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ABSTRACT

Waste heat can be well utilized in absorption refrigeration systems. The auto-cascade absorption refrigeration system could reach a lower temperature than traditional one because the non-azeotropic mixed refrigerants were used. In this paper, performances of an auto-cascade absorption refrigeration with R23/R134a/DMF (Dimethylformamide) as the working substance was analysed. Theoretical analysing results showed that, to some extent, the COP could be increased when the low pressure of the system decreased or the high pressure increased. The reasonable high pressure was the high turning point pressure, and reasonable low pressure was the low turning point pressure. The COP of the system monotonously increased with the increase of the mole fraction of R23. The lowest R23 mole fraction one should be the most promising.

1. INTRODUCTION

The absorption refrigerator is one of heat driven refrigerating devices, which takes advantages of solution properties to obtain low temperatures. Industrial waste heat, solar heat and geothermal heat were suitable to drive absorption refrigerators, therefore, absorption refrigerators were attracted more and more attentions nowadays. Traditional LiBr/H2O or NH3/H2O absorption refrigerators had been widely applied in industries and daily life, but they were limited by their relatively high refrigeration temperatures. Huge quantities of waste heat were released in oil refining industries and refrigeration temperature below -50°C was demanded during the process of recovery of LPG. Some research data indicated that recovery of 1000kg fuel gas consumed 65kWh refrigeration capacity (Bruno and Guxens, 2002). Under the circumstances, traditional NH3/H2O absorption refrigerators had to add additional devices and complex pipe arrangement to multistage absorption form to reach that low temperature.

Fatouh and Srinivasa Murthy (1995) carried researches on the properties of R22 and inorganic solutions, which showed advantages over traditional working substances and were suitable for lower generation temperature between 75°C and 95°C.

Chen et al. (1998) proposed non-azeotropic mixed refrigerant R22/R142b in the traditional absorption refrigeration system to replace R22 when DMF (N, N-dimethylformamide) was selected as the absorbent in 1998. Furthermore, they proposed R32/R134a as the mixed refrigerant (Chen et al., 1999a) and found similar system performances can be obtained from test results (Chen et al., 1999b). In 2010, Gao et al. (2010) analysed the feasibility of replacing R22 with R32/R227ea in the traditional absorption system. The results also showed that similar system performances can be obtained when DMF was used as the absorbent.

In 2002, Chen (2002) introduced the auto-cascade module into the traditional absorption refrigeration system to obtain a lower refrigerating temperature. The followed up experiments showed promising system performances: the lowest refrigerating temperature was -47.2°C when the generation temperature was 157°C (Chen and He, 2007). The new system was named as the auto-cascade absorption refrigeration system.
As the operation mechanism of the new system is far more complicated than that of the traditional one, theoretical analyses on the performance of the new system has not been investigated systematically so far. This paper proposed a new approach to analyse and optimize the performance of the new system and showed how pressure and refrigerants concentration work in the system (Gao, 2010), which can be a useful guide for the future experiment researches.

2. THEORETICAL MODEL

The key characteristic of the auto-cascade absorption refrigeration system was that the non-azeotropic mixed refrigerant was applied as working substances, in which the non-azeotropic mixed refrigerant went through temperature-changing phase change processes. Thus at the exits of the evaporator and condenser, the mixed refrigerants still remained in the two-phase states, which demanded a recuperator with a large area to liquify the mixed refrigerants in the high pressure side before entering the expansion valve, in order to obtain a low refrigerating temperature. The flow chart of the auto-cascade absorption refrigeration system was shown in Figure 1.

![Flow chart of the auto-cascade absorption refrigeration system](Figure 1)

2.1. Assumption
(1) The generating temperature $T_G$, surroundings temperature $T_H$ and refrigerating temperature $T_L$ were specified.
(2) The mole fraction of rich solution was specified as well as the mole fraction of DMF at the exit of rectification column point 3.
(3) The high pressure and low pressure of the system were specified. The pressure losses in the heat exchangers and rectifier were neglected.
(4) The pinch point temperature differences of the condenser $\Delta T_{C,\text{min}}$ and evaporator $\Delta T_{E,\text{min}}$ occurred at the cold end of the condenser and the hot end of the evaporator, respectively.
(5) The pinch point temperature differences of the refrigerant recuperator R1, R2 and solution recuperator R3 were $\Delta T_{R1,\text{min}}$, $\Delta T_{R2,\text{min}}$ and $\Delta T_{R3,\text{min}}$ respectively. All of the pinch points occurred at the cold or hot end of the recuperators.
(6) The pinch point temperature difference of the absorber $\Delta T_{A,\text{min}}$ occurred at the exit of absorber.
(7) Refrigerant recuperators, solution recuperator and throttle valves were adiabatic.

2.2. Thermodynamic Model
The mass conservation and energy conservation equations of all parts in the auto-cascade absorption refrigeration system were listed as follows.
(1) Generator

\[ Q_G = m_{22} h_{22} + m_{21} h_{21} - m_1 h_1 \]  
\[ m_1 = m_{22} + m_{21} \]  
\[ m_i z_{1,i} = m_{22} z_{22,i} + m_{21} z_{21,i} \]

where \( m \) was the mole flow rate of the working substance [\( \text{mol} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \)], \( h \) was the specific enthalpy [\( \text{J} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \)], \( Q_G \) was the heat input in the generator [\( \text{W} \)], \( z \) was the mole fraction of the component, the first subscript denoted the state point in the cycle, the second subscript \( i \) denoted the \( i \)th component of the mixture R23/R134a/DMF.

(2) Rectifier

\[ m_{22} = m_3 + m_L \]  
\[ m_{22} z_{22,i} = m_3 z_{3,i} + m_L z_{21,i} \]  
\[ m_{22} h_{22} = m_3 h_3 + m_L h_L + Q_D \]

where \( Q_D \) was the rectifying heat capacity [\( \text{W} \)], subscript \( L \) denoted the backflow to the generator.

(3) Absorber

\[ Q_A = m_{20} h_{20} + m_{17} h_{17} - m_{18} h_{18} \]  
\[ m_{18} = m_{20} + m_{17} \]  
\[ m_{18} z_{18,i} = m_{20} z_{20,i} + m_{17} z_{17,i} \]

where \( Q_A \) denoted the absorbing heat released to the surroundings [\( \text{W} \)].

(4) Condenser

\[ Q_C = m_4 (h_4 - h_3) \]

where \( Q_C \) denoted the condensing heat released to the surroundings.

(5) Gas-liquid separator

The composition \( s \) of R23/R134a/DMF vapour and weak solution in the separator could be determined by the vapour-liquid equilibrium.

\[ m_4 = m_{42} + m_{41} \]  
\[ m_4 z_{4,i} = m_{42} z_{42,i} + m_{41} z_{41,i} \]  
\[ m_4 h_4 = m_{42} h_{42} + m_{41} h_{41} \]

(6) Recuperators

Two refrigerant recuperators were treated as a whole one.

\[ m_{42}(h_5 - h_{42}) = m_7(h_8 - h_7) \]

Solution recuperator was defined as:

\[ m_{21}(h_{21} - h_{10}) = m_9(h_9 - h_4) \]

(7) Throttle valves

The throttle valve at the bottom of the separator:

\[ m_{41} h_{41} = m_{11} h_{11} \]

The refrigerant throttle valve:

\[ m_5 h_5 = m_6 h_6 \]
The solution throttle valve:

\[ m_{10}h_{10} = m_{20}h_{20} \]  

(18)

(8) Evaporator

\[ m_7(h_7 - h_5) = Q_E \]  

(19)

where \( Q_E \) denoted the refrigerating capacity [W].

(9) Solution pump

Compared to the amount of heat input into the system, the work consumed by the solution pump was small which was neglected.

(10) Coefficient of performance

\[ COP = Q_E/Q_G \]  

(20)

\( COP \) could also be expressed as the following form:

\[ COP = (h_7 - h_5)/(f(h_{21} - h_1) + (h_{22} - h_{21})) \]  

(21)

\[ COP = (h_8 - h_{42})/(f(h_{21} - h_1) + (h_{22} - h_{21})) \]  

(22)

where circulating ratio:

\[ f = m_1/m_{22} \]  

(23)

2.3. Calculation Of Properties of the Mixture

Modified Patel-Teja equation was applied in this paper to calculate the enthalpy, entropy and phase equilibrium of the mixed refrigerants. The interaction parameters of each pair among R23, R134a and DMF were fitted from literatures (Han et al., 2011, Gao et al., 2012).

3. PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS AND OPTIMIZATION

In calculations, the generation temperature \( T_G=140^\circ \text{C} \), surroundings temperature \( T_{hi}=20^\circ \text{C} \), refrigerating temperature \( T_{li}=-50^\circ \text{C} \), the mole fraction of DMF at point 3 \( z_{3,3}=0.03 \). The pinch point temperature difference \( \Delta T_{R1,\text{min}}=\Delta T_{R2,\text{min}}=\Delta T_{C,\text{min}}=\Delta T_{E,\text{min}}=5^\circ \text{C} \), \( \Delta T_{A,\text{min}}=10^\circ \text{C} \). The flow rate at point 2 was set to be 1mol/s.

3.1. Optimization Of Pressures

The high and low pressures of the auto-cascade absorption refrigeration system were not only related with condensation temperature and evaporation temperature, but also associated with the generation temperature, absorption temperature and the temperature distribution of mixed refrigerants in recuperators attribute to the introduce of the high non-azeotropic refrigerant. Hence, the high pressure and low pressure were treated as two important design parameters in simulations.
Figure 2. The variation of COP with the high pressure $P_H$ ($z_{1,1}=0.2, z_{1,3}=0.6$)

From figure 2, it can be seen that a peak COP occurred with the increase of the high pressure at each low pressure. Hence, the corresponding high pressure can be selected as the reasonable high pressure at certain low pressure.

It can also be noted from Figure 2 that COP monotonically increased with the decrease of the low pressure at certain high pressure. In order to see clearly the variation of COP with the low pressure, the abscissa was reset as the low pressure $P_L$ as showed in Figure 3.

Figure 3. The variation of COP with the low pressure $P_L$ ($z_{1,1}=0.2, z_{1,3}=0.6$)
From Figure 3, it can be seen that COP increased with the decrease of the low pressure at the specified high pressure and there existed a turning point along the line. When the low pressure was larger than the turning point low pressure, COP increased obviously, but when the low pressure was smaller than the turning point low pressure, COP increased very slightly. Hence, the turning point low pressure can be selected as the reasonable low pressure at certain high pressure, because the extreme low pressure deteriorated the performance of the absorber and increased the power consumption of the solution pump.

![Figure 4](image_url)

**Figure 4.** The variation of COP, ΔT_{hot}, ΔT_{cold} with the high pressure (z_{1,1}=0.2, z_{1,3}=0.6, P_L=130kPa)

The variations of COP with the high pressure and low pressure discussed above have been found in other mole fractions of R23/R134a/DMF solutions. It can also be found that the temperature differences at the hot end of the refrigerant recuperator R1 and at the cold end of the refrigerant recuperator R2 varied regularly with the pressures.

From Figure 4, it can be found that the temperature differences at the hot end of R1 and at the cold end of R2 were both approximately equal to the pinch point temperature differences of R1 and R2 respectively, namely, ΔT_{cold}=ΔT_{hot}=ΔT_{min}, when COP occurred a peak value with the increase of high pressure. In other words, at a specified low pressure the pinch point temperature difference transferred from the hot end of R1 to the cold end of R2 when the high pressure increased. Because the heat demanded for the generator varied slightly, hence, the variation of COP was mainly determined by the cooling capacity change with the increase of the high pressure. After the transfer of the pinch point to the cold end of R2, the specific enthalpy difference between state points 5 and 7 increased slightly, but the refrigerant flow rate decreased from the top outlet of the gas-liquid separator when the high pressure increased. These factors can account for the occurrence of the peak value of COP in Figure 4.

Figure 5 also showed that the temperature differences at the cold end of R2 and at the hot end of R1 were both approximately equal to the pinch point temperature differences of R1 and R2 respectively, namely ΔT_{cold}=ΔT_{hot}=ΔT_{min}, COP occurred a turning point with the decrease of the low pressure.
From the above analyses, we knew that the low and high pressures at the turning points should be the reasonable low and high pressures for the specified mole fractions of the solution. In this paper, the reasonable low pressure was specified as 100kPa. The peak value of \( COP \) at the reasonable low and high pressures for the specified mole fractions was defined as the \( COP_{opt} \).

### 3.2. Optimization Of Mole Fractions

According to the pressure optimizing method in previous section, \( COP_{opt} \) of different mole fractions could be obtained. Figure 6 showed the variations of \( COP_{opt} \) and refrigerating capacity \( Q_E \) with the mole fraction of R23 when the mole fractions of DMF \( z_{1,3} \) were set to be 50%, 60% and 70%.

From figure 6, it can be seen that \( Q_E \) and \( COP_{opt} \) both increase monotonically with the increase of R23 for the specified mole fraction of DMF \( z_{1,3} \). The maximum \( COP_{opt} \) for different mole fractions of DMF were almost same, but the corresponding high pressures to \( COP_{opt} \) were different. The larger mole fraction of DMF, the higher the corresponding high pressure was.
4. CONCLUSION

The performance of an auto-cascade absorption refrigeration cycle operated with non-azeotropic refrigerant R23/R134a/DMF was investigated in this paper by a new approach. The analysis results showed that:
(1) At a specified low pressure, $COP$ increased at first and then decreased with the increase of the high pressure. The high pressure corresponding to the peak $COP$ should be the reasonable high pressure.
(2) At a specified high pressure, $COP$ was obviously increased with the decrease of low pressure within a certain range. The turning point low pressure should be the reasonable low pressure.
(3) When the temperature differences at the cold end of R2 and at the hot end of R1 were both approximately equal to the pinch point temperature differences of R1 and R2 respectively, the peak value or the turning point occurred.
(4) When the mole fraction of DMF was specified, refrigerating capacity $Q_E$ and $COP_{opt}$ both increased monotonically with the increase of the mole fraction of R23. But the maximum $COP_{opt}$ were almost the same for different mole fractions of DMF. As the high pressure corresponding to the maximum $COP_{opt}$ for the low mole fraction of DMF solution was lower than that of high mole fraction of DMF solutions, the low mole fraction one should be the most promising.

NOMENCLATURE

\begin{align*}
COP & \quad \text{coefficient of performance} \\
T & \quad \text{temperature} \quad ^\circ C \\
\Delta T & \quad \text{temperature difference} \quad ^\circ C \\
Q & \quad \text{heat load} \quad W \\
h & \quad \text{enthalpy} \quad J \cdot mol^{-1} \\
m & \quad \text{mole flow rate} \quad mol \cdot s^{-1} \\
z & \quad \text{mole fraction of components} \quad (-) \\
f & \quad \text{circulating ratio} \quad (-) \\
P & \quad \text{pressure} \quad kPa
\end{align*}

Subscript

\begin{align*}
G & \quad \text{generator} \\
H & \quad \text{high} \\
L & \quad \text{low} \\
R1 & \quad \text{recuperator 1} \\
R2 & \quad \text{recuperator 2} \\
R3 & \quad \text{recuperator 3} \\
C & \quad \text{condenser} \\
E & \quad \text{evaporator} \\
A & \quad \text{absorber} \\
D & \quad \text{rectifier} \\
hot & \quad \text{hot end} \\
cold & \quad \text{cold end} \\
opt & \quad \text{optimum}
\end{align*}
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