to any such initiative would be the need for university committees to accept such publications as counting toward tenure and promotion. (As an aside, I hope a similar system appears for scholarly monographs.) As for access, sponsors might license standard database indexing software and could perhaps allow them to be indexed in Google Scholar and any similar services. Finally, the authors should have permission to include them in institutional repositories.

A final possibility for a disciplinary collection as described above would be to find some way to include less formal publications. I find myself reading more informal literature these days than formal articles. Discussion list posts, blogs, and ezines provide more current and, many times, more honest accounts of what is going on in the field. Admittedly, many of them won’t have great permanent value, but perhaps a way could be found to remove outdated content, though the ability to store cheaply vast quantities of information might make purging the collection unnecessary.

Understanding the full implications for scholarly communication of the transition from the physical to the digital world may take some time. Digital publications are bringing about new research habits that have already done much to disassociate the article from its container, the scholarly journal. The next logical step would be for the article to stand on its own as the basic unit of serial publication.

On the Road — Wearing a Russian Hat to the Revolution: Libraries, Gaming, and Learning, Oh My!
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I had come for the library gaming, but I stayed for the revolution. It was 11:30 at night in December, and I was lost in Chicago. I was also becoming overwhelmed with that panicky feeling that I had no idea of where I was or where to go next. I had flown into O’Hare a few hours before for a conference and, owing to my total lack of experience with the CTA, I had taken the wrong train (or rather stayed on the right train too long) and ended up at the end of the line somewhere on the south side of the city. I had then compounded my mistake by boldly leaving the terminal and walking out into the street to look for a cab.

I was attending (or attempting to attend) the Metropolitan Library System’s Symposium on Gaming, Learning, and Libraries in Chicago. It was 2005, and I did not know I was in the vanguard of a revolution at the time. I just knew that I had flown all alone to a big, cold city (I’m from Goose Creek, SC. You make the mental picture.) to attend a conference about my two most favorite things in the world: libraries and gaming (not necessarily in that order) in the same place. Heck, in the same room. Only I wasn’t in a room.

I was standing on a dark, deserted street, wearing a large Russian hat and gripping tightly to an oversized pull-behind suitcase. A large, black pea coat completed my fashion ensemble. To those in the fashion police, I must say in my defense that (1) I am not a student of fashion (2) I am not used to dressing for cold weather, since in coastal South Carolina no one even owns a heavy coat, much less a “winter hat,” and (3) anyway this was the first occasion I had to wear the “cool” heavy Russian fur hat with fuzzy flaps that my friend from Nevada had sent me some time ago. (For the record I had removed the metal hammer and sickle badge that had been attached to the hat.) Thus, “dressing warm” for me, though effective, had been totally ad-hoc.

I was quite warm despite the biting Chicago wind, even though I looked like a much undisguised Russian agent — or at the very least a very confused Russian citizen. Certainly, I must have appeared an excellent target of opportunity to the Pakistani cab driver. I first noticed his cab about halfway up the block in the light of the flashing neon signs that were the only illumination on the street. He had pulled up to a person who had obviously just flagged him down, and I was just starting to feel the impact of my incredible disappointment of not getting to him first. Then, in the flashing lights I actually saw his head turn toward me. I think our minds locked, because I just “knew” what he was obviously thinking at that very second: “Do I pick up the relatively poor local with little chance of any tip? Or do I go for the ‘Russian spy guy’ with the big suitcase who may be rich or at least culturally confused enough to give me a big tip at the wrong exchange rate?”

He gunned the car in my direction.

Since the guy he had left standing on the street was now waving his arms at the clear result of being “unworthy” to be picked up in lieu of me, I quickly obliged the cabbie when he said in highly accented English, “I can take you anywhere. Please get in rather quickly with your bag.”
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