Bike Lane Striping

*The devil is in the details*
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Where are we going?

- Demand for motor vehicle transportation on a per capita basis has peaked (per capita VMT as the measure)
- Demand for other forms of transportation is growing
- Diversification of our transportation system for multiple reasons
- A more complicated operating environment for all traffic participants

*Significant growth (percentage) in bicycle infrastructure moving forward*
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U.S. Vehicle Miles Traveled Per Capita, Annualized and Real Gasoline Pump Prices

Source: Traffic Volume Trends and Energy Information Administration
Current conditions – where are we at?

• First streets with bike lanes are currently being implemented in Indiana
  • A new element in our traffic scheme
  • A new experience for local drivers and bikers
  • We will be learning new skills

• Crash statistics tell us
  • Less than 10% of car-bicycle collisions involve overtaking situations
  • The majority of car-bicycle collisions involve turning movements
Current guidance – focus not on turning movements

• AASHTO Guide to Development of Bicycle Facilities
• MUTCD
• City of Chicago bike lane striping guide
• Davis, CA

Focus is on striping between intersections
Bike lane characteristics

• Run parallel to, and right of motor vehicle lanes, adjacent to the curb
• Separates motor vehicle and cycle traffic
• Generally carry traffic moving at different speeds than motor vehicle lanes
  • Cars are faster during free flow situations in motor vehicle lanes
  • Cycles are faster when motor vehicle flow is inhibited
• Are a thru-lane to the right of a right turn lane
• Requires yielding as appropriate to the through movement
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Bike lane characteristics

Yield
Bike lane characteristics

In most aspects, no different than a sidewalk with pedestrians.

\[ f_p = \frac{(v_x) \left( \frac{w}{S_p} \right)}{3,600} \]

- \( f_p \) = pedestrian blockage factor
- \( v_x \) = pedestrian flow rate
- \( W \) = lane width
- \( S_p \) = pedestrian walking speed
Current AASHTO & MUTCD guidance – what is missing?

Clear signage and markings at conflict points that clarifies right of way issues, expected movements, and behavior of traffic participants, in particular at and through intersections.
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Broad recommendations

Provide clear rules of the road guidance at intersections / conflict points
  • Striping, signage, signalization (long term)

Build upon current MUTCD striping logic
  • Double line – maximum / special restrictions
  • Solid line – discourages / prohibits crossing
  • Broken line – permissive condition
  • Dotted line – guidance

Implement a hierarchy of striping based upon the hierarchy of traffic participants
  • Pedestrians
  • Cyclists
  • Motor vehicles

Goal: clear perceptibility of pedestrian / bicycle / vehicle routing through intersections
Specific recommendations

• Dashing of bike lines in following areas
  • Through intersections
  • Commercial curb cuts
  • Where cyclist must leave bike lane to make left turn movements

• Maintenance of solid bike lane line to stop line at signalized intersections

• Advanced stop line for cyclists at signalized intersections
  • Line of sight
  • No right on red when bikes are present signage

• Bike symbol at conflict points of major intersections

• Use of bike symbol instead of diamond in bike lanes
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