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Recent quantum Hall experiments conducted on disordered graphene $p$-$n$ junction provide evidence that the junction resistance could be described by a simple Ohmic sum of the $n$ and $p$ mediums’ resistances. However in the ballistic limit, theory predicts the existence of chirality-dependent quantum Hall plateaus in a $p$-$n$ junction. We show that two distinctively separate processes are required for this ballistic-Ohmic plateau transition, namely, (i) hole/electron Landau states mixing and (ii) valley isospin dilution of the incident Landau edge state. These conclusions are obtained by a simple scattering theory argument, and confirmed numerically by performing ensembles of quantum magnetotransport calculations on a 0.1 $\mu$m wide disordered graphene $p$-$n$ junction within the tight-binding model. The former process is achieved by $p$-$n$ interface roughness, where a $p$-$n$ interface disorder with a root-mean-square roughness of 10 nm was found to suffice under typical experimental conditions. The latter process is mediated by extrinsic edge roughness for an armchair edge ribbon and by intrinsic localized intervalley scattering centers at the edge of the $p$-$n$ interface for a zigzag ribbon. In light of these results, we also examine why higher Ohmic-type plateaus are less likely to be observable in experiments.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Graphene is a two-dimensional sheet of carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb lattice with unique electronic properties, i.e., linear energy dispersion with zero bandgap described by the relativistic Dirac equation.1,2 This attribute manifests itself as an anomaly in the quantum Hall regime,3,4 where the Landau filling factor, $\nu$, goes by the nonconventional sequence of $4n+2$ and $n=0,1,2,...$ labels the Landau levels (LL). This leads to conductance plateaus at $\sigma=\nu$, expressed in units of the von Klitzing constant $e^2/h$. Room temperature observations4 of these quantum Hall plateaus make it a potential state variable for possible future device applications such as quantum computation using Landau levels as the basic qubit5 and pure spins current switching devices.6

Recently, experiments by Williams et al.,7 on the magnetotransport of graphene $p$-$n$ junction in the quantum Hall regime found that the two terminal junction conductance ($\sigma_{pn}$) exhibits new plateaus. These conductance plateaus were predicted to follow a simple Ohmic conductance rule, first proposed by Abanin et al.,8

$$\bar{\sigma}_{pn}(\nu_n,\nu_p) = \frac{1}{\nu_n + 1} \left( \frac{\nu_p}{\nu_n + \nu_p} \right)_{eq} \nu_n,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\nu_{eq}$ are the Landau levels filling factors in the $n/p$ regions. The last expression in Eq. (1) presents the physics more lucidly, with $(...)_{eq}$ embodying the Landau modes mixing process along the $p$-$n$ interface for the electron current. Experiments,7 however, did not reproduce all the predicted plateaus, especially for the higher filling factor combination values, i.e., such as $(\nu_n,\nu_p)=(2,6)$ and (6,6).51 This observation leads us in formulating our first question, “what degree of interface disorder is required to observe complete modes mixing, especially for the cases with higher combination values?”

Interestingly, the appearance of quantum Hall plateaus in a graphene $p$-$n$ junction is not isolated to only disordered samples. Tworzydlo and co-workers9 showed theoretically that plateaus should be observed in perfectly clean graphene ribbons with perfect edges. These plateaus are independent of $(\nu_n,\nu_p)$, and depend only on the ribbons’ chirality. We herein denote these ballistic plateaus as $\bar{\sigma}_{pn}$, and they are given by,

$$\bar{\sigma}_{pn} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{or 2 armchair}, \\ 2 & 0 \text{ or 2 zigzag}, \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where the widths dictate the above possible outcomes. The underlying physics for this width dependence is explained in terms of the valley isospin (for armchair edge)9 and parity (for zigzag edge)10 of the lowest lying Landau modes. The above revelation begs the second question, “Is $p$-$n$ interface disorder alone sufficient in inducing the plateau transition from $\bar{\sigma}_{pn}$ to $\bar{\sigma}_{pp}$ or is edge disorder (or other intervalley scattering processes) also necessary?”

Theoretical studies on the effect of disorder on the magnetotransport properties of a graphene pn junction are few.9,11,12 In the classical integer quantum Hall problem, the tight-binding Hamiltonian model13 and the Chalker/Coddington’s network model14 are popular approaches for studying magnetotransport in disordered system.15 For this problem the former is more suitable, since the formalism inherently captures both quantum mechanical and atomistic effects which are believed to play an important role. Essentially, one seeks the direct solution to the one-electron Schrödinger equation, where the open boundary scattering problem16 is usually conceptualized within the Landauer-Büttiker quantum transmission point of view.17,18 The quantum transmission function can be calculated using the Green’s function19,20 or wave function approach,21 the former being a more popular technique in recent years. Within this theoretical framework, Long and co-workers11 conducted an intensive statistical study of disordered graphene $p$-$n$ junctions in the quantum Hall regime. Graphene ribbons with
zigzag edges are considered in their work. Bulk disorder was incorporated into their Hamiltonian in the form of on-site energy fluctuations. They found that the Ohmic-type quantum Hall plateaus, $\hat{\sigma}_{pp}$, emerges with sufficient disorder strength. Similar conclusions had also been reached by Li and Shen, who $p-n$ interface disorder was considered for a zigzag ribbon, also in the form of on-site energy fluctuations. Both these numerical studies employed short-range disorder potential which varies on the scale of the lattice constant. Although the actual sources of disorder varies across different experimental samples, disorder such as constant. Although the actual sources of disorder serves to mask the effect of valley isospin, which is inevitably washed out by such disorder. For example, Tworzydlo et al. had employed a long range disorder potential, and found that the junction magnetoconductance of a zigzag ribbon is extremely sensitive to the disorder, while an armchair ribbon shows the opposite behavior.

The purpose of this paper is to quantitatively model the effect of $p-n$ interface and edge disorders and to establish a coherent, conceptual framework for understanding the plateau evolution from $\hat{\sigma}_{pm}$ to $\hat{\sigma}_{pn}$. We reason that one can distinguish two underlying mechanisms which are prerequisites for this ballistic-Ohmic plateau transition, namely, (i) hole and electron Landau states mixing and (ii) valley isospin dilution. The former can be achieved via $p-n$ interface roughness, a long range disorder potential. The latter process is mediated by extrinsic edge roughness for an armchair edge ribbon and by intrinsic localized intervalley scattering centers at the edge of the $p-n$ interface for a zigzag ribbon. By performing ensembles of quantum magnetotransport calculations on a 0.1 $\mu$m wide graphene $p-n$ junction with $p-n$ interface (ID) and edge disorder (ED), we illustrate how the plateaus evolve from $\hat{\sigma}_{pm}$ to $\hat{\sigma}_{pn}$ for both armchair and zigzag ribbons.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II begins with an introduction of our quantum transport model based on the Green’s function theory with a tight-binding model Hamiltonian. Computational and numerical aspects are highlighted in this section, including the statistical modeling of the interface and edge disorder. Section III discusses the magnetotransport across a $p-n$ junction in the ballistic limit. The underlying physics for the existence of the ballistic quantum Hall plateaus $\hat{\sigma}_{pm}$ are reviewed and discussed. Section IV studies the ballistic-Ohmic quantum Hall plateau transition in the presence of ID/ED for both armchair and zigzag ribbons. An accompanying simple Chalker/Coddington type scattering theory is presented to elucidate the underlying physics. Section V pertains to the analysis and comparison with recent experimental data in the literatures followed by a summary of this work.

II. QUANTUM TRANSPORT MODEL

In quantum Hall experiments, a magnetic field of the order of 10 T is common. This corresponds to a magnetic length of $\ell_B \approx 20$ nm, which approximates the spatial extent of the ground state LL wave function. The device’s width has to be appropriately chosen so that the LL’s wave functions along the opposite edges do not overlap, especially for the higher LLs. However, finite computational resources set a practical limit on the matrix size of the system Hamiltonian. Based on the above considerations, we employed a 100-nm-wide graphene ribbon $p$n junction device, which is schematically shown in Fig. 1(c). Ribbons of both armchair and zigzag-type edges are considered in this work as shown in Fig. 1(a). We seek to compute the junction conductance ($\sigma_{pm}$) in the presence of pn interface and edge disorder. For pedagogical purposes, we shall briefly review the quantum transport model employed in this work.

A. Green’s function theory with a tight-binding model Hamiltonian

In this work, the device is described by tight-binding Hamiltonian given by

$$H = \sum_i v_i a_i^\dagger a_i + \sum_{ij} |t_{ij}| \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{ij} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{d}l\right) a_i^\dagger a_j,$$

where $a_i^\dagger$/$a_i$ are the creation/destruction operators at each atomic site $i$ and $v_i$, and $t_{ij}$ are the on-site potential energy and hopping energies. The simple one $p$-orbital description as described by Eq. (3) is sufficient for the modeling of the relevant energy bands of interest for electronic transport properties. We assumed that $|t_{ij}| = 3$ eV. In the presence of a perpendicular magnetic field $B$, $t_{ij}$ will incorporate a Peierls phase $\phi_j(A)$, where $A$ is the corresponding vector potential. The phase $\phi_j$ is assigned such that the magnetic flux through an arbitrary area $S$ satisfies the following Stokes law, where $\Omega$ is the boundary of $S$.

$$\frac{1}{\phi_j} \int_{\Omega} B dS = \sum_n \phi_{n,j},$$

The Landauer-Büttiker approach pictures a device in which dissipative processes are absent but coupled to perfect thermodynamic systems known as “reservoirs.” This ap-
proach has been very successful in modeling physical effects in a myriad of problems in the field of mesoscopic physics.\textsuperscript{19,20,27,28} In numerical implementation, $H$ is divided into “device” ($H_d$) and “contacts” regions. $H_d$ is constructed so that it captures the scattering potential of interests, hence it is of finite matrix size. The contacts represent the semi-infinite regions of $H$, which characterized the open boundary nature of the transport problem. Through simple algebras as detailed in,\textsuperscript{19} one could write a Green’s function for the “device” as follows,

$$ G(\epsilon) = (\epsilon_f + i 0^+ - H_d - \sum_s - \sum_d)^{-1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $\epsilon_f$ is the Fermi energy, $\sum_{s/d}$ are conveniently known as the contact self-energies (subscript s/d for source/drain respectively), which could be expressed as $\sum_{s/d}=\tau_{s/d} G_{s/d} \tau_{s/d}$, where $\tau_{s/d}$ describes the coupling of the s/d contacts to the device and $G_{s/d}$ is the surface Green’s function of the respective contacts. In this work, $G_{s/d}$ is obtained using an efficient iterative scheme outlined in.\textsuperscript{20} Direct matrix inversion of Eq. (5) proves to be computationally prohibitive. Therefore, one commonly resorts to recursive type techniques, such as the recursive Green’s function approach,\textsuperscript{30,31} the renormalization method,\textsuperscript{32} or combination of both techniques.\textsuperscript{33} After solving for $G(\epsilon_f)$, we can compute the device conductance at $\epsilon_f$ via (in units of $\frac{e^2}{h}$),\textsuperscript{19}

$$ \sigma_{pn} = 2 \text{Tr}(\Gamma_s G \Gamma_d G'),$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $\Gamma_{s/d}$ are known as the contact broadening function, which can be obtained from the respective self-energy, i.e., $\Gamma_{s/d}=i(\Sigma_{s/d} - \Sigma_{s/d})$. One can view Eq. (6) as just a different form of the Fisher-Lee expression.\textsuperscript{19,34} Other physical observable quantities such as the local density of states (LDOS), charge density $[n(x,y)]$ and current density $[J(x,y)]$ can also be obtained.\textsuperscript{33}

**B. Modeling interface/edge disorders**

In this work, we are interested in two types of disorder interface and edge disorder (ID/ED). It is generally accepted that ID plays an important role in facilitating the mixing of the electron/hole Landau modes along the p-n interface.\textsuperscript{8} We define the p-n interface as the equienergy line of Dirac points separating the n/p regions. This interface will be highly susceptible to electrostatic influences of impurities due to ineffective charge screening in the depletion region. The presence of electron-hole puddles due to trapped impurities in the oxide layers\textsuperscript{35} (or ripples)$^{36}$ was recently observed by Martin and co-workers.\textsuperscript{37} Charge density fluctuations of $\pm 1 \times 10^{11}$ cm$^{-2}$ was reported in their work. This implies significant ID. Edge roughness was also recently characterized by Gupta et al.,\textsuperscript{38} where a root-mean-square roughness of about 3 nm was observed in micromechanically produced ribbons.

In order to model ID and ED, we devise a simple algorithm for generating a one-dimensional rough profile, $I(y)$. $I(y)$ can be expressed in its Fourier components,

$$ I(y) = \sum_n A_n \sin \left( \frac{n \pi y}{W} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $W$ is the device width, and $A_n$ is the amplitude for the sine components given by,

$$ A_n = R(D_1) \exp \left( -\frac{n}{D_2} \right),$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $R(D_1)$ outputs a uniformly distributed random number between $\pm D_1$. Equation (8) represents the power spectrum of the roughness morphology, however there is currently no characterization of ID/ED morphologies to justify such assumption. Nevertheless, it is known that an exponential power spectrum describes the surface morphology of Si/SiO$\textsubscript{2}$ interfaces,\textsuperscript{39,40} making it a natural guess for the ID/ED morphologies in our study. For a given set of disorder parameters $(D_1, D_2)$, we compute the ensemble average of $N$ samples to obtain the conductance $\sigma_{pn}$, where $N$ is chosen to be from 100–200 samples. The root-mean-square (RMS) and autocorrelation length (AL) of the interface roughness morphology are also computed. We defined AL to be the length at which the cross correlation is 50% of the autocorrelation. It can be shown that AL depends on $D_2$ and is relatively insensitive to $D_1$. A larger $D_2$ will yield more higher frequency components in $I(y)$, thereby decreasing the AL.

In the presence of disorder, the device Hamiltonian’s onsite and coupling energies have to be modified accordingly. For $v_p$, we have,

$$ x' < -d \frac{\epsilon_{Fn}}{\epsilon_{Fn} + \epsilon_{Fp}} \quad \text{if} \quad x' < -d \frac{\epsilon_{Fn}}{\epsilon_{Fn} + \epsilon_{Fp}},$$

$$ x' > -d \frac{\epsilon_{Fp}}{\epsilon_{Fn} + \epsilon_{Fp}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Using the above procedure. For $t_{ij}$ (in eV), we have,

$$ |t(x, y)| = \begin{cases} 3, & I_p(x) < y < W + I_s(x) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)
so-called “snake states” in the presence of ID. The snake states terminate when the edge roughness for the bottom and top edges, respectively.

\[ F_p = 0.15 \text{ eV} \]

As an illustration, we modeled the magnetotransport across an armchair graphene \( p-n \) junction with/without ID in a magnetic field of \( B=10 \text{ T} \) with energies \( \epsilon_{p,n}=0.1 \text{ eV} \) and \( \epsilon_{fp,n}=0.15 \text{ eV} \). Figure 2 plots the longitudinal current component of this device for different device width. The so-called “snake states” (i.e., current density oscillating back and forth the \( n/p \) regions) propagating along the \( p-n \) interface can be observed,\(^{23,41,42}\) which remains prominent even in the presence of ID. The snake states terminate when the paths leading to the \( n/p \) medium must be made. The ribbon’s width, which also determines the valley isospins of the first LL along each edge, plays a pertinent role in deciding, which path is taken, as will be elaborated upon in the following section.

\[ \Psi = (\bar{\psi}_A, \bar{\psi}_B; \bar{\bar{\psi}}_A, \bar{\bar{\psi}}_B) \]

Next, one makes the assumption that the ground state LL’s wave function, denoted by \( |0\rangle \), could be approximated by the edge wave functions.\(^9\) This allows one to write the wave function overlap between the ground state LL’s wave function along the top/bottom edges,

\[ \langle 0_l | 0_B \rangle = \langle \Psi_l | \Psi_B \rangle = (|a|^2 + |b|^2) (1 + \bar{v}_T \cdot \bar{v}_B + \bar{v}_T \times \bar{v}_B). \]

where \( \bar{v} \) is the edge valley isospin (for \( \Psi \) along the edges) and \( \bar{\pi} \) is just the Pauli matrices for the isospin part. \( \bar{n} \) depends on the edge type, i.e., \( \bar{n}=(0,0,1) \) for zigzag and \( \bar{n}=(\pm 1,0,0) \) for bottom/top edges of armchair ribbons.\(^{23}\) One can show that Eq. (12) effectively expressed the boundary conditions of the edges. For an armchair ribbon, it can be shown that the isospin along the top/bottom edges (\( \bar{v}_T \) and \( \bar{v}_B \)) obeys the following,

\[ \bar{v}_T \cdot \bar{v}_B = \cos(\Delta W + \pi) = \cos \theta, \]

where \( \Delta = 4\pi/3a \) and \( a \) is the lattice constant of graphene. From Fig. 1, we have \( W = a(i+\frac{1}{2}) \), where \( i \) is the number of carbon layers. See Appendix for the detail algebra.

Finally, we make the assumption that the ground state LL’s wave function, denoted by \( |0\rangle \), could be approximated by the edge wave functions.\(^9\) This allows one to write the wave function overlap between the ground state LL’s wave function along the top/bottom edges,

\[ \langle 0_l | 0_B \rangle = \langle \Psi_l | \Psi_B \rangle = (|a|^2 + |b|^2) (1 + \bar{v}_T \cdot \bar{v}_B + \bar{v}_T \times \bar{v}_B). \]

where we denote \( (\bar{\psi}_A, \bar{\psi}_B)=(a, b) \) and \( (\bar{\bar{\psi}}_A, \bar{\bar{\psi}}_B) \) is obtained through Eq. (12). By using the fact that \(|a|^2=|b|^2=\frac{1}{2}\), we finally arrive at,

\[ \langle 0_l | 0_B \rangle^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \theta). \]

Equation (15) is a simple result\(^9\) that we will employ in the remaining of this section to draw some simple conclusions.

III. VALLEY ISOSPINS ON THE BALLISTIC QUANTUM HALL PLATEAUS

In this section, we examine the conductance across a \( p-n \) junction when there is no disorder, i.e., the ballistic limit. The ribbon’s chirality plays an important role in determining the junction’s conductance. Figure 1(a) depicts the notation on ribbon’s chirality used in this work. In this limit, it was shown that conductance plateaus \( \tilde{\sigma}_{pn} \) could emerge due to the valley isospins\(^9\) and wave function parity\(^10\) of the ground-state Landau level. The former effect concerns the armchair edge ribbon, while the latter for zigzag edge. An excellent review on this subject has been written by Beenakker,\(^{23}\) where we will highlight and expand on some of the key results in the remainder of this section.

A. Valley isospins along the edges

Definitions and conventions

We write the Dirac equation for graphene as,

\[ H\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} v_F \beta \cdot \partial & 0 \\ 0 & v_F \beta \cdot \partial \end{bmatrix} \Psi, \]

where \( \Psi = (\psi_A, \psi_B, \bar{\psi}_A, \bar{\psi}_B) \) and \( \Phi(\vec{r}) \) for the \( \tilde{\mathbf{K}}(\tilde{\mathbf{K}}') \) valley wave function. We are interested in \( \Psi \) along the ribbon’s edges. It is a convenient convention to write \( \Psi \) along the edges in the following form,

\[ \Psi = (\bar{v} \cdot \bar{\pi}) \otimes (\bar{n} \cdot \bar{\pi})\Psi', \]

where \( \bar{v} \) is the edge valley isospin (for \( \Psi \) along the edges) and \( \bar{n} \) is just the Pauli matrices for the isospin part. \( \bar{n} \) depends on the edge type, i.e., \( \bar{n}=(0,0,1) \) for zigzag and \( \bar{n}=(\pm 1,0,0) \) for bottom/top edges of armchair ribbons.\(^23\) One can show that Eq. (12) effectively expressed the boundary conditions of the edges. For an armchair ribbon, it can be shown that the isospin along the top/bottom edges (\( \bar{v}_T \) and \( \bar{v}_B \)) obeys the following,

\[ \bar{v}_T \cdot \bar{v}_B = \cos(\Delta W + \pi) = \cos \theta, \]

where \( \Delta = 4\pi/3a \) and \( a \) is the lattice constant of graphene. From Fig. 1, we have \( W = a(i+\frac{1}{2}) \), where \( i \) is the number of carbon layers. See Appendix for the detail algebra.

Next, one makes the assumption that the ground state LL’s wave function, denoted by \( |0\rangle \), could be approximated by the edge wave functions.\(^9\) This allows one to write the wave function overlap between the ground state LL’s wave function along the top/bottom edges,

\[ \langle 0_l | 0_B \rangle = \langle \Psi_l | \Psi_B \rangle = (|a|^2 + |b|^2) (1 + \bar{v}_T \cdot \bar{v}_B + \bar{v}_T \times \bar{v}_B). \]

where we denote \( (\bar{\psi}_A, \bar{\psi}_B)=(a, b) \) and \( (\bar{\bar{\psi}}_A, \bar{\bar{\psi}}_B) \) is obtained through Eq. (12). By using the fact that \(|a|^2=|b|^2=\frac{1}{2}\), we finally arrive at,

\[ \langle 0_l | 0_B \rangle^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos \theta). \]
terlayer separation is when the typical length scale of depletion width in experiments is $2$ at sufficiently large depletion width of $\frac{\varepsilon}{H}$ for armchair ribbons of different widths for the case of filling factor $(2,2)$. (b) Same as (a) excepts for filling factor of $(6,2)$.}

about the magnetotransport properties in a graphene $p$-$n$ junction.

### B. Valley isospin in armchair ribbon

Figure 3 shows the ballistic conductance of armchair edge type ribbons as a function of depletion width. The $n/p$ regions are biased at $\varepsilon_{n/p}$, respectively, and the built-in potential (assumed to be linearly graded across the junction) is given by $\varepsilon_{n/p} = \varepsilon_F + \varepsilon_L$. Figure 3(a) plots the conductance for biasing conditions corresponding to the Landau filling combinations of $(n, p) = (2, 2)$. Ribbons with different number of carbon layers along the width are considered, where the interlayer separation is $\sqrt{3}L$ ($L$ being the carbon-carbon bond length). These ribbons exhibit conductance plateaus of $1$ and $2$ at sufficiently large depletion width of $>25$ nm, where typical length scale of depletion width in experiments employing top/bottom gating scheme are usually several times larger than $25$ nm. These plateaus emerge as long as the depletion width is sufficiently large, irregardless of the filling factor combinations.

Figure 3(b) plots the case when $(n, p) = (6, 2)$, and we had also checked that these ballistic plateaus remain intact when $(n, p) = (6, 6)$. It is observed that increasing depletion width filters off the higher Landau levels, such that only the zeroth mode Landau edge states conduct through the junction. This is reminiscent of the more well-known filtering action of off-normal transverse modes by a $p$-$n$ junction in the zero-magnetic-field case, although the physics in this context is completely different. This might find applications in devices that use the Landau levels as an information bit. However, $p$-$n$ interface disorder would negate such filtering action, to be discussed in Sec. IV.

Towryzdo and co-workers attributed the origin of the ballistic plateaus to the different valley isospins of the $0^{th}$ LL at the two edges of the ribbon. The valley isospins can be determined from their respective boundary conditions. When the number of layers satisfy the condition $3M + 1$, where $M$ is an integer, it would exhibit conductance plateaus of $2$. Note that this is also the same criterion for obtaining a metallic armchair ribbon. This device is illustrated in Figs. 2(a) and 2(c) for semiconducting and metallic type armchair ribbon, respectively. We define the following scattering states for the $0^{th}$ LL: $|0_{ab}\rangle$ and $|0_{ap}\rangle$ for the incoming and reflected states, where the subscript $n/p$ and $T/B$ denotes the electron/hole mediums and top/bottom edges, respectively. We can compute the reflection coefficient by following the prescription in:

$$r = \langle 0_{at}|S|0_{ab}\rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & 3M + 1 \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} e^{-i\eta} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The scattering matrix $S$ describing the evolution of the incoming scattering state $|0_{ab}\rangle$ along the $p$-$n$ interface can be simply described by a unit matrix with a constant phase factor. The conductance plateaus $\sigma_{pn}$ is then given by:

$$\sigma_{pn} = 2(1 - |r|^2) = \begin{cases} 2 & 3M + 1 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This argument requires the assumption that the valley isospin obeys the orthogonality identity $\hat{u}_{at}^T \hat{v}_{pt} = \hat{u}_{ab} \cdot \hat{v}_{pb} = 0$ for current conservation to hold.

### C. Valley isospin in zigzag ribbon

For zigzag ribbons, a similar width dependent effect can be observed, except that the conductance plateaus are $0$ and $2$ for zigzag and antizigzag ribbons respectively. These ballistic plateaus cannot be explained by the similar valley isospin argument as used for the armchair case. As first pointed out by Akhmerov and co-workers, the reflected and transmitted edge states both reside on a valley different than the incident state i.e., $\hat{u}_{at}^T \hat{v}_{pt} = \hat{u}_{ab} \cdot \hat{v}_{pb} = 1$. Therefore, current conservation entails an inherent intervalley scattering process. Figure 4 shows the intensity plot for the nonequilibrium electron density. Local peaks in the electron density can be observed at the positions where the $p$-$n$ interface and the ribbon edge meet. These are signatures of the intervalley scattering processes that have taken place. Heuristically speaking, one can view the propagating states along the $p$-$n$ interface as similar to that of an armchair edge, where the valley isospin is an equal weight superposition of the two valleys. The two times scattering process take the Landau state from one valley to a superposition and then finally to the other valley. In other words, the valley isospin information of the incident Landau edge state is intrinsically diluted after the first scattering process. On a related note, the local density of states in quantum Hall regime had been recently probed through scanning tunneling microscopy measurement. It would be of fundamental importance to experimentally verify the existence of these localized intervalley scattering centers.

Akhmerov and co-workers worked out the transmission/reflection coefficient for the edge states in the ballistic case (no magnetic field case) to be
where $\tilde{\sigma}_{pn}$ should embody the valley scattering processes. The conductance $\tilde{\sigma}_{pn}$ can then be computed in the same fashion as the armchair case.

$$r = \langle \alpha_{nT} | S | \alpha_{nB} \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{zigzag} \\ 0 & \text{antizigzag} \end{cases} ,$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

By defining $\langle \tilde{\sigma}_{pn} \rangle$ to be the conductance plateaus averaged over the ribbon width, we obtained $\langle \tilde{\sigma}_{pm} \rangle = \langle \tilde{\sigma}_{pn} \rangle = 1$.

IV. TRANSITION TO OHMIC TYPE QUANTUM HALL PLATEAUS

In this section, we examine the role of ID and ED on the quantum Hall plateau transition from ballistic-type to Ohmic-type, i.e., $\tilde{\sigma}_{pn}$ to $\tilde{\sigma}_{pn}$. Our numerical results show that this transition can be ID/ED mediated depending on the filling factor combination $(\nu_n, \nu_p)$ and the ribbon type, as summarized in Fig. 1(b). The objective of this section is to present the argument as to why valley isospin dilution in general is necessary for the ballistic-Ohmic quantum Hall plateau transition, corroborated with numerical simulation results.

A. Armchair edge ribbons

Figure 5(a) studies the junction conductance in the presence of $p-n$ interface disorder only, for an armchair ribbon of 401 layers. The ballistic plateau for this device is $\tilde{\sigma}_{pn} = \frac{1}{2}$ since the number of carbon layers is $\neq 3M + 1$. From a $(\nu_n, \nu_p)$-independent $\tilde{\sigma}_{pn}$ in the nondisordered limit, the junction conductance begins to adopt different $(\nu_n, \nu_p)$-dependent values as the ID RMS increases. The conductance saturates at large enough disorder strength. However, we observed that only the junction conductance for the $(6, 6)$ case approaches the Ohmic value of $\tilde{\sigma}_{pn}(6, 6) = 3$. In particular, the junction conductance for $(2, 2)$ is extremely robust against IR disorder. The junction conductance for $(2, 6)$ was enhanced with increasing IR disorder. However, it reaches a conductance plateau of only $\approx \frac{3}{2}$, lesser than the Ohmic value of $\tilde{\sigma}_{pn}(2, 6) = \frac{3}{2}$. In computing the conductance for a given RMS, we performed an ensemble averaging over 100 devices with different roughness configurations. Figure 5(b) plots the junc-
tion conductance as a function of edge disorder RMS, but with a fixed ID. Evidently, edge disorder with only a RMS of one carbon layer would suffice in inducing the plateau transition from $\sigma_{pn}$ to $\sigma_{pn}$. These observations suggest the following proposition, “In an armchair edge ribbon with filling factor combination of (2, $v_p$), the plateau transition from $\sigma_{pn}$ to $\sigma_{pn}$ is both ID/ED-mediated.”

We consider a Chalker-Coddington type argument in support of the above proposition. This model considers the following facts: (a) in the absence of time reversal symmetry, the electronic states exhibit only unidirectional transmission (b) the scattering wave function follows approximately the equipotential lines of the random potential, which is shown in Fig. 2. Consider $(v_r, v_p) = (2, 6)$, the scattering state for a particular spin along the p-n interface can be expressed as,

$$|\Psi_i\rangle = c_0|0_{nB}\rangle + c_1|0_{p}\rangle + c_2|1_{p}\rangle + c_3|1_{p}\rangle,$$

where $|0_{p}\rangle$, $|1_{p}\rangle$ and $|1_{p}\rangle$ are the ground- and first-excited states of the LL in the p medium, respectively. We can define a “saddle point” to be where two Landau modes i and j undergo mode mixing, characterized by a scattering matrix which evolves the scattering state $|\Psi\rangle$ in a unitary manner. The effective unitary matrix for four modes scattering can be parameterized as,

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} c^2 & sc & s^2 & -sc \\ -sc & c^2 & sc & s^2 \\ s^2 & -sc & c^2 & sc \\ sc & s^2 & -sc & c^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $s = \sin(\beta)$ and $c = \cos(\beta)$. As usual, the accompanied phase factors are implicit. The parameter $\beta$ characterized the degree of mode mixing, i.e., $\beta = 0, \pi/2$ denotes minimum/maximum mixing. Undergoing a sufficient amount of mode mixing processes S, the wave function at the end of the p-n interface could be expressed as,

$$|\Psi_f\rangle = S(\beta_i)S(\beta_f)S(\beta_i)\ldots|\Psi_i\rangle = \frac{1}{2}e^{\phi_0}|0_{nB}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}e^{\phi_1}|0_{p}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}e^{\phi_1}|1_{p}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}e^{\phi_1}|1_{p}\rangle.$$

The final state is then said to have “completely equilibrated.” The reflection probability can then be computed as,

$$|r|^2 = |\langle n_T|\Psi_f\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{4}|\langle n_T|0_{nB}\rangle|^2|e^{\phi_0} + e^{\phi_1}|^2.$$

In arriving at the above result, we had make use of the orthogonality relation $\langle n_T|1_{p}\rangle = 0$. We also assumed that $|0_{p}\rangle$ retains the isospin information of the incident scattering state and therefore yielding $\langle n_T|0_{nB}\rangle = 0$. By making use of the fact that the phase term averaging over a sufficiently large ensemble yields,

$$\langle e^{\phi_0} + e^{\phi_1} + \ldots + e^{\phi_n}\rangle_{\text{ensemble}} = n + 1,$$

the junction conductance (including spin) at filling factor $(2, 6)$ could then be expressed as,

$$\sigma_{pn}(2, 6) \approx 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}|\langle n_T|0_{nB}\rangle|^2\right).$$

For the case where the number of carbon layers of the armchair ribbon $\neq 3M + 1$, Eq. (25) yields $\sigma_{pn}(2, 6) = \frac{1}{2}$. Repeating the above analysis for filling factor $(2, 2)$, we can show that $\sigma_{pn}(2, 2) = \sigma_{pn}(2, 2)$. The results from a simple Chalker-Coddington analysis are in excellent corroboration with what we obtained numerically from numerical calculations as shown in Fig. 5(a).

Remarkably, the above analysis predicts that the junction conductance for an armchair ribbon with $3M + 1$ carbon layers will always be perfectly conducting, i.e., $\sigma_{pn}(2, 6) = \sigma_{pn}(2, 2) = 2$. Figures 2(c) and 2(d) depicts the spatial current density for this device at $(2, 6)$ filling factor. Indeed, the transmission remains perfect in the presence of ID, despite the fact that mode mixing via ID has taken place. This fact unequivocally demonstrates that electron and hole Landau modes mixing via ID alone is not sufficient in achieving the ballistic-Ohmic plateau transition, at least for armchair ribbons. It is evident from Eq. (25) that the Ohmic result can be obtained if and only if $|\langle n_T|0_{nB}\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{2}$. This is only possible if the isospin information on the $T/B$ edges is completely diluted, e.g., via edge disorder.

B. Zigzag edge ribbons

Figure 5(c) study the junction conductance in the presence of pn interface disorder only, for a zigzag ribbon. The ballistic plateaus for this device is $\sigma_{pn} = 0$. In this case, the $\sigma_{pn}$ transition in the presence of ID is succinctly illustrated. Defining $D_0$ to be the disorder-related length scale where the junction conductance begins to plateau off, we obtained $D_0 = 3, 6, 8$ nm for the $(2, 2)$, $(2, 6)$, and $(6, 6)$ case respectively. Similar $D_0$ are observed for the armchair counterpart devices in Fig. 5(a). This leads us to the following conclusion, “In a zigzag edge ribbon, ID alone is sufficient for the plateau transition $\sigma_{pn}$ to $\sigma_{pn}$. In addition, it requires about the same disorder strength as its armchair counterpart to obtain the Ohmic plateaus, albeit ED is required for the latter.”

Repeating the Chalker-Coddington type argument, the reflection probability for the $(2, 6)$ filling factor case can be written as,

$$|r|^2 = \frac{1}{4}|0e^{\phi_0} + e^{\phi_1}|^2,$$

by making use of Eq. (18) and that $\langle n_T|0_p\rangle = 1 - \langle n_T|0_{nB}\rangle$. This then gives us a junction conductance of $\sigma_{pn}(2, 6) = \frac{1}{2}$. Similarly, we can arrive at $\sigma_{pn}(2, 2) = 1 = \sigma_{pn}(2, 2)$. Coincidentally, the signatures of the parity effect coming from the ground-state LL is completely neutralized by the simple fact that $\langle n_T|0_{nB}\rangle = 1 - \langle n_T|0_{nB}\rangle$. A similar physical picture applies for the antizigzag ribbon case.

V. BENCHMARKING WITH EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we benchmark our numerical results against the two terminal quantum Hall measurement per-
formed by Williams et al.\textsuperscript{7} We should emphasize that bulk disorder was not included in present numerical simulation, therefore additional longitudinal resistance contributions which might exist in actual experiments are not captured.\textsuperscript{49}

In the experiment, a top/bottom gate is employed to bias the two junctions, allowing control over the sign/magnitude of the charge density \((n_1/n_2)\) residing in each junction. Figure 6(a) depicts the theoretical Ohmic plateaus \(\Delta \rho_p(n_p, n_p)\) (Ref. 8) as a function of the electron density \(n_1/n_2\) (the different colors represent the filling factors). In the numerical calculations, the electron density is obtained by taking the trace of the electron correlation function \(G_n(\epsilon_F)\), an energy resolved quantity. This is defined to be \(G_n = \Sigma \rho \rho^\dagger\) where \(\Sigma_n = -2 \text{Im}(\Sigma_n + \Sigma_d)\) for \(T=0\) K. Electron density in either the \(n/p\) medium can then be computed via the integral \(n = \int (G_n) d\epsilon\), where the averaging \(\langle \ldots \rangle\) is performed over the spatial dimension.

FIG. 6. (Color online) (a) depicts the theoretical Ohmic plateaus \(\Delta \rho_p(n_p, n_p)\) (Ref. 8) as a function of \(n_1/n_2\) where the different colors represent the filling factors. (b)–(d) plots the linescan for the following cases (i) \(n_p = n_p\), (ii) \(n_p = 2\), and (iii) \(n_p = 6\), respectively, and compare the simulation results with experimental data. The experimental data are taken from, (Ref. 7) for a two terminal \(p-n\) junction quantum Hall measurement at \(B=4\) T. The simulations are done at \(B=10\) T. In the experimental data, the gate oxide capacitance is used as a fitting parameter.

In summary, we conducted a systematic study of graphene \(p-n\) junction conductance in the quantum Hall regime. Often, the disorder in magnetotransport calculations are modeled in an implicit manner through a random on-site energy fluctuation. In this work, we had undertaken the effort to explicitly modeled the various disorder in order to uncover the underlying physical mechanisms played by \(p-n\) interface and edge disorders on the ballistic-Ohmic quantum Hall plateau transition. We found that the former mechanism equilibrates the electron/hole Landau modes along the interface while the latter dilute the isospin information of the ground-state LL in an armchair edge ribbon. For a given Landau filling factor combination, \((n_p, n_p)\), we found that both zigzag and armchair ribbons require about the same strength to recover the Ohmic plateaus, albeit edge disorder strength to recover the Ohmic plateaus, albeit edge disorder is required for the latter. From our numerical calculations, we found that \(p-n\) interface disorder with a root-mean-square roughness of \(10\) nm is sufficient in achieving complete mixing of the electron/hole Landau modes. However, the sloppiness in the quantum Hall plateau transition induced by the \(p-n\) interface disorder and the accompanied decreasing plateau widths with increasing filling factor makes it challenging to observe these higher plateaus experimentally.

From a theoretical standpoint, we argued that the mixing of electron/hole Landau modes along the interface alone does not guarantee the recovery of the Ohmic plateaus. We extended the valley isospin argument proposed by Tworzydlo.
and co-workers with a Chalker-Coddington type argument to highlight this point, and corroborated the calculations with numerical simulations. It is demonstrated numerically that both interface and edge roughness (or intervalley scattering) are in general necessary for the crossover between the two theoretical limits, i.e., ballistic and Ohmic. Last, but not least, this work underscores the importance of including both interface and edge disorder in the modeling of quantum Hall transport phenomena, especially when interpreting experimental data.
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**APPENDIX: BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AND EDGE ISOSPINS FOR ARMCHAIR RIBBONS**

We consider an armchair ribbon where the two edges are at $y=y_T$ (top) and $y=y_B$ (bottom). Along $y=y_T$, the wave function $\Psi = (\psi_A, \phi_B, -\tilde{\psi}_B, \tilde{\phi}_A)$ must satisfy the boundary conditions,\(^{54}\)

$$\psi_A + \tilde{\psi}_A e^{-i\Delta y_T} = 0, \quad (A1)$$

$$\phi_B + \tilde{\phi}_B e^{-i\Delta y_T} = 0, \quad (A2)$$

where $\Delta = 4\pi/3a$ and $a$ is the lattice constant of graphene. We can rewrite Eq. (A2) in the form $\Psi = M\Psi$, where,

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -e^{-i\Delta y_T} & 0 & 1 \\ -e^{i\Delta y_T} & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (A3)$$

With some matrix algebra we can show that,

$$M = (\vec{v}_T \cdot \vec{n}) \otimes (\vec{n} \cdot \vec{\sigma}), \quad (A4)$$

by defining $\vec{v}_T = [\cos(\Delta y_T), \sin(\Delta y_T), 0]$ and $\vec{n}_T = (-1, 0, 0)$. Repeating this procedure for $y_B$, we require $\vec{v}_B = [-\cos(\Delta y_B), -\sin(\Delta y_B), 0]$ and $\vec{n}_B = (1, 0, 0)$. It is straightforward to see that,

$$\vec{v}_T \cdot \vec{v}_B = \cos(\Delta W + \pi) = \cos(\theta) \quad (A5)$$

where $W = y_T - y_B$.
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51 A more recent experiment by Lohmann et al.,48 which employed a four terminal measurement, observed prominent plateaus for only (2,2). The higher filling factor combination values were not reported. In their experiments, the p-n junctions were created via chemical doping, instead of the usual top/bottom gating scheme.7
52 However, one could easily extend the simple tight-binding Hamiltonian to include higher orbitals by extracting the orbital coupling energies from a density functional calculation as described in Ref. 50.
53 The sum of transmission and reflection probability must be unity. In other words, we should have 1−|0_{ab}|0_{ab}\rangle|^2=|0_{ab}|0_{ab}\rangle|^2.