

1-2008

# Mechanism of the reaction $\text{NO} + \text{H}_2$ on the Pt(100)-hex surface under conditions of the spatially nonuniform distribution of reacting species

M Y. Smirnov  
*Russian Academy of Science*

Dmitry Zemlyanov  
*Birck Nanotechnology Center, Purdue University, dimazemlyanov@purdue.edu*

E I. Vovk  
*Russian Academy of Science*

Follow this and additional works at: <http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/nanopub>

---

Smirnov, M Y.; Zemlyanov, Dmitry; and Vovk, E I, "Mechanism of the reaction  $\text{NO} + \text{H}_2$  on the Pt(100)-hex surface under conditions of the spatially nonuniform distribution of reacting species" (2008). *Birck and NCN Publications*. Paper 319.  
<http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/nanopub/319>

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact [epubs@purdue.edu](mailto:epubs@purdue.edu) for additional information.

## MECHANISMS OF CATALYTIC REACTIONS

# Mechanism of the Reaction $\text{NO} + \text{H}_2$ on the Pt(100)-hex Surface under Conditions of the Spatially Nonuniform Distribution of Reacting Species

M. Yu. Smirnov<sup>a</sup>, D. Yu. Zemlyanov<sup>b</sup>, and E. I. Vovk<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Borekov Institute of Catalysis, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Novosibirsk, 630090 Russia

<sup>b</sup> Purdue University, Birck Nanotechnology Center, West Lafayette, USA

e-mail: smirnov@catalysis.ru

Received November 13, 2006


**Abstract**—The interaction of hydrogen with  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands produced by NO adsorption on the reconstructed surface Pt(100)-hex was studied by high-resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy (HREELS) and the temperature-programmed reaction (TPR) method. The islands are areas of the unreconstructed surface Pt(100)- $1 \times 1$  saturated with  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  molecules. The hexagonal phase around these islands adsorbs much more hydrogen near room temperature than does the clean Pt(100)-hex surface. It is assumed that hydrogen is adsorbed on the hexagonal surface areas that are adjacent to, and are modified by, the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands. The reaction of adsorbed hydrogen atoms with  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  takes place upon heating and has the character of so-called surface explosion. The TPR peaks of the products of this reaction—nitrogen and water—occur at  $T_{\text{des}} \sim 365\text{--}370$  K, their full width at half-maximum being  $\sim 5\text{--}10$  K. In the case of the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands preactivated by heating in vacuo above the NO desorption onset temperature (375–425 K), after the admission of hydrogen at 300 K, the reaction proceeds in an autocatalytic regime and the product formation rate increases monotonically at its initial stage. In the case of activation at 375 K, during the initial, slow stage of the reaction (induction period), hydrogen reacts with nitric oxide molecules bound to structure defects ( $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$ ). After activation at 425 K, the induction period is characterized by the formation and consumption of imido species ( $\text{NH}_{\text{ads}}$ ). It is assumed that  $\text{NH}_{\text{ads}}$  formation involves  $\text{N}_{\text{ads}}$  atoms that have resulted from  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  dissociation on defects upon thermal activation. The induction period is followed by a rapid stage of the reaction, during which hydrogen reacts with  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  molecules adsorbed on  $1 \times 1$  areas, irrespective of the activation temperature. After the completion of the reaction, the areas of the unreconstructed phase  $1 \times 1$  are saturated with adsorbed hydrogen. The formation of  $\text{H}_{\text{ads}}$  is accompanied by the formation of a small amount of amino species ( $\text{NH}_{2\text{ads}}$ ).

DOI: 10.1134/S0023158407060158

## INTRODUCTION

The kinetics of heterogeneous catalytic reactions is conventionally described in terms of the law of coverage action [1], which is valid only for the uniform distribution of reacting species on the catalyst surface. However, even when the surface of a metal single crystal is used as the catalyst, the catalytic reaction often takes place in a chemically inhomogeneous adsorption layer. For example, for the reaction  $\text{NO} + \text{H}_2$  on Pt(100), Rh(110), and other surfaces under certain conditions, photoemission electron microscopy (PEEM) with a space resolution of  $\sim 1 \mu\text{m}$  detected the propagation of surface waves due to the regular variation of the spatial distribution of the components of the adsorption layer [2–4]. Furthermore, it was demonstrated by field electron microscopy (FEM) and field ion microscopy (FIM) (space resolution of  $\sim 20$  and  $\sim 3 \text{ \AA}$ , respectively) that catalytic reactions may be accompanied by the propagation of surface chemical waves [5].

One possible cause of the spatial chemical inhomogeneity of the adsorption layer on the catalyst surface is the adsorption-induced reconstruction of the surface. For example, NO adsorption on the reconstructed hexagonal surface Pt(100)-hex, which is more stable when clean, causes the back reconstruction of the surface [6, 7], yielding islands of the unreconstructed phase  $1 \times 1$  saturated with  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  molecules [8]. Because of the significant difference between the atomic densities of the  $1 \times 1$  and hex structures, the back reconstruction  $\text{hex} \rightarrow 1 \times 1$  forces part of the platinum atoms out of the surface into the overlying layer, yielding flat clusters of monoatomic height [9, 10]. Nitric oxide adsorbed on Pt(100)-hex can be in two molecular states: one is NO bound to  $1 \times 1$  sites ( $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$ ), and the other is NO occupying structure defects at the hex/ $1 \times 1$  boundary or on steps formed by clusters of expelled atoms ( $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$ ). The hexagonal phase surrounding the islands is almost free of adsorbed species.



**Fig. 1.** Spectra of the TPR products— $D_2$ ,  $^{15}N_2$ , and  $D_2O$ —recorded after  $D_2$  adsorption on the Pt(100)-hex surface containing  $NO_{ads}$  islands. The surface coverage is  $\theta_{NO} = 0.2$  ML;  $D_2$  exposure, 20 L;  $T = 270$  K;  $P = 6 \times 10^{-8}$  mbar. The dashed lines are the  $D_2$  desorption spectrum recorded after deuterium adsorption on the clean Pt(100)-hex surface under the same conditions and the spectrum of  $N_2$  desorption from the 0.2-ML layer  $NO_{ads}$  on the Pt(100)-hex surface.

Hydrogen adsorption on Pt(100)-hex at low temperatures of  $\leq 200$  K is also accompanied by the back reconstruction  $hex \rightarrow 1 \times 1$  [7, 11–13], yielding different  $H_{ads}$  states, including hydrogen occupying defect sites [14]. However, at  $T \sim 300$  K, hydrogen is adsorbed only in insignificant amounts and its limiting concentration of  $\sim 10^{14}$  (at H)/ $cm^2$  is reached rapidly [13, 15]. According to low energy electron diffraction (LEED) data, the surface structure remains nearly hexagonal upon hydrogen adsorption [13, 15].

Titration of the  $NO_{ads}/1 \times 1$  islands with hydrogen is a convenient means to study the mechanisms of catalytic reactions proceeding under conditions of the non-uniform distribution of reacting species on the surface. In our earlier works, we demonstrated that, after thermal activation in vacuo and cooling to room temperature, the  $NO_{ads}/1 \times 1$  islands react with hydrogen to

yield  $N_2$  as the main N-containing product [16–18]. This reaction has an induction period, whose duration depends on the initial NO coverage of the surface [16] and the activation temperature [17]. Here, we present the results of a systematic mechanistic study of the interaction between hydrogen and  $NO_{ads}/1 \times 1$  islands near room temperature. In this study, we used high-resolution electron energy loss spectroscopy (HREELS), which enables one to record the vibrational spectra of species in the adsorption layer, and the temperature-programmed reaction (TPR) method. Special attention was focused on the chemical nature of the adsorbed species involved in the reaction. The purpose of the study was to construct a model for the  $NO_{ads}/1 \times 1 + H_2$  reaction occurring under conditions of the nonuniform distribution of reacting species on the catalyst surface.

## EXPERIMENTAL


All experiments were carried out in the ultrahigh-vacuum chamber of a VG ADES 400 electron spectrometer (England) at a residual pressure of  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-11}$  mbar. High-resolution electron energy loss spectra were obtained using an electron gun with an EMU50 monochromator and a  $150^\circ$  hemispherical electrostatic energy analyzer. The electron beam, incident at an angle of  $35^\circ$ , was in-specular-reflected from the surface of the single-crystal sample. For the  $\sim 2.5$ -eV kinetic energy of the electrons in the primary beam, the resolution was  $65$ – $80$   $cm^{-1}$  ( $8$ – $10$  meV). TPR spectra were recorded while heating the sample at a constant rate of 10 K/s using a VG QXK 400 mass spectrometer equipped with a double Re anode and a channeltron multiplier with an amplification factor of  $10^8$ . The temperature regime and HREELS and TPR data acquisition were controlled using a purpose-designed controller and control software [19, 20].

We used platinum single crystals with one surface (100)-oriented with an error of  $<0.5^\circ$ . The single crystal to be examined was secured between two tantalum wires by spot welding. The crystal could be heated up to 1200 K by passing electric current through the wires. The sample holder was in thermal contact with a vessel through which liquid nitrogen was passed to cool the crystal. The crystal temperature was measured with a chromel/alumel thermocouple welded to the side surface of the crystal. The Pt(100) surface was cleaned by  $Ar^+$  sputtering and by heat treatment in oxygen and in vacuo. In TPR experiments, we used  $D_2$  and  $^{15}NO$  in order to reliably distinguish all reaction products.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### *Coadsorption of NO and Hydrogen (Deuterium)*

Only small amounts of hydrogen ( $\sim 10^{14}$  (at H)/ $cm^2$ ) can be adsorbed onto the clean reconstructed surface Pt(100)-hex at room temperature [13, 15]. However, it was found that the surface containing  $NO_{ads}/1 \times 1$



**Fig. 2.** Amounts of (a) desorbed  $\text{D}_2$  and (b) resulting  $\text{N}_2$  as a function of the initial  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  coverage of the Pt(100)-hex surface for the TPR in a mixed layer obtained by saturating the surface with deuterium. Deuterium adsorption conditions: 270 K,  $6 \times 10^{-8}$  mbar, 20 L.

islands is capable of adsorbing considerable amounts of hydrogen. Figure 1 shows the TPR spectrum recorded after 20 L<sup>1</sup> deuterium exposure at 270 K and a pressure of  $\text{D}_2 = 6 \times 10^{-8}$  mbar onto a reconstructed surface containing 0.2 ML<sup>2</sup> of  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$ . The main products detected by TPR were  $\text{D}_2$ ,  $\text{N}_2$ , and  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ . For comparison, Fig. 1 shows the  $\text{D}_2$  desorption spectrum obtained for the clean reconstructed surface after deuterium adsorption under the same conditions, as well as the  $\text{N}_2$  spectrum arising from  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  dissociation in the layer containing no adsorbed deuterium [21]. It is evident from these data that, in the presence of  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands, the amount of desorbed deuterium is several times larger. Furthermore, at  $T > 350$  K,  $\text{D}_2$  desorption gives way to the reaction between adsorbed deuterium and  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$ , which yields  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ , which show themselves in the TPR spectrum as narrow peaks at 360 and 365 K, respectively. Both  $\text{D}_2$  desorption and the identification of the products of the  $\text{D}_{\text{ads}} + \text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  reaction provide evidence that the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands markedly increase the hydrogen adsorption capacity of the reconstructed surface Pt(100)-hex. The  $\text{N}_2$  desorption spectrum of the mixed layer  $\text{D}_{\text{ads}} + \text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  additionally shows a broad peak at  $T_{\text{des}} \sim 465$  K, which is likely due to the dissociation of  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  that is in excess over  $\text{D}_{\text{ads}}$ . Besides the products indicated in Fig. 1, small amounts of  $\text{NO}$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , and  $\text{O}_2$  are identified in the TPR spectrum. The way in which these products are released is consistent with the desorption of individual  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  formed on the Pt(100)-hex surface [21].


<sup>1</sup> 1 L = 1 Langmuir =  $1.3 \times 10^{-6}$  mbar s.

<sup>2</sup> 1 ML = 1 monolayer, which is a relative surface coverage unit. In this study, 1 monolayer is taken to be the concentration of adsorbed molecules equal to the number of platinum atoms on 1 cm<sup>2</sup> of the Pt(100)-1 × 1 surface; that is, 1 ML =  $1.28 \times 10^{15}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>.

We studied the effect of the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  coverage on the hydrogen (deuterium) adsorption capacity of the surface. Figure 2 shows how the amounts of adsorbed deuterium and of the nitrogen resulting from the reaction depend on the initial  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  coverage ( $\theta_{\text{NO}}^0$ ). Both curves pass through a maximum in the vicinity of  $\theta_{\text{NO}}^0 \approx 0.25$  ML. The surface saturated with  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  does not adsorb deuterium.

It can be assumed that, around the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands, there are areas of the pure hexagonal phase whose structure is modified so that it can adsorb hydrogen under conditions ruling out hydrogen adsorption on the regular Pt(100)-hex surface. The reconstructive adsorption of  $\text{NO}$  on Pt(100)-hex is known to take place via the island nucleation and growth mechanism, so, starting at some low surface coverage, the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  concentration increases owing to  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands growing in size rather than in number [9, 10]. Therefore, the nonmonotonic variation of the amount of adsorbed deuterium (Fig. 2) can be explained by the fact that, as  $\theta_{\text{NO}}^0$  increases, the total area of the modified regions of the hexagonal surface capable of adsorbing hydrogen first increases and, after the critical value of the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  coverage is reached, begins to decrease because of the overlap of these regions. In simplified form, the spatial distribution of adsorbed species in the  $\text{D}_{\text{ads}} + \text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  layer on the Pt(100)-hex surface as a function of the initial coverage  $\theta_{\text{NO}}^0$  is illustrated in Fig. 3.

Thus, unlike the regular Pt(100)-hex surface, the surface having  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands adsorbs hydrogen (deuterium) rather readily to form a stable  $\text{D}_{\text{ads}} + \text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  adsorption layer. Hydrogen is believed to occupy limited areas of the hexagonal surface around the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands. The TPR between adsorbed  $\text{NO}$  and hydrogen is initiated by heating to  $\geq 350$  K and yields  $\text{N}_2$  and  $\text{D}_2\text{O}$ , which show themselves as narrow




**Fig. 3.** Model of the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}} + \text{D}_{\text{ads}}$  layer forming on the Pt(100)-hex surface at (a) low, (b) medium, and (c) high initial coverages ( $\theta_{\text{NO}}^0$ ): (1) unreconstructed phase areas occupied by  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$ , (2) modified hexagonal surface areas adsorbing hydrogen, and (3) clean hexagonal surface.

desorption peaks. This phenomenon was given the name of surface explosion [22].

#### *Reaction between Hydrogen and Activated $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$ Islands*

Earlier, we demonstrated that the locally saturated  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands formed by NO adsorption on the Pt(100)-hex surface do not enter into the titration reaction with hydrogen near room temperature even when they occupy only part of the surface [16]. The nonreactivity of the islands cannot be due to the impossibility of hydrogen adsorption on the reconstructed phase. Indeed, it was demonstrated above that, in the presence of phase  $1 \times 1$  islands saturated with adsorbed NO on



**Fig. 4.**  $^{15}\text{N}_2$  formation under isothermal conditions by the interaction of activated  $^{15}\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands with deuterium at 300 K, a  $\text{D}_2$  pressure of  $4 \times 10^{-8}$  mbar, and an initial coverage of  $\theta_{\text{NO}}^0 =$  (1) 0.20, (2) 0.35, and (3) 0.50 ML. The islands were activated by heating in vacuo at 375 K.

the Pt(100)-hex surface, part of the hexagonal phase around the islands acquires the capacity for adsorbing considerable amounts of hydrogen. The nonreactivity of the saturated  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands toward hydrogen is likely due to the absence of empty adsorption sites in the areas of the unreconstructed phase. The absence of empty sites in the islands prevents  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  dissociation, the key step of the reaction. Nevertheless, the reaction will be possible if, before the admission of hydrogen, the islands are activated by heating to  $\geq 370$  K in vacuo.  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  desorption accompanied by the dissociation of part of the adsorbed molecules begins under these conditions [16–18, 21, 23].

Hydrogen admission at 300 K onto the reconstructed surface with  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands activated at 375 K results in nitrogen formation (Fig. 4). The shape of the nitrogen formation curves is independent of the initial coverage  $\theta_{\text{NO}}^0$ . The release of  $\text{N}_2$  into the gas phase is preceded by an induction period, whose duration increases with increasing  $\theta_{\text{NO}}^0$ . The reaction is autoaccelerated during the induction period. As a consequence, the nitrogen formation rate peaks and then falls off sharply to zero. The cause of the autocatalytic behavior of the reaction is clear from the overall equation




where  $*$  is an empty site in an area with the  $1 \times 1$  structure. It follows from this equation that, as the titration reaction proceeds, the concentration of empty sites involved in the key step of the reaction ( $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  dissociation) increases. TPR spectra indicate that, after the reaction is complete, the surface is largely covered with adsorbed hydrogen. Earlier, we found that the titration of the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands with hydrogen at 300 K leads to the complete replacement of  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  with  $\text{H}_{\text{ads}}$  on unreconstructed surface areas, but hydrogen adsorption does not propagate to the reconstructed surface areas surrounding the  $1 \times 1$  phase islands [24].

The changes taking place in the adsorption layer during the titration of the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands with deuterium at 300 K and  $P_{\text{D}_2} \sim 10^{-10}$  mbar were studied by HREELS (Fig. 5). The islands were preactivated by heating in vacuo at 375 K. The spectra were recorded in the presence of deuterium. Near each spectrum, we indicate the time when the spectrum registration is completed (counted from the  $\text{D}_2$  admission instant). Initially, before the reaction, two states of  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  are present in the activated islands, namely,  $\text{NO}$  adsorbed on  $1 \times 1$  areas ( $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$ ,  $\nu(\text{NO}) = 1600 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and  $\text{NO}$  adsorbed on defects ( $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$ ,  $\nu(\text{NO}) = 1775 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) [8, 17, 18, 23, 25].

The spectra presented in Fig. 5 suggest that the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  states disappear successively during the reaction, first  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  and then  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$ . The way the intensity of the  $\nu(\text{NO})$  band due to  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  varies with time (see the inset in Fig. 5) is consistent with the induction period of  $\text{N}_2$  formation (Fig. 4). The induction period is followed by a dramatic weakening of the  $\nu(\text{NO})$  band because of  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  consumption. Simultaneously, the band shifts from 1600 to  $1565 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . This shift is conventionally attributed to the weakening of the dipole-dipole interaction in the system of identical oscillators. In turn, this weakening is due to the decrease in the local concentration of  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  molecules [26, 27]. After the reaction is complete, the electron energy loss spectrum does not show any bands characteristic of adsorbed  $\text{NO}$  and shows a weak new band at  $1080 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . This band is due to the bending vibrations  $\delta(\text{ND}_2)$  of adsorbed amino species, which form in small amounts ( $\sim 0.02 \text{ ML}$ ) at the final stage of the reaction, when there are excess  $\text{D}_{\text{ads}}$  atoms on the  $1 \times 1$  areas. The amino species were obtained on the unreconstructed surface  $\text{Pt}(100)-1 \times 1$  by titration of the adsorbed hydrogen layer with  $\text{NO}$  at 300 K. Earlier, we described the vibrational spectrum of  $\text{NH}_{2\text{ads}}$  on the  $\text{Pt}(100)$  surface [28] and the chemical properties of this species [29].

Figure 6 presents the series of electron energy loss spectra recorded during the reaction between hydrogen and  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands activated at a higher temperature of 425 K. The reaction was conducted at 300 K and a hydrogen pressure of  $\sim 10^{-10}$  mbar. Because all of the  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  molecules were desorbed or dissociated upon heating to 425 K, the islands in their initial state contained only  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  molecules, which are characterized by bands at 1600 and  $360 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and adsorbed oxygen atoms, which give rise to a  $\nu(\text{PtO})$  stretching band at  $530 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in the electron energy loss spectrum.


After the admission of hydrogen,  $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$  is the first to react and is consumed rapidly. Although  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  is absent, the reaction again has an induction period, during which the intensity of the stretching band  $\nu(\text{NO})$  changes insignificantly (see the inset in Fig. 6). It is clear from Fig. 6 that, during the induction period, three electron energy loss bands at 930, 470, and  $210 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  first appear and then vanish. Another, very weak band



**Fig. 5.** Series of electron energy loss spectra recorded during the interaction of activated  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands with deuterium at 300 K and a  $\text{D}_2$  pressure of  $\sim 10^{-10}$  mbar. The islands were activated by heating in vacuo at 375 K. Inset: intensity of the  $\nu(\text{NO})$  band for the  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  state as a function of the  $\text{D}_2$  exposure time.

was observed at a high frequency of  $3185 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (not shown). The variation of the intensity of the strongest ( $930 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) band is illustrated in the inset in Fig. 6. After the disappearance of the bands considered, a rapid stage of the reaction begins, in which  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$ , the main state of adsorbed  $\text{NO}$ , is consumed. After the reaction is complete, a small amount of amino species is observed on the surface. These species give rise to a weak  $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$  band at  $1450 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  [28]. In the TPR spectrum recorded after the completion of the reaction, the main component is hydrogen, which is evidence of the occupation of the unreconstructed surface by  $\text{H}_{\text{ads}}$  atoms. Furthermore, the spectrum indicates the desorption of small amounts of nitrogen, which results from  $\text{NH}_{2\text{ads}}$  dissociation.

Obviously, the bands at 3185, 930, 470, and  $210 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  are due to an intermediate in the reaction between  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  and hydrogen that is formed and consumed within the induction period. Since the high-fre-



**Fig. 6.** Series of electron energy loss spectra recorded during the interaction of activated  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands with hydrogen at 300 K and an  $\text{H}_2$  pressure of  $\sim 10^{-10}$  mbar. The islands were activated by heating in vacuo at 425 K. Inset: intensities of the  $\nu(\text{NO})$  band for the  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  state and of the  $\delta(\text{NH})$  band for the  $\text{NH}_{\text{ads}}$  species as a function of the  $\text{H}_2$  exposure time.


quency band at  $3185 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  falls in the region of the stretching vibrations of N–H bonds, it can be assumed that this intermediate is an  $\text{NH}_x$  species. In order to identify this species, we reacted  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  with  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{D}_2$ , and an  $\text{H}_2 + \text{D}_2$  (1 : 1) mixture. The electron energy loss spectra recorded at the instant the maximum inter-

mediate concentration is reached are presented in Fig. 7. Replacing  $\text{H}_2$  with  $\text{D}_2$  shifts the  $930 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  band to  $690 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; therefore, hydrogen atoms are involved in the vibrations giving rise to this band. Note that this spectral region accommodates the N–H bending bands. The bands at 470 and  $210 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  do not change their place upon the replacement of  $\text{H}_2$  with  $\text{D}_2$ . Obviously, the reaction involving the  $\text{H}_2 + \text{D}_2$  mixture must yield a mixture of  $\text{NH}_x\text{D}_{x-y}$  ( $x \leq 3$ ,  $y \leq x$ ) intermediates. The spectrum observed for the isotopic mixture shows two bands in the region of N–H bending vibrations. Their frequencies are precisely equal to the frequencies observed for the reactions with  $\text{H}_2$  ( $930 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) and  $\text{D}_2$  ( $690 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). Observation of the two bands is possible only in the case of the formation of a mixture of the imido species  $\text{NH}_{\text{ads}}$  and  $\text{ND}_{\text{ads}}$ . These bands should be assigned to the bending vibrations  $\delta(\text{NH})$  and  $\delta(\text{ND})$ , respectively. In the similar case of amino species resulting from the reaction between the  $\text{H}_{\text{ads}} + \text{D}_{\text{ads}}$  mixture with  $\text{NO}$  on the  $\text{Pt}(100)-1 \times 1$  surface, the region of bending vibrations exhibits three bands, namely,  $\delta(\text{NH}_2)$ ,  $\delta(\text{NHD})$ , and  $\delta(\text{ND}_2)$ , which are due to  $\text{NH}_{2\text{ads}}$ ,  $\text{NHD}_{\text{ads}}$ , and  $\text{ND}_{2\text{ads}}$ , respectively [28]. In the case of  $\text{NH}_3 + \text{ND}_3$  adsorption on  $\text{Fe}(110)$ , four bands due to the umbrella vibrations  $\delta(\text{NH}_3)$ ,  $\delta(\text{NH}_2\text{D})$ ,  $\delta(\text{NHD}_2)$ , and  $\delta(\text{ND}_3)$  were observed in the vibrational spectrum [30], whence it was deduced that ammonia is adsorbed in molecular form on this surface. The other bands in the spectrum of  $\text{NH}_{\text{ads}}$  ( $\text{ND}_{\text{ads}}$ ), observed at 470 and  $210 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , were assigned by us to the vibrations of the species as a whole perpendicular to the surface ( $\nu(\text{Pt-NH})$ ) and parallel to the surface ( $T_{x,y}$ ). The assignment of the vibrational bands of the  $\text{NH}_{\text{ads}}$  and  $\text{ND}_{\text{ads}}$  intermediates resulting from the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}} + \text{H}_2$  ( $\text{D}_2$ ) reaction on the  $\text{Pt}(100)$  surface is presented in Table 1. In Table 2, we compare  $\text{NH}_{\text{ads}}$  vibration frequencies on  $\text{Pt}(100)$  and on other metal surfaces.

Presumably,  $\text{NH}_{\text{ads}}$  can result from the hydrogenation of the nitrogen atoms ( $\text{N}_{\text{ads}}$ ) that have formed by the dissociation of  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  along the boundaries of the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands upon heating to 425 K. The localization of  $\text{NH}_{\text{ads}}$  on structure defects is indicated by the fact that the electron energy loss spectrum of this species shows four bands. Indeed, the selection rules for in-specular-reflection HREELS allow only totally symmetrical vibrations characterized by a nonzero dynamic dipole moment perpendicular to the surface [48].

**Table 1.** Assignment of the vibrational bands of the imido species resulting from the interaction between hydrogen and  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands preactivated by heating at 425 K in vacuo

Adsorbed species	$T_{x,y}$ , $210 \text{ cm}^{-1}$	$\nu(\text{Pt-N})$ , $470 \text{ cm}^{-1}$	$\delta(\text{NH})$ , $930 \text{ cm}^{-1}$	$\delta(\text{ND})$ , $690 \text{ cm}^{-1}$	$\delta(\text{NH})$ , $3185 \text{ cm}^{-1}$	$\delta(\text{ND})$ , $\text{cm}^{-1}$
$\text{NH}_{\text{ads}}$	+	+	+	–	+	–
$\text{ND}_{\text{ads}}$	+	+	–	+	–	–
$\text{NH}_{\text{ads}} + \text{ND}_{\text{ads}}$	+	+	+	+	–	–



**Fig. 7.** Series of electron energy loss spectra recorded during the interaction of H<sub>2</sub> (1), D<sub>2</sub> (2), and an H<sub>2</sub> + D<sub>2</sub> (1 : 1) mixture (3), with NO<sub>ads</sub>/1 × 1 islands activated at 425 K at the instant of the maximum accumulation of imido species. The reaction temperature is 300 K.

Accordingly, for the NH<sub>ads</sub> molecule on a flat surface, such as the interior of a 1 × 1 island, the spectrum is expected to contain two resolved bands, namely, ν(Pt–NH) and ν(NH), for the normal orientation of the molecule relative to the surface and three bands, namely, ν(Pt–NH), δ(NH), and ν(NH), for a tilted orientation of the molecule (Fig. 8). If NH is bound to a structure defect, such as a step, the symmetry of the adsorption complex will be reduced and extra vibrational modes will be allowed. An example is the vibration of the NH


species as a whole in the direction normal to the step (Fig. 8).

#### *Reaction of Hydrogen with Oxygen Atoms of the NO<sub>ads</sub>/1 × 1 Islands*

As is demonstrated in Fig. 6, the oxygen atoms resulting from the activation of NO<sub>ads</sub>/1 × 1 islands at 425 K react readily with hydrogen at 300 K and are consumed first. This is consistent with the view that O<sub>ads</sub> is highly reactive toward hydrogen on Pt(100) and

**Table 2.** Vibration frequencies of NH species adsorbed on metal surfaces (the numbers in parentheses are the vibration frequencies of ND<sub>ads</sub>)

Surface	ν(M–NH)	δ(NH), cm <sup>-1</sup>	ν(NH), cm <sup>-1</sup>	Reference
Pt(100)-hex	470 (470)	930 (690)	3185	This work
Ni(110)	400	–	3240 (2410)	[31, 32]
Ni(111)	620 (580)	1270 (950)	3340 (2480)	[33]
Cu(110)	400–430	760–780	3240–3270	[34–36]
Cu(111)	610	1100	3350	[37]
Ru(001)	570–690 (570–680)	1350 (1060)	3310–3370 (2455)	[38–42]
Ru(10 $\bar{1}$ 0)	690	–	3290	[43]
Ru(11 $\bar{2}$ 0)	600 (570)	670–710 (475–515)	3305 (2410)	[44]
Pt(111)	490	1390–1410	3280–3320	[45–47]




**Fig. 8.** Different orientations of adsorbed imido species and vibrations allowed for in-specular-reflection HREELS.

other platinum surfaces [49]. It was mentioned above that, as the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  layer is heated in vacuo, the NO molecules bound to structure defects ( $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$ ), many of which are located at the boundaries between the islands and the hexagonal phase, are the first to undergo desorption and dissociation. There is good reason to assume that the oxygen atoms resulting from  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  dissociation are also located at the island boundaries. Here, the following question arises: What behavior will be shown by oxygen atoms located in the interior of an  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  island?


In order to answer this question, we prepared an adsorption layer consisting of  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  and  $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$  on the reconstructed surface in the following way (Fig. 9). Initially, NO was adsorbed onto the surface up to a coverage of 0.2 ML. This produced  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands, and a considerable part of the surface remained reconstructed (this state of the surface is described by spectrum 1 in Fig. 9). Next, the islands were activated by heating to 400 K. This treatment removed the  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  molecules and produced oxygen atoms (see the band at  $530 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ). Additional NO adsorption caused an increase in  $\theta_{\text{NO}}$  owing to the reoccupation of those adsorption sites in the initial islands that had become vacant as a result of activation and owing to the growth of the islands. As judged from the electron energy loss spectrum,  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  molecules reappear in the adsorption layer and are located at the new boundaries between the islands and the hexagonal phase. It follows from spectrum 4 in Fig. 9 that, after the surface is exposed to 5.5 L  $\text{H}_2$  at room temperature, the intensity of the  $\nu(\text{PtO})$  band remains unchanged. Therefore, the adsorbed oxygen atoms in the islands thus prepared do not react with hydrogen.

When thermal activation at 400 K is repeated, the  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  molecules disappear again, while the  $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$  concentration increases. The adsorption layer becomes active. The reaction of this layer with hydrogen at 300 K has the same specific features as were noted above (Fig. 10): there is an induction period during which the intensity of the  $\nu(\text{NO})$  band of  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  is approximately constant, and the formation and consumption of  $\text{NH}_{\text{ads}}$  take place. The induction period is



**Fig. 9.** Electron energy loss spectra recorded successively (1) after 2 L NO adsorption at 300 K on the Pt(100)-hex surface, (2) after heating the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  layer in vacuo at 400 K, (3) after the additional adsorption of 1 L NO at 300 K, and (4) after 5.5 L hydrogen exposure at 300 K.


followed by a rapid reaction stage in which  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  is consumed. After the reaction, the  $1 \times 1$  areas are saturated with adsorbed hydrogen. However, in this case, the participation of oxygen atoms in the reaction has a significant distinctive feature, as is clear from a comparison between Figs. 9 and 10. While the  $\nu(\text{PtO})$  band in Fig. 9 disappears at the very onset of the reaction, the same band in Fig. 10 persists up to the end of the induction period, although its intensity decreases. For the sake of convincingness, we present the spectra recorded in the low-frequency region at the instant of the highest  $\text{NH}_{\text{ads}}$  concentration for once- and twice-activated  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands (Fig. 11, curves 2, 3, respectively). Figure 11 also shows the spectrum of the activated  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  layer containing  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  and  $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$  (curve 1). This spectrum indicates the precise positions of the stretching bands  $\nu(\text{Pt-NO})$  and  $\nu(\text{PtO})$  ( $470$  and  $530 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , respectively). A comparison between the three spectra presented in Fig. 11 suggests that  $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$  is indeed present



**Fig. 10.** Series of electron energy loss spectra recorded during the interaction of hydrogen with  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands obtained as described in Fig. 9 and additionally activated by heating in vacuo at 400 K. The reaction with hydrogen was conducted at 300 K.

in the adsorption layer during the titration of the twice-activated  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands at the instant the amount of  $\text{NH}_{\text{ads}}$  reaches its maximum.

To explain the changes observed in the electron energy loss spectra, we suggest the following scenario for the formation and activation of  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands and their subsequent reaction with hydrogen (Fig. 12). The unsaturated  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  adsorption layer is formed by comparably small  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands locally saturated with nitric oxide molecules in the  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  and  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  states. An example of such an island is schematically shown in Fig. 12a. It is assumed that the  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  molecules occupy defect sites at the boundaries between the islands and the reconstructed hexagonal phase. Thermal activation causes desorption of part of the NO molecules, mainly  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$ . As a result, the dissociation of other  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  molecules on the defect sites is initiated, yielding  $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$  (Fig. 12b). Reexposure to NO causes the islands to grow, and the adsorbed oxygen atoms find themselves blocked by  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  molecules inside the islands (Fig. 12c). The boundaries between the extended islands and the hexagonal phase are occupied by  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  molecules. Upon the reactivation of the



**Fig. 11.** Comparison of electron energy loss spectra recorded (1) for the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  layer activated by heating in vacuo at 425 K on the Pt(100)-hex surface, (2) during the interaction of hydrogen with  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  activated at 425 K, and (3) during the interaction of hydrogen with  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands twice activated at 400 K.


adsorption layer, these molecules generate  $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$  atoms (Fig. 12d).

With this distribution of adsorbed species in the island (Fig. 12d), when part of the  $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$  atoms is in the interior of the island and part is at the boundary between the island and the hexagonal phase, the reaction with hydrogen at 300 K can be represented in the following way. Initially, hydrogen is adsorbed on modified hexagonal surface areas around the islands (Fig. 12e). The resulting  $\text{H}_{\text{ads}}$  atoms move toward the island boundary, where they react with  $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$ . Next, during the induction period,  $\text{H}_2$  reacts with  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  molecules and  $\text{N}_{\text{ads}}$  atoms located at the island boundary (Fig. 12f). After the induction period, the reaction zone propagates throughout the island interior, where hydrogen reacts with  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  and  $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$  (Fig. 12g). The reaction ends in the complete consumption of adsorbed nitric oxide and oxygen and in the occupation of the  $1 \times 1$  areas by hydrogen (Fig. 12h).

## CONCLUSIONS

(1) A multifold increase in the hydrogen sorption capacity of the hexagonal phase takes place in the presence of  $1 \times 1$  phase islands saturated with NO molecules on the reconstructed surface. In all likelihood, hydrogen is adsorbed on limited hexagonal phase areas around  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands.

(2) The reaction between adsorbed NO and hydrogen at 300 K takes place on unreconstructed phase areas of the Pt(100) surface only when there are empty sites for NO dissociation and hydrogen adsorption. Empty sites can be produced by preheating the



**Fig. 12.** Schematic representation of the formation and activation of  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands on the Pt(100)-hex surface and of their interaction with hydrogen: (a) small  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$  islands before activation; (b) activation of the islands by heating in vacuo; (c) adsorption of extra NO; (d) reactivation of the islands; (e) hydrogen adsorption on the modified part of the hexagonal surface around the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands; (f) reaction between  $\text{H}_{\text{ads}}$  and  $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$  at the boundary of an island; (g) penetration of  $\text{H}_{\text{ads}}$  into the interior of the island, where it reacts with  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  and  $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$ ; (h) completion of the reaction between hydrogen and the  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  island, resulting in the formation of a saturated  $\text{H}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  island; (1, 2) Pt atoms in the regular structure; (3)  $\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}$ ; (4)  $\text{O}_{\text{ads}}$ ; (5)  $\text{H}_{\text{ads}}$ .

$\text{NO}_{\text{ads}}/1 \times 1$  islands in vacuo. The reaction between hydrogen and the activated islands proceeds in two steps. In the initial, slow step (induction period), hydrogen reacts with  $\text{NO}_{\text{def}}$  molecules and other species adsorbed on defects at boundaries of the islands. The slow step is followed by a rapid step, in which the reaction zone propagates throughout the surface of the unreconstructed phase and the  $\text{NO}_{1 \times 1}$  molecules, bound to unreconstructed phase areas, get involved in the reaction.

(3) Under certain conditions, imido species form and are consumed during the induction period. It is most likely that the imido species are bound to defects at the island boundaries. The electron energy loss spectrum of the species shows bands at 3185, 930, 470, and  $210 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , which were assigned to the  $\nu(\text{NH})$ ,  $\delta(\text{NH})$ ,  $\nu(\text{Pt-NH})$ , and  $T_{x,y}$  vibrations, respectively.

#### REFERENCES

1. Boreskov, G.K., *Geterogennyi kataliz* (Heterogeneous Catalysis), Moscow: Nauka, 1986.
2. Imbihl, R. and Ertl, G., *Chem. Rev.*, 1995, vol. 95, p. 697.
3. Mertens, F. and Imbihl, R., *Nature*, 1994, vol. 370, p. 124.
4. Slin'ko, M.M. and Jaeger, N.I., *Oscillating Heterogeneous Catalytic Systems*, Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1994, vol. 86.
5. Gorodetskii, V.V., *Doctoral (Chem.) Dissertation*, Novosibirsk: Inst. of Catalysis, 2001.
6. Bonzel, H.P., Broden, G., and Pirug, G., *J. Catal.*, 1978, vol. 53, p. 96.
7. Norton, P.R., Davies, J.A., Creber, D.K., Sitter, C.W., and Jackman, T.E., *Surf. Sci.*, 1981, vol. 108, p. 205.
8. Gardner, P., Tushaus, M., Martin, R., and Bradshaw, A.M., *Surf. Sci.*, 1990, vol. 240, p. 112.
9. Ritter, E., Behm, R.J., Potschke, G., and Wintterlin, J., *Surf. Sci.*, 1987, vol. 181, p. 403.
10. Hosler, W., Ritter, E., and Behm, R.J., *Ber. Bunsen-Ges. Phys. Chem.*, 1986, vol. 90, p. 205.
11. Pennemann, B., Oster, K., and Wandelt, K., *Surf. Sci.*, 1991, vol. 249, p. 35.
12. Radnik, J., Gitmans, F., Penneman, B., Oster, K., and Wandelt, K., *Surf. Sci.*, 1993, vols. 287–288, p. 330.
13. Klotzer, B. and Bechtold, E., *Surf. Sci.*, 1993, vol. 295, p. 374.
14. Zemlyanov, D.Yu., Smirnov, M.Yu., and Gorodetskii, V.V., *Catal. Lett.*, 1997, vol. 43, p. 181.
15. Barteau, M.A., Ko, E.I., and Madix, R.J., *Surf. Sci.*, 1981, vol. 102, p. 99.
16. Zemlyanov, D.Yu., Smirnov, M.Yu., and Gorodetskii, V.V., *Phys. Low-Dim. Struct.*, 1994, vols. 4–5, p. 89.
17. Zemlyanov, D.Yu., Smirnov, M.Yu., and Gorodetskii, V.V., *Catal. Lett.*, 1994, vol. 28, p. 153.
18. Zemlyanov, D.Yu., Smirnov, M.Yu., Gorodetskii, V.V., and Block, J.H., *Surf. Sci.*, 1995, vol. 329, p. 61.
19. Kaichev, V.V., Sorokin, A.M., Boronin, V.A., and Badalyan, A.M., *Avtometriya*, 1997, no. 5, p. 15.

20. Kaichev, V.V., Sorokin, A.M., Badalyan, A.M., Nikitin, D.Yu., and Moskovkin, O.V., *Prib. Tekh. Eksp.*, 1997, vol. 40, p. 575.
21. Zemlyanov, D.Yu., Smirnov, M.Yu., and Gorodetskii, V.V., *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.*, 1994, vol. 53, p. 87.
22. Lesley, M.V. and Schmidt, L.D., *Surf. Sci.*, 1985, vol. 155, p. 215.
23. Zemlyanov, D.Yu. and Smirnov, M.Yu., *React. Kinet. Catal. Lett.*, 1994, vol. 53, p. 97.
24. Zemlyanov, D.Yu., Smirnov, M.Yu., and Vovk, E.I., *Langmuir*, 1999, vol. 15, p. 135.
25. Pirug, G., Bonzel, H.P., Hopster, H., and Ibach, H., *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1979, vol. 71, p. 593.
26. Hollins, P. and Pritchard, J., *Prog. Surf. Sci.*, 1985, vol. 19, p. 275.
27. Smirnov, M.Yu., Zemlyanov, D.Yu., Gorodetskii, V.V., and Vovk, E.I., *Surf. Sci.*, 1998, vol. 414, p. 409.
28. Zemlyanov, D.Yu., Smirnov, M.Yu., and Gorodetskii, V.V., *Surf. Sci.*, 1997, vol. 391, p. 37.
29. Smirnov, M.Yu. and Zemlyanov, D., *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2000, vol. 104, p. 4661.
30. Erley, W. and Ibach, H., *Surf. Sci.*, 1982, vol. 119, p. L357.
31. Bassignana, I.C., Wagemann, K., Kupperts, J., and Ertl, G., *Surf. Sci.*, 1986, vol. 175, p. 22.
32. Takehiro, N., Mukai, K., and Tanaka, K., *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1995, vol. 103, p. 1650.
33. Gland, J.L., Fisher, G.B., and Mitchell, G.E., *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1985, vol. 119, p. 89.
34. Carley, A.F., Davies, P.R., Roberts, M.W., and Vincent, D., *Top. Catal.*, 1994, vol. 1, p. 35.
35. Afsin, B., Davies, P.R., Pashutsky, A., Roberts, M.W., and Vincent, D., *Surf. Sci.*, 1993, vol. 284, p. 109.
36. Afsin, B., Davies, P.R., Pashutsky, A., and Roberts, M.W., *Surf. Sci.*, 1991, vol. 259, p. L724.
37. Boronin, A., Pashutsky, A., and Roberts, M.W., *Catal. Lett.*, 1992, vol. 16, p. 345.
38. Dietrich, H., Jacobi, K., and Ertl, G., *Surf. Sci.*, 1997, vol. 377-379, p. 308.
39. Dietrich, H., Jacobi, K., and Ertl, G., *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1996, vol. 105, p. 8944.
40. Parmeter, J.E., Schwalke, U., and Weinberg, W.H., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, vol. 110, p. 53.
41. Rauscher, H., Kostov, K.L., and Menzel, D., *Chem. Phys.*, 1993, vol. 177, p. 473.
42. Shi, H., Jacobi, K., and Ertl, G., *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1995, vol. 102, p. 1432.
43. Dietrich, H., Jacobi, K., and Ertl, G., *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1997, vol. 106, p. 9313.
44. Wang, Y. and Jacobi, K., *Surf. Sci.*, 2002, vol. 513, p. 83.
45. Mieher, W.D. and Ho, W., *Surf. Sci.*, 1995, vol. 322, p. 151.
46. Sun, Y.-M., Sloan, D., Ihm, H., and White, J.M., *J. Vac. Sci. Technol., A*, 1996, vol. 14, p. 1516.
47. Herceg, E., Mudiyansele, K., and Trenary, M., *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2005, vol. 109, p. 2828.
48. Ibach, H. and Mills, D.L., *Electron Energy Loss Spectroscopy and Surface Vibrations*, New York: Academic, 1982.
49. Sobyenin, V.A., Boreskov, G.K., and Cholach, A.R., *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 1984, vol. 278, p. 1422.