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ABSTRACT
This study presents a new idea for an empirical method to calculate the bypass leakage mass flow rate along the tip seal in scroll compressors. A bypass leakage test model was precisely developed to be compatible with a practical scroll compressor with large cooling capacity. Detailed tests of pressure decay in a pressurized vessel due to the bypass leakages were conducted with dry refrigerant gas R410A. The measured pressure decay characteristics were subsequently simulated using the Darcy-Weisbach equation with an empirical friction factor determined in our previous study for the leakage flow through axial clearances. In the present simulations of the measured pressure decay, the complicated flow patterns through bypass clearances were decomposed into two thin representative rectangular cross-section leakage passes, one with the effective mean width and another with the effective mean length. Empirical values of the equivalent pass width and length were determined so that the measured pressure decays are well predicted by the calculations. As a result, the bypass leakage flow rate along the tip seal in scroll compressors can be calculated by a very simple scheme introducing the equivalent pass width of one representative leakage pass with a thin rectangular cross-section.

1. INTRODUCTION
In recent years, scroll compressors have become an increasingly popular choice in many fields, because of their low vibration, low noise and high efficiency. Continued performance enhancement is desired, with improvement in volumetric efficiency as one of the key factors. Major factors affecting the volumetric efficiency are the tangential and radial leakages through the passages between the orbiting and fixed scrolls, especially for small cooling capacity scroll compressors. These leakage flows through the small axial and radial clearances between the orbiting and fixed scrolls have been studied extensively by Ishii et al. (1996, 2011) and Oku et al. (2005). The pressure decay in the pressurized vessel due to each leakage through the axial and radial clearances was measured using a maximum pressure of 3 MPa for CO2 and 0.6 MPa for R22, and the Darcy-Weisbach equation for incompressible, viscous fluid flow through the thin rectangular cross-section was applied to calculate the leakage mass flow rate, thus simulating the pressure decay characteristics, where the empirical friction factors are determined and plotted on a Moody diagram. As a result, it has been clearly demonstrated that the empirical friction factors for both axial and radial clearance leakage flows take on essentially the same value for both CO2 and R22, despite the significantly different working pressures.

For a low pressure type scroll compressor with large cooling capacity, in contrast to the small capacity compressors, the axial clearance of the scroll compressor becomes relatively large and hence a large leakage loss due to the radial flow through the axial clearance occurs if designed with no countermeasure. In order to eliminate reduce the impact
of this leakage on the volumetric efficiency, tip seals are generally inserted into a tangential slot machined along the end of the scroll wrap. With the installation of tip seals, the radial leakage flow through the relatively large axial clearance can be reduced to a minimum level, but another new leakage flow occurs. It is the so-called “bypass leakage.” Bypass leakages appear along the tip seal and has such complicated flow patterns that even the leakage characteristics seems not to be well studied, let alone formulating a method for calculating the bypass leakage mass flow rate (see Youn et al., 2000). The main purpose of this study is to present a new and very simple empirical scheme for calculating the mass flow rate caused by bypass leakages.

In the present study, a new idea is proposed to represent the complicated bypass leakage by a very simple flow model of parallel passages with rectangular cross-section. The complicated flow patterns through bypass clearances are classified into two representative flows through rectangular thin cross-section passes, one with the equivalent width for the radial leakage along the tip seal and the other with the effective mean length for the tangential leakage over the scroll wrap in front of the tip seal. Empirical values of the effective pass mean width and length are so determined such that the measured pressure decays are accurately simulated with calculations. Secondly, a bypass leakage test model is processed precisely, compatible with large-power scroll compressors of about 20 kW class. Two steps of pressure decay tests were conducted in detail, with dry refrigerant gas R410A. The first step was to determine the effective mean length of the rectangular cross-section passage and the second step was to determine the effective mean width. The measured time-dependent curves of pressure decay are simulated by applying the Darcy-Weisbach equation for incompressible and viscous fluid flow, where the empirical friction factor determined in our previous study (Oku et al., 2005) for the leakage flow of dry CO2 and R22 gas through the axial and radial clearances was applied. Finally, a careful examination of the level of contribution of each representative leakage pass to the resultant leakage was undertaken. Ultimately, the complicated bypass leakage flow can be represented by a single simple leakage flow through a rectangular cross-section passage with an effective mean width empirically determined from the tests presented in this paper.

![Diagram of bypass leakage flows](image)

**Figure 1** Configuration of bypass leakage flows:
(a) A-A’ cross-sectional view for installation of tip seal into slot on scroll wrap; (b) Top view for bypass leakage flow patterns; (c) U-U’ cross-sectional view for in-flow from high pressure region to tip-seal slot; (d) D-D’ cross-sectional view for out-flow from tip-seal slot to low pressure region.
2. EQUIVALENT LEAKAGE FLOW MODEL WITH PARALLEL PASSAGES WITH RECTANGULAR CROSS-SECTION

2.1 Basic Configuration of Bypass Leakage Flows

The configuration of general installation of the tip seals into a rectangular slot machined on the top of the scroll wrap is shown in Figure 1(a). The right hand side faces a high pressure region and the left hand side faces a low pressure region. The tip seals are pressed against the left hand side wall of the slot and upward onto the orbiting thrust plate, caused by the hydrodynamic effects. However, leakage clearances \( \delta_r \) and \( \delta_a \) still remain behind and above the tip seal, respectively. In the present study, however, these leakages through these clearances are assumed to be negligible when compared with the other leakages. The major bypass leakages occur through the clearance \( \delta_r \) (leakage region “A”) over the front scroll wrap, with the length \( L_1 \), the clearance \( \delta_t \) (leakage region “B”) in front of the tip seal, with the length \( L_2 \) and the clearance \( \delta_s \) (leakage region “C”) with the width \( W_s \). A top view of the bypass leakage flow patterns is illustrated in Figure 1(b). The flow in the region “A” above the scroll wrap consists of radial and tangential flows. The radial flow (labelled ①) from the high pressure region changes its direction downward in front of the tip seal and flows into the region “B” (labelled ②) and then into the slot under the tip seal; furthermore, the flow changes its direction again in the tangential direction along the tip seal slot indicated in region “C” (labelled ③), as shown in Figure 1(c). The out flow (labelled ③ to ⑤) from the tip seal slot into the low pressure region is the opposite of the in-flow (labelled ① to ③), as explained above, and shown in Figure 1(d). The tangential flow in the region “A” (labelled ③’) directly flows from the high pressure region into the low pressure region.

2.2 Equivalent Parallel Rectangular-Cross-Section Passages

The in- and out-flows, labelled ① to ⑤, are classified as a leakage flow Model-1, which can be represented as a leakage flow through a simple thin rectangular cross-sectional passage with the effective mean width and an equivalent length. First, the fundamental idea for the effective mean width is presented in Figure 2, in which the radial in-flow velocity distribution along the tangential direction over the scroll wrap is illustrated. The high pressure region between the outer scroll \( S_o \) and the inner scroll \( S_i \) forms a wedge, and hence the refrigerant gas is concentrated into the tip of the wedge and then flows out in the radial direction over the outer scroll, thus exhibiting an increase in radial flow velocity as it approaches the contact point of the outer and inner scrolls. This kind of flow may be analyzed with FEM computer calculations, but such a time-consuming method is seldom effective in practical calculations of the volumetric efficiency of scroll compressors under a variety of various operating conditions. A very simple scheme to roughly evaluate this leakage flow, which in turn can afford an accurate calculation of the leakage mass flow rate, is absolutely needed. For this purpose, the concept of “effective mean width”, represented by \( w \), is introduced, in which the flow velocity is assumed constant. It is suggested here that the effective mean width naturally depends upon the pressure difference between the high and low pressure regions and its empirical value can be easily determined by leakage tests.

With introduction of the effective mean width \( w \), the in- and out-flows, labelled ① to ⑤, can be represented by a very simple step-like passage with the same effective mean width for all the flow steps, as shown in Figure 3, where the clearance and length of each passage is \( \delta_t \) and \( L_1 \) for the flows ① and ⑤, while \( \delta_r \) and \( L_2 \) are for the flows ② and ④. Here, the Darcy-Weisbach equation is applied to each flow labelled ①, ②, ④ and ⑤:

![Figure 2 Effective mean width for radial flow over outer scroll (scroll wrap, labelled So) and its representative value for non-dimensional form.](image)
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\[
\frac{P_{ll} - P_{l}}{\rho g} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_1}{2\delta_a} \frac{u_{m1}^2}{2g},
\]
(1)

\[
\frac{P_{l2} - P_{l}}{\rho g} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_1}{2\delta_a} \frac{u_{m2}^2}{2g},
\]
(2)

\[
\frac{P_{45} - P_{l}}{\rho g} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_1}{2\delta_a} \frac{u_{m4}^2}{2g},
\]
(3)

\[
\frac{P_{5} - P_{l}}{\rho g} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_1}{2\delta_a} \frac{u_{m5}^2}{2g},
\]
(4)

where the pressure loss for the flow labelled ③ is disregarded since the clearance height \(\delta_s\) is generally far larger than \(\delta_a\) and \(\delta_t\). The continuity equations are given by

\[
u_m \delta_a w = u_m \delta_s w = u_m \delta_t w = u_m \delta_a w.
\]
(5)

The summation of each side of the Darcy-Weisbach equations (1) to (4), with consideration of the continuity equation (5), results in the following simple form:

\[
\frac{P_{ll} - P_{l}}{\rho g} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{L_1}{2\delta_a} \frac{u_{m1}^2}{2g},
\]
(6)

where

\[
l_1 = 2L_1 + 2L_2 \left( \frac{\delta_a}{\delta_s} \right).
\]
(7)

Equation (6) represents the reduction of the step-like passage, shown in Figure 3, to the simplest rectangular cross-section passage, as shown by the leakage Model-1 in Figure 4. The clearance height is \(\delta_a\) and the equivalent length are \(l_1\), is defined by Equation (7).

One additional leakage exists in addition to this leakage Model-1. This additional leakage is the tangential flow over the scroll wrap, labelled ③, as shown in Figures 1(c) and (d). This tangential flow through the minimal rectangular cross-section with the clearance height \(\delta_a\) and the width \(W_s\) can be simply represented by a flow through the rectangular cross-section passage with the effective mean length \(l_2\), as shown by the leakage Model-2 in Figure 4. It is suggested that the empirical value of the effective mean length \(l_2\) can be easily determined by leakage tests. The Darcy-Weisbach equation for this leakage Model-2 is given by

\[
\frac{P_{ll} - P_{l}}{\rho g} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{l_2}{2\delta_a} \frac{u_{m2}^2}{2g}.
\]
(8)

3. LEAKAGE TESTS AND DETERMINATION OF EFFECTIVE MEAN LENGTH AND WIDTH OF PARALLEL RECTANGULAR CROSS-SECTION PASSAGES

3.1 Leakage Test Set-Up

Photos of the present leakage test set-up are shown in Figure 5, in which the most important plate \(S_o\) is sandwiched between the upper and lower plates. The high pressure region of \(P_l\) on the left is connected to the pressurized tank with a volume of 860 cm³ and the low pressure region of \(P_s\) on the right to a refrigerant recovery tank with
atmospheric pressure, through a release valve. The linear tip seal slot is precisely machined on the base plate So, as shown in the B-B’ cross-section shown right-most in Figure 5. The width $W_s$ is 2.0 mm and the height is 2.27 mm on the left side and 0.3 mm ($\delta_s$) on the right side, on which the tip seal plate with the height of 2.1 mm ($L_2$) is mounted so that the axial clearance height $\delta_a$ of 0.130 mm is achieved over the left side of the slot. The radial clearance of 0.1 mm is kept between the slot on the left side and the tip seal plate side. The outer scroll So has a horizontal length of 70 mm, while the inner scroll Si with the same length, is shaded in pink, and has a radius $R$ of 30 mm.

The refrigerant gas R410A in the high pressure region with $P_H$ flows through the axial clearance over the left side of the slot $S_o$, labelled ①, and flows downward in front of the tip seal plate left side, labelled ②, and flows tangentially along the tip seal slot, labelled with ③, and then in the opposite direction toward the low pressure region with $P_S$. The major specifications for the present leakage tests are listed in Table 1. The equivalent passage length $l_1$ of Model-1 is 7.56 mm, calculated from the defining Equation (7).

### Table 1 Major specifications for bypass leakage tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equivalent passage length $l_1$ [mm]</th>
<th>7.56</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pass length $L_1$ [mm]</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pass length $L_2$ [mm]</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High pressure $P_H$ [MPa]</td>
<td>0.4 – 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low pressure $P_S$ [MPa]</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner scroll radius $R$ [mm]</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot width $W_s$ [mm]</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Width $W_{si}$ [mm]</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial clearance $\delta_{a}$ [\mu m]</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radial clearance $\delta_{rt}$ [\mu m]</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot height $\delta_s$ [\mu m]</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2 Pressure Decay Test Results and Determination of Effective Mean Width for Leakage Mode-1 and Effective Mean Length for Leakage Mode-2

The test itself is very simple and easy to conduct. Initially, the release valve is closed to pull the air from the inside of the whole system with a vacuum pump and then the system is charged with refrigerant gas R410A. The release valve is opened as quickly as possible and the pressure decay in the pressurized tank, due to gas leakage, is measured until the pressure in the high pressure tank decreases to atmospheric pressure. Careful attention was paid to seal perfectly the contact surfaces between the parts, with a fluid gasket, so that the bypass passages are not sealed.

The initial high pressure in the tank, $P_H$, was increased from 0.4 MPa to 1.2 MPa in steps of 0.1 MPa, relative to the atmospheric low pressure $P_S$ of 0.1 MPa. Thus, the pressure difference $\Delta p$ changed from 0.3 to 1.1 MPa. Test results of the pressure decay due to bypass leakage, detected with a pressure transducer (JTEKT, PMS-5M), are shown by a solid line (black) in Figure 6. Figure 6(a) represents the pressure decay due to the resultant leakage for Models -1&2, while Figure 6(b) represents the pressure decay due to a leakage only for Model-2, where the leakage passages for Model-1 were completely and carefully sealed. The leakage cross-section area for Model-2 is very small compared...
with that for Model-1 and, hence, the pressure decay shown in Figure 6(b) takes far more time the pressure decay shown in Figure 6(a) for Models-1&2.

The empirical friction factor $\lambda$ in the Darcy-Weisbach equation was empirically determined by Ishii, et al. (1996, 2011) and Oku et al., (2005). It can be represented by a Nikuradse-style formula

$$\lambda = 0.0032 + 1.5\Re^{-0.35},$$

where the Reynolds number is $\Re \equiv 2\delta_a\mu u_m/\mu$, (9)

which is a result for the refrigerant R22 and is shown on the Moody diagram in Figure 7. With the introduction of this empirical friction factor into Equations (6) and (8), the leakage flow velocity $u_{m1}$ and $u_{m2}$ can be calculated and thus the mass flow rate $\dot{m}$ can be calculated by

$$\dot{m} = \rho \delta_a w u_{m1} \quad \text{for Model - 1}$$

or

$$\rho \delta_a W u_{m2} \quad \text{for Model - 2}$$

(10)

where the density $\rho$ can be calculated by

$$\rho = \rho_0 \left(P/P_0\right)^{1/n}.$$  

(11)

The initial pressure and density are represented by $P_0$ and $\rho_0$, respectively. The residual refrigerant mass in the high pressure chamber, $G$, can be calculated by subtracting the total leakage mass from the initial refrigerant mass $G_0$:

$$G = G_0 - \int \dot{m} dt$$

(12)

Finally, assuming a polytropic process with exponent $n$, the pressure decay $\Delta P$ in the high pressure chamber over a small time $\Delta t$ can be calculated:
Calculated results for the pressure decay are shown by a dashed line (red) in Figure 6. During the initial time step, the pressure decay for Model-2, shown in Figure 6(b), was theoretically simulated so that the theoretical curve predicts well the measured pressure decay in the time region immediately after the measurement started, where the effective passage length $l_2$ was determined first. Similar simulations were conducted for all measured pressure decays and the determined empirical data of $l_2$ is plotted over the pressure difference $\Delta P$, as shown in Figure 8, exhibiting a linear increase from about 3 mm to about 8 mm, with increasing initial pressure difference $\Delta P$ from 0.1 to 1.1 MPa. Adopting this empirical value for $l_2$ in the next step, the pressure decay for Model-1&2, shown in Figure 6(a) was theoretically simulated so that the theoretical curve again predicted the measured pressure decay over the time increment immediately after the measurement started, where the effective passage width $w$ was determined. The empirical data of $w$ is plotted over the pressure difference $\Delta P$, as shown in Figure 8, exhibiting a quadratic-like decrease from about 22 to about 8 mm, with increasing initial pressure difference $\Delta P$.

4. CONFIRMATION OF EMPIRICAL CALCULATION METHOD FOR ITS VALIDITY

In the theoretical simulations shown in Figure 6(a), the effective mean width $w$ and length $l_2$ were kept at a value determined from the data at the time immediately after the measurement started, for the whole time duration over which the measurements were made. For this reason, it is suggested, the theoretically simulated curves exhibit substantial differences from the measured ones, which increases as time passes.

As a result, the theoretical calculations were conducted again for the whole time duration, adopting the empirical data of $w$ and $l_2$, shown in Figure 8, depending upon the pressure $P$. Recalculation results are all shown by a dashed line (red) again in Figure 9, where the friction factor $\lambda$ was adjusted slightly to provide a best fit curve, given by

$$\lambda = 0.0032 + 1.5 \times R^{0.36},$$

(14)

which is shown by a red solid line in Figure 7, for comparison with the previous result for the refrigerant R22 and for the radial clearance $\delta$ of 10 μm. The present empirical result is for the refrigerant R410A and the clearance height of 130 μm which is more than 10 times larger than that for the previous tests. In spite of different refrigerants and different clearances, the empirical friction factor does not change much at all.
The calculated results shown by the red dashed lines are in a close agreement with the test results (solid black lines) for the measured time duration. This drastic improvement from the comparison shown in Figure 6(a) confirms the validity of the present idea of representing the complicated bypass leakage flows by the very simplified flows through the parallel rectangular cross-section passages.

In addition, the effective mean width \( w \) is normalized by the representative tangential length \( w_0 \), presented in Figure 3, in which special attention is paid to the distance \( \delta H \) between the outer and inner scrolls, increasing with distance from the contact point. The representative mean length \( w_0 \) is the horizontal (tangential) length from the contact point where the clearance height \( \delta H \) becomes equal to the outer scroll thickness \( L_1 \), which takes on a value of 22.0 mm for the present test setup. Thus, a normalized quantity, the so-called “reduced mean width \( w' \),” is shown on the right hand scale in Figure 8. The reduced mean width \( w' (= w / w_0) \) is close to 1.0 when the pressure difference is small, and gradually decreases to about 0.4 at the maximum pressure difference of 1.1 MPa. This result indicate that the present selection of the representative mean width \( w_0 \) was physically correct. It is suggested that the empirical result of reduced effective mean width, presented in Figure 8, can be applied to all cases with different radii for the outer and inner scrolls, in calculations of bypass leakages in scroll compressors.

![Figure 10 Empirical data of leakage flow velocity \( u_{m1} \) and mass flow rate \( \dot{m} \) vs. pressure difference \( \Delta p \).](image)

5. LEAKAGE MASS FLOW RATE AND DOMINANT LEAKAGE FLOW MODEL

In the process of the pressure decay simulations, the leakage flow velocity \( u_{m1} \) and mass flow rate \( \dot{m} \) have been calculated, as shown in Figure 10, over the pressure difference \( \Delta p \). All leakage velocity data, except for the single value at \( \Delta p = 1.1 \), are lower than the sound velocity for R410A, shown by the dashed line in Figure 10(a). For this reason the working fluid of refrigerant gas can be treated as incompressible, for the leakage flow through very thin passages. Only one calculated flow velocity data point at \( \Delta p = 1.1 \) exceeded the sound velocity. In this case, the choking flow through the passage would force the velocity to be the speed of sound at \( \Delta p = 1.1 \).

All data of the mass flow rate \( \dot{m} \) align well along the solid and dashed lines, respectively, as shown in Figure 10(b). The upper solid line is for the leakage by Model-1&2, and the lower dashed line is for Mode-2 which is about 5%
relative to that for Model-1&2. The leakage by Model-1 is far dominant. From this result, one may disregard the leakage effect for Model-2, in simulation of bypass leakage for determining the volumetric efficiency of scroll compressors, if 5% error is permitted.

6. CONCLUSIONS

In the present study, the hypothesized treatment of complicated bypass leakage in scroll compressors using a very simple flow model was validated. In the flow model parallel rectangular cross-section passages, classified into Model-1 with the effective mean width for the radial leakage along the tip seal and Model-2 with the effective mean length for the tangential leakage over the scroll wrap in front of the tip seal are used to simulate the bypass leakage flow. The effective mean width and the effective mean length were determined by very simple and easily conducted pressure decay tests, where the measured pressure decays were all successfully simulated by very simple theoretical calculations, based on the Darcy-Weisbach equation for incompressible viscous fluid flow. Furthermore, the level of contribution to the resultant leakage is examined to conclude that the leakage by Model-1 is by far the dominant effect and the complicated bypass leakage can be calculated by just one rectangular cross-section passage represented by Model-1, if an error of about 5% is permissible. Finally, the effective mean width for Model-1 was normalized with the representative tangential length from the contact point of the outer and inner scrolls, where the distance between the outer and inner scrolls becomes equal to the thickness of outer scroll wrap in front of the tip seal. In this way, the reduced effective mean width was formulated, and the present scheme for empirically calculating the complicated bypass leakage was generalized for possible application to all cases of scroll contact area geometry.

The present study was motivated through possible developments of super large scroll compressors with shaft power more than 1000 kW, where the bypass leakage effect on the volumetric efficiency was one unknown factor for the authors. The present scheme for evaluating the complicated bypass leakage will be applied to predict the possible high volumetric efficiency of super large scroll compressors.

NOMENCLATURE

- $G, G_0$: Refrigerant mass [kg]
- $g$: Acc. of Gravity [m/s$^2$]
- $L_1, L_2$: Length [m]
- $l_1, l_2$: Equivalent length [m]
- $m$: Mass flow rate [kg/s]
- $n$: Polytropic index [-]
- $P_{11}, P_{12}, P_{45}$: Pressure [Pa]
- $Re$: Reynolds number [-]
- $t$: Time [s]
- $u_{m1}, u_{m2}, u_{m3}, u_{m4}$: Mean velocity [m/s]
- $W_c$: Width [m]
- $\delta_s, \delta_t, \delta_{0s}, \delta_{0t}$: Clearance [m]
- $\lambda$: Friction factor [-]
- $\rho$: Density [kg/m$^3$]
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