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COMMUTER ANNOYANCES
PROPERTY OWNER ANNOYANCES
HISTORY OF KITCHEN TABLE MEETINGS

• Interstate 69: Evansville to Indianapolis
• 6 Sections of Independent Utility
• Emphasis on public involvement – project offices in all six locations
THE CONCEPT SURFACES

- December 2008
- Large-scale property owner meeting
- Gibson County
- People want information
- What about individual meetings?
TRIAL RUN

- May 2009
- Section 1, Package 5
- Individual meetings w/ 52 affected property owners
  - Discuss schedule, fieldwork, and R/W process
  - Affectionately referred to as “kitchen table meetings”
WORLD CHANGES

• Governor announcement October 2009
  • “Open to traffic by end of 2012”
  • Section 1
    • 13-miles new terrain interstate
    • 2 miles open in November 2009
    • Rest following more traditional path
WORLD CHANGES

- Sections 2 & 3
  - 55-miles new terrain interstate
  - 18 months
  - Topographical survey, geotechnical investigations, design, permitting, land acquisition, and contracting
PROBLEMS

• From “never in my lifetime” to “fast and furious”
• Overwhelming for affected property owners
• Complaints pile up
• Put out fires
LESSONS LEARNED

• Golden Rule
• Information is everything
CONCEPT BECOMES A REALITY

- Section 4 from Crane to Bloomington
- 27-miles “tough” terrain interstate
- KTM process formalized
THE PROCESS

• Kitchen Table Meeting
• Customer Service Training
• Customer service
• Green Light Process
KITCHEN TABLE MEETINGS

- Before field activities
- Project update
- Describe impacts to property
- Describe field activities
- Gather information about property
- Listen to concerns
- Complete “Customer Survey”
CUSTOMER SERVICE TRAINING

- Contractor and consultant field staff
- Respect
- Safety
- Communications
- Ownership
- Common courtesy
CUSTOMER SERVICE

• Assigned customer service representative
• Staff project office
• Address calls, visits, emails
• Communication throughout process
GREEN LIGHT PROCESS

- Contact before field activities
- Notification to field staff
- Green light – Red light
### WHERE ELSE?

- I-69 Section 5
- Illiana Expressway
- What about LPA Projects?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I-69 Section 1</th>
<th>I-69 Section 2 &amp; 3</th>
<th>I-69 Section 4</th>
<th>I-69 Section 5</th>
<th>Illiana Expressway</th>
<th>?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kitchen Table Meetings</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer Service Training for Field Employees</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Field Office</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Light Notice Process</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Communications with Property Owners</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
WHY?

• Common courtesy to those who “suffer” most
• Information is everything – both ways
• Property owner sacrifice for “Greater Good”
• Reduce project misinformation
• Reduce potential condemnations
• “Scale-able”
RESULTS

- 36% fewer complaints regarding survey and geotechnical investigations
- 94% participation from nearly 300 property owners
- Matched state average on condemnation rate @ 10%
- 2011 AASHTO Gold Performance Award
QUESTIONS?