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with a description of Wayne State University’s Book and Author series, a collaborative effort of the University Libraries and Wayne State University Press.

University of Tennessee Professors Benita Howell, Anthropology, Michael Lofaro, English, and Bruce Wheeler, History, spoke on “The University Press and the Academic Career.” As professors who have published with university presses, they commented on the significance of the university press to an academic career.

Linda Phillips, Head of Collection Development & Management for the University of Tennessee Libraries, moderated a panel on “Book Publishing Trends in the Digital Age.” Panelists, who presented demographics and trends in the academic disciplines, were Sara Williams, Collection Management Coordinator; Molly Royse, Humanities Coordinator; Jane Row, Social Sciences Coordinator; Ron Gilmour, Science & Technology Coordinator; and Jennifer Siler, Director, UT Press. Publishing trends addressed by the panel ranged from economic issues, including shrinking library budgets and the rising cost of materials, especially journal subscriptions; the proliferation of chain bookstores, globalization of the market, mergers among publishers, and the use of the Internet for book marketing; the rapid rate of technological change, an increasingly wide range of media types, poorer print quality, smaller print runs, and more out-of-print books; the rise of electronic publishing and the need for ways to access and preserve electronic publications; and BiblioVault, PLoS (Public Library of Science), costs associated with print-on-demand, page charges, author submissions, and electronic publishing issues related to author recognition and requirements for peer-review and tenure.

“Charting Our Vision,” a question and answer discussion with Givler, Yee, Williams, and Stephen M. Wrinn, Director of the University Press of Kentucky, focused on ways that a university can support its press. The discussion covered a wide variety of topics including fundraising, endowments, editorial and advisory boards, the “value added” by publication with a university press, “one book, one city” programs, joint university press and library events, partnerships between presses and professional societies, scholarly book reviews, quantity vs. quality in publishing, print-on-demand, electronic publishing, digitization of traditional publications (books, journals, etc.) vs. “born digital” items, and university press areas of specialization.


The second day of the symposium was a Writers Workshop, moderated by Jennifer Siler. Steve Wrinn, representing university presses, and Dan Pierce, representing authors who want to find a publisher for their dissertation, presented a session entitled “What’s the Difference: The Dissertation Becomes the Book.” Pierce told how he turned his dissertation into a book, and Wrinn detailed what presses look for in an author. Suggestions and advice that Pierce gave for future dissertation writers were to (1) select a dissertation topic that a university press would be interested in publishing; (2) attend professional meetings, make contact early with publishers, present at conferences and professional meetings, research which presses publish what subjects; (3) listen to your committee, ask their advice, enlist people in your field to read your manuscript and give feed back; (4) be patient, don’t rush your project to a press, listen to reports from your readers and the press, and keep working on your manuscript. Wrinn’s advice to new authors, from the perspective of an acquisitions editor, was to attend professional conferences and meetings, don’t submit an unreviewed dissertation, make your book readable, put it away for a time (e.g., six months) to let it get “cold” before working on it again, send a proposal rather than a manuscript, and view your editor as a friend and teammate.

“Finding a Publisher: Research and Presentation” featured Peter Givler, formerly Director of the Ohio State University Press, and Wrinn. Givler contrasted the relatively lonely activity of writing and the extremely social process of finding a publisher and marketing your book. His suggestions for finding a publisher included making a list of the publishers of books you are reading, looking at publishers’ Websites, searching the subject area guide of the AUP membership directory, attending professional conferences and making personal contacts, and meeting with editors. Wrinn provided information about submitting a book proposal and book contracts. Copyright issues, subsidiary rights, translation rights, and the influence of reviews of your book were also covered in the discussion.

The final session, “The Author’s Role in the Publication Process,” led by Tom Post, UT Press publicity and Promotions Manager, and Cheryl Carson, Marketing Manager, presented keys to successful marketing. Among the topics discussed were book contracts, the book summary and marketing questionnaire, advertising, book signings, appearances at scholarly meetings, book reviews, and prizes and awards.

Suggestions for Further Reading:


Endnotes

Back Talk — Quick Visit to Libraries in Singapore

Column Editor: Anthony (Tony) W. Ferguson (Library Director, University of Hong Kong; Phone: 852 2859 2200; Fax: 852 2858 9420) <ferguson@hkuec.hku.hk>

Last week I had the opportunity to visit libraries in Singapore. The occasion was an invitation to talk about eBooks east and west before the Library Association of Singapore. To gain a better understanding of their libraries, I decided to employ a technique I had used before in Taiwan in 1975-6 and more widely in China in 1979: ask library leaders “what are the three major challenges facing your library?” In Taiwan the five most frequently mentioned problems were:

1. Lack of trained librarians
2. Lack of job openings for professional librarians
3. Inadequate book collections
4. Overabundance of departmental libraries
5. Lack of trained leadership

While I have not completed a thorough follow-up visit to Taiwan’s academic libraries in the intervening nearly 30 years, a visit to several leading libraries last year and other years suggests to me that perhaps all of these problems have been admirably overcome — although I am not sure about the situation related to departmental libraries.


Based upon visits to scores of libraries in China over the last ten or so years, most of these earlier problems have been eradicated completely. Indeed, libraries there are experiencing a boom in collecting, library buildings, and many libraries are full of bright, young, energetic and professionally trained librarians.

So, what would asking the “major challenges” question produce in Singapore? Let me begin by saying that the scale of my visit was quite modest. I visited two universities and two public libraries but also talked to a number of librarians separate from a library visit. Yet, I found the answers interesting and familiar — not only to what I had learned during my earlier two East Asian visits, but also to my own experiences in libraries both here in Hong Kong and earlier in America.

At both of the two university libraries, the issues were the need for more funds, staffing, and space. Singapore, like many parts of Asia, has had to control limit its support for education and libraries. Like in Hong Kong, the enormous growth in library funding in the last decade of the 20th Century produced sufficient reserves that a reduction in spending did not produce immediate slashes in collecting and services but gradually these reductions are making their presence felt. More electronic resources and higher prices produce problems that cannot be easily overcome.

The staff related issues were interesting and also familiar. At one university there is a push for professional staff to improve their professional/academic skills but not at the expense of being able to provide their customers needed services. At another university, the issue was being asked to allocate staff to help manage other libraries — but without any additional funds for additional staffing. The librarians in Singapore seemed to be under greater pressure than before to work both harder and smarter. The two public libraries visited also had interesting staffing issues. Public libraries there, like in other parts of the world, are constantly involved in reaching out to their communities to better understand their needs, finding innovative ways of meeting those needs, and telling the community about their services. On the other hand, doing these things compete with daily jobs like circulating books, keeping order in the library, etc. One public library supervisor told me that it was easy to get the staff to carry out special projects, but more difficult to get them to think out of the box about how to improve everyday services.

The university libraries space problems were nothing new or different from those faced by librarians the world over. It is interesting how we can complain about not having enough money to buy more content, and not having enough space to house the insufficient content we do acquire. However, no matter how questionable this practice is, it is the case. The university libraries I visited in Singapore were handling their own needs for space without any plans to share a remote storage facility. This is in contrast to Hong Kong’s eight university libraries which are about to request government funding for a shared facility.

The major problems at the two public libraries I visited are only too familiar to their colleagues in other parts of the world. At a busy district library, housed in a very attractive separate three-story building, the problems mentioned included dealing with the noise produced by hoards of students who invade the library as soon as school is out, keeping things in order, and trying to balance meeting the needs of young and old. The older patrons like the relative quiet that exists up to the time when school is out. The young people have a floor to themselves where music of their choosing is broadcasted, where they can lounge about on bean bag chairs, and even stage performances. On the lower floors the small children and adults compete for space but in their own separate areas. To keep the books in order Singapore’s public library employ high and low technology. For the former, they use RFID tags and conduct regular inventories quickly and efficiently. Low tech-wise, however, involves putting different colors of thin tape horizontally across the spines of the books in different sections of the stacks so that books that don’t belong in that area can be easily spotted and moved to the right neighborhood.

The problem of building collections and providing services for different kinds of patrons was mentioned at both the district library and at a specialized arts public library. In the latter case the need for popular new movies and music differed from those of the serious arts community. At the arts library scattered about the library were self contained shelf mounted boxes with movies or other artistic productions playing to entice the browser to check that item out. In the dance library a ballet was being viewed on a wall. Yet, this library also needed to appeal to the fiction reading, movie borrowing generalist to survive. Enabling staff to be sufficiently skilled to support both groups was one of the major challenges facing this library.
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