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The recently fabricated two-dimensional magnetic materials Cu9X3(cpa)6·xH2O (cpa=2-carboxypentonic acid and X=F,Cl,Br) have copper sites which form a triangular kagome lattice (TKL), formed by introducing small triangles (“a-trimers”) inside of each kagome triangle (“b-trimer”). We show that in the limit where spins residing on b-trimers have Ising character, quantum fluctuations of XXZ spins residing on the a-trimers can be exactly accounted for in the absence of applied field. This is accomplished through a mapping to the kagome Ising model, for which exact analytic solutions exist. We derive the complete finite-temperature phase diagram for this XXZ-Ising model, including the residual zero-temperature entropies of the seven ground-state phases. Whereas the disordered (spin liquid) ground state of the pure Ising TKL model has macroscopic residual entropy In 72=4.2767... per unit cell, the introduction of transverse (quantum) couplings between neighboring a-spins reduces this entropy to 2.5258... per unit cell. In the presence of applied magnetic field, we map the TKL XXZ-Ising model to the kagome Ising model with three-spin interactions and derive the ground-state phase diagram. A small (or even infinitesimal) field leads to a new phase that corresponds to a nonintersecting loop gas on the kagome lattice, with entropy 1.4053... per unit cell and a mean magnetization for the b-spins of 0.12(1) per site. In addition, we find that for moderate applied field, there is a critical spin liquid phase that maps to close-packed dimers on the honeycomb lattice, which survives even when the a-spins are in the Heisenberg limit.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Geometrically frustrated spin systems hold promise for finding new phases of matter, such as classical and quantum spin liquid ground states. Of considerable interest has been the discovery of a stable phase with deconfined spinons in a model of quantum dimers on the (geometrically frustrated) triangular lattice, i.e., a spin liquid.1 Beyond the interest in fundamental theoretical issues of these models,1-3 physical realizations of these systems may have technological applications in achieving lower temperatures through adiabatic demagnetization. Since such techniques require a material which can remain in a disordered paramagnetic state to very low temperatures (rather than undergoing a phase transition to an ordered state), this makes geometrically frustrated spin systems attractive for such applications.

Recently, a new class of two-dimensional magnetic materials Cu9X3(cpa)6·xH2O (cpa=2-carboxypentonic acid, a derivative of ascorbic acid and X=F,Cl,Br) (Refs. 4–6) was fabricated in which Cu spins reside on a triangular kagome lattice (TKL), formed by inserting an extra set of triangles (a-trimers) inside of the kagome triangles (b-trimers) (see Fig. 1). In a recent paper,7 we analyzed the thermodynamic behavior of Ising spins on this lattice using exact analytic methods as well as Monte Carlo simulations in finite field. In this paper, we extend our analysis to include quantum fluctuations of the spins on a-trimers, i.e., we study an XXZ-Ising model on the TKL. The Cu spins in Cu9X3(cpa)6·xH2O likely have isotropic Heisenberg interactions, for which exact solutions are currently inaccessible on a frustrated lattice. However, in the limit where spins on b-trimers have Ising character, it is still possible to take into account the quantum fluctuations on a-trimers exactly through a mapping to the kagome Ising model. In the presence of applied field, the model maps to the kagome Ising model with three-spin interactions. We present exact results for the phase diagram at all temperatures without applied field and at zero temperature in the presence of applied field.

In the absence of applied field, the zero-temperature phase diagram is richer than the case where both a- and b-spins are in the Ising limit. The ordered phase survives quantum fluctuations, but for large enough transverse coupling, there is a first-order transition to a state with lower total spin on the a-trimers. For the disordered phase, the quantum fluctuations of the a-spins partially lift the ground-state degeneracy. In the presence of applied field, the phase diagram is even more

FIG. 1. (Color online) The TKL with the nearest-neighbor couplings Jaa and Jbb. The solid circles are a sites and open circles are b sites. The shaded region represents the unit cell.
The “honeycomb dimer” phase, which is present in applied field for the purely Ising version of the model,\textsuperscript{7} survives the introduction of quantum fluctuations. However, rather than arising for infinitesimal applied field, a finite field is now required. Infinitesimal applied field in the presence of quantum fluctuations of the $a$-spins results in a new phase, which we have mapped to a nonintersecting loop gas on the kagome lattice.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the XXZ-Ising model on the TKL. In Sec. III we present an exact mapping to the kagome Ising model, and we derive the finite-temperature phase diagram in zero field. In Sec. IV, we study the finite field case using an exact mapping to the kagome Ising model in a field with a three-spin coupling term. In Sec. V we present our discussion and conclusions.

II. XXZ-ISING MODEL ON THE TRIANGULAR KAGOMÉ LATTICE

The TKL can be obtained by inserting an extra set of triangles inside of the triangles of the kagome lattice. Alternatively, it can be derived from the triangular lattice by periodically deleting seven out of every sixteen lattice sites. This structure has two different spin sublattices, $a$ and $b$, which correspond to small trimers and large trimers, respectively. Each spin has four nearest neighbors. The unit cell contains a total of nine spins (six on the $a$ sublattice and three on the $b$ sublattice). The space group of the TKL is the same as that of the hexagonal lattice, $p6m$, in Hermann–Mauguin notation. The shaded region in Fig. 1 encompasses one unit cell of the TKL.

The $a$ spins in real materials have $S=1/2$, and the quantum effects cannot be neglected a priori. The Ising limit of this model was previously considered by Zheng and Sun,\textsuperscript{8} as well as by Loh et al. The ground-state phase diagram\textsuperscript{2,9} as well as many experimentally testable thermodynamic quantities\textsuperscript{1} have been calculated. In this paper, we include the quantum fluctuations of the $a$-spins (i.e., those on $a$-trimers), while treating the $b$-spins as classical Ising spins. In this limit, the $a$-spins can be integrated out exactly, leaving an Ising model of the $b$-spins on a kagome lattice, which we solve exactly.

We consider a model in which the exchange coupling between neighboring $a$-spins is of the XXZ type and the coupling between neighboring $a$- and $b$-spins is Ising type. Whereas this treats the $a$-spins as fully quantum mechanical, the $b$-spins are classical. The Hamiltonian is

$$H = -\sum_{\langle ij, kb \rangle} [J_{ab}^a S_i^X S_j^X + J_{ab}^b S_i^Y S_j^Y + J_{ab}^c S_i^Z S_j^Z] - \hbar \sum_i S_i^Z,$$

where $S_i^X, Y, Z$ are the $S=1/2$ spin operators at site $i$, the angular brackets indicate summations over nearest neighbors, and $h$ is an external magnetic field. With this sign convention, positive coupling $J > 0$ corresponds to ferromagnetic interactions, and negative coupling $J < 0$ is antiferromagnetic. This model contains the following energy scales as parameters:

$J_{ab}^a$, $J_{ab}^b$, $J_{ab}^c$, $T$, and $h$. We will take $J_{ab}^a$ as the unit of energy.

When $J_{ab}^a=0$, this model reduces to the Ising model on the TKL, which was studied exhaustively in Ref. 7 (see also Ref. 8). The $J_{ab}^c$ terms permit transverse quantum fluctuations within each $a$-trimer. However, the quantum fluctuations are confined to reside within the $a$-trimers, as can be seen by the fact that the total $S^Z$ of each $a$-trimer is a conserved quantity.

In spite of the frustrated nature of the model, it turns out that it can be exactly solved at all temperatures for $h=0$ and at zero temperature for finite $h$. The Hamiltonian can be written as a sum over hexamers (see Fig. 2) where each hexamer consists of an $a$-trimer and its enclosing $b$-trimer, $\hat{H}_a = \sum_i \hat{H}_a$.

The Hamiltonian for each hexamer is given by

$$\hat{H}_a = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} [J_{ab}^a S_i^X S_j^X + J_{ab}^b S_i^Y S_j^Y + J_{ab}^c S_i^Z S_j^Z] - \sum_{i \neq j} J_{ab}^c S_i^X S_j^X - \hbar \sum_i S_i^Z - \frac{h}{2} \sum_j S_j^Z.$$

Note the factor of $\frac{h}{2}$ in front of $S_j^Z$, which is required because each $b$-spin is shared by two hexamers.

Different $\hat{H}_a$ commute with each other. Furthermore, each $a$-spin appears in one $\hat{H}_a$ but no others. Therefore, we can perform the trace over all of the $a$-spins to give an effective Hamiltonian involving only $b$-spins as follows:

$$Z = \text{Tr} \exp(-\beta \hat{H}_a)$$

$$= \sum_{\langle S_b \rangle} \text{Tr} \exp(-\beta \hat{H}(S_b, S_a))$$

$$= \sum_{\langle S_b \rangle} e^{-\beta H_{ab}(S_b)}.$$

The contribution to the partition function coming from the trace over the $a$-spins within each hexamer depends on the values of the surrounding $b$-spins, i.e.,

$$Z(S_{b0}, S_{b2}, S_{b3}) = \text{Tr} \exp(-\beta \hat{H}_a(S_{b0}, S_{b2})).$$

The trace can be evaluated by diagonalizing the hexamer Hamiltonians for all eight configurations of the enclosing...
TABLE I. The eight energy levels of a hexamer arising from the a-spin degrees of freedom for two configurations of the enclosing b-spins (\(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\) and \(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow\)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(4E_j(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow, h))</th>
<th>(4E_j(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow, h))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(-4J_{aa}^X + J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z - 5h)</td>
<td>(2J_{ab}^X + J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z - 3h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2J_{aa}^X + J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z - 5h)</td>
<td>(-3J_{aa}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z - 7h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-3J_{aa}^Z - 6J_{ab}^Z - 9h)</td>
<td>(-3J_{aa}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z - 7h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-3J_{aa}^Z + 6J_{ab}^Z + 3h)</td>
<td>(-J_{aa}^Z + J_{ab}^Z - 4J_{ab}^Z + 9J_{ab}^Z + 4J_{ab}^Z + h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-4J_{ab}^Z + J_{ab}^Z + 2J_{ab}^Z - h)</td>
<td>(-J_{aa}^Z + J_{ab}^Z - 4J_{ab}^Z + 9J_{ab}^Z + 4J_{ab}^Z + h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-J_{aa}^Z + J_{ab}^Z + 2J_{ab}^Z + h)</td>
<td>(-J_{aa}^Z + J_{ab}^Z - 4J_{ab}^Z + 9J_{ab}^Z + 4J_{ab}^Z + h)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b-spins in order to obtain the energy eigenvalues \(E_j(S_{01}, S_{02}, S_{03}, h)\), \(j = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, 8\) (see Table I and Fig. 3) and subsequently calculating \(Z(S_{0j}) = \Sigma \exp(-\beta E_j(S_{0j}))\). The energy diagonalization is particularly simple since the total \(S^Z\) of each a-trimer is a good quantum number. In the case where the surrounding b-spins are in the \((\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)\) or \((\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow)\) configurations, the total \(S^Z\) is also conserved. Due to the local \(C_3\) symmetry of each hexamer, \(E_j(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow, h) = E_j(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow, -h)\) and \(E_j(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow, -h) = E_j(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow, -h)\). Hence we find

\[
Z(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow, h) = 2e^{1/4(3J_{aa}^X - J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)} + e^{1/4(2J_{ab}^Z + 3J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)}
+ 2e^{1/4(2J_{ab}^Z + 3J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)} + e^{1/4(3J_{aa}^X - J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)}
+ e^{-1/2(3J_{ab}^Z + 3J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)} + e^{-1/2(2J_{ab}^Z + 3J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)}
+ e^{-1/2(2J_{ab}^Z + 3J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)} + e^{-1/2(3J_{aa}^X - J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)}.
\]

\[
Z(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow, h) = e^{-1/4(3J_{aa}^X - J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)} + e^{1/4(3J_{aa}^X - J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)}
+ e^{-1/2(3J_{ab}^Z + 3J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)} + e^{-1/2(2J_{ab}^Z + 3J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)}
+ e^{1/4(-3J_{ab}^Z + 3J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)} + e^{1/4(-3J_{ab}^Z + 3J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)}
+ e^{1/2(3J_{ab}^Z + 3J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)} + e^{1/4(3J_{aa}^X - J_{ab}^Z - 2J_{ab}^Z)}.
\]

\[
Z(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow, -h) = Z(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow, -h), \quad (9)
Z(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow, -h) = Z(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow, -h). \quad (10)
\]

### III. ZERO FIELD

#### A. Exact mapping to the kagome Ising model

When \(h = 0\), the trace over a-spins maps the XXZ-Ising model to the ferromagnetic Ising model on the kagome lattice exactly so that up to a temperature-dependent additive constant, we have

\[
H_{eff}(\{a_{ij}\}) = -J_{bb} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} a_i \sigma_i a_j, \quad (11)
\]

where \(J_{bb}\) is the effective b-spin coupling and \(\sigma_i = \pm 1\) for consistency with the Ising model literature.

The simple form of \(H_{eff}\) (two-spin nearest-neighbor interactions only) can be understood easily. Since the hexamer Hamiltonians commute between different hexamers, the trace over a-spins in a hexamer couples only the three b-spins in that hexamer. When \(h = 0\), \(H_{eff}\) must have global up-down symmetry and so it cannot contain odd powers of \(\sigma\). Taking into account that \(\sigma^2 = 1\) then implies the quadratic nearest neighbor \(H_{eff}\) above. To find \(J_{bb}\), we evaluate the two different actions \(Z(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)\) and \(Z(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow)\) (others are related by permutation and up-down symmetry of the b-spins) and match them to

\[
Z(\sigma_{01}, \sigma_{02}, \sigma_{03}) = \mathcal{Z}_u \exp \beta J_{bb}(\sigma_{02}\sigma_{03} + \sigma_{03}\sigma_{01} + \sigma_{01}\sigma_{02}), \quad (12)
\]

whereupon we obtain

\[
\mathcal{Z}_u = Z(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)^{1/4}Z(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)^{3/4}, \quad (13)
\]

FIG. 3. (Color online) Energy levels of the hexamers when the enclosing b-spins are in the \((\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)\) configuration (blue lines) and when they are in the \((\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow)\) configuration (red lines). The energies are shown as a function of the transverse coupling \(I_{ab}^X\), for \(|I_{ab}^X| = 1\) and for two different values of \(I_{ab}^Z\). The energy levels are independent of the sign of \(I_{ab}^Z\). The thick blue-red-blue lines represent triply degenerate states, which include two degenerate states from the \((\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)\) subspace, and one state from the \((\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow)\) subspace. Panel (a) shows a representative phase transition from phase I(1/2) to phase IX for \(I_{ab}^Z < -|I_{ab}^X|\). Panel (b) shows a representative set of phase transitions from phase I(1/2) to I(3/2) to phase IX for \(I_{ab}^Z > -|I_{ab}^X|\).
\[ J_{ab}^2 = -1, \quad J_{ab}^3 = J_{ab}^2 + |J_{aa}| \]

**FIG. 4.** (Color online) Exact \( T=0 \) phase diagram of the TKL XXZ-Ising model in the \((J_{aa},J_{ab})\) plane with \(|J_{ab}|=1\) as the unit of energy. The black lines indicate phase boundaries. Phases I(1/2) and I(3/2) are described in the text; they are ferromagnetic if \( J_{ab}^2 > 0 \) and ferrimagnetic if \( J_{ab}^2 < 0 \).

Thus, we see that integrating out the \( a \)-spins gives rise to effective couplings between the \( b \)-spins, which corresponds to the classical Ising model on the kagome lattice. The effective Ising coupling \( J_{bb} \) is a complicated function of the original couplings \( J_{a}^{XXZ} \) and \( J_{ab}^3 \), described by Eqs. (7)–(10) and Eq. (14), and of the temperature. However, in all cases, it is non-negative and hence the effective \( b \)-spin coupling is ferromagnetic.

The ferromagnetic kagome Ising model has an exact solution, which has been known for some time. Therefore, the XXZ-Ising model on the TKL can also be solved exactly. In particular, the critical temperature, free energy, energy density, entropy, and specific heat can be calculated in the same manner as in Ref. [7], which we outline in Sec. III B.

**B. Critical temperature, free energy, and entropy**

The kagome Ising model has a phase transition to a ferromagnetic ordered state at a coupling strength \( \beta J_{bb}^{\text{kagome}} = \frac{1}{2} \ln(3 + \sqrt{12}) = 0.466 \). Therefore the TKL XXZ-Ising model has a phase transition when \( \beta J_{bb}^{(J_{aa}^{XXZ},J_{ab}^2,\beta)} = \beta J_{bb}^{\text{kagome}} \). The ferromagnetic state of the kagome model corresponds to a ferromagnetic phase in the TKL for \( J_{ab}^2 > 0 \) (since then the \( a \)-spins are aligned with the \( b \)-spins) and to a ferrimagnetic phase for \( J_{ab}^2 < 0 \) (since then the \( a \)-spins are anti-aligned with the \( b \)-spins).

For convenience, we define the free energy as \( f = \ln Z \). Based on the discussion above, we see that the free energy of the TKL XXZ-Ising model is given by the sum of the free energy of the kagome Ising ferromagnet and a term that arises from integrating out the \( a \)-trimers, i.e.,

\[ f(J_{aa}^{XXZ},J_{ab}^2,\beta) = f_J(\beta J_{bb}^{\text{kagome}}) + 2f_a, \]

(15)

where \( \beta J_{bb}^{(J_{aa}^{XXZ},J_{ab}^2,\beta)} \) is the effective kagome coupling from Eq. (14). The free energy per TKL unit cell is \( f \), \( f_J \) is the free energy per kagome unit cell, and \( f_a = \ln Z_a \) is the free-energy contribution per \( a \)-trimer. The TKL unit cell corresponds to one kagome unit cell, and it contains two \( a \)-trimers, hence the factors in the above equation.

Other thermodynamic quantities can be obtained by differentiating \( f \) with respect to \( \beta \). It will be convenient to define the quantities

\[ U_J(\{\sigma_b\}) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_j E_j(\{\sigma_b\})e^{-\beta E_j(\{\sigma_b\})}, \]

(16)

\[ C_J(\{\sigma_b\}) = \beta^2 \left( \frac{1}{Z} \sum_j E_j(\{\sigma_b\})^2 e^{-\beta E_j(\{\sigma_b\})} - U_J(\{\sigma_b\})^2 \right), \]

(17)

where \( \{\sigma_b\} = \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \) or \( \uparrow \uparrow \downarrow \). Then, the energy per unit cell is

\[ u = -\frac{df}{d\beta}, \]

(18)

and the heat capacity per unit cell is

\[ c = \frac{du}{dT}, \]

(20)

\[ = C_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow} + 3C_{\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow} + C_{\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow} - 4\tilde{u}_{\text{kag}}(\beta J_{bb}^{\text{kagome}}), \]

(21)

where \( \tilde{u}_{\text{kag}} \) is the energy per unit cell of the kagome lattice Ising ferromagnet (in units of the kagome coupling) and \( C_{\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow} \) is the heat capacity per unit cell of the kagome lattice. The entropy per unit cell is \( s = u + \beta u \). The ground-state entropy can be calculated by taking the limit \( \beta \to \infty \) and observing that \( U_J(\{\sigma_b\}) \) and \( C_J(\{\sigma_b\}) \) are dominated by the lowest energy levels of the hexamer.

**C. Zero-temperature phase diagram and ground-state properties of each phase**

In the absence of \( h \), the partition function is invariant under a change of sign of \( J_{ab}^2 \) (because this can be gauged away by redefining the Ising \( b \)-spins), so the key physics is independent of the sign of \( J_{ab}^2 \). However, because of the frustrated geometry of the trimer, it is not invariant under a change in the sign of \( J_{aa}^{XXZ} \).

The behavior of the kagome Ising ferromagnet is determined by the dimensionless coupling \( K = \beta J_{bb}^{\text{kagome}} \). For \( T=0 \), we need the value \( K_0 \), which is the limiting value of \( K \) as \( \beta \) goes to infinity. In that limit, each \( a \)-trimer is restricted to its ground state for a given configuration of the surrounding \( b \)-spins, and Eq. (14) becomes

\[ \beta J_{bb}^{\text{kagome}} = \frac{1}{4} \ln \left( \frac{D_1}{D_2} + \beta (E_0(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow) - E_0(\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow)) \right), \]

(22)

where \( E_0(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow) \) and \( E_0(\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow) \) are the \( a \)-trimer ground-state energies for the two relevant configurations of the \( b \)-spins.

\[ 024428-4 \]
TABLE II. Properties of the various $T=0$ phases marked on the phase diagram in Fig. 4. Here, $\beta J_{ab}$ is the effective Ising coupling between $b$-spins, $x_0$ is the entropy of the entire system per TKL unit cell, $x_0/9$ is the entropy per site, and $\xi$ is the correlation length of the $b$-spins. Comparing $\beta J_{ab}$ to the $\beta I_r$ of the Kagome lattice shows that only phase I has long-range order.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>$\beta J_{ab}$</th>
<th>$x_0$</th>
<th>$x_0/9$</th>
<th>$\xi$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>$\infty$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\ln 72=4.2767$</td>
<td>0.47519</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VII</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}\ln^4 1$</td>
<td>4.4368</td>
<td>0.49297</td>
<td>finite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIII</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}\ln 3$</td>
<td>2.8918</td>
<td>0.32131</td>
<td>finite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IX</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}\ln 2$</td>
<td>2.5258</td>
<td>0.28064</td>
<td>finite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and $D_1$ and $D_2$ are their respective degeneracies. Provided $E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)$ is greater than $E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)$, then clearly $K_0$ goes to infinity, and the $b$-spins will have perfect ferromagnetic order. On the other hand, if $E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)=E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)$, $K_0$ will be finite but can still be $>0$ if $D_1>D_2$. In this case, whether the $b$-spins have long-range order (LRO) depends on whether $K_0$ exceeds the critical coupling of the Kagome Ising model. Note that here the coupling between the $b$-spins is caused by maximizing the ground-state degeneracy.

By studying the energy levels $E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)$ and $E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)$ for different combinations of parameters, we can work out the entire zero-temperature phase diagram in the $(J^X_{aa}, J^Z_{aa})$ plane, which is shown in Fig. 4. Furthermore, the values of parameters at which the energy levels $E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)$ or $E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)$ undergo level crossings are also of importance, as it changes the value of $D_1$ and/or $D_2$. We label phases I, V, VII, VIII, and IX for consistency with our previous work.\footnote{Color online} Table II shows the residual entropy of each of these phases, calculated using the approach described in Sec. III B.

Note that the entropies satisfy the inequalities $S_V,S_{VII},S_{VIII}>S_{IX},S_1$ and $S_{VIII}>S_V,S_{VII}$. This agrees with the intuition that when the system is tuned to a phase-transition line or point, the system is able to access states from both adjacent phases, and therefore the entropy is higher than that of the surrounding phases. Figure 5 shows the entire finite-temperature phase diagram as a function of $J^X_{aa}$, $J^Z_{aa}$, $J^X_{ab}$, and $T/J^X_{aa}$. Apart from the I(3/2) to I(1/2) ground-state transition, which corresponds only to a change in the local physics, it is clear that all of the phase transitions survive at finite temperature. Not surprisingly, as temperature is increased, the disordered phase becomes a larger part of the phase diagram. Based on experimental data on the TKL magnets Cu$_6$X$_2$(cpa)$_6$·xH$_2$O, it is sensible to make the following assumptions: $J^X_{aa}$ and $J^Z_{aa}$ are antiferromagnetic ($J^X_{aa}, J^Z_{aa}<0$) and $J^X_{ab}>J^Z_{ab}$. At zero applied field, this would put these materials in the disordered phase.

D. Physical explanation

We now discuss the various phases and the transitions between them. Phase I has $E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)>E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)$, as is shown on the right-hand side of Fig. 3, and therefore $K_0=K(T\rightarrow0)$ is infinite (see Sec. III C). In this case the $b$-spins are perfectly ferromagnetically ordered at $T=0$. This ferromagnetic phase of the $b$-spins is further subdivided into two $T\equiv0$ phases by the line $J^X_{ab}=J^Z_{ab}$ (see Fig. 4), corresponding to different $a$-trimer configurations. In phase I(3/2), the $a$-trimers are in a unique ground state with a total spin value $S=3/2$ and a total $z$ component of spin $S^z=3/2$. In phase I(1/2), the $a$-trimers are in a unique ground state with a total spin value $S=3/2$ and a total $z$ component of spin $S^z=1/2$. On the boundary phase, these two states become degenerate, and each $a$-trimer is twofold degenerate, with an associated $T=0$ entropy of $\ln 2$. At finite temperature, the transition from I(3/2) to I(1/2) becomes a crossover since the difference between phases I(3/2) and I(1/2) is the local configuration of each $a$-trimer. This crossover, which is evident in the region $J^X_{ab}=J^Z_{ab}$ in Fig. 5, should be accompanied by a relatively sharp peak in the entropy near the $T=0$ phase boundary. From the figure, it can also be seen that the I(3/2) phase is more robust than the I(1/2) phase, in that it has a higher transition temperature. This can be qualitatively understood as follows. In phase I, the effective coupling $J_{bb}$ at $T=0$ is determined by $E_0(\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow)-E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)$. Far into phase I (large $|J_{ab}|$), the $a$-trimer ground state is locked in its $J^Z_{ab}=0$ configuration, and $E_0(\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow)-E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)$ can be calculated by perturbation theory to be $|J^Z_{ab}|$ for I(3/2) and $\frac{1}{2}|J^Z_{ab}|$ for I(1/2). Thus, I(3/2) has a larger $J_{bb}$ than I(1/2) and therefore a larger transition temperature.

Let us consider going from phase I(1/2) to phase IX across the phase boundary V. Figure 3 shows the eight energy levels of an $a$-trimer for the two symmetry-distinct configurations of the $b$-spins $(\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow)$ and $(\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow)$ with $J^X_{ab}=-3$ and $J^Z_{ab}=\pm1$. The most important feature of the graph is how $E_0(\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow)$ compares with $E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)$, along with their respective degeneracies. Starting from phase I(1/2), as $J^X_{ab}$ is decreased toward 0, the difference between $E_0(\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow)$ and $E_0(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)$ decreases until they become equal at the full Ising limit (phase V), $J^X_{ab}=0$. The ground state of the $a$-trimer is triply degen-
erate in this limit, regardless of the configuration of the b-spins. Because of this, the effective coupling between b-spins goes to zero, $K_{0}=0$. This was noted in our previous paper\(^7\) on the Ising limit of the TKL model, along with the corresponding ground-state entropy of ln 72 per TKL unit cell in the Ising limit.

Now turn on an antiferromagnetic transverse coupling $J_{aa}^T<0$ to go into phase IX. The lowest energy remains independent of the b-spin configurations. Notice, though, that the lowest eigenvalue of the $\{↑↑↑\}$ subspace is doubly degenerate, whereas the lowest eigenvalue of the $\{↑\downarrow\}$ subspace is nondegenerate. In the $\{↑↑↑\}$ subspace of the b-spins, the lowest a-trimer energy state in this region has $S=1/2$ and $S'=1/2$, and there are two independent states with these quantum numbers. They are degenerate because the surrounding $\{↑↑↑\}$ b-spins couple to the a-spins through $S'$ only. When the b-spins are in the $\{↑↑↑\}$ configuration, this degeneracy is broken. This means that at zero temperature, the $\{↑↑↑\}$ configuration of the b-spins is twice as likely to occur as the $\{↑\downarrow\}$ configuration. The zero-temperature effective coupling constant between b-spins is therefore $K_{0} = \frac{1}{3}\ln 2$.

To get a fuller picture of what is happening, we plot the effective coupling $\beta J_{bb}$ as a function of temperature in Fig. 6. We see that as long as quantum fluctuations are present (i.e., $J_{aa}^T$ is finite), the value of $\beta J_{bb}$ increases monotonically as $T$ is lowered, approaching a constant value $\frac{1}{3}\ln 2 = 0.173287\ldots$ as $T \to 0$. This value is less than the critical coupling of the kagome Ising ferromagnet, $\beta J_{bb}^{\text{critical}} = \frac{1}{3}\ln(3 + \sqrt{12}) = 0.466566\ldots$. Therefore phase IX is disordered at all temperatures. The entropy in phase IX is 2.5258\ldots per unit cell. It exists precisely at $h=0$ and $J_{aa}^T = J_{aa}^{T*} < 0$. Note that in deviating from the Ising antiferromagnetic limit (i.e., phase V) by adding quantum fluctuations, the entropy decreases.

Next we consider crossing the phase boundary from I(3/2) to IX–VIII. Along the line VIII, we again have $E_{0}\{↑↑↑\} = E_{0}\{↑\downarrow\}$. The difference from IX, however, is that when the b-spins are in the $\{↑↑↑\}$ configuration, the $S=3/2$, $S'=1/2$ state of the a-trimer is also degenerate with the two $S=1/2$, $S'=1/2$ states. This leads to a larger value of $K_{0} = \frac{1}{3}\ln 3$, and hence a longer $T=0$ correlation length, than phase IX. Nevertheless, this value of $K_{0}$ is still below that of the critical value of the kagome Ising model, and the ground state remains disordered.

If we are in phase IX close to the line phase VIII, the $a$-trimer ground state when the surrounding $b$-spins are all up is doubly degenerate, with a small gap to the $S=3/2$ state. If the temperature is now increased, then this low-lying excited state will have nonzero Boltzmann weight, and hence the value of $K$ will first increase before decreasing again at higher temperature due to the effects of thermal disordering. Thus, the change in $K$ and hence the b-spin-correlation length with temperature will be nonmonotonic, first increasing with temperature before decreasing. This is a manifestation of the order-by-disorder mechanism commonly seen in frustrated systems with residual ground-state entropy.

**IV. FINITE MAGNETIC FIELD**

**A. Exact mapping to the kagome Ising model with three-spin interactions**

We now consider the XXZ-Ising model on the TKL in the presence of finite magnetic field. This model is defined in Eq. (1). Note that we consider applied field parallel to the axis of the Ising spins on the $a$ sublattice so that the $b$-spins remain classical in their behavior. In the presence of finite field $h$, spins on the $a$ sublattice can still be integrated out, yielding an effective model in terms of the $b$-spins only, which reside on a kagome lattice. However, since the original model for $h \neq 0$ explicitly broken time-reversal symmetry, it is necessary to allow for the possibility of three-spin couplings in the effective model for the $b$-spins. The effective Hamiltonian of the $b$-spins $H_{\text{eff}}(S_{b})$ is therefore of the form

$$H_{\text{eff}}(S_{b}) = -J_{bb}\sum_{i<j} \sigma_{bi}\sigma_{bj} - J_{bbb}\sum_{i<j,k} \sigma_{bi}\sigma_{bj}\sigma_{bk} - h_{\text{eff}}\sum_{i} \sigma_{bi},$$

which is an Ising model on the kagome lattice with three-spin interactions occurring within each $b$-trimer. (A brief numerical study of a kagome Ising model with three-spin interactions can be found in Ref. 13.)

In the same manner in which we proceeded in Sec. III A, we can integrate out the $a$-spins to form an effective model for the $b$-spins.

$$Z = \text{Tr} \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H})$$

$$= \sum_{(s_{i})} \text{Tr} \exp[-\beta \mathcal{H}(S_{0},S_{2},h)]$$

$$= \sum_{(s_{i})} e^{-\beta h_{\text{eff}}(S_{b})}.$$
FIG. 7. (Color online) The dimensionless effective Ising two-spin coupling between adjacent $b$-spins with a finite magnetic field $h=0.1$, $\beta l_{bb}$, as a function of temperature $T$ for four values of the transverse coupling $J_{aa}^x=-0.1,-1,-10$ (from bottom to top). The other parameters are $J_{aa}^z=-3$ and $J_{aa}^y=\pm 1$.

\[ Z(\uparrow \uparrow, h) = Z_a \exp \left[ \beta \left( 3J_{bb} + J_{bbb} + \frac{3h_{\text{eff}}}{2} \right) \right], \quad (27) \]

\[ Z(\uparrow \downarrow, h) = Z_a \exp \left[ \beta \left( -J_{bb} - J_{bbb} + \frac{h_{\text{eff}}}{2} \right) \right], \quad (28) \]

\[ Z(\downarrow \downarrow, h) = Z_a \exp \left[ \beta \left( -J_{bb} + J_{bbb} - \frac{h_{\text{eff}}}{2} \right) \right], \quad (29) \]

\[ Z(\downarrow \downarrow, h) = Z_a \exp \left[ \beta \left( 3J_{bb} - J_{bbb} - \frac{3h_{\text{eff}}}{2} \right) \right]. \quad (30) \]

From these equations, we find

\[ \beta l_{bb} = \frac{1}{8} \ln \frac{Z(\uparrow \uparrow, h) Z(\downarrow \downarrow, h)}{Z(\uparrow \downarrow, h) Z(\downarrow \uparrow, h)}, \quad (31) \]

\[ \beta l_{bbb} = \frac{1}{8} \ln \frac{Z(\uparrow \uparrow, h) Z(\downarrow \downarrow, h)^3}{Z(\downarrow \uparrow, h) Z(\uparrow \downarrow, h)^3}, \quad (32) \]

\[ \beta h_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{4} \ln \frac{Z(\uparrow \uparrow, h) Z(\uparrow \downarrow, h)}{Z(\downarrow \uparrow, h) Z(\downarrow \downarrow, h)}. \quad (33) \]

\[ Z_a = \exp(f_a) \]

\[ = [Z(\uparrow \uparrow, h) Z(\downarrow \downarrow, h)]^{1/8} [Z(\uparrow \downarrow, h) Z(\downarrow \uparrow, h)]^{3/8}. \quad (35) \]

The values of $Z(S_{a1},S_{b1},S_{a2})$ are given by Eqs. (7)–(10). For $h \to 0$, we find that $J_{bbb} \to 0$ and $h_{\text{eff}} \to 0$, and these equations reduce to our previous results, Eq. (14). In Fig. 7, we show how the external field $h$ changes the effective coupling $J_{bb}$ as a function of temperature and $J_{aa}^x$. We see that a small field can change the sign of $J_{bb}$ when $J_{aa}^x$ is weak.

**B. Ground state phase diagram of the three-spin kagome Ising model**

We now have an exact mapping from the TKL in finite field to the kagome Ising model with the three-spin interaction term $J_{bbb}$. As we will see in Sec. IV C, the full ground-state phase diagram of the original model will include phase transitions which only involve local $a$-spin configurations. However, we can develop some intuition about the long-range physics by studying the effective model for the $b$-spins. Since this is now a classical model, the zero-temperature phase diagram can be found in the usual manner by calculating the energy levels of each unit cell in order to determine the ground state.

\[ E_k(\uparrow \uparrow) = -3J_{bb} - J_{bbb} - \frac{3}{2} h_{\text{eff}}, \quad (36) \]

\[ E_k(\uparrow \downarrow) = J_{bb} + J_{bbb} - \frac{1}{2} h_{\text{eff}}, \quad (37) \]

\[ E_k(\downarrow \downarrow) = J_{bb} - J_{bbb} + \frac{1}{2} h_{\text{eff}}, \quad (38) \]

\[ E_k(\downarrow \downarrow) = -3J_{bb} + J_{bbb} + \frac{3}{2} h_{\text{eff}}. \quad (39) \]

Representative ground-state phase diagrams for this model are shown in Fig. 8. At large enough effective-field strength...
we find that the ground state of a dimer can frustrate this effect. In large parts of the phase diagram, the ground state of the hexamer has energy $-2.5$ (indicated in bold), and it has the $b$-spins in one of the three configurations $\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow$, $\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow$, or $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$. This implies that the ground state of the system is the honeycomb dimer phase (phase IV).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$h_{\text{eff}}$</th>
<th>$E_1$</th>
<th>$E_2$</th>
<th>$E_3$</th>
<th>$E_4$</th>
<th>$E_5$</th>
<th>$E_6$</th>
<th>$E_7$</th>
<th>$E_8$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow$</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>-2.5</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow$</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-0.98</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow$</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>-0.75</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the presence of the three-spin coupling, the topology of the phase diagram is dependent upon the sign of $J_{bb}$. When $J_{bb} > 0$, phase transitions between the two oppositely polarized dimer phases [i.e., from $(\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow)$ to $(\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow)$] are forbidden, and the dimer phases are separated by the saturated ferromagnetic phases. However, it is possible to go directly from one saturated ferromagnetic phase to its time-reversed counterpart. When $J_{bb} < 0$, then phase transitions directly from one dimer phase into its time-reversed counterpart are allowed, whereas phase transitions between the two saturated phases are not allowed.

**C. Full $T=0$ phase diagram in finite magnetic field**

The full $T=0$ phase diagram can be obtained by adapting the method described in Sec. IVA of Ref. 7. For every configuration of the three $b$-spins in a hexamer, we have tabulated the energies of the eight "internal" states of the hexamer in Table I. That is, we know the energies of the 64 states of the hexamer (including $a$- and $b$-spins). The pattern of ground states within this 64-element matrix (for a given parameter set) allows us to determine the global ground state of the system. Table III illustrates this procedure for a particular choice of parameters.

In principle, we could, by comparing the analytic expressions for the energy levels (Table I), derive analytic expressions for the phase boundaries. In this paper, we will just present a phase diagram obtained by taking a grid of closely spaced parameter points and finding the hexamer ground state(s) numerically for each parameter point.

**Figure 9** shows the results of this procedure in the limit where the $a$-spins have isotropic Heisenberg couplings ($J_{aa}^Z = J_{ab}^Z$), as well as in the previously studied case of Ising $a$-spins ($J_{aa}^Z=0$) for comparison. Most of the phase bound-
aries in Fig. 9(a) appear to be straight lines, but four of them are slightly curved. The topology of Fig. 9(a) is more complicated than that of Fig. 8 because there may be distinct a-trimer configurations underlying the same b-spin phase and because phase boundaries in Fig. 8 may appear as extended regions of phases here. The “primed” phases are time-reversed versions of the “unprimed” phases. By examining the energy levels in detail (Table III), we have identified the nature of each phase.

Starting from the bottom of phase II and going through the phase diagram counterclockwise, the various phases are given as follows. Phase II is the $I(3/2)$ phase in a ferrimagnetic arrangement so that the $a$-spins and $b$-spins are perfectly aligned antiferromagnetically due to the coupling $J_{ab}^a$, with the $a$-spins along the direction of the field $h$. With large enough $h$, the $b$-spins become all up also, and there is a transition from phase II into phase I, which is a saturated ferromagnet. Increasing the strength of the $a$-trimer Heisenberg coupling sufficiently one reaches phase X. In this phase, the $b$-spins remain ferromagnetically aligned, but each $a$-trimer is now in a $S=1/2$, $S^Z=1/2$ state, which is doubly degenerate. The entropy per unit cell is therefore $2 \ln 2 = 1.3863...$. Lowering the field takes us then into phase IV, which is a critical phase with power-law correlations that is equivalent to close-packed dimers on the honeycomb lattice (see Sec. IV B). It is instructive to compare Fig. 9(b) to Fig. 9(a) to see how the phase diagram evolves when the transverse coupling $J_{ab}^b$ is introduced: phases I, II, and IV survive quantum fluctuations of the $a$-spins introduced by $J_{ab}^b \neq 0$, although the states of the $a$-trimer are somewhat modified. In Sec. IV D we give a detailed analysis of phase XI.

**D. Phase XI: A “kagome loop gas”**

Phase XI is a new and unusual phase. A typical pattern of hexamer energies for phase XI is shown in Table IV. This means that in phase XI, each $b$-trimer is allowed to contain either $0$ down spins or $2$ down spins, but the latter case is less likely by a factor of $2$. The constraint that each $b$-trimer must contain an even number of down spins means that the down spins in the lattice must form nonintersecting closed loops—i.e., allowed configurations correspond to Eulerian subgraphs of the kagome lattice. (An Eulerian subgraph is one in which the degree of every vertex is even.) However, not every Eulerian subgraph corresponds to an allowed configuration of phase XI because we are not allowed to put three down spins on the same $b$-trimer. Figure 10 shows an example of an allowed configuration. In moving through the “phase space” of the ground-state manifold, loop number is not conserved, loops are nonintersecting (i.e., they are repulsive with a hard-core interaction), and loop size is not conserved (i.e., the loop tension is zero). This corresponds to a nonintersecting loop gas on the kagome lattice.

The entropy in phase XI is nontrivial. First, we present an estimate based on counting loops on the kagome lattice. This is a series expansion using $x = \frac{2V(V-7)}{Z^1} = \frac{1}{2}$ as a small parameter. Consider a system with $V$ unit cells. The most probable configuration of the $b$-spins is the one with all spins up [Fig. 11(a)]. The next most probable state has a hexagon of down spins [Fig. 11(b)]. This state has the same energy (the ground-state energy) and comes with a factor of $V$ because the hexagon can be placed anywhere in the lattice, but its statistical weight is smaller by a factor of $x^6$ because there are six triangles that are $(\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow)$ instead of $(\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow)$. Continuing in this fashion [Figs. 11(c)–11(e)], we obtain a series for the total number of states,

$$W = 2^{3V} \left[ 1 + V x^6 + 3 V x^{10} + \left( 2 V + \frac{V(V-7)}{2} \right) x^{12} + \ldots \right],$$

(40)

so the entropy per unit cell is

$$s = \lim_{V \to \infty} \frac{\ln W}{V} = 2 \ln 2 + x^6 + 3 x^{10} - \frac{3 x^{12}}{2} + \ldots$$

(41)

When $x = \frac{1}{2}$, including progressively more terms in the series gives the approximations $1.386$ $29$, $1.401$ $92$, $1.404$ $85$, and $1.404$ $48$, suggesting that the entropy per unit cell is $s = 1.405(1)$.

The mean magnetization of the $b$-spins can be calculated by a similar series expansion.

**TABLE IV.** Energies of hexamer states, to two significant figures, for the parameters $J_{aa}^a = 1$, $J_{ab}^a = -2$, $J_{ab}^b = -0.25$, and $h=2$ (corresponding to phase XI, the “kagome-loop” phase). Bold indicates ground states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E1</th>
<th>E2</th>
<th>E3</th>
<th>E4</th>
<th>E5</th>
<th>E6</th>
<th>E7</th>
<th>E8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>$-2.1$</td>
<td>$-2.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\uparrow \uparrow \downarrow$</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\uparrow \downarrow \downarrow$</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>$-2.1$</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When $x = \frac{1}{2}$ this series gives $m_b = 0.12(1)$. It seems likely that the true result is an irrational number. This is in contrast with the honeycomb dimer phase (phase IV), which has rational magnetizations on both sublattices, $m_a = m_b = 1/3$.

We now present an exact calculation of the entropy of phase XI. First, note that the kagome lattice is related to a honeycomb lattice in the following way. Every triangle of the kagome lattice maps to a site of the honeycomb lattice, and every site (spin) of the kagome lattice maps to a bond on the honeycomb lattice. Recall that in phase XI, every triangle on the kagome lattice contains either 0 or 2 down spins (call these “active sites”). Therefore, every allowed spin configuration on the kagome lattice corresponds to an “active-bond” configuration on the honeycomb lattice, in which every site of the corresponding honeycomb lattice must have either 0 or 2 active bonds adjacent to it (see Fig. 12).

The higher-order terms in the series for the degeneracy of phase XI [Eq. (40)] can be seen to be identical to the high-temperature series for the partition function of the Ising model on the honeycomb lattice,

$$Z_{\text{Ising}}^{\text{honey}} = (\cosh \beta \text{Ising})^3 V^2 [1 + V t^6 + 3 V t^{10} + ...].$$

when $t = \tanh \beta \approx 1/2$ and $V$ is the number of honeycomb unit cells. The entropy of the kagome loop phase is similarly related to the free energy of the honeycomb Ising model. Using the well-known exact integral expression for the latter,\cite{10,11} we find that the entropy of phase XI (per unit cell) is

$$s_{\text{phase XI}} = f_{\text{Ising}}^{\text{honey}} - 3 \ln \cosh \beta \text{Ising}^{\text{honey}} = 1.4053 ..., \quad (44)$$

which agrees with the series result presented earlier. It is interesting that the kagome loop gas at $T=0$ and $h \neq 0$ can be exactly mapped to a honeycomb Ising model at $T>0$ and $h=0$.
V. CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we have studied an XXZ-Ising model on the triangular kagome lattice, in which spins residing on small triangles (a-trimers) are fully quantum mechanical, and spins residing on large triangles (b-trimers) are classical Ising spins. For all fields and temperatures, we have shown that there is an exact mapping to the kagome Ising model.

In the absence of applied field, the full Ising limit (i.e., $J_{aa}^s = 0$) of the model has a phase transition from an ordered phase (phase I) for $J_{aa}^Z > 0$ above a critical value $J_{aa}^{Z_{crit}} = |J_{ab}^Z|$ to a disordered phase with for $J_{aa}^Z < |J_{ab}^Z|$, which has residual entropy per unit cell $\ln 72 = 4.2767 \ldots$ (phase V). The introduction of antiferromagnetic quantum fluctuations of the a-spins (i.e., $J_{aa}^s < 0$) has little effect on the ordered phase, but it has dramatic effect on the disordered phase, partially lifting the degeneracy of the ground state and reducing but it has dramatic effect on the disordered phase, partially lifting the degeneracy of the ground state and reducing the entropy by almost a factor of 2 to 2.5258 \ldots per unit cell. For strong ferromagnetic quantum fluctuations of the a-spins (i.e., $J_{aa}^s > 0$), a new phase [phase I(1/2)] appears in which the a-trimers have $S = 3/2$ and $S^z = 1/2$. There is a zero-temperature phase boundary on the $XY$ side of the Heisenberg line, for $J_{aa}^s = J_{ab}^s + |J_{ab}^Z|$, separating this phase from the one with fully polarized a-trimers, phase I(3/2), with $S = 3/2$ and $S^z = 1/2$ within each a-trimer.

All of the ground-state phase transitions which occur in zero field survive at finite temperature, except for the ground-state transition from an ordered phase with $S = 3/2$; $S^z = 3/2$ [i.e., phase I(3/2)] to an ordered phase with $S = 3/2$ and $S^z = 1/2$ [i.e., phase I(1/2)]. Since this transition is entirely about local physics, it becomes a crossover at finite temperature. However, its effects are quite evident at finite temperature, as the I(3/2) phase is more robust and survives to much higher temperature than does the I(1/2) phase.

In the presence of applied field, we find that the XXZ-Ising model on the TKL maps to the kagome Ising model in field with three-spin interactions. We find exact solutions for the ground state of this model. In the absence of quantum fluctuations, but for strongly frustrated interactions, we have previously shown that the Ising TKL has a critical spin liquid ground state (with power-law correlations) for any weak applied field (phase IV), which can be seen through a mapping to hard-core dimer coverings of the honeycomb lattice. We find that this phase survives the addition of quantum fluctuations on the a-trimers; however, it is then necessary to apply a finite magnetic field $h$ to access this phase (rather than an infinitesimal field, as in the case of the TKL Ising AF).

For antiferromagnetic interactions, we have shown that small (and even infinitesimal) applied fields lead to a “kagome loop gas” ground state (phase XI). We have mapped this to the ferromagnetic honeycomb Ising model at zero field and finite temperature, and hence we have shown that phase XI has an entropy of 1.4053 \ldots per unit cell. It is likely that the $b$-sublattice magnetization is irrational, in contrast to all the other phases studied in the paper.

Note added: As we were preparing this manuscript for submission, we became aware of similar work on the zero-field case done by Strečka et al.\cite{15}
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14. In general, knowledge of the finite-temperature thermodynamics of a subsystem does not necessarily allow one to deduce the thermodynamic behavior of the entire system. It is fortunate that the TKL is simple enough such that knowledge of the ground state of a single hexamer allows one to infer the ground state of the entire system.