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QUESTION: A music library is evaluating the feasibility of a CD preservation program and is considering the following to preserve its existing collection of CDs proactively but is concerned about whether these actions infringe copyright. (1) Create a single duplicate copy of CD holdings and store these copies in a secure dark archive. (2) Continue to circulate the originals as normal, but if an original becomes lost or damaged beyond usability, first conduct a search to see if a replacement copy can be found in-print or otherwise available on the market at fair market value. (3) If no such replacement can be found, create a new copy from the duplicate in the dark archive and use that for future circulation.

ANSWER: While the plan makes sense as a preservation matter, some of the actions do infringe the copyright. (1) The only backup copies for libraries that are permitted are under section 108(b), and that is for unpublished works only. CDs, and music CDs in particular, typically are published. Reproducing these CDs to create backup copies without permission is infringement. What the library can do is to purchase two copies of each CD and place one in a dark archive. (2) Number two follows the requirements of section 108(c) for replacement copies. (3) If no replacement copy can be found at a fair price, then the library is permitted to make a replacement copy which could be made from the purchased CD in the dark archives.

Even if the Copyright Act were amended to further library preservation, it likely would permit copying for preservation only if the work were at immediate risk of loss or destruction. CDs are not considered to be so fragile.

QUESTION: A library is considering downloading audio books as a less expensive alternative to purchasing the books on CD. Would this present copyright concerns?

ANSWER: Yes, it would present copyright concerns if the intent is to download books onto a server so that multiple users can listen to them rather than paying a license fee. While individuals may purchase downloads from Audible.com and other companies, the license agreement to which they must agree assumes that the downloading is being done for one listener. The proposed activity is equivalent to buying one copy of a printed book and then making photocopies of it to lend rather than purchasing multiple copies. It may be possible to obtain a multiple listener license from these companies, which the library should do if it intends to substitute downloads for purchasing books on CD.

QUESTION: A school takes the position that fair use does not apply to podcasts since they are syndicated and are not confined to the classroom. Is this correct?

ANSWER: Actually no. A podcast is simply a way to disseminate a speech or a talk. So, it depends on the podcast and the copyright owner. The owner may be delighted to have the podcast made public to everyone; on the other hand, the owner may restrict access or require anyone who obtains access to agree to the terms of a license. Fair use does apply to podcasts, but if the work is licensed, the license agreement trumps fair use.

QUESTION: When posting materials on Blackboard for a class, if the articles and chapters are documented and properly cited, is it necessary to seek permission to post them? Or is documenting/citing the source enough to satisfy copyright concerns?

ANSWER: This question mixes two things: copyright and plagiarism. The copyright concern is copying the materials in the first place since reproduction is one of the exclusive rights of the copyright holder. Plagiarism is claiming original authorship of someone else’s work or incorporating it without adequate acknowledgement. So copyright is not concerned with citing or attribution typically but with reproduction, distribution, display, etc.

Before the Web and course management software, faculty members often photocopied handouts and distributed them to the members of a class. The Guidelines on Multiple Copyright for Classroom Use were negotiated guidelines that Congress endorsed in 1976 as a good balance of the interests of publishers and those of educators. They specified which activities and within what limits would constitute fair use for producing handouts of copyrighted works for students in nonprofit educational institutions. One requirement is that the faculty member seek permission when the same item is used as a handout for a second term. Applying the guidelines to the electronic environment means that posting an article for a class on Blackboard (within the limits of the guidelines) would require permission for use the second semester.

An excellent alternative is to provide a link to the item on the Web or to a licensed resource to which the educational institution subscribes. It requires no permission to post the link.

QUESTION: May a library place on reserve a copy of a journal issue that is personally owned by a faculty member? If so, may it remain on reserve for multiple semesters?

ANSWER: Yes. If the journal issue is owned either by the library or by a faculty or staff member, it may be placed on reserve indefinitely. Putting an original copy on reserve does not implicate copyright in any way since the library is not reproducing the work for reserve. If it is a photcopy that is being placed on reserve, whether personally owned by a faculty member or made by the library, it is a reproduction and permission should be sought for use after the first term it is on reserve for that faculty member.
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