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I. INTRODUCTION

Controllable “synthetic” gauge fields can be created using laser-dressed adiabatic states in ultracold atomic gases [1,2]. Rapid experimental progress has, among many other developments, realized measurements of both bosonic and fermionic ultracold atoms in synthetic spin-orbit (SO) gauge fields [3–5]. Such developments have motivated many recent proposals for using more elaborate laser-dressed synthetic gauge fields to create quantum simulators using ultracold atoms [6] to realize novel quantum states such as topological insulators [7,8] and Majorana fermions [9,10].

For the laser-dressed synthetic gauge fields realized in experiments and proposed in theories, it is typically assumed that the system adiabatically follows the dressed eigenlevels [1,2]. Naturally, the hitherto unexplored regimes in which this adiabatic assumption no longer holds are also of interest, as more complex studies and coupling schemes proceed. A unique feature of SO gauge fields is the spin-momentum locking in which a change of momentum can yield a change in spin if the system evolves slowly enough to adiabatically follow the eigenlevel. For a SO-coupled Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC), diabatic transitions between eigenlevels correspond to a breakdown of the spin-momentum locking. This work investigates such transitions as a synthetically SO-coupled BEC is accelerated through the SO eigenlevel avoided crossing. We find that the Landau-Zener (LZ) theory provides an excellent quantitative model for understanding such transitions.

II. THEORY OF LZ TRANSITIONS IN A SO-COUPLED BEC

The Landau-Zener model [11] describes the transition of a quantum state between two adiabatic eigenlevels when some parameter that controls the eigenstate of the system is linearly varied in time [12]. The LZ model assumes the time-dependent Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_1(x) & \Omega/2 \\ \Omega/2 & E_2(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the diabatic (“bare”) states $\phi_{1,2}$ have energies $E_{1,2}$ which linearly vary with some adiabatic parameter $x$ and cross at $x_c$ [$E_1(x_c) = E_2(x_c)$]. The difference in slopes between the energy levels at the crossing is defined as $\beta = |\partial E_1(x)/\partial x - \partial E_2(x)/\partial x|_x=x_c$, $\Omega$ is the coupling between the two diabatic energy levels. This coupling “dresses” the bare energy levels and forms new adiabatic eigenlevels separated by $\Omega$ at the avoided crossing.

If a quantum state begins in one adiabatic eigenlevel far from the avoided crossing and is given some eigenstate velocity, $v = dx/dt$, of the adiabatic parameter in the direction toward the avoided crossing, it acquires some probability, $P_{LZ}$, to make a diabatic transition to the other adiabatic eigenlevel as it moves past $x_c$. This diabatic transition probability is determined to be

$$P_{LZ} = \exp[-2\pi(\Omega/2)^2/(\hbar v \beta)].$$

With small velocities or strong coupling, the adiabatic theorem holds and negligible transfer occurs. However, with high velocities or weak coupling, the diabatic transition probability can be significant.

Previous experimental measurements of LZ transitions have been performed with diverse physical systems. Some examples include ultracold atoms in accelerated optical lattices [13–15], Feshbach associated ultracold molecules [16], Rydberg atoms
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of equal Rashba and Dresselhaus types [3]. The SO coupling of a BEC with synthetic one-dimensional (1D) SO coupling m_F

spin component, red (blue) for spin indicate two “bare” spin state energy levels; the solid lines indicate the two SO-coupled eigenlevels [with the color indicating the bare state (ii) the acceleration induced by the gravitational force drives transitions from the lower to upper dressed eigenlevel. The dashed black curves indicate two “bare” spin state energy levels; the solid lines indicate the two SO-coupled eigenlevels [with the color indicating the bare spin component, red (blue) for spin m_F = −1 (0)], here shown for when δ = 0 E_r. Solid and dotted arrows depict the adiabatic (intraband) motion and diabatic (interband) LZ transition, respectively.

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a, b) Counterpropagating, linearly polarized laser beams coupling the m_F = −1,0 states of the ^87Rb BEC via a Raman transition. An external bias magnetic field along the ^z-direction Zeeman splits the m_F states and is used to control the Raman detuning δ. Gravity acts in the −^z direction. (c) Two acceleration methods used to study Landau-Zener transitions at this avoided crossing of the SO eigenlevels: (i) the acceleration induced by the force of the trapping potential drives transitions from the upper to lower dressed eigenlevel, and (ii) the acceleration induced by the gravitational force drives transitions from the lower to upper dressed eigenlevel. The dashed black curves indicate two “bare” spin state energy levels; the solid lines indicate the two SO-coupled eigenlevels [with the color indicating the bare spin component, red (blue) for spin m_F = −1 (0)], here shown for when δ = 0 E_r. Solid and dotted arrows depict the adiabatic (intraband) motion and diabatic (interband) LZ transition, respectively.

[17], as well as solid-state qubits [18,19] and spin transistors [20]. In this paper, we measure the LZ transition probability of a BEC with synthetic one-dimensional (1D) SO coupling of equal Rashba and Dresselhaus types [3]. The SO coupling is the result of adiabatic “dressed” states formed by Raman coupling the “bare” quadratic dispersion curves of two m_F spin states [21,22]. The coupling of the spin states by the Raman field leads to a SO coupling of the form

\[ H_{SO} = \begin{pmatrix} h^2/2m (q + k_r)^2 + \delta/2 & \Omega_r/2 \\ \Omega_r/2 & h^2/2m (q - k_r)^2 + \delta/2 \end{pmatrix}, \]

where \( \Omega_r \) is the Raman coupling strength, \( h k_r \) is the single-photon recoil momentum from the coupling lasers, \( m \) is atomic mass, \( \delta \) is the Raman detuning, \( h \) is the reduced Planck’s constant, and \( h q \) is the quasimomentum (\( q \) is the canonical momentum conjugate to the position coordinate \( \hat{y} \)). Applying the Landau-Zener model to a SO-coupled BEC, the adiabatic parameter is \( q \), the velocity is \( v = dq/dt \), the coupling strength is \( \Omega_r \), and \( \beta \) is defined as the magnitude of the diabatic curve slope difference, obtained by making a linear approximation of \( H_{SO} \) near the diabatic crossing point, \( q_c \).

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

For our experiment, we produce nearly pure three-dimensional BECs of 1–2 \times 10^4 ^87Rb atoms in an optical dipole trap [23], with trapping frequencies tuned in the range of \( \omega_{x,y}/2\pi \approx 180–450 \) Hz and \( \omega_z/2\pi \approx 50–90 \) Hz. To create synthetic spin-orbit orbit coupling, we employ counterpropagating Raman beams along the \( \hat{y} \) axis which couple the \( |m_F\rangle \) states of the \( F = 1 \) ground-state manifold of ^87Rb (see Fig. 1), similar to that of Lin et al. [3,24]. The two Raman beams are generated from the same laser source (\( \omega_{LT} = 2\pi \times 383 240 \) GHz), have a frequency difference of \( \Delta \omega_{LT} = 2\pi \times 3.5 \) MHz, and have perpendicular linear polarizations when incident on the BEC [Fig. 1(a)]. The detuning provided by the quadratic Zeeman shift on the \( |m_F = +1\rangle \) state (\( \epsilon_q \approx 2\pi \times 3.4 \) kHz) allows for the system to be approximated by the two-state description of Eq. (3). (We simplify the actual three-level \( F = 1 \) ground state of ^87Rb into the two-level SO-coupled system following the convention detailed in [3,25].) The natural energy scale for the SO-coupled system is the recoil energy from the coupling laser fields, \( E_r = h^2 k_r^2/2m = 2\pi h \times 3.75 \) kHz, where \( k_r = 2\pi/\lambda \) and \( \lambda = 782.26 \) nm. For the experiments considered in this work, effects due to atom-atom interactions are negligible because the interaction energy of atoms in the BEC (\( E_{int} \approx 0.1 E_r \)) is much smaller than the canonical kinetic energy (\( E_{kin} \gtrsim 4 E_r \)). The dynamics of the BEC relevant for the experiments here can therefore be described by a 1D Schrödinger equation, where the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} = H_{SO} + \hat{H}_{trap} \). Recalling the relation of position and momentum operators \( \hat{y} = i\hbar \partial/\partial q \), it is elucidating to express the trapping term in the \( y \) axis as \( \hat{H}_{trap} = -m q^2/(2\hbar^2) \), which shows how the trapping potential acts as a “kinetic energy” in quasimomentum space [26,27].

IV. MEASUREMENTS OF PLZ

As schematically shown in Fig. 1(c), measurements of the Landau-Zener transition probability, \( P_{LZ} \), were performed by first preparing the BEC in either the upper or lower SO eigenlevel, with initial quasimomentum \( h q \), far from the SO diabatic crossing at \( h q \). The BEC was then accelerated [28] through the diabatic crossing, either by the optical trapping force or by gravity. Depending on the accelerating force, the BEC acquired different eigenstate velocities, \( dq/dt \), as it passed \( q_c \) (detailed procedures of preparing different \( dq/dt \) are presented in Appendix A). After the crossing and when the BEC was sufficiently far from the diabatic crossing such that the diabatic and adiabatic eigenstates matched to better than 97%, the Raman beams and dipole trap were instantly turned off to map the adiabatic dressed eigenstates to the bare spin states. A Stern-Gerlach field was then applied to separate the bare \( m_F \) spin states in time of flight (TOF), and absorption
images measured the population of each spin state to determine \( P_{LZ} \) [which is \( N_0/N_{tot} \) for the transitions in Fig. 1(c), with \( N_0 \) and \( N_{-1} \) being the population in \( |m_F = 0 \rangle \) and \( |m_F = -1 \rangle \), respectively, and \( N_{tot} = N_0 + N_{-1} \) being the total population].

Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the measurement of \( P_{LZ} \) for increasing coupling strengths, \( \Omega_R \), and different eigenstate velocities, \( dq/dt \), with the theoretically calculated \( P_{LZ} \) from Eq. (2) shown by the solid curves. In agreement with the LZ model, the transition probability increases for smaller coupling strengths or larger \( dq/dt \). The LZ model and experimental results are in good quantitative agreement to the level of our experimental resolution of \( P_{LZ} \), which is limited by technical noise in the experimental imaging. Shown in Fig. 2(c), we also measured \( P_{LZ} \) over a range of Raman detuning \( \delta \). The results further validate the expected LZ behavior, where \( P_{LZ} \) does not depend on \( \delta \) (since \( \beta \) is independent of \( \delta \) for this SO-coupled system).

In the SO-coupled BEC eigenlevel structure, we have the opportunity to measure \( \nu \) and \( \beta \) independently to further confirm the validity of the LZ model to this system. To measure the effect of changing \( \beta \), however, it is necessary to measure \( P_{LZ} \) at a different diabatic crossing. Shown in Fig. 3(a), the third-spin state in the system allows probing of the diabatic crossing of the \( |m_F = \pm 1 \rangle \) states where \( \beta = 4 E_r/k_r \), twice the value of the ground-state crossing [29]. The coupling between the \( |m_F = -1 \rangle \) and \( |m_F = +1 \rangle \) states is a four-photon process, and the strength of the coupling is numerically found to be \( \Omega_{4p}/E_r \approx 0.12(\Omega_R/E_r)^{1.75} \) [30]. To compare the two crossings in the LZ model, we present in Fig. 3(c) the \( P_{LZ} \) value over a range of diabatic state coupling strengths, \( \Omega \), which defines the energy gap at the avoided crossing and is \( \Omega_R \) for the lower diabatic crossing and \( \Omega_{4p} \) for the excited-state diabatic crossing. The measurement of the excited-state crossing is
the error bars in (a) indicate a combination of the uncertainties in the BEC acceleration was provided by the trap as in Fig. 1(c.i), and due to LZ transitions to the ground band, the spin-momentum locking. When the BEC adiabatically follows the eigenlevel of the excited band, the spin polarization exhibits the full spin-momentum locking represented by the solid arrow in (b). Such an adiabatic evolution, shown by the open circle data in (a), was realized using $\Omega_R = 1.4E_r$ with $dq/dt = 2.8k_r/\text{ms}$ at the avoided crossing, with corresponding full spin polarization oscillations in the upper band shown in (c). Diabatic breakdown of the spin momentum locking was observed for three experimental accelerations at a lower coupling strength of $\Omega_R = 0.4E_r$ shown by the red squares, green triangles, and blue diamonds, with corresponding results from the time-dependent 1D Schrödinger equation simulations shown by the solid curves. Here, due to LZ transitions to the ground band, the spin-momentum locking is only partially retained. For all data shown in the figure, $\delta = 0 E_r$, the BEC acceleration was provided by the trap as in Fig. 1(c.i), and the error bars in (a) indicate a combination of the uncertainties in the imaging calibration and the shot-to-shot noise.

Again in good agreement with the theoretically calculated $P_{\text{LZ}}$ from the LZ model.

V. TIME-DEPENDENT MEASUREMENTS OF SPIN POLARIZATION

It is well known that the dressed bands (which are adiabatic energy eigenlevels) possess “spin-momentum locking” [31], where the spin composition of the dressed state is tied to its quasimomentum. Returning to a consideration of the lower SO avoided crossing, more specifically, in an adiabatically evolving SO-coupled BEC with $\delta = 0$ [Fig. 4(b)], a change of the quasimomentum from $q = -k_r$ to $+k_r$ causes a flip of the bare state spins and thus reverses the spin polarization of the BEC (where $|\uparrow\rangle \equiv |m_F = 0\rangle$, $|\downarrow\rangle \equiv |m_F = -1\rangle$, spin polarization $= (N_{\uparrow} - N_{\downarrow})/N_{\text{tot}}$, and $N_{\text{tot}} = (N_{\uparrow} + N_{\downarrow})$. For $q$ sufficiently far from the avoided crossing (such that the BEC is dominantly in one bare spin state), reversing $q$ simply reverses the spin direction. It is important to note that the spin-momentum locking, which is one of the most important general properties of spin-orbit quantum gases and underlies many novel physical effects (such as Majorana fermions) [1,9,10], is rooted in the adiabatic assumption and will break down when the adiabaticity breaks down (as in the nonadiabatic LZ transitions).

Utilizing time, momentum, and spin resolved imaging of the LZ transition process, we measure this breakdown of the SO locking. We performed such measurements by instantaneously turning off the Raman coupling during the LZ transition process (at time $t$ since the BEC starts from $q$, at $t = 0$, and we thus map the BEC dressed states onto their bare spin component basis. These are then separated by a Stern-Gerlach pulse and imaged after TOF to determine both the bare state spin and momentum components of the BEC [32]. For atoms starting in the upper band with spin up, as diagrammed in Fig. 4(b), adiabatic evolution would lead to oscillations in the upper band of coupled spin and momentum. Nonadiabatic LZ transitions, however, cause a breakdown of the SO locking. By controlling either $\Omega_R$ or $dq/dt$ the final spin polarization of the BEC after it is accelerated across the SO avoided crossing can be controlled.

As seen in Fig. 4(a), an adiabatic evolution [from a bare spin $|\uparrow\rangle$ BEC at $q = -k_r$ to a bare spin $|\downarrow\rangle$ BEC at $q = k_r$], as indicated by the solid black arrow in Fig. 4(b) was realized by using an acceleration of $2.8k_r/\text{ms}$ (measured at the crossing) and $\Omega_R = 1.4E_r$ where the measured (bare) spin polarization at different $q$ is shown by the open circles. The time-resolved adiabatic oscillations of the BEC’s spin polarization for the same parameters are shown in Fig. 4(c) (with a sufficiently long hold time so the BEC passes though $q = 0$ three times). The breakdown of the adiabaticity, and thus full spin-momentum locking, is seen in Fig. 4(a) for $\Omega_R = 0.4E_r$, where different rates of BEC acceleration lead to different amounts of breakdown of the spin-momentum locking. The diabatic limit (represented by the horizontal dotted line) indicates a regime where the spin-momentum locking fully breaks down (e.g., $\Omega_R = 0E_r$), and the adiabatic limit (represented by the gray solid line) reflects the spin polarization of the adiabatic band structure calculated from Eq. (3). Given the range of accelerations accessible in our experimental setup, it is difficult to go from a fully adiabatic to fully diabatic regime by only tuning $dq/dt$ at a fixed $\Omega_R$. Varying $\Omega_R$, however, more easily allows for changing from adiabatic to fully diabatic. These time-resolved measurements are similar to the work of [33] in optical lattices. Different from their work, however, this LZ transition is created using a Raman coupling which gives rise to spin-dependent eigenstates, which can be “read” out using Stern-Gerlach separation [34].

This behavior suggests using a tunable LZ transition of SO-coupled atoms to create a unique spin-dependent “atomtronic” device [35–37]. This device would be an analog of a spin transistor in which $\Omega$ acts as the gate voltage, the BEC spin polarization (the output in one of the spin components) acts as the current, and the “drift velocity” $dq/dt$ is induced by the force that acts as the source-drain voltage [note the qualitative similarity of Fig. 2(b) to transistor characteristic curves]. As suggested in [35], a Stern-Gerlach field then acts as a spin filter in the readout.

An important characteristic in such devices is the “switching time.” The switching time is the internal time of the device in which the spin is flipped and is thus the minimum time needed to operate the spin switch. Figure 5(a) shows the resulting measurements of the BEC spin polarization as time $t$ is varied for a fixed $v$ at the crossing ($dq/dt = 5.0k_r/\text{ms}$) and four different Raman coupling strengths. The solid lines are results of the numerical simulation of the time-dependent 1D Schrödinger equation (Appendix B). By fitting
the experimental measurements to a sigmoid function (similar to [14]), we extract the time it takes to transition between the diabatic states (“switching time” $t_{\text{switch}}^{\text{dia}}$) in Fig. 5(b). We rescale the extracted switching times to $t_{\text{dia}}^{\text{switch}} = t_{\text{dia}}^{\text{switch}}(\beta / 2\hbar)^{1/2}$ and find agreement with [38]’s predicted value of $t_{\text{dia}}^{\text{switch}} = 2.5$ in the diabatic limit $[(\hbar^2/2\beta^2)/2 < 1]$. General agreement is found with the numerical simulation in both the final spin polarization value and the time scale of the transition. Oscillatory “quantum beats” [39] are seen in the numerical simulation and are the result of a coherence between the split wave packets in the excited and lower eigenlevels after the LZ transition but could not be conclusively observed in our data owing to our limited experimental resolution.

VI. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have measured the interband LZ transition probability in a SO-coupled BEC. The coupling strength, diabatic slopes, and eigenstate velocity at the avoided crossing were each varied independently and shown to agree with the LZ prediction of Eq. (2). We have also demonstrated the versatility of using both gravitational and optical trapping forces to prepare and drive quantum states in synthetic gauge fields. Finally, the dynamics of the transition process was directly measured and was in good agreement with our numerical calculations. The interband transitions studied in our work are entirely due to the breakdown of adiabaticity in the system, in contrast to the transitions due to two-body collisions studied in previous experiments [27,40]. As diabatic transitions are exploited in various proposals for realizing novel synthetic gauge fields in optical flux lattices [1,41], Rydberg atoms [42], and molecules [43], our study of diabatic transitions in SO-coupled BECs may provide additional tools in designing laser-induced synthetic gauge fields. In future work, our approach could be used to probe more complex synthetic gauge fields and to observe Stueckelberg interference [15]. Another natural continuation of this work would be to study the effect of interactions on this LZ transition process [44].
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APPENDIX A: VARIABLE EIGENSTATE VELOCITY

To achieve the variable eigenstate velocities at the diabatic crossing point, the BEC was first prepared in an optical dipole trap in the $|m_F = 0\rangle$ state at $q = 1k_r$. The trapping potential was instantly removed and the BEC would fall under gravity in the $|m_F = 0\rangle$ diabatic state for 1.2 ms, at which point it would reach $q \approx -1.0k_r$. The Raman coupling was then instantly turned on along with the trapping potential, which caused the BEC to be “accelerated back” (decelerated in real space) through the diabatic crossing with an acceleration dependent on the trap frequency $\omega_y$. After passing the crossing, the population of the BEC in each spin component was measured to determine $P_{LZ}$. The eigenstate velocities $dq/dt$ were determined from time-resolved measurements of the BEC as it crossed $q$. (see Table I). [The LZ theory assumes a constant $dq/dt$, but in our system $dq/dt$ changes as the atoms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\omega_y / 2\pi$ (Hz)</th>
<th>$dq/dt$ (units of $k_r$/ms)</th>
<th>$\sigma_w$ (units of $k_r$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>264</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>338</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>449</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
are accelerated in the eigenlevels by the optical trap. We find that using the value of \( \frac{da}{dt} \) at the crossing results in good agreement with the theory. For the numerical simulations of the time-dependent LZ transition shown in Fig. 4, the width \( (\sigma_{\omega}) \) of the initial condensate momentum distribution was set to match that of the experimentally recorded values, also shown in Table I.

**APPENDIX B: TIME-DEPENDENT SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION SIMULATION**

To solve the one-dimensional time-dependent Schrödinger equation for the spin-orbit-coupled BEC in a harmonic trap, we apply the Chebychev propagation method [45]:

\[
\frac{i\hbar}{\Delta t} \frac{\partial \Psi(q,t)}{\partial t} = \hat{H} \Psi(q,t) = \left[ -\frac{1}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} + \lambda \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} \right)^2 \right] \Psi(q,t) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \hat{a}_n \Psi(q,t),
\]

where \( \Psi(q,t) = \{ \Psi_1(q,t), \Psi_2(q,t) \} \) is a two-component column vector written in the bare state basis (\( \{|m_F = -1\}, \{|m_F = 0\} \) ). Expanding the evolution operator in terms of the Chebychev polynomials with a renormalization of the Hamiltonian \( H_{\text{norm}} \) whose eigenvalue ranges from \([\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}]\), we arrive at

\[
\hat{U}(dt) = e^{-i\hat{H}dt/\hbar} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \hat{a}_n \left( e^{-i\hat{H}_{\text{norm}}dt/\hbar} \right),
\]

where \( \phi_n(x) \) is the complex Chebychev polynomial of order \( n \). The expansion coefficients are

\[
a_n = |\langle \phi_n(x) | \hat{a}_n | \rangle| = \frac{1}{2\hbar} \left( 2 - \delta_{n,0} \right) J_n \left( \frac{\lambda_{\max} - \lambda_{\min}}{2\hbar} \right).
\]

\( J_n(x) \) is the Bessel function of order \( n \). The wave function at any time is obtained by applying the evolution operator to a given initial wave function: \( \Psi(q,t+dt) = \hat{U}(dt)\Psi(q,t) \). To perform the Hamiltonian operation, we represent our

\[
\frac{1}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} \Psi(q,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \hat{a}_n \frac{1}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} \Psi(q,t).
\]

\[
\lambda \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} \right)^2 \Psi(q,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \hat{a}_n \lambda \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q^2} \right)^2 \Psi(q,t).
\]

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \hat{a}_n \Psi(q,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \hat{a}_n \Psi(q,t).
\]

\[
\hat{U}(dt) = e^{-i\hat{H}dt/\hbar} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \hat{a}_n \left( e^{-i\hat{H}_{\text{norm}}dt/\hbar} \right),
\]

where the two linear lines, and Fig. 6 shows that the simple LZ formula gives a very good approximation to the nonadiabatic interband transition probability in the spin-orbit-coupled system.
[12] The linear assumption often provides a good approximation near avoided crossing even for more general systems with nonlinearly varying energies.
[28] The acceleration in the real space can be either positive or negative.
[29] The excited-state crossing studied here is the same diabatic crossing where a “Zitterbewegung” was recently observed [25].
[30] Gap $\Omega_{\perp}$ is found numerically for our experimental parameters. If the $m_F = 0$ state could be adiabatically eliminated, $\Omega_{\perp}$ would be $\Omega_{\perp}^{2}/(4E_r - \hbar \epsilon_q)$, as found in [25].
[32] The quasimomentum of the BEC is determined by Gaussian fitting of density profiles of both bare spin components derived from the same $q$.
[34] In addition, no real-space (e.g., optical lattice) potential is needed to realize this LZ transition.