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issues in vendor/library relations from page 75

discounts for OhioLINK or revenues for YBP. They begin in the commitment of member schools to the contract. That is, librarians around the State, and especially the members of OhioLINK’s Collection Building Task Force, took the contract seriously from the beginning, supporting it by moving their business to YBP and by encouraging their peers to do the same. The OhioLINK office under Tom Sanville supported the effort in myriad ways, but especially through buying loaders to bring records into library systems in batches. To hold up its end, YBP tried to be always available on short notice to work with Ohio libraries, and we have assembled technologies to support cooperative collection. Still, good discounts, commitment, good service, and technological support are to be expected; they are not news. It is, instead, the serendipitous advantages of working with a consortium that I wish to describe here.

Some of the advantages of the YBP-OHIOLINK arrangement are quite simple. For example, usually academic sales representatives cover geographically huge territories of several states and visit their customers no more than once a year. Comparatively, Ohio is small but because it comprises a sales territory, we are in Ohio libraries constantly. It is possible to visit anywhere in the State from one day to the next, and to visit one library as often as needed. Conversely, we have held regional training sessions around the State that enable potential customers to come to us, some to learn more about YBP and others to obtain timely instruction in the use of GOBI, YBP’s online acquisitions and collection management system.

**GobiSlow and NewGOBI: Solving Problems Together**

The concentration of customers in one small State has proved especially important when things do not go well. In fall 1999 OhioLINK libraries reported that GOBI was unacceptably slow, often too slow for people to use it to do their work. The situation was bad enough that the Collection Building Task Force summoned senior executives from YBP and our then brand-new parent, Baker & Taylor, to Ohio to discuss the problem.

Among the first things we did was to assemble a group of Ohio libraries to track their experience of what was by then being called “GobiSlow.” With their help, we traced sources of the problem and bought faster servers, improved our internal network, and rewrote parts of GOBI’s code. For their part, many customers adjusted their schedules to use GOBI early in the day. In time, GOBI’s response improved, but we also realized that as an early product of its kind, the real fix would be to rewrite it from scratch.

We first announced the plan to rebuild GOBI completely (now, more or less officially, known as “NewGOBI”) at a meeting with OhioLINK last May. In the early phases of the project, members of our IS department visited 10 libraries in Ohio, large and small, whose use of GOBI ranges from simple to among the most sophisticated. We heard what was wrong, what customers hoped to see, and what was right that they did not want to lose, and the meetings helped start the project in the right direction.

In sum, the concentration of libraries in Ohio that use GOBI in different ways makes for a ready source of volunteers to help us through problems, design issues, or beta tests. The organization under OhioLINK makes communication easy and keeps interest high. In return, Ohio’s libraries have, de facto, a considerable voice in our plans.

**Experimentation and Cooperative Collection Development**

An avowed interest of library consortia is always cooperative collection, but with respect to monographs, interest has generally outpaced action. Even in Ohio progress has been slower on some fronts than anyone would have liked, largely because people have full-time jobs to which these experiments are always an addition.

But we have made considerable progress. Among the first things YBP did as part of its pledge to OhioLINK was to develop GobiTwo, a feature of our database that enables any member of a consortium to see all activity on a title by all members. We then developed a visual means of enabling selectors in a subject from different libraries to compare their several approval plans. Most promising, though, have been the “Not in Ohio” reports.

During a year of experiments with the Collection Building Task Force, led in this work by Jerry Newman of the University of Pennsylvania, Ohio libraries have received extensive help from OhioLINK.

---
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Currently, the Association of American University Presses and its member organizations are going through a process of introspection. The idea is to define why presses are so important to the process of scholarly interchange and development. Re-invention is not the key issue, unfortunately, and looking at the University Press, in most cases, at the end of the millennium is probably not much different than looking at the University Press at the beginning of the 1990s except for the expansion in the number of titles produced by UPs and the number of UPs that have been chartered. But don’t take my word on this point, listen to the presses on their own terms.

The University of Texas Press was founded in 1950 to show that “books matter; books educate; and publishing good books is a public responsibility and a valuable component of the state’s system of higher education.” But fifty years later, the University of Texas Press indicates in its mantra that they are still a book publisher (even though they do journals, too) and a focal point where the life experiences, insights, and specialized knowledge of writers converge to be disseminated in print.” Unfortunately, this might be taking the UT Press’ mission very literally. Yet of all organizations, presses, with their daily routine of getting words right, must be taken at their word. No?

The University of Texas is not out of step in the university press publication march. If you were to ask most directors, they would say that indeed their press’s primary aim is to publish books, scholarly books. The University of Alberta Press publishes strong scholarly works. The University of Arizona Press, founded in 1959, is a nonprofit publisher of scholarly and regional books. The University of Hawaii Press is recognized as a leading publisher of books and journals in Asian, Asian American, and Pacific Studies. Howard University Press’s mission is to publish “discerning non-fiction books and journals that analyze developments and is—continued on page 77
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of Cincinnati and Michael Zeoli of YBP, we have developed a process that goes as follows:

- Six months after books are profiled by YBP, we run a report that gathers up all of those bought by no library in Ohio. Thus, in March 2001, we look at titles profiled in September 2000 that no library in Ohio has bought from YBP.
- OhioLINK takes our list and uses a program to run it against the statewide catalogue to eliminate books that libraries have bought from vendors other than YBP.
- We put the pared list on GOBI, in an account established for this project. Any selector in the State participating in the experiment can order titles for his or her library from the account.

The “Not in Ohio” experiment is at the point of achieving production status, in which a half-dozen or more libraries will routinely buy books that otherwise would have escaped the consortial net.

**The Future of Consortial Relationships**

Not surprisingly, we believe that vendor-consortia partnerships will play an important role in both our futures. Corporations are scrambling to divide the eBook marketplace and libraries themselves must find roles in an increasingly virtual world. Specifically, if patrons do not visit libraries, libraries must confer ways to deliver services to patrons… wherever the patron may be. YBP’s core competencies, describing books and profiling the interests of libraries, can easily be extended to faculty. Through GOBI, libraries will soon be able to deliver notification of new titles to faculty, based on a personal profile. Faculty can then recommend titles back to the library, but rather than choosing simply to purchase or not, will indicate whether a book should be “owned locally,” “owned consortially,” or not owned at all. YBP will then run the “own consortially” file against our database of the books consortia members have bought from us. Put differently, the ties between consortia and their vendors should lead to experiments that will profit both and to thinking that may help both find their way as critical parts of this new world.

**From the Other Side of the Street**

sues in the arts; education; literature; ethics; social economic, and political arenas; human relations; intercultural communications; and foreign affairs.” Indiana University Press publishes books that that “will matter twenty or even a hundred years from now—books that make a difference today and will live on into the future through their reverberations in the minds of teachers and writers.” Utah State University Press is dedicated to acquiring and publishing books of “superior quality that win the esteem of readers and that appropriately represent Utah State University to the community of scholars.” Wilfrid Laurier University Press was established in 1974 to publish scholarly books and journals in the humanities and social sciences.

Scholarly books undoubtedly are written by scholars and are for the scholarly community to ensure the interchange of scholarly ideas. Still, presses can define these books in an unusual ways. Princeton University Press has “hewn its charter” to make available books “whose scholarly importance exceeds their financial rewards.” The main function of the University of Alaska Press is to serve as a means for professional scholars to have works published that “might not otherwise appear in book form.” Oregon State University Press desires to publish important ideas and information that “otherwise might not find a forum.” In other words, due to market numbers, university presses must publish losers in the sense of revenue but winners in the sense of the advancement of knowledge.

However, in recent years, under the stress of ever diminishing returns on monograph publications, university presses have sought to increase income by publishing books for general audiences. Regional publishing has always been a strength of university presses evidenced by books on native flora and fauna and on local history. Still their has been a notable shift in the publication strategies of some university presses. Commercial publishers have sought to solidify profits by trimming their mid-list authors. University presses have stepped in to fill this gap. The University of California Press is proud to attract authors “whose work transcends traditional academic boundaries to speak to people everywhere.” Indiana University Press “emphasizes scholarship but also publishes text, trade, and reference titles.” Johns Hopkins University Press publishes books for the general reader, “who find in them [books] enjoyment as well as enlightenment.” Of the more than 900 books in print at the University of Wisconsin Press, many are intended for the general reader in the areas of “biography, natural history, poetry, and social issues.”

Even though books still remain king, even to Stephen King, a number of presses have moved past the printed page. New York University Press (NYUP) whose books have a “prominent place on the table of public debate,” considers itself a gadfly and is willing to publish books from “different poles of the political spectrum … to generate dialogue, engender debate, and resist pat categorization of our publishing program. NYUP sees the future more cleanly than some presses in the recognition that the past is gone when “libraries more or less financed university press operations.” In recent years, in fact, NYUP is trying to redefine “what it means to be a university press.”

Johns Hopkins University Press (JHUP), understanding that its primary missions still is “to seek out and publish books and journals of superior quality that contribute significantly to the progress of research and learning,” realizes that this can
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be accomplished by a program that “embraces both traditional and newer modes of scholarly communication.”

The University of Illinois has many electronic projects completed and sees the need for new ones as it moves into the future. Yale University Press “was one of the first university presses to publish a multimedia CD-ROM, Perseus, and CD-ROMs have enhanced Albers’s Interaction of Color and Jorden’s book on learning Japanese.” Purdue University Press is beginning a new imprint in January 2001, a Digital-i books. Facing the ever-increasing need to produce titles for smaller market segments in Academic, “the Digital-i imprint will provide an outlet for scholarly information that does not presently exist.” Columbia University Press inaugurated electronic publishing in 1990, when The Concise Columbia Electronic Encyclopedia went online on the Columbia University Network. In 1991 The Columbia Granger’s(r) World of Poetry on CD-ROM was issued in response to requests from reference librarians. Currently, the Press publishes a variety of CD-ROMs. Its first four publications online are Columbia International Affairs Online (CIAO), The Columbia Granger’s(r) World of Poetry Online, Earthscape, and The Columbia Gazetteer of the World Online. In a world were technological changes are a daily occurrence, new reference services may provide models for the future direction of academic presses. Epbrary is aimed at revolutionizing “the way people conduct research and acquire information on the web by combining a powerful discovery engine, full-text viewing and state-of-the-art reference tools with an extensive collection of books, periodicals, maps, and archival works.” Fatbrain.com’s aim is to revolutionize the way organizations share knowledge by creating “custom online bookstores and information resource centers that can efficiently and cost-effectively meet the information needs of an organization’s internal and external audiences.” NetLibrary helps academic, public, corporate, and private libraries create a richer, more productive learning environment for their patrons “by combining the time-honored traditions of the library system with electronic publishing.” Lightning Source is combining on-demand printing with electronic document distribution. As university presses head into a new century, the quality of their information will remain a key component in their growth. The new knowledge economy should provide new opportunities for these presses. Traditional publishing will remain a part of university press offerings. However, unlike trade markets where e-books might not be the answer, scholars are becoming more willing to search across vast arrays of information. The university press must foster this growth or be seen as a roadblock to progress.

Inside Pandora's Box —
Issues and Ideas in Acquisitions Management

by Howard Bybee (Assistant Acquisitions Librarian, Brigham Young University) <jack.montgomery@wk.edu>

Column Editor: Jack Montgomery (Collection Services Coordinator, Western Kentucky University)

Editor’s Note: This is the first in a series of articles on creative ideas in gift management. — JM

Many libraries sell unwanted books. Traditionally these sales occur once or twice a year when the friends of the library staff a book sale for the public. A few libraries operate a book store stocked with their unwanted books, some sell them to dealers, or they donate them to other institutions. There are many ways for a library to assure that unwanted books continue their useful existence. Online book sales are a recent choice for selling the library’s excess titles. Today anyone can sell books on the Internet. Internet book selling may be a great way for some libraries to put books back into circulation and to obtain a fair price for valuable artifacts.

Operating an Internet store is simple, but labor intensive, which might deter some libraries from attempting it. There are, however, good reasons for selling unwanted library books over the Internet:

- Local markets won’t support the highest prices for many titles, but offering them over the Internet broadens the market and should bring a fair price.
- Posting books requires, and builds, knowledge about bibliographic description and book values.
- Revenues from sales usually swell the book budget.
- Books that might cause public relations problems if disposed of locally can be sold far afield. When the true value of a title cannot be discovered, selling it through an auction will often bring a fair price.
- In the course of operating an Internet book store, acquisition department personnel learn about out of print markets and the value and availability of OP materials.
- When labor and material costs are measured, as difficult as that is, most libraries that sell books end up transferring labor dollars into book budget dollars — not a bad exchange if the wage budget can stand it.

The first step to selling online is to survey the available sites. This is not a WWW site review article. I will append a URL starter list for those who want to get going. There are many used book vendor sites on the Internet and there will be more. A brief search will supply enough URLs to keep the book sale administrator busy for awhile. These sites usually offer services to the seller that make it easy to start.

Abebooks.com, a Canada based book marketplace, is a well established site. They boast twenty million books for sale. Most sites require vendor registration and many charge a small fee. Rules of conduct govern business ethics and non-compliance results in offenders being dropped from service. These sites are frequently interrelated by mutual agreements that provide shared inventories and greater market exposure for the seller and the buyer. They provide free or inexpensive software for managing inventories and sales data, and E-commerce services that allow customers to buy with a credit card, saving the seller the cost of managing credit sales. The customer usually pays a service fee when purchasing by credit card.

Automated matching has helped to revitalize the used book trade, and it has improved out of print purchasing for libraries. Matching assures that a book for sale on the Net will be offered the next day to someone who has posted a “want” on the system for that very title. Most libraries that purchase out of print books have discovered these services and use them. Post “wants” as simple as posting books for sale.

Because there are many book selling Websites, companies such as Bookfinder.com, Bibliofind.com, or Addall.com provide multiple site searching. Looking for a title on these sites will bring hits from many different book selling Ecompanies, worldwide. They save time when looking for out of print titles, and provide wide market exposure for the seller. This kind of Internet power will market your books continued on page 79
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