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A B S T R A C T

Due to the critical role of vapor confinement in establishing distinct flow and heat transfer characteristics in microchannels (as distinct from those in larger channels), the conditions under which such confinement occurs in microchannels are of great interest. It is shown in the present work that channel dimensions and flow properties alone, as proposed in past studies, are insufficient for determining confinement effects in microchannel boiling. Hence, a new criterion for physical confinement in microchannel flow boiling, termed the convective confinement number, that incorporates the effects of mass flux, as well as channel cross-sectional area and fluid properties, is proposed. This criterion helps determine the conditions under which a channel qualifies as a microchannel for two-phase flow, needing special treatment, and when a macroscale treatment is adequate. In addition, based on previous work by the authors, a new comprehensive flow regime map is developed for a wide range of experimental parameters and channel dimensions, along with quantitative transition criteria based on nondimensional boiling parameters.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In flow boiling through channels, as the channel size decreases to approach the bubble diameter, physical confinement begins to modify the influence of the different governing forces, resulting in different behavior of boiling in microchannels compared to that in conventional-sized channels. Kandlikar [1] classified channels with hydraulic diameters between 10 and 200 μm as microchannels for flow boiling, based merely on dimensions and not on physical behavior. Kew and Cornwell [2] proposed a criterion for a threshold hydraulic diameter below which microchannel two-phase flow is characterized by confined single bubbles; the available models for macroscale boiling were found to be unsuitable for the prediction of heat transfer and pressure drop at these small channel sizes. Serizawa et al. [3] recommended a confinement criterion similar to that of Kew and Cornwell representing the ratio of surface tension and gravity forces for the channel size below which the influence of surface tension becomes important. These confinement criteria [2,3] include channel hydraulic diameter and fluid properties; however, it will be shown from the results obtained from the current study that mass flux also governs bubble confinement. A new criterion for the occurrence of vapor confinement in flow boiling in microchannels is proposed that incorporates mass flux as well as channel cross-sectional area and fluid properties based on these results.

Flow regime maps are commonly used to determine the flow patterns that exist under different operating conditions, as well as the conditions for flow pattern transitions. Such maps are essential to the development of flow regime-based models for the prediction of the heat transfer rate and pressure drop in flow boiling. The coordinates used to plot these flow regime maps can be superficial phase velocities or derived parameters containing these velocities; however, the effects of important parameters such as channel size are not represented in a number of these maps. Baker [4], Hewitt and Roberts [5], and Taitel and Dukler [6] developed early flow regime maps for horizontal and vertical two-phase flow in channels with diameters of a few centimeters. In recent years, a few studies [7–11] have developed flow regime maps for boiling in microchannels using similar axes as conventional maps with flow regime definitions pertinent to macroscale boiling, and have shown that flow regime maps developed for larger tubes are inapplicable for predicting flow regime transitions in microchannels. Flow regime maps for adiabatic two-phase flow in microchannels have also been proposed through high-speed visualizations [12–14]; however, it has been shown [9] that adiabatic flow regime maps are not suitable for the prediction of macroscale boiling. Despite the inability of macroscale boiling maps or adiabatic two-phase flow regime maps to predict the boiling flow patterns in microchannels, a review of the literature shows a dearth of investigations into flow regime maps specifically targeted at microchannels undergoing flow boiling that...
are applicable to a wide range of microchannel dimensions and experimental conditions.

In recent work by the authors [15–17], the effects of microchannel dimensions and mass flux on flow boiling regimes and heat transfer rates were investigated. Experiments were conducted with the perfluorinated dielectric fluid, Fluorinert FC-77, over a wide range of channel dimensions and mass fluxes. The microchannel width, aspect ratio, and hydraulic diameter in these experiments ranged from 0.8 to 1.4 mm, 0.27 to 15.55, and 96 μm to 707 μm, respectively, and the mass flux ranged from 225 to 1420 kg/m²s. The dependence of the boiling heat transfer coefficient on vapor quality was also investigated in detail for a number of refrigerants [18]. In [16], two types of flow regime maps were developed, one on mass flux-vapor quality coordinates and the other on vapor–liquid superficial velocity coordinates. However, the flow regime maps represented in these coordinate systems depend on channel dimensions, and therefore, individual maps were developed for each channel size.

This review of the literature shows that existing flow regime maps for boiling in microchannels are limited to narrow ranges of channel sizes and have been developed for water and refrigerants. In the present work, a new type of comprehensive flow regime map for microchannel flow boiling is developed for a wide range of experimental flow regimes and channel dimensions for FC-77. Quantitative transition criteria based on nondimensional parameters are also proposed. Also, a new criterion for the definition of a microchannel based on the presence or absence of confinement effects in flow boiling is proposed.

2. Experimental setup and test procedures

In this section, key details of the experiments on which the present comprehensive flow regime map and vapor confinement transition criterion are based are explained. More details of the test section assembly, flow loop, and calibration procedures are available in Harirchian and Garimella [15].

The test loop consists of a magnetically coupled gear pump, a preheater installed upstream of the test section to heat the coolant to the desired subcooling temperature, and a water-to-air heat exchanger located downstream of the test section to cool the fluid before it enters a reservoir (Fig. 1). The liquid is fully degassed before initiating each test using two degassing ports and the expandable reservoir. Details of the expandable reservoir design and the degassing procedure are available in Chen and Garimella [19]. A flow meter with a measurement range of 20–200 ml/min monitors the flow rate through the loop and five T-type thermocouples are utilized to measure the fluid temperature at different locations in the loop. The pressure in the outlet manifold of the test section is maintained at 1 atm. The pressure in the inlet manifold and the pressure drop across the microchannel array are measured using a pressure transducer (Gems Sensors, 2200 series) and a differential pressure transducer (Omega, PX2300 series), respectively.

The microchannel test piece shown in Fig. 2(a) consists of a 12.7 mm × 12.7 mm silicon substrate mounted on a printed circuit board (PCB). Parallel microchannels of rectangular cross-section are cut into the top surface of the silicon chip using a dicing saw. A poly carbonate top cover positioned above the test piece and sealed with an O-ring provides enclosed passages for the liquid through the microchannels (Fig. 2(b)).

Twelve test pieces, with microchannel widths ranging from 100 μm to 5850 μm and depths ranging from 100 μm to 400 μm, all having a channel length of 12.7 mm, are included in the experimental investigation. The aspect ratio and hydraulic diameter of the microchannels in the different test pieces take values from 0.27 to 15.55 and 96 μm to 707 μm, respectively. The width (w), depth (d), and number (N), along with the hydraulic diameter (Dh), aspect ratio (w/d), and single channel cross-sectional area (Acs) of the microchannels in each test piece are summarized in Table 1. The average roughness of the bottom wall of the microchannels ranges from 0.8 to 1.4 μm for the different test pieces as
measured by an optical profilometer; the bottom wall of the 100-μm-wide microchannels has a lower average roughness of 0.1 μm since a single dicing cut was used in their fabrication.

A 5 × 5 array of individually addressable resistance heat sources is fabricated on the underside of the silicon chip. In the present work, a uniform heat flux is provided to the base of the microchannel heat sink. Mild flow reversals were still observed at the inlet of the microchannels at the highest heat fluxes studied, for microchannels of cross-sectional area 0.144 mm² and smaller; however, these instabilities did not affect the inlet fluid temperature, which is held constant throughout each test.

At each heat flux and after the system reaches a steady state, high-speed visualizations are performed simultaneously with the heat transfer and pressure drop measurements. Movies of the flow patterns are captured at various frame rates ranging from 2000 frames per second (fps) to 24,000 fps, with the higher frame rates used for the smaller microchannels at the larger heat and mass fluxes. The images obtained from the camera are then post-processed using a MATLAB code developed in-house to enhance the quality of the images, especially for those captured at higher frame rates.

2.1. Data reduction

The local heat transfer coefficient is calculated from

\[ h = \frac{q^*_w}{T_w - T_{ref}} \]  

where \( T_{ref} \) is the local mean fluid temperature in the single-phase region and the liquid saturation temperature in the two-phase region. \( h \) is the overall surface efficiency of the microchannels defined as \( h = 1 - \frac{h_f}{h_{f,ad}} (1 - \eta_f) \), where \( A_f = 2Ld \) is the wetted area of a fin and \( \eta_f = \frac{c_{p0} L_{d,ad}}{c_{p0} \Delta T} \) is the efficiency of a fin with an adiabatic tip. The heat flux used in Eq. (1) is the wall heat flux and is defined as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Microchannel dimensions and mass fluxes (the microchannel widths and depths are referred to in the rest of the paper by the nominal values that are provided in the table; similarly, the four mass fluxes are referred to in the rest of the paper by the nominal values of 225, 630, 1050, and 1420 kg/m²s).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>w (μm) (actual values)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 (99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 (97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 (102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 (240)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 (398)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 (400)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 (395)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700 (686)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 (1024)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 (978)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2200 (2203)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5850 (5851)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
\[ q_{\text{in}}^w = \frac{q_{\text{net}}}{(A_t/25)} \]  
where \( A_t \) is the total heated area of the microchannels

\[ A_t = N(w + 2d)L \]  
The net heat transfer rate to the fluid, \( q_{\text{net}} \), is obtained from the energy balance for each heating element:

\[ q_{\text{net}} = q - q_{\text{loss}} \]  
in which \( q \) is the total heat dissipated from each heat source and \( q_{\text{loss}} \) is the heat loss which is experimentally determined before the test assembly is charged with coolant. Further details of the heat loss measurement procedure and data reduction can be found in Harirchian and Garimella [15]. The heat loss values range from 7% to 50% of the net heat transfer rate for different test pieces and different mass fluxes, and are larger for wider microchannels at lower mass fluxes.

The calculated local heat transfer coefficients presented in this paper are based on measurements from the temperature sensor located along the centerline of the test piece near the exit. In general, boiling starts at the downstream end of the microchannels and two-phase flow does not cover the whole length of the microchannels at low heat fluxes. In this study, the local values are reported for the location near the exit of the central microchannels.

Important nondimensional parameters often used in flow boiling include Reynolds number, \( Re \), Bond number, \( Bo \), and Boiling number, \( Bl \). The Reynolds number is calculated using the liquid phase mass flux as:

\[ Re = \frac{CD}{H_f} \]  
The Bond number represents the ratio of buoyancy force to surface tension force and becomes important in microscale boiling:

\[ Bo = \frac{g(\rho_f - \rho_v)D^3}{\sigma} \]  
As demonstrated in Harirchian and Garimella [17], the channel cross-sectional area plays a critical role in determining microchannel boiling mechanisms and heat transfer; therefore, the length scale used in equations (5) and (6) is the square root of the cross-sectional area of one channel rather than its hydraulic diameter. Boiling number is the nondimensional form of the heat flux and is calculated using the liquid mass flux and latent heat as follows:

\[ Bl = \frac{q_m}{Gh_{fg}} \]  
The measurement uncertainties for the flow meter and the pressure transducers are 1% and 0.25% of full scale, respectively. The uncertainties in the measurement of the channel dimensions, the T-type thermocouples and the diode temperature sensors are ±15 μm, ±0.3 °C and ±0.3 °C, respectively. Following a standard uncertainty analysis [21], the uncertainties associated with the wall heat flux and the heat transfer coefficient are estimated to be 2.0–11.4% and 2.2–11.7%, respectively, for the cases considered, with the larger uncertainties occurring for smaller microchannels at lower heat fluxes. These uncertainties are primarily governed by uncertainties in the measurement of the wetted surface area, since the uncertainties in the net heat transfer rate, wall temperature, and saturation temperature are relatively small.

3. Results and discussion

As mentioned in the introduction, a systematic investigation of boiling flow patterns and heat transfer with respect to microchannel dimensions and mass flux was recently performed by the authors [16,17] and it was shown that confinement effects are present below a threshold value of channel cross-sectional area for a fixed mass flux [17]. In the present work, a criterion is developed for the existence of physical confinement in microchannel boiling based on channel dimensions, mass flux and fluid properties. The effects of vapor confinement on the boiling heat transfer coefficient are then discussed. Finally, a comprehensive flow regime map is developed based on approximately 390 experimental data points [16,17].

3.1. Microscale phenomena

There has been a good deal of discussion in the literature regarding the appropriate definition of a microchannel; however, a clear, physics-based distinction of microchannels from conventional-sized channels has not emerged. In general, a microchannel refers to a channel for which the heat transfer coefficient and pressure drop deviate from the predictions from widely accepted models for conventional-sized channels. For single-phase flow, Liu and Garimella [22] and Lee et al. [23] showed that channels with hydraulic diameters small as 244 μm (the minimum considered in these studies) still exhibit heat transfer and pressure drop behavior that is well-predicted by conventional models. With boiling present in the channels, however, the flow phenomena differ from those in macroscale channels as the channel approaches the bubble diameter in size. In these small channels, correlations and models developed for larger channels no longer apply [24]. In this section, a new criterion is developed for delineating microchannels from macroscale channels based on the presence of vapor confinement.

In Harirchian and Garimella [16], flow visualizations were performed with simultaneous heat transfer measurements during flow boiling in microchannels of different sizes for different flow rates. Five flow regimes – bubbly, slug, churn, wispy-annular, and annular flow – were identified in these microchannels and reference may be made to that paper for photographs, schematic diagrams and detailed descriptions of the regimes. The changes in flow regimes with microchannel size and mass flux were discussed in detail. Fig. 3 shows a summary of the existing flow regimes at different microchannel sizes and different mass fluxes. It is seen that in the smaller microchannels and at low mass fluxes, bubbly flow is not established; instead, slug flow is observed for low heat fluxes. In slug flow, elongated vapor bubbles are confined within the channel cross-section and are separated from the walls by a thin liquid layer. As the heat flux is increased, an alternating churn and confined annular flow appears in these microchannels. In the confined annular flow, the vapor core occupies the whole cross-section of the microchannels and is separated from the walls by a thin liquid film.

As the channel cross-sectional area or the mass flux increases, bubbly flow is observed at low heat fluxes. In the bubbly flow regime, bubbles are smaller relative to the cross section of the channels and multiple bubbles may occupy the channel; confinement is not observed. At higher heat fluxes, alternating churn and wispy-annular or annular flow occurs. In the wispy-annular or annular flow, the vapor core does not necessarily occupy the entire cross-section and can instead exist on only one side of the channel; in other words, the flow is not confined by the channel walls. For example, the annular flow and churn flow patterns are distributed side by side across the width of the channel for channels with a large aspect ratio, as explained in [16].

The experimental flow visualizations reveal that the flow confinement depends not only on the channel size, but also on the mass flux since the bubble diameter varies with flow rate. The different experimental cases listed in Table 1 for various channel sizes and mass fluxes are categorized into two groups of confined and unconfined flow regardless of the heat input, and are represented in Fig. 4 on Reynolds number and Bond number coordinates. This
plot shows that for channels of small cross-sectional area and at low mass fluxes, vapor confinement is observed, while for larger microchannels and at high mass fluxes, the flow is not confined. The solid line on this plot shows the transition between confined and unconfined flow and is a curve fit to the transition points, represented by

$$Bo^{0.5} \times Re = \frac{1}{\mu_f} \left( \frac{g(\rho_f - \rho_g)}{\sigma} \right)^{0.5} GD^2 = 160$$

Here, a parameter named the convective confinement number, $Bo^{0.5} \times Re$, is proportional to the mass flux, $G$, and the cross-sectional area, $D^2$, and is inversely proportional to the fluid surface tension. This new flow boiling transition criterion recommends that for $Bo^{0.5} \times Re < 160$, vapor bubbles are confined and the channel should be considered as a microchannel. For larger convective confinement numbers, the flow does not experience physical confinement by the channel walls and the channel can be considered as a conventional (macroscale) channel. It is important to note that this transition criterion is independent of the heat flux and is very useful in determining whether a channel behaves as a microchannel or a conventional, macroscale channel, regardless of the heat input, for practical applications. A comprehensive flow regime map accounting for the heat input which determines the specific flow patterns is presented in Section 3.3.

The proposed criterion for transition between confined and unconfined flow is compared in Fig. 5 with available experimental observations from other studies in the literature for water [1,3,7,25–31], dielectric liquids [32–35], and refrigerants [36]. Details of the fluid, geometry, mass flux, and heat flux of the data points used in this comparison are listed in Table 2. Fig. 5 shows that the proposed criterion is successful in predicting the confined or unconfined nature of the flow from a variety of studies in the literature.

The effects of the physical confinement by the channel walls on the heat transfer coefficient are discussed next.

3.2. Effect of vapor confinement on the heat transfer coefficient

The experimentally determined heat transfer coefficients for various microchannel sizes and four mass fluxes in the range of 225 to 1420 kg/m²s are plotted in Fig. 6. At the mass flux of 225 kg/m²s, vapor confinement is visually observed in microchannels with cross-sectional area of 0.258 mm² (corresponding to the
700 μm × 400 μm microchannels) and smaller. Fig. 6(a) shows that the heat transfer coefficients for the microchannels with such flow confinement are larger in the low heat flux region. As explained in Harirchian and Garimella [17], for the confined flow (i.e., slug flow at low heat fluxes), evaporation of the thin liquid film at the walls contributes to the heat transfer, and nucleate boiling is not the only boiling mechanism. This leads to higher heat transfer coefficient values. At higher heat fluxes, where annular flow exists in all channel sizes, heat transfer coefficients in small microchannels approach those of larger channels in value.

For the mass flux of 630 kg/m²s, flow visualizations reveal confinement in microchannels smaller than 250 μm × 400 μm [17]. Correspondingly, Fig. 6(b) shows that the heat transfer coefficients in these microchannels depend on the channel dimensions, and also take values that are higher relative to those in larger microchannels, increasing with decreasing channel cross-sectional area [17]. For microchannels with cross-sectional area of 0.089 mm² and larger, in which confinement is not visually observed and nucleate boiling is dominant, the heat transfer coefficient is independent of channel dimensions.

At a higher mass flux of 1050 kg/m²s, slug flow and vapor confinement are seen only in the 100 μm × 400 μm microchannel, and all other microchannels exhibit similar values of heat transfer coefficient regardless of the channel size (Fig. 6(c)). For the largest mass flux tested of 1420 kg/m²s, vapor confinement is not observed for any of the microchannels considered, and Fig. 6(d) shows an independence of the heat transfer coefficient on channel dimensions.

The plots in Fig. 6 show that for the channels in which confinement is not present and nucleate boiling is dominant up to very high heat fluxes, and for which the convective confinement number \( Bo^{0.5} × Re > 160 \), the heat transfer coefficient is independent of microchannel size and all the curves collapse on to a single curve. For microchannel dimensions and mass fluxes which result in \( Bo^{0.5} × Re < 160 \), the heat transfer coefficients are larger due to the contribution of thin film evaporation to the heat transfer mechanisms.

In a previous work by the authors [15], the experimental results for the heat transfer coefficient were compared to predictions from a number of existing correlations in the literature developed for macrochannel flow boiling, microchannel flow boiling, and pool boiling. It was shown that for most of the cases studied in that work (now determined to have \( Bo^{0.5} × Re > 160 \)), the nucleate pool boiling correlation of Cooper [37] predicted the experimental results very well, with a mean absolute percentage error of 7.2%. For smaller microchannels at lower mass fluxes (which would exhibit vapor confinement according to the criterion proposed here), the error associated with the prediction of heat transfer coefficient using this correlation was larger at 15%; however, this correlation still predicted the experimental results better than other empirical correlations developed specifically for microchannel flow boiling.

It can be concluded that for \( Bo^{0.5} × Re > 160 \) where vapor confinement is not detected, the heat transfer is governed by bubble nucleation, and the nucleate pool boiling correlation of Cooper [37] is suitable for heat transfer predictions. For channel sizes and mass fluxes with smaller convective confinement numbers, vapor confinement and microscale effects become important, resulting in larger errors in the prediction of heat transfer coefficient using nucleate boiling correlations. Other existing empirical correlations do not seem to be suitable for prediction of heat transfer in these microchannels either, and flow regime-based models need to be developed to accurately account for the microscale effects and the differences in the heat transfer phenomena compared to macroscale boiling mechanisms [24, 38].

3.3. Comprehensive flow regime map

In recent work by the authors [16], two different types of flow regime maps for microchannel flow boiling of FC-77 were developed based on the experimentally visualized flow patterns. Twelve

Table 2
Summary of the experimental data from the literature used in the comparisons of the confinement transition criterion and of the flow regime map.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Fluid</th>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>Mass flux (kg/m²s)</th>
<th>Wall heat flux (kW/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peles et al. [25] 1999</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Parallel microchannels, ( D_h = 157, 207 ) μm</td>
<td>3500, 6000</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jiang et al. [26] 2001</td>
<td>DI water</td>
<td>Parallel grooves, ( w = 50 ) μm</td>
<td>110–365</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kandlikar [1] 2002</td>
<td>DI water</td>
<td>Parallel microchannels, ( w = 1 ) mm, ( d = 1 ) mm</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serizawa et al. [3] 2002</td>
<td>DI water</td>
<td>Circular tube, ( D = 50 ) μm</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al. [27] 2003</td>
<td>DI water</td>
<td>Parallel microchannels, ( w = 120 ) μm, ( d = 14 ) μm</td>
<td>30–60</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steinke and Kandlikar [28] 2003</td>
<td>DI Water</td>
<td>Parallel microchannels, ( w = 214 ) μm, ( d = 200 ) μm</td>
<td>115–467</td>
<td>55–839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. [29] 2005</td>
<td>DI Water</td>
<td>Single microchannel, ( w = 50 ) μm, ( d = 40 ) μm</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garimella et al. [30] 2006</td>
<td>DI Water</td>
<td>Parallel microchannels, ( w = 275 ) μm, ( d = 636 ) μm</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. [31] 2008</td>
<td>DI Water</td>
<td>Parallel trapezoidal microchannels, ( w = 208, 427 ) μm, ( d = 146 ) μm</td>
<td>91–787</td>
<td>365, 486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hetsroni et al. [32] 2002</td>
<td>Vertrel XF</td>
<td>Parallel triangular microchannels, ( D_h = 130 ) μm</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mukherjee and Mudawar [33] 2003</td>
<td>FC-72</td>
<td>Parallel mini- and microchannels in a gap, ( w = 200 ) μm, ( d = 660 ) μm, ( w = 1.57 ) mm, ( d = 3.05 ) mm</td>
<td>500–1100</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. [34] 2005</td>
<td>FC-72</td>
<td>Parallel microchannels, ( w = 200 ) μm, ( d = 2000 ) μm</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen and Garimella [35] 2006</td>
<td>FC-77</td>
<td>Parallel microchannels, ( w = 389 ) μm, ( d = 389 ) μm</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>9–56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coleman and Garimella [36] 2003</td>
<td>R134a</td>
<td>Glass tube, ( w = 4.9 ) mm</td>
<td>150, 750</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
different flow regime maps were plotted for the six channel dimensions considered using coordinates of mass flux – vapor quality and of liquid superficial velocity – vapor superficial velocity. Both types of flow regime maps depend on channel dimensions; hence, for each channel dimension, a separate flow regime map is required to capture the flow regime transitions accurately. The effects of channel width on the flow regime transition were discussed as well. The flow regime maps developed in [16] were also compared to flow transitions from other studies in the literature for adiabatic two-phase flow and for boiling in macro- and micro-channels. It was concluded that only the flow regime maps developed for microscale flow boiling in comparable channel sizes could reasonably match the observed flow transitions. A review of the literature reveals that despite the inability of macroscale boiling maps and adiabatic two-phase flow maps to predict the flow patterns for boiling in microchannels, there is a lack of flow regime maps developed for microchannel flow boiling for a range of channel dimensions.

Due to dependence of flow regimes (and regime maps) on channel dimensions, it is important to include the effects of channel size in the flow regime maps. To address this need, a comprehensive flow regime map is developed here.

Fig. 7 shows the comprehensive flow regime map developed based on the experimental results and flow visualizations performed with FC-77. The abscissa in this plot is the convective confinement number, $Bo^{0.5} \times Re$, which is proportional to $G \times D^2$. The ordinate is a nondimensional form of the heat flux, $Bl \times Re$, which is proportional to $q^{*w} \times D$. Plotting all the ~390 experimental data points obtained in [16] and [17] for 12 different microchannel test pieces, four mass fluxes, and heat fluxes in the range of 25-380 kW/m² on $Bl \times Re$ versus $Bo^{0.5} \times Re$ logarithmic axes leads to a comprehensive flow regime map with four distinct regions of confined slug flow, churn/confined annular flow, bubbly flow, and churn/annular/wispy-annular flow.

The vertical transition line is given by $Bo^{0.5} \times Re = 160$, which represents the transition to confined flow. The other transition line is a curve fit to the points of transition from bubbly or slug flow to alternating churn/annular or churn/wispy-annular flow, given by

$$Bl \times Re = 0.017(Bo^{0.5} \times Re)^{0.7}$$

which can be rearranged to give

$$Bl = 0.017(Bo^{0.4} \times Re^{-0.3})$$

This flow regime map shows that for $Bo^{0.5} \times Re < 160$ vapor confinement is observed in both slug and churn/annular flow regimes while for $Bo^{0.5} \times Re > 160$, the flow is not confined. For low heat fluxes with $Bl < 0.017(Bo^{0.4} \times Re^{-0.3})$, flow patterns of slug (if $Bo^{0.5} \times Re < 160$) or bubbly (if $Bo^{0.5} \times Re > 160$) flow exist in the microchannels. At higher heat fluxes with $Bl > 0.017(Bo^{0.4} \times Re^{-0.3})$, vapor bubbles coalesce resulting in a continuous vapor core in the alternating churn/annular or churn/wispy annular flow regimes.

In Fig. 8, experimental data from a range of other studies in the literature are plotted on this comprehensive flow regime map. The map developed here is clearly able to represent the flow regimes found in the literature for water and fluorocarbon liquids.

4. Conclusions

Based on the experimental results obtained in recent work by the authors, a new transition criterion is developed which predicts the conditions under which microscale confinement effects are exhibited in flow boiling. This criterion depends on the value of a parameter termed the convective confinement number in this study,
flow regimes with two transition lines. One transition line, $Bo^{0.5} \times Re = 160$, represents the transition to confined flow, while the other transition line, $Bl = 0.017(Bo^{0.4} \times Re^{-0.3})$, which includes the effects of heat flux, illustrates the transition from bubbly/confined slug flow to alternating churn/annular/wispy-annular flow.

For $Bo^{0.5} \times Re > 160$, where physical confinement does not occur in flow boiling, the heat transfer coefficient is independent of channel dimensions and can be accurately predicted by a nucleate pool boiling correlation; however, for convective confinement numbers below 160, modified empirical correlations or regime-based models are required to more precisely account for the microscale effects present.

The proposed confinement transition criterion and the comprehensive flow regime map developed are shown to capture trends observed in the experimental data from the literature as well, and show good agreement with results for water and fluorocarbon liquids. While the convective confinement number criterion and the flow regime map have been shown to be very promising tools for predicting the flow regimes and their transitions in microchannel boiling, only limited studies are available for comparison, as both visualized flow boiling patterns in microchannels as well as heat flux data are necessary for such comparisons. As more well-characterized data become available, it will be possible to further generalize this confinement criterion and to expand the applicability of the comprehensive flow regime map to other fluids.
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