## Purdue University Purdue e-Pubs

JTRP Technical Reports

Joint Transportation Research Program

1988

## Prediction of Erosion on Cut or Fill Slopes : Executive Summary

Jen-Chen Fan

**Recommended** Citation

Fan, J. *Prediction of Erosion on Cut or Fill Slopes : Executive Summary*. Publication FHWA/IN/ JHRP-88/04-2. Joint Highway Research Project, Indiana Department of Transportation and Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, 1988. doi: 10.5703/1288284314142.

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.

# SCHOOL OF CIVIL ENGINEERING

# INDIANA DEPARTMENT OF HIGHWAYS

JOINT HIGHWAY RESEARCH PROJECT

Executive Summary FHWA/IN/JHRP-88/4 - 2

PREDICTION OF EROSION ON CUT OR FILL SLOPES

Jen-Chen Fan



# PURDUE UNIVERSITY



## JOINT HIGHWAY RESEARCH PROJECT

Executive Summary FHWA/IN/JHRP-88/4 - 2

PREDICTION OF EROSION ON CUT OR FILL SLOPES

Jen-Chen Fan

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2011 with funding from LYRASIS members and Sloan Foundation; Indiana Department of Transportation

http://www.archive.org/details/predictionoferos02fanj

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### PREDICTION OF EROSION ON CUT OR FILL SLOPES

то:	H. L. Michael, Director Joint Highway Research Project	January 26, 1988
		Project: C-36-36R
FROM:	C. W. Lovell Joint Highway Research Project	File: 6-14-18

Attached is the Final Report of the HPR Part II study titled "Prediction of Erosion on Cut or Fill Slopes." The report was prepared by Dr. Jen-Chen Fan, Graduate Research Assistant, under my direction.

Dr. Fan's principal activities were the redesign of the rainfall simulator to operate effectively on slopes as steep as 50% and the operation of this simulator on newly graded highway slopes near Putnamville and near Evansville.

Dr. Fan found that rill and interrill erosion on such slopes does not continue to increase with slope steepness, but reaches a maximum and then decreases. This is an extremely important finding, since it shows that conventional use of the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) will greatly overestimate the erosion losses on most highway slopes.

Recommendations are made for values of S (slope) factors and K (soil erodability) factors for highway slopes in Indiana. Examples of the proper use of the Universal Soil Loss Equation for engineering purposes are also provided.

Sincerely,

AW. Lovell/m. C.

C. W. Lovell Research Engineer

- cc: A. G. Altschaeffl
  - J. M. Bell
  - M. F. Cantrall
  - W. F. Chen
  - W. L. Dolch
  - R. L. Eskew
  - J. D. Fricker

- D. E. Hancher
- R. A. Howden
- M. K. Hunter
- J. P. Isenbarger
- J. F. McLaughlin
- K. M. Mellinger
- R. D. Miles

- P. L. Owens
- B. K. Partridge
- G. T. Satterly
- C. F. Scholer
- K. C. Sinha
- C. A. Venable
- T. D. White
- L. E. Wood

PHKA/IN/JHRP-88/4       D. Faring Of Contract Network Network         PARA/IN/JHRP-88/4       D. Faring Of Contract Contract         PARA/IN/JHRP-88/4       D. Faring Of Contract Contract         Jen-Chen Fan       J. Faring Of Contract Contract         Joint Highway Research Project       D. Setter Network         Civil Englave The Sector Network Addent       D. Setter Network         Joint Highway Research Project       D. Setter Network         Civil Englave Addent       D. Sector Network         Inform Again Again Again Again Again Method Addent       D. Sector Network         Joint Highway Research Project       D. Sector Network         Civil Englave Addent       D. Sector Network         Joint Highway Research Project       D. Sector Network         Jointrobuok Network       D. Sector Ne	FHWA/IN/JHRP-88/4		THE REPORT STANDING THE
4. Tirk and Sahnie         9. Friend Status         9. Friend Status         9. Friend Status         2. Awterist         9. Friend Status         9. Friend Status <th></th> <th>c. Obvernment Accession No.</th> <th>3. Recipient's Corolog No.</th>		c. Obvernment Accession No.	3. Recipient's Corolog No.
PREDICTION OF EROSION ON CUT OR FILL SLOPES       3. Farmin Dec.         PREDICTION OF EROSION ON CUT OR FILL SLOPES       3. Farmin Dec.         2. Advanda       4. Performe Openitation None and Addams         3. Joint Highway Research Project       10. Year Univ Research Research         Civil Engineering Building       11. Connent of Count No.         Purdee Driversity       11. Connent of Count No.         Vest Lafayette, IN 47907       11. Types eff. Spain and Penet Count No.         12. Second Acceptone and Addams       11. Connent of Count No.         Indiana Department of Highways       Executive Summary         State Office Building       11. Spain and Penet Count No.         10. North Senate Avenue       11. Spain and Penet Count No.         Highway Administration.       14. Spain and Penet Count No.         13. Suppresenter Noise       Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and rainfall Simulator was modified and successfully operated on fishway slopes with slope steepnees from 92 to 503 at Putnamville and Evancylle, Indiana in 1985 and 1986. Special techniques were introduced for preparation of the test plots and operation of the estimulator.         Distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil erosion were studied under conditions of different nozele tilting angles.         A rotational shear device was modified and operated to obtain critical shear stresses of soil samples to raite the soil erodin 182 to 502. The soil erodibility factor.	A Taland Shared		
PREDICTION OF EROSION ON CUT OR, FILL SLOPES       January 26, 1988         2. Awhedid       Jen-Chen, Fan       9. Performing Organization Cast         3. Jundary Department Network Address       Jundary 26, 1988         3. Jundary Department Network Address       Jundary 26, 1988         3. Performing Organization Network Address       Jundary 26, 1988         3. Spring Organization Of the State Office Network Organization Office Struct Struct       Jundary 26, 1988         3. Spring Organization Of the State Office Paralition (USLE) and previous researchers.       Jundary 26, 1988         3. Spri			5. Feport Data
2. Autorial     4. Performing Digenization Code       3. Jen-Chen. Fan     4. Performing Digenization Code       3. Performing Digenization None and Address     JEN-Chen. Fan       3. Performing Digenization None and Address     JENE-Chen. Fan       3. Type of Repair term of Highways     The Convector Con	PREDICTION OF EROSION ON CUT OR FILL SLOPES		January 26 1989
2. Askedd       J. Perfeming Organization Research Research Research Research Project         3. Perfeming Organization Research Project       10. West University         9. Purdee University       11. Convector of Count Net.         West Lafayette, IN 47907       12. Spreading Agency Research Project         12. Spreading Agency Network Addense       13. Type of Report and Proid Count Net.         13. Spreading Agency Network Addense       14. Type of Report and Proid Count Net.         14. Spreading Agency Network Addense       14. Spreading Agency Code         15. Supplementary Network Addense       14. Spreading Agency Code         16. Astrong       14. Spreading Agency Code         17. Spreading Network Addense       14. Spreading Agency Code         16. Astrong       Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and reainfall simulators are reviewed. A programmable rainfall simulator was modified and successfully operated on highway Slopes with slope steepness for 50. Song are unamiable and 1986. Special techniques were introduced for preparation of the test plots and operation of the simulator. Distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil erosion were studied under conditions of different nozel heights, different slope steepness factor. Streamed from 182 to 507. The soil erodibility factor Examples are given for predicting Soil erosion on highway slopes at five locations in or around the state of Indiana, using the USLE.         7. Key eddense       No restrictions. This document is avaitable to the public through the National Inform			6. Performing Organization Code
Jen-Chen. Fan       4. Pridoming Organization Research No.         9. Performing Organization Research Project Civil Engineering Building Purdue University       10. Next Unit No.         11. Spannering Building Purdue University       11. Convector Gram No.         12. Spannering Appart None and Addense Indiana Department of Highways State Office Building 100 North Senate Avenue Indiana Department of Highways State Office Building 100 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204       13. Type of Report and Point Convector Highway Administration.         16. Atareet       Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and rainfall simulators are reviewed. A programmable rainfall simulator was modified and successfully operated on highway slopes with slope steepness from S0 to S00 at Putnamville and Evancylle, Indiana in 1985 and 1986. Special techniques were Unitroduced for preparation of the test plots and operation of the simulator. Distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil erosion were studied under conditions of different nozzle heights, different slope steepnesses factor was extended from 182 to 502. The soil erodibility factor was masured and compared with that proposed by previous researchers. A rotational shear device was modified and operated to obtain critical shear stresses of soil sheaples to rate the soil erodibility factor. - Examples are given for predicting soil erosion on highway slopes at five locations in or around the state of Indiana, using the USLE.         14. Summer device, critical shear stress?       2. Secury Clautified Missays (Develocetor available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield         15. Summy Clautified       22. Secury Clautified Miss	7. Author(s)		
9. Performing Organization Name and Address       JHRP-88/4         9. Performing Organization Name and Address       10. Vest Universe.         1. Generation of Highway Research Project       11. Generation Const Name         1. Generation of Const Name       HPR Part III         1. Specify Agarety Name addeding       11. Generation Const Name         1. Indiana Department of Highways       Executive Summary         1. State of Circle Name       14. Specify Agaret Agarety Advect Specific Const Name         1. Indiana Department of Highways       Executive Summary         1. State of Specific Name       Previous State Office Building         1. Owner Specific Name       Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal         Highway Administration.       Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and         16. Aburset       Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and         rainfall simulators are reviewed. A programmable rainfall simulator was modified         and successfully operated on highway Slopes with slope steepness form S1 to S01         otstribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil         erosin were studied under conditions of different nozzle heights, different         slope steepness factor was extended from 182 to 502. The soil erodibility         factor was measured and compared with that proposed by previous re	Jen-Chen.Fan		8. Performing Organisation Report No.
9. Performing Organization None of Addient       10. In Highway Research Project         1. Joint Highway Research Project       10. Net University         1. Sector Project       11. Conternance Contract         1. Sector Project       11. Conternance Contract         1. Sector Project       11. Conternance Contract         1. Sector Project       12. Special Agents, Name of Addient         1. Indiana Department of Highways       13. Type of Figure and Project Contract         1. State Office Building       14. Special Agents, Cont         1. Suprimetery Nets       Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal         Highway Administration.       14. Special Agents, Cont         16. Abused       Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and         rainfall simulators are reviewed. A programmable rainfall simulator was modified         and successfully operated on highway Slopes with slope steepness from 97 to 507         at Putnamville and Evanuville, Indiana in 1985 and 1986. Special techniques were         Distribution of the test plots and operation of the simulator.         Distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil         erosion were studied under conditions of different nozzle hights, different         Slope steepness factor was extended from 187 to 507. The soil erodibility         factor was measure and compared with that pro			JHRP-88 //
Joint Highway Research Project       Dirk Highway Research Project         Civil Engineering Building       Dirk Construction         Purdue University       H. Construction         West Lafayette, IN 47907       Dirk Construction         12. Specialize Aperny News and Address       Dirk Construction         Indiana Department of Highways       Executive Summary         State Office Building       Down the the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal         Highway Administration.       He provious research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and         rainfall simulators are reviewed. A programmable rainfall simulator was modified and successfully operated on highway slopes with slope steepness from 97 to 507         Distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil erosion were studied under conditions of different nozzle tilting angles.         The slope steepness and different nozzle tilting angles.         The slope steepness and compared with that proposed by previous researchers.         A rotational shear device was modified and operated to obtain critical shear stresses of soil samples to rate the soil erosion on highway slopes at five locations in or around the state of Indiana, using the USLE.         Z. Kee enditify factor, the simulated in tensicity and ite office sone soil erosion in available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 2216.         Z. Kee enditify distribution, rota-       Distrine Construction Soil Service	9. Parforming Organization Nome and Addres		
Civil Engineering Building Furdue University       11. Connector Cont No. HPR Part II         12. specify a farm New of Addimin Indiana Department of Highways State Office Building 100 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204       13. Specific deviced Priod Cont New Indianapolis, IN 46204         13. Supplementary New Highway Administration.       14. Specific deviced Priod Cont New Science New Highway Administration.       14. Specific deviced Priod Cont New Science New Highway Administration.         16. Atmost       Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and and successfully operated on highway slopes with slope steepness from 92 to 507 at Putnawille and Evanoville, Indiana in 1985 and 1986. Special techniques were introduced for preparation of the test plots and operation of the simulator. Distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil erosion were studied under conditions of different nozzle heights, different slope steepnesses and different nozzle tilting angles. The slope steepness acrow was extended from 187 to 507. The soil erodibility factor was measured and compared with that proposed by previous researchers. A rotational shear device was modified and operated to obtain critical shear stresses of soil samples to rate the soil erodibility factor. - Examples are given for predicting soil erosion on highway slopes at five locations in or around the state of Indiana, using the USLE.         14. Merican device, critical shear stress the soil erodibility factor, the simulated and device, critical shear stress       11. New Previous Test 20. Prove Springfield, Virginia 22161.         15. Supplementary distribution, rota- ional shear device, critical shear stress       12. New Previous 21. Prove Springfield, Virginia 22161.	Joint Highway Research Pro	ject	U. Nort Unit No.
West Lafayette, IN 47907       HPR Part II         12. Spensing Agency Neme of Addom:       I.3. Type of Ream of Price Council         Indiana Department of Highways       Executive Summary         State Office Building       Indianapolis, IN 46204       Executive Summary         13. Supplementery Neme       Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal         Highway Administration.       Id. Abutest       Id. Spensering Agency Code         16. Abutest       Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and rainfall simulators are reviewed. A programmable rainfall simulator was modified and successfully operated on highway slopes with slope steepness from 92 to 50% at Putnamwille and Evancville, Indiana in 1985 and 1986. Special techniques were introduced for preparation of the stanulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil erosion were studied under conditions of different nozzle heights, different slope steepness factor was extended from 18% to 50%. The soil erodibility factor was measured and compared with that proposed by previous researchers. A rotational shear device was modified and operated to obtain critical shear stresses of soil samples to rate the soil erodibility factor.         • Examples are given for predicting soil erosion on highway slopes at five locations in or around the state of Indiana, using the USLE.         * No restrictions. This document is available to the public through the initial intensity distribution, rota-infall intensity di	Purdue University		11. Centrest of C
12. Spenning Agency Near on Addies: Indiana Department of Highways State Office Building 100 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204       13. Type af Report and Period Coursed Executive Summary         15. Supplementery Neire       14. Spenneting Agency Code         15. Supplementery Neire       14. Spenneting Agency Code         16. Abstract       Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and and successfully operated on highway slopes with slope steepness from 97 to 507 at Purnawille and Evancyille, Indiana in 1985 and 1986. Special techniques were introduced for preparation of the test plots and operation of the simulator. Distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil erossion were studied under conditions of different nozzle heights, different slope steepnesses and different nozzle tilting angles. The slope steepness factor was extended from 182 to 507. The soil erodibility factor was measured and compared with that proposed by previous researchers. A rotational shear device was modified and operated to obtain critical shear stresses of soil samples to rate the soil erodibility factor. • Examples are given for predicting soil erosion on highway slopes at five locations in or around the state of Indiana, using the USLE.         17. Key wide introduced, critical shear stresses       No restrictions. This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.         2. Secuty Cleard, (st Mix report)       20. Proce 363	West Lafavette IN (7007	•	HPR Part II
Indiana Department of Highways State Office Building 100 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204       Executive Summary         15. Supplementary Noise Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.       14. Spennening Agency Code         16. Abuver       Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and rainfall simulators are reviewed. A programmable rainfall simulator was modified and successfully operated on highway slopes with slope steepness from 92 to 502 at Putnamville and Evansville, Indiana in 1985 and 1986. Special techniques were introduced for preparation of the test plots and operation of the simulator. Distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil erosion were studied under conditions of different nozzle heights, different slope steepnesses and different nozzle tilting angles. The slope steepness factor was extended from 182 to 502. The soil erodibility factor was measured and compared with that proposed by previous researchers. A rotational shear device was modified and operated to obtain critical shear stresses of soil samples to rate the soil erodibility factor. - Examples are given for predicting soil erosion on highway slopes at five locations in or around the state of Indiana, using the USLE.         7. Keyward isonal shear device, critical shear stresses       No restrictions. This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.         7. Storiky Clearki (st Mix reger)       20. Proce 363	12. Sponsoring Acency Nome and Address		13. Type of Resort and Days
State Office Building 100 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46204       14. Spenneing Armsy Code         15. Supplementery Netter Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.       14. Spenneing Armsy Code         16. Abuve       Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and rainfall simulators are reviewed. A programmable rainfall simulator was modified and successfully operated on highway slopes with slope steepness from 92 to 502 at Putnamville and Evanoville, Indiana in 1985 and 1986. Special techniques were introduced for preparation of the test plots and operation of the simulator. Distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil erosion were studied under conditions of different nozel heights, different slope steepnesses and different nozel tilting angles. The slope steepness factor was extended from 182 to 502. The soil erodibility factor was measured and compared with that proposed by previous researchers. A rotational shear device was modified and operated to obtain critical shear stresses of soil samples to rate the soil erosion on highway slopes at five locations in or around the state of Indiana, using the USLE.         2. Key wide iminator, the slope steepness factor, the soil erodibility factor, the simulated rainfall intensity distribution, rota- tional shear device, critical shear stress iminator, clearly distribution, rota- tional shear device, critical shear stress iminator, the slope steepness factor, the soil erodibility factor, the simulated rainfall intensity distribution, rota- tional shear device, critical shear stress imulator, the slope steepness factor, the soil erodibility factor, the simulated rainfall intensity distribution, rota- tional shear device, critical shear stress imulator, the slope steepness factor, the soil erodibility factor,	Indiana Department of High	Wavs	The second Period Covered
100 North Senate Avenue Indianapolis, IN 46024       14. Spenneting Agency Cede         15. Supplementary News Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.       16. Aburet         16. Aburet       Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and rainfall simulators are reviewed. A programable rainfall simulator was modified and successfully operated on highway slopes with slope steepness from 9% to 50% at Putnamville and Evanoville, Indiana in 1985 and 1986. Special techniques were introduced for preparation of the test plots and operation of the simulator. Distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil erosion were studied under conditions of different nozel heights, different slope steepness factor was extended from 18% to 50%. The soil erodibility factor was measured and compared with that proposed by previous researchers. A rotational shear device was modified and operated to obtain critical shear stresses of soil samples to rate the soil erodibility factor. • Examples are given for predicting soil erosion on highway slopes at five locations in or around the state of Indiana, using the USLE.         16. Newter infall intensity distribution, rota- ional shear device, critical shear stress       18. Distributive Securet No restrictions. This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.         20. Security Clearth (of Mit reset) Unclassified       21. No. of Pagent 363	State Office Building	wuy 3	Executive Summary
Indianapolis, IN 46204       14. Spensence Asiance Cett         15. Supplementer, Noise       Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal         Highway Administration.       16. Asiance:         16. Asiance:       Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and rainfall simulators are reviewed. A programmable rainfall simulator was modified and successfully operated on highway slopes with slope stepness from 97 to 507 at Posterious of the test plots and operation of the simulator. Distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil erosion were studied under conditions of different nozzle heights, different slope steepness factor was extended from 187 to 507. The soil erodibility factor was measured and compared with that proposed by previous researchers. A rotational shear device was modified and operated to obtain critical shear stresses of soil samples to rate the soil erodibility factor.         • Examples are given for predicting soil erosion on highway slopes at five locations in or around the state of Indiana, using the USLE.         16. Diversite Summet is available to the public through the national technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.         2. Security Clearif, (si this reperint)         20. Security Clearif, (si this reperint)         21. Next (Feart         22. Security Clearif, (si this reperint)         23. Security Clearif, (si this reperint)         24. Security Clearif, (si this reperint)         25. Security Clearif, (si this reperint)         26. Security Clearif, (si this reperint)	100 North Senate Avenue		
<ul> <li>15. Supplementory Note:         Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal         Highway Administration.     </li> <li>16. Absurd         Previous research relative to the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and         rainfall simulators are reviewed. A programmable rainfall simulator was modified         and successfully operated on highway slopes with slope steepness from 97 to 507         at Putnamville and Evancville, Indiana in 1985 and 1986. Special techniques were         introduced for preparation of the test plots and operation of the simulator.         Distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil         erosion were studied under conditions of different nozzle heights, different         slope steepness factor was extended from 187 to 507. The soil erodibility         factor was measured and compared with that proposed by previous researchers.         A rotational shear device was modified and operated to obtain critical shear         stresses of soil samples to rate the soil erodibility factor.         - Examples are given for predicting soil erosion on highway slopes at five         locations in or around the state of Indiana, using the USLE.         No restrictions. This document is         available to the public through the         naviabile to the public through the         naviabile to the othe public through the         naviabile to the public through the         naviabile to the public through the         National Technical Information Service,         Springfield, Virginia 22161.  </li> </ul>	Indianapolis, IN 46204		14. Sponsoring Agency Code
Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration.         16. Abuve         16. Abuve         17. Associated on highway slopes with slope steepness from 97 to 507 at Putnamville and Evanoville, Indiana in 1985 and 1986. Special techniques were introduced for preparation of the test plots and operation of the simulator. Distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil erosion were studied under conditions of different nozzle heights, different slope steepness factor was measured and compared with that proposed by previous researchers. A rotational shear device was modified and operated to obtain critical shear stresses of soil samples to rate the soil erodibility factor.         • Examples are given for predicting soil erosion on highway slopes at five locations in or around the state of Indiana, using the USLE.         2. K., were:         The universal Soil Loss Equation, rainfall         18. Diricheter Sciences         19. Distribution free         19. K., were:         19. Distribution free         19. Strength         19. K., were:         19. Distribution free         19. Strength         19. Strength         20. Strength         21. K., were:         22. Strength         23. Strength         24. K., were:         25. Strength         26. Strength         27. Strength         28. Strength <th>15. Supplementory Notes</th> <th></th> <th></th>	15. Supplementory Notes		
Slope steepnesses and different nozzle tilting angles.         The slope steepness factor was extended from 18% to 50%. The soil erodibility factor was measured and compared with that proposed by previous researchers.         A rotational shear device was modified and operated to obtain critical shear stresses of soil samples to rate the soil erodibility factor.         • Examples are given for predicting soil erosion on highway slopes at five locations in or around the state of Indiana, using the USLE.         7. Key Words         The Universal Soil Loss Equation, rainfall simulator, the slope steepness factor, the soil erodibility factor, the simulated rainfall intensity distribution, rotational shear device, critical shear stress       18. Distribution Statement         No restrictions. This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.         9. Security Cloudity (distribution grave)       20. Security Cloudity (distribution Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.         9. Security Cloudity Cloud	<ul> <li>Highway Administration.</li> <li>16. Abuved</li> <li>Previous research rela rainfall simulators are rev and successfully operated o at Putnamville and Evansvil introduced for preparation Distribution of the simerosion were studied under a studied under a studied of the studied under a studied und</li></ul>	tive to the Universal iewed. A programmabl n highway slopes with le, Indiana in 1985 a of the test plots and mulated rainfall inter conditions of differen	Soil Loss Equation (USLE) and e rainfall simulator was modified slope steepness from 9% to 50% nd 1986. Special techniques were operation of the simulator.
7. Key Words         The Universal Soil Loss Equation, rainfall         The Universal Soil Loss Equation, rainfall         simulator, the slope steepness factor,         the soil erodibility factor, the simulated         rainfall intensity distribution, rota-         tional shear device, critical shear stress         2. Security Cloself. (of this report)         20. Security Cloself. (of this perfect         21. No. of Perfect         22. Price         Unclassified	Slope steepnesses and differ	rent nozzle tilting o	nsity and its effects on soil nt nozzle heights, different
7. Key Words         The Universal Soil Loss Equation, rainfall         18. Distribution Statement         simulator, the slope steepness factor,         the soil erodibility factor, the simulated         rainfall intensity distribution, rota-         tional shear device, critical shear stress         20. Security Cloself. (of this report)         20. Security Cloself. (of this report)         20. Security Cloself. (of this page)         21. No. of Pages         22. Price         363	slope steepnesses and diffe: The slope steepness fac factor was measured and comp A rotational shear devi stresses of soil samples to • Examples are given for locations in or around the s	rent nozzle tilting an ctor was extended from pared with that propos ice was modified and c rate the soil erodibi predicting soil erosi state of Indiana, usin	nsity and its effects on soil nt nozzle heights, different ngles. m 18% to 50%. The soil erodibility sed by previous researchers. operated to obtain critical shear ility factor. ion on highway slopes at five ng the USLE.
simulator, the slope steepness factor, the soil erodibility factor, the simulated rainfall intensity distribution, rota- cional shear device, critical shear stressNo restrictions. This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.0. Security Cloself. (of this report) Unclassified20. Security Cloself. (of this page) Unclassified21. No. of Pagee 22. Price 363	Siope steepnesses and diffe: The slope steepness fac factor was measured and comp A rotational shear devi stresses of soil samples to • Examples are given for locations in or around the s	rent nozzle tilting an ctor was extended from pared with that propos ice was modified and c rate the soil erodibi predicting soil erosi state of Indiana, usin	nsity and its effects on soil nt nozzle heights, different ngles. m 18% to 50%. The soil erodibility sed by previous researchers. operated to obtain critical shear ility factor. ion on highway slopes at five ng the USLE.
the soil erodibility factor, the simulated rainfall intensity distribution, rota- tional shear device, critical shear stressavailable to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.20. Security Cloself. (of this report) Unclassified20. Security Cloself. (of this pere) Unclassified21. No. of Pere 22. Price 363	Siope steepnesses and diffe: The slope steepness fac factor was measured and comp A rotational shear devi stresses of soil samples to • Examples are given for locations in or around the s	rent nozzle tilting an ctor was extended from pared with that proposice was modified and c rate the soil erodibing predicting soil erosis state of Indiana, using	nsity and its effects on soil nt nozzle heights, different ngles. m 18% to 50%. The soil erodibility sed by previous researchers. operated to obtain critical shear ility factor. ion on highway slopes at five ng the USLE.
ainfall intensity distribution, rota- ional shear device, critical shear stressNational Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.Security Cloself. (of this report)20. Security Cloself. (of this perf.) Unclassified21. No. of Perf. 20. 22. Price 363	Siope steepnesses and diffe: The slope steepness fac factor was measured and comp A rotational shear devi stresses of soil samples to - Examples are given for locations in or around the s . K., words the Universal Soil Loss Equation simulator, the slope steepness	rent nozzle tilting an ctor was extended from pared with that proposice was modified and c rate the soil erodibing predicting soil erosis state of Indiana, using n, rainfall factor, No restr	nsity and its effects on soil nt nozzle heights, different ngles. m 18% to 50%. The soil erodibility sed by previous researchers. operated to obtain critical shear ility factor. ion on highway slopes at five ng the USLE.
Ional shear device, critical shear stress       Springfield, Virginia 22161.         Security Clossif. (of this report)       20. Security Clossif. (of this perf.)         Unclassified       21. No. of Perf.         Unclassified       363	Siope steepnesses and diffe: The slope steepness fac factor was measured and comp A rotational shear devi stresses of soil samples to • Examples are given for locations in or around the s . K. words the Universal Soil Loss Equation simulator, the slope steepness the soil erodibility factor, the	n, rainfall factor, e simulated	nsity and its effects on soil nt nozzle heights, different ngles. m 18% to 50%. The soil erodibility sed by previous researchers. operated to obtain critical shear ility factor. ion on highway slopes at five ng the USLE.
Security Clossif. (of this report)     20. Security Clossif. (of this pere)     21. No. of Pores     22. Price       Unclassified     Unclassified     363	Slope steepnesses and diffe: The slope steepness fac factor was measured and comp A rotational shear devi stresses of soil samples to - Examples are given for locations in or around the s he Universal Soil Loss Equation simulator, the slope steepness he soil erodibility factor, the ainfall intensity distribution	n, rainfall factor, e simulated , rota-	nsity and its effects on soil nt nozzle heights, different ngles. m 18% to 50%. The soil erodibility sed by previous researchers. operated to obtain critical shear ility factor. ion on highway slopes at five ng the USLE.
Unclassified Unclassified 21. No. of Poget 22. Price	Slope steepnesses and diffe: The slope steepness fac factor was measured and comp A rotational shear devo stresses of soil samples to • Examples are given for locations in or around the s be universal Soil Loss Equation imulator, the slope steepness the soil erodibility factor, the ainfall intensity distribution ional shear device, critical shorts.	n, rainfall factor, e simulated , rota- hear stress	nsity and its effects on soil nt nozzle heights, different ngles. m 18% to 50%. The soil erodibility sed by previous researchers. operated to obtain critical shear ility factor. ion on highway slopes at five ng the USLE. ns the USLE.
Unclassified 363	Stope steepnesses and diffe: The slope steepness fac factor was measured and comp A rotational shear devis stresses of soil samples to • Examples are given for locations in or around the s locations in or around the s he universal Soil Loss Equation simulator, the slope steepness the soil erodibility factor, the ainfall intensity distribution ional shear device, critical shorts.	n, rainfall factor, e simulated , rota- hear stress	nsity and its effects on soil nt nozzle heights, different ngles. m 18% to 50%. The soil erodibility sed by previous researchers. operated to obtain critical shear ility factor. ion on highway slopes at five ng the USLE.
	Slope steepnesses and diffe: The slope steepness fac factor was measured and comp A rotational shear devis stresses of soil samples to • Examples are given for locations in or around the s locations in or around the s inversal Soil Loss Equation simulator, the slope steepness the soil erodibility factor, the ainfall intensity distribution ional shear device, critical sh Security Clearly (of this report) Unclassified	n, rainfall factor, e simulated , rota- hear stress	nsity and its effects on soil nt nozzle heights, different ngles. m 18% to 50%. The soil erodibility sed by previous researchers. operated to obtain critical shear ility factor. ion on highway slopes at five ng the USLE. or Sidement ictions. This document is e to the public through the Technical Information Service, eld, Virginia 22161.

.

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

## PREDICTION OF EROSION ON CUT OR FILL SLOPES

by

Jen-Chen Fan Graduate Research Assistant

Joint Highway Research Project

Project No.: C-36-36R

File No.: 6-14-18

Prepared as Part of an Investigation

Conducted by the

Joint Highway Research Project Engineering Experiment Station Purdue University

in cooperation with the

Indiana Department of Highways

and the

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Highway Administration

The contents of this report reflect the views of the author who is responsible for the facts and accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Federal Highway Administration. This report does not constitute a standard, specification or regulation.

> Purdue University West Lafayette, Indiana

> > January 26, 1988

#### PREDICTION OF EROSION ON CUT OR FILL SLOPES

#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

#### Introduction

Because of growing environmental awareness, there is increasing concern with soil erosion. Regulations to limit the amount of soil sediment permitted in streams are becoming more common. Erosion of highway slopes is most severe during and immediately after construction. It is possible to use the Universal Soil Loss Equation (USLE) by Wischmeier and smith (1978), which is widely applied for prediction of soil erosion, for highway slopes. However, the USLE has been developed, mainly, for agricultural uses. For the USLE, as reported by Wischmeier and Smith (1978), the slope steepness varied from 3% to 18%, which is less than usual highway slope steepnesses. In addition, soils on highway slopes are usually much more dense and cohesive than those for agricultural uses. The amount of soil erosion on highway slopes is expected to be different from that predicted by using equations developed for agricultural uses. According to the above mentioned facts, this study has the following goals.

 To review previous research relative to the USLE and rainfall simulators.

- To establish the slope steepness factor (S) of the USLE from 0% to 50%.
- 3. To modify the rainfall simulator to be used on steep slopes; and to provide techniques for preparation of the test plots and for operation of the rainfall simulator on highway slopes.
- 4. To study distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on soil erosion under different conditions using both theoretical and experimental approaches.
- To compare the measured soil erodibility factor (K) and the K values proposed by previous researchers.
- 6. To attempt to use the critical shear stress obtained from a rotational shear device as a means of predicting the K values.
- 7. To give examples of use of the USLE to predict soil erosion on both fill and cut highway slopes in or around the state of Indiana under various conditions.

To meet the above mentioned goals, a final report has been prepared at Purdue. The final report serves the ultimate goal of helping the road design engineers and the construction engineers of the IDOH in the prediction of soil erosion on highway slopes in the state of Indiana.

- 2 -

The following sections of this summary highlight the most important aspects of the final reports that served to meet the above mentioned goals.

#### Literature Review of the USLE and Rainfall Simulators

Previous research relative to the USLE and rainfall simulators are reviewed. The six factors of the USLE, namely, the rainfall and runoff factor (R), the soil erodibility factor (K), the slope length factor (L), the slope steepness factor (S), the cover and management factor (C) and the support practice factor (P), are reviewed in some detail. Three types of rainfall simulators, namely, hanging yarns, tubing tips and nozzles, are also reviewed in detail.

#### Rainfall Simulator and Field Erosion Tests

To measure soil erosion on highway slopes, a rainfall simulator was modified and successfully operated on highway slopes with steepnesses from 9% to 50% at Putnamville and Evansville, Indiana in 1985 and 1986. Modification included: changing the structural frame; its anchorage and wedges for supporting the troughs, and keeping them horizontal on steep slopes; and footings and bearing plates for bearing various loads due to wind and the weight of the rainfall simulator. Special techniques were developed for preparation of the plot surface, its borders, plot ends, etc. Special operating sequences of the rainfall simulator were also developed for the field erosion tests, on steep slopes. They included transporting the rainfall simulator from one test plot to another using a crane, ladders for researchers to walk up or down during the rainfall simulation testing, etc. Three test plots at Putnamville, Indiana and twelve test plots at Evansville, Indiana, were selected to run field erosion tests. These test plots were on newly constructed highway slopes, without any cover, management or support practice. The three test plots at Putnamville had 50% slopes. There were four test sites at Evansville and each site had three test plots. The slope steepnesses at Sites No. 1, 2, 3 and 4 were 50%, 33.3%, 16.7% and 9.1% respectively. The targeted rainfall intensity of the field erosion tests was 2.5 inches/hour. The modified rainfall simulator and special techniques may be applied for measurement of soil erosion on any fill or cut highway slope with slope steepness ranging from 0% to 50% in Indiana.

#### Distribution of the Simulated Rainfall Intensity and Its Effects on Soil Erosion under Different Conditions

The rainfall intensity distribution and the R factor of the rainfall simulator were proposed by Meyer and McCune (1958). However, they are for slopes which are not steep. They may change with slope steepness. When the rainfall simulator is used on slopes, the troughs or nozzles may be tilted and their heights may be changed. These two variables affecting the rainfall intensity distribution should also be studied. For the above purposes, a study has been theoretically and experimentally accomplished on prediction of the rainfall intensity distribution

- 4 -

under conditions of different nozzle heights, slope steepnesses and nozzles tilting angles for single nozzles and group nozzles. The effects on soil erosion due to the rainfall intensity distribution are also analyzed. From the predicted and measured results, it can be concluded:

- [1] A theoretical approach may be developed for predicting distribution of the simulated rainfall intensity and its effects on erosion. The predicted values using this approach and the measured data are reasonably close.
- [2] Using the theoretical approach and the written computer programs, average intensities and distributions of rainfall intensity for single nozzles or composite nozzles can be calculated and plotted for any values of nozzle height, slope steepness and nozzle tilting angle, if distributions of the rainfall intensity for single nozzles are given under a given condition.
- [3] Average intensity increases with slope steepness.
- [4] Average intensity is not sensitive to nozzle height and nozzle tilting angle.
- [5] The relative sum of the square of intensity, called the F<sub>di</sub> factor, which affects the interrill erosion rate because of distribution of rainfall intensity, decreases with nozzle height. For nozzle heights greater than 8 ft, the F<sub>di</sub>

factor does not change significantly.

- [6] The F<sub>di</sub>factor increases very little or does not change at all with nozzle tilting angle.
- [7] The F<sub>di</sub> factor increases with slope steepness.

The results of this section may be used in the future for predicting the R factor when both interrill and rill erosion can be quantitatively determined.

#### Laboratory Tests, Data Analyses, Results and Discussions of the Samples and Data Collected from the Field Erosion Tests

After the samples and data were collected from the field erosion tests at Putnamville and Evansville as discussed in Chapter 3, a number of laboratory tests and data analyses were undertaken. They included moisture content, field density, specific gravity, Atterberg limits, grain size distribution, organic matter content, soil classification, slope steepnesses of the tested plots, slope cross-section, surface vane shear, discharge rate of runoff, micro-topographic measurement, sediment concentration of the runoff, total erosion of each run, flow velocity, eroded aggregate size distribution and average applied rainfall intensity of the field erosion tests.

From the test results, it can be concluded:

[1] Soil properties of the four sites at Evansville are reason-

- 6 -

ably similar. The soil properties consist of specific gravity, Atterberg limits, grain size distribution, organic matter content, field density, moisture content and eroded aggregate size distribution. The soil conditions and soil erodibilities of the tested plots at Evansville are considered to be the same.

- [2] From the field erosion tests on highway slopes at Evansville, the S factor is extended to 50% (26.6 degrees) from 18% (11.2 degrees). This means that erosion due to interrill and rill erosion does not continue to increase with slope steepness.
- [3] The S factor of the site with a slope steepness of 49.7% (26.4 degrees) at Putnamville is estimated to be 1.50 to 1.75 which is much less than the extrapolated S values proposed by previous researchers, but close to the S factor for the sites at Evansville.
- [4] For cohesive and compacted soils of the highway slopes at Evansville, total erosion is very sensitive to discharge rate or slope length, but not sensitive to slope steepness.
- [5] For the erosion tests at Evansville, a critical discharge rate or a critical slope length seems to exist for a given slope steepness. When discharge rate is less than this critical value, erosion rate increases very little or does not increase at all with discharge rate. When beyond this

- 7 -

critical value, erosion rate increases markedly with discharge rate.

- [6] The S factor changes with slope length, soil properties and elapsed time. Accordingly, the S factor is not a factor independent of the others.
- [7] For cohesive and compacted soils in this study, the soil erodibility factor is less than that from the nomograph of Wischmeier, Johnson and Cross (1971). The effect may be described as the compaction factor.
- [8] For the field erosion tests in this study, the ratios of total erosion (which consists of dry, wet and very wet runs) to the erosion due to very wet runs are close to a constant. The mean value is 6.35 with a standard deviation of 0.311 and a coefficient of variation of 4.90%.
- [9] Flow velocities of the runoff were measured and the values are reasonable.
- [10] Slope cross-sections of the tested plots were measured and analyzed. The errors are considered too large to be used for analyses of rill development and erosion mechanism.
- [11] The value of Manning's roughness coefficient, n, is calculated based on two assumptions on flows, namely, rill flows and sheet flows. The n values based on rill flow assumption are about 4.35 times of those based on sheet flow

- 8 -

assumption. The n values at dry, wet and very wet runs of the sites at Evansville based on the sheet flow assumption are considered to be close to true values.

Based on the results of this study, the following recommen-

- [1] For design purposes, and to be on the conservative side, before other indicators are available to predict the K factor more precisely than the nomograph proposed by Wischmeier, Johnson and Cross (1971), the nomograph is recommended for use in predicting the K factor of the soils on highway slopes in the state of Indiana.
- [2] The S factor recommended for highway slopes with compacted and cohesive soils in Indiana is: for slope angles less than 14 degrees, the S values are that proposed by McCool and George (1983); and for slope angles equal to or greater than 14 degrees, the S values are 2. For cut slopes, the S factor is recommended to be that proposed by McCool and George (1983).
- [3] The L factor is recommended to be that proposed by Wischmeier and Smith (1965).
- [4] To predict soil erosion on highway slopes more precisely, certain research efforts are recommended for the future.

- 9 -

They are: research of the raindrop impact and its detachment rate on soil slopes; the soil erodibility factors for interrill and rill erosion; and equations based on the fundamental mechanics for predicting soil erosion, to replace the empirical equations.

#### Tests with Rotational Shear Device

To study the relationship between the soil erodibility factor (K) and critical shear stress, a rotational shear device developed by Chapuis (1986) was modified and successfully operated in 1986 and 1987. The modifications included the guiding shaft for transmitting the torque from the soil sample to the force gage, ball bearings at the interfaces between the guiding shaft and the device for reducing the friction and a digital force gage for measuring the torque. The modifications provided better operation and lower internal friction, and accordingly, increased accuracy of the results.

From the tests results, it can be concluded:

[1] Critical shear stresses were obtained by using the rotational shear device for the samples from Evansville, Putnamville and Throckmorton, Indiana. When shear stress is below the critical value, the erosion rate increases slowly with shear stress, while beyond the critical value, the erosion rate increases rapidly.

- [2] The rotational shear device test is not recommended for noncohesive or partially saturated or loose soil samples because of problems due to slaking and instability of the soil samples.
- [3] For compacted cohesive and fully (or nearly fully) saturated samples, the soil erodibility factor decreases with critical shear stress.
- [4] The rotational shear device test does not seem to reflect completely the erosion process due to raindrop and runoff process. For example, percolation of water and raindrop detachment cannot be simulated by the test.
- [5] Critical shear stress may not be used to determine the soil erodibility factor for soils with low densities, or low cohesion or high permeabilities.

#### Examples of Predicting Soil Erosion on Highway Slopes Using the Universal Soil Loss Equation

Using the USLE and the findings in this study, examples are given for predicting soil erosion on fill and cut highway slopes at five different locations in or around the state of Indiana. The five locations are Evansville, Crawfordsville, Greencastle, Cincinnati and Bloomington. The examples include the effects of the following variables: a bench with a ditch on the highway slope; shapes of the highway slopes, e.g. convex and concave; cover and management on the slope; and a curb on the highway shoulder.

# COVER DESIGN BY ALDO GORGINI