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Questions & Answers
from page 63

Guidelines that apply to the recording, retention and use of recorded television programs. Do these guidelines apply to cable and satellite television programs as well? The Audiovisual Department wants to record cable and satellite programs as a way to get around purchasing the programs. Is this permitted?

**ANSWER:** The 1982 negotiated Videotaping Guidelines apply only to broadcast television for programs that are broadcast over the open airwaves. They apply only to nonprofit educational institutions and are very restrictive regarding how long programs may be retained and used. The guidelines do not cover satellite and cable. The reason is that satellite and cable are not broadcast; instead, they are transmitted.

**QUESTION:** A school issues tablet computers to all students and wants to use the tablets to reduce the weight of backpacks for students by providing digital copies of their textbooks so they can use the digitized copy of the book at school and leave the hard copy of the book at home. An added advantage is the note-taking features of the tablet PC. Since it does not harm the publisher, is it infringement to digitize textbooks in PDF format for students if one copy of the printed textbook is purchased for each student? Or does the TEACH Act permit this activity?

**ANSWER:** Sometimes when thinking about digitizing works, it is useful to analogize it to photocopying. Suppose that the school purchased one print copy of all the textbooks it uses for each student. It decides that students should have a copy of each textbook at home as well as at school, so photocopies each textbook and provides a photocopy to each student so that each he or she has both a purchased copy and a photocopy. Is this infringement? Certainly. The publisher lost a sale for each of the photocopies made. Moreover, there is no exception that permits reproduction of entire works for students.

Publishers are unlikely to give permission for a school to photocopy textbooks when published copies are available. The same is true for digitizing textbooks without permission of the copyright owner. The school needs permission to digitize the textbooks even if there is no digitized version available. Digitizing does harm publishers since they may want to market the digitized version separately, and copyright protects potential markets as well as actual ones.

The TEACH Act applies to works that are performed (such as music, movies, etc.) and those that are displayed (charts, photos, etc.) It does not generally apply to the reproduction of works except that it does allow a school to digitize a portion of a movie if no digital version is available and a page or two from a textbook for display, but not an entire textbook.

**QUESTION:** The library is considering a project that involves several stages: (1) scanning lists of illustrations contained in selected art books, (2) scanning the actual images themselves, (3) mounting the scanned images on a publicly available Website, (4) arranging the images so they can be retrieved via the scanned lists of images, and (5) creating a link from the catalog record for the book to the scanned illustration list. The purpose of this project is help students and faculty determine from the library catalog what illustrations are contained in a particular book and then to provide access to those images. Typically, catalog records do not provide this information end capability. The scanning approach is favored because it would require less labor than laying the list into some sort of contents note in the catalog record.

**ANSWER:** Scanning the list of illustrations and including the list in the catalog record is likely to be fair use since it is factual and in the nature of a finding tool. Scanning the actual images, however, goes beyond what is permitted under the law. The case *Kelly v. ArribaSoft, 280 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2002)*, permitted the use of thumbnail images by a visual search engine, and it is possible that a library’s use of thumbnail images to direct users of the catalog to lawfully posted digital images. Scanning the images from the art books is not likely to be considered lawful reproduction. If, however, the library has licensed access to digital images, the finding tool the library creates from the list of illustrations likely would
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Doctoral candidate Ian Flapp announced in this month’s *Journal of Transitional Anachronisms* his discovery of a heretofore unknown play by the 18th century artist, barrister, and amateur physician Lord Wendell Foresail. Flapp found the scraps while searching the as-yet-uncataloged Prickly deposit at the Stanton-on-Ho Archives. Though the doctoral candidate plans to publish the play shortly as part of his larger thesis, he must first piece it together from chunks large and small.

Lord Foresail, you’ll remember, is widely known for his paintings of lingonberries, often found in the less desirable Brighton Beach hotels, and his written opinion on *Hexford v. Pollyfroth* establishing the right of landowners to dig holes wherever they like. As a physician, Foresail was less successful, though no less enthusiastic. In his day, many impoverished folk from Stanton-on-Ho climbed to the middle class by suing Lord Foresail for the untimely death of a loved one. That this Renaissance man also tried his hand at the stage comes as a surprise even to his biographers.

Flapp has transcribed several scraps to satisfy the public’s hunger. The play, apparently a comedy, takes place in the crumbling manor house of one Frieddy Dunder, a layabout whose fortune has been wasted on the usual temptations. It is called “Shall I Sit?”

This scene takes place in Dunder’s library and includes his long-suffering fiancée, Hilliard or “Hilly.”

**H:** Won’t you come to Mother’s for tea, darling?

**F:** I wish I could, my sweet, but I’m scheduled for a thorough lashing this afternoon. If I have to choose...

**H:** Oh, you’re horrible. You could make her so happy with so little effort. To say nothing of my happiness which seems to interest you not a bit.

**F:** You’re wrong, my sweet. It does interest me a bit. To see you upset nearly flusters me. But what can I do about it, you see? If I were to sacrifice my afternoon, well, it would only raise your expectations unreasonably, putting off the inevitable disappointment. I just can’t do that to you. I can’t. I’m far too fond of those frocks of yours, the way your coat threatens to collapse when you laugh, the affectionate frown you don when I enter the room. No, I can’t stand you up for that sort of dashing.

Flapp suspects that “Shall I Sit?” was never produced, beyond perhaps a private garden party showing. “I’m still putting the pieces together, so I can’t be sure. But the play seems to be quite pointless. It’s just a collection of scenes, really. Nothing holding it together.

Lord Foresail, you know, had a problem with focus. He often slept in court then blurted out decisions that had little to do with the case before him. From what I hear, that was real theater. Worth the price of admission.”
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