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a message that there was no full-text access available although we had a record for the journal in the catalog indicating full access to the resource! The reason for this “behavior” was that the SFX link resolver and A–Z list were rooted in the Ex Libris knowledgebase, which at that time consisted of only 15,000 titles. With our 25,000 electronic journal titles, this meant that there was a 10,000-title gap between our resource discovery tools, MARC record service and the A–Z list. This also left reference librarians and users wondering why a journal displayed in the catalog was neither listed in the A–Z list nor found by the link resolver.

This presented us with a dilemma on two levels: 1) We did not want to provide a gateway to our collection that was inaccurate and non-representative of our collection; and 2) We did not want to switch to Serials Solutions A–Z list because this would present a change in the interface. This change might confuse our patrons, who were used to the customized MetaLib interface, consistent with our SFX link resolver.

Working with our Systems Administrator we found a creative solution to the problem of asynchronism between the A–Z journal title list and catalog-based access to the online journals. Instead of using a canned A–Z list from either MetaLib (which was inaccurate) or Serials Solutions (which presented a mishmash of interfaces), we decided to leverage the catalog. Retaining the shell of the customized MetaLib interface (see Figure 1), behind the scenes we redirected the queries on the page of CSUN List of Electronic Periodicals from the MetaLib A–Z list to instead searching the catalog by either title, key word, subject or ISSN/e-ISSN. The MetaLib interface had two basic sections: the first provided several different search types (title, title keyword, subject, and ISSN) with associated search boxes for the user to enter their search terms; the second was a browse by title option, with the alphabet represented in a table form at the bottom of the page. The inputs from the main search forms were all passed to a common Javascript function for processing prior to submission. This made it possible to switch from passing the inputs to the MetaLib e-journal list, to submitting them to the catalog. The alphabetical browse function was also relatively easy to replicate in the catalog by submitting a title browse search for the specific letter chosen by the user and limiting the search to electronic journals. Voila! The patron received accurate search results and the library a comprehensive listing of its electronic periodicals. Moreover, by redirecting the search to the catalog, the user gained the capability of drilling down into the database for expanded title and subject browsing. And to respect users’ habits and the integrity of the library’s Website, we retained the look of the page as if the query went into the MetaLib knowledgebase (see Figure 2).

This solution is just one example of the “out of the box” thinking that takes place at the library of California State University, Northridge. I feel very fortunate to work in an environment where innovative thinking is a standard practice. As Cataloging Coordinator at CSUN, I know all too well that the best solutions come from collaboration and a flow of ideas. At a time when changes in information technology happen so rapidly and when it is paramount to be on the cutting edge for the benefit of our users, conferences play a significant role in disseminating fresh ideas, introducing new products, and providing forums for discussions and networking opportunities for librarians and vendors.
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T
he dictionary definition for the concept of a Turning Point is “The point at which a very significant change occurs; a decisive moment.” I wondered this past week as I read about the Springer Open Access (OA) agreement with the California Digital Library whether or not OA as a central feature of academic publishing was about to hit its turning point.

OAKLAND — The University of California libraries and Springer Science+Business Media (Springer) have concluded a groundbreaking experimental agreement to support open access publishing by UC authors. The arrangement is part of the journals license negotiated by the California Digital Library on behalf of the ten campuses of the University of California. Under the terms of the agreement, articles by UC-affiliated authors accepted for publication in a Springer journal beginning in 2009 will be published using Springer Open Choice with full and immediate open access. There will be no separate per-article charges, since costs have been factored into the overall license. Articles will be released under a license compatible with the Creative Commons (by-nc: Attribution, Non-commercial) license. In addition to access via the Springer platform, final published articles will also be deposited in the California Digital Library’s eScholarship Repository. (For the entire press release go to http://www.universityofcalifornia.edu/news/article/19335.)

In that same news announcement, the justification given by Ivy Anderson, director of collections for the California Digital Library agreement with Springer for taking this course of action certainly seems to signal a turning point change in how at least a significant number of academics in California are viewing OA: “Faculty members have told us that they want open access publishing options in order to increase the impact of their published work and eliminate barriers to educational and research use... Just as importantly, they want these options in the journals in which they routinely publish, without disrupting their normal research activity.” (Press release)

For me, these words of support for OA come on the heels of emailed comments from a leading science professor here in Hong Kong who said of the professed benefits of open access publishing:

To my mind, the incremental benefits of open access over more traditional means of disseminating the results of research are really rather marginal. Perhaps I am looking at this from the perspective of science/engineering, but the tax-paying public is not really going to be able to watch this public dollar as a way of convincing governmental funding agencies to require OA publishing, what OA will really do is share research findings with scholars who have little hope of reading very expensive journals because they are not at the top 500 universities in the world which are able to buy into the old scholarly paradigm of paying a handful of academic publishers enormous sums of money to share research results. OA, on the other hand, will involve the minds of thousands of more scholars globally and will enrich the entire academic enterprise.

The open Web communicates more widely than traditional academic publishing. While we may tout the importance of the public having access to research produced with the public dollar as a way of convincing governmental funding agencies to require OA publishing, what OA will really do is share research findings with scholars who have little hope of reading very expensive journals because they are not at the top 500 universities in the world which are able to buy into the old scholarly paradigm of paying a handful of academic publishers enormous sums of money to share research results. OA, on the other hand, will involve the minds of thousands of more scholars globally and will enrich the entire academic enterprise.

The open Web communicates more widely than traditional academic publishing. If the Web didn’t exist it would be simple to agree with the point of view that “It is cheaper for the top universities to pay the major STM publishers to pay costly STM serials subscriptions than to give each of their scholars money with which to pay the many times very costly OA fees.” But the Web has changed everything. Today’s students/tomorrow’s scholars start with the Web and only resort to the information found in the expensive journals much later on in the research process. OA academic information will be discovered much earlier and by more people than it was in the old days (20 years ago).

So where are we? Are we at the OA turning point? Especially for libraries, I think we have to recognize that OA is a revolutionary alternative scholarly communication paradigm compared to the current one where scholars are paid to do research and they publish in journals which survive on the money funneled through academic libraries to academic publishers.

I wondered this past week whether or not OA as a central feature of academic publishing was about to hit its turning point: “The point at which a very significant change occurs; a decisive moment.” I wondered this past week as I read about the Springer Open Access (OA) agreement with the California Digital Library whether or not OA as a central feature of academic publishing was about to hit its turning point.
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