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ATG Special Report — How to Beat the Serials Crisis

by Helle Lauridsen (Head of Serials Services, State and University Library, Aarhus, Denmark) <hla@statsbiblioteket.dk>

There are a few rock solid facts in a serials librarian’s life: journals increase with a considerable percentage every year; there are more journals out there than ever — due to the pressure to publish; and for most of us, our yearly budgets are decreasing more often than increasing.

The State and University Library was founded by law in 1897 as the second Danish Legal Deposit Library, Centre for the Danish Public Libraries and the University Library for Aarhus University. With so many diverse tasks on our plates, it is no surprise that our stacks — reaching 17 floors above the University and for miles of subterranean rabbit Warrens below — are filled to bursting. New stacks are being built, but also these have a very definite number of shelves, all reserved for the Danish Legal Deposit material.

The hard facts of budgeting and storage problems made it high time to take a very close look at the library’s strategy as stated in our contract with the Danish government, which is to strengthen our digital procurement of text, pictures, and sound on the Internet. Roughly translated: Go online if at all possible!

I took the word “online” a little bit further and decided to take a closer look e-only. Not just by canceling present print journals, but — I am sad to say — discarding older non-Danish print journals. This took quite some consideration from the entire management, as we are fully aware of the archival problems in online publishing. But, as the pressure was on to save money, save space, and still provide even more information to our students and faculty, we decided that the Danish print collection was the library’s primary preservation obligation — NOT the journals printed outside Denmark.

The decision was clear:

- First to cancel subscriptions to all unused print titles as well as all print journals having a reliable e-access.
- Later to go through our entire print collection and discard all journals with secure e-access and archiving.

This was a daunting task and would not have been possible just a few years earlier.

First I needed to weed out the print subscription of all e-journals with a license including perpetual access, which is all our “Big Deal” licenses, as well as a few more giving a total of 5,712 “secure” online titles. This list had to be checked against our total list of subscribed journals (3,872 titles); the total overlap was 1,165 titles representing a value of almost 900,000 USD. As all of these journals are part of Big Deal packages and the online editions still have to be paid, the actual savings after cancellation is about 10 percent.

This left just over 2,700 print titles, including titles where the electronic access is less secure and print has to be maintained.

I then started looking at the usage of these current subscriptions. Because our stacks are closed, all journal articles must be photocopied by a member of staff and handed to the user, and a new automated system keeps track of orders. Thus it is possible to extract comprehensive usage stats giving number of copies per journal title, current as well as cancelled. This “print copy” statistics list has altogether 3,496 titles from which we concluded on page 88.

Something To Think About?
from page 86

words would be welcome, to say how vendors and librarians and publishers can work together better. Perhaps those words have been trashed earlier and can now be reclaimed into the present day effectiveness of our work. I will explore and get back to you with some different slants on our everyday tasks. If you have some ideas, don’t delete — just post them to me and I will study them further. Thanks.
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When the actual cancellations of journals were done, we started looking at our older holdings, as the second part of my project was to take a little pressure off our stacks. We started out with the titles in JSTOR, as we deemed it the safest archive of all them. This time I matched the complete JSTOR package against our entire holdings—just not the current. As you can imagine, these lists were huge and it did take more than a few minutes to compute the overlap.

I think throwing perfectly good journals out is painful and extremely worrisome for all involved, so we contacted JSTOR to ask if they had hard copies of the titles we wanted to discard. We got a list back with quite a few titles that they did not have, which we subsequently parked and shipped to Ann Arbor, discarding the rest.

All these savings meant that we actually had a little air in our budget and therefore were able to buy more electronic journals, journal backfiles, and databases. It was important to me to investigate how the individual backfile packages compared to our current holdings. Would we cover weak areas, lighten the load on our photocopy center? For this I had several tools: as a subscriber to Serials Solutions I used their Overlap Analysis tool for quick results as well as Ulrich’s for more precise answers.

I could also have made an Excel macro to compare the different titles and holdings, but since the large data load took up my PC for long periods of time, I decided not to; also the added benefit of Ulrich’s subject data cases the decision process.

Our current project is to examine our databases. Do we need new ones? What are they indexing? Many bibliographic databases have coverage resembling each other and it can be rather difficult to see what the actual difference is, but by loading the coverage for each database and comparing them, it is quite easy to see not only which journals they index and where they overlap, but also to see within which subjects the strengths and weaknesses are.

ATG Special Report — A Pennsylvania Library Collaborative Celebrates its Past and Plans for its Future

A Forty-Year Commitment to People, Service and Collection Development

by Doug Cook, Steve McKinzie, and the ACLCP 40th Anniversary Planning Committee

Organizations come and go it seems, more quickly than we can bookmark them on our Web browsers. However, one library organization, the Associated College Libraries of Central Pennsylvania (ACLCP), has withstood the test of time. ACLCP is celebrating its 40th Anniversary. It is an organization with both a rich history and the promise of a robust future—a consortia with a shared vision of service, people and collection development.
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