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I ABSTRACT

A number of image analysis tasks require the registration of a surface model with an image. In the case of satellite images, the surface model may be a map or digital terrain model in the form of surface elevations on a grid of points. We develop here an affine transformation between coordinates of Multi-Spectral Scanner (MSS) images produced by the Landsat satellites, and coordinates of a system lying in a plane tangent to the earth's surface near the sub-satellite (Nadir) point.

II INTRODUCTION

Some image analysis tasks depend on the availability of a registered surface model. Registration can be accomplished by using manually identified ground control points or by matching the real image with a synthetic image calculated from the surface model using assumed reflectance properties. In either case, the form of the transformation from image coordinates to model coordinates must be known. The registration process is then used to determine the unknown parameters of the transformation.

We show here that in the case of satellite images obtained by a mechanical scanning system, such as that used on the Landsat satellites, an affine transform applies, if small, second-order effects are neglected. Such a transformation has six parameters which depend on the state of the scanning platform. Each parameter is exhibited as a function of the components of this state and other relevant fixed quantities. These equations can then be used to predict transformation parameters if the state of the scanning platform is known.

III BASIC ORBITAL GEOMETRY

Landsat is in a near-polar, retrograde, sun-synchronous orbit which is nearly circular. The nominal parameters of this orbit include a semi-major axis of 7,294,690 meters, (i.e., 916,525 meters above an earth with equatorial radius of 6,378,165 meters) and oblateness of 1 in 298.3. The nominal period is 103.267 minutes, which brings the sub-satellite point back to the same spot on earth after 251 orbits in 18 days. At the equator, neighboring sub-satellite tracks are spaced 159,380 meters. The descending node is nominally passed at 9:42 A.M. The orbital inclination is nominally 99.096°, which brings the satellite within ε = 9.092° of the north pole at the vertex V (see Figure 1). All of the parameters drift with time due to perturbing influences such as solar wind, light pressure, atmospheric drag, non-spherical distribution of masses in the earth, effects of mass expulsion, and so on. The orbit is readjusted at time using small gas discharges to maintain the positions of the ground-tracks within about 35 km of nominal and to prevent the time of north to south crossing of the equator from drifting too far from the nominal 9:42 A.M. The orbital data is derived from radio tracking information.

Points on the orbit may be conveniently referenced to the vertex V. The orbital travel distance P is measured from it, and the reference meridian passes through it. The change in geographical longitude λₙ is measured from the reference meridian (see Figure 1).

Ignoring for a moment the rotation of the earth, we find that the nominal position of the satellite, Sₙ, lies at (geocentric) latitude ϕ. The nominal heading of the satellite relative to the local meridian is given by the angle Hₙ. The relationship between orbital parameters ε, ρ and the geographical coordinates λₙ, ϕ can be established using products of rotation matrices. Here we follow a more direct route using spherical trigonometry.

Considering the right spherical triangle N E Sₙ (see Figure 1), applying the sine theorem, one finds that

\[ \sin \phi' = \cos \epsilon \cos \rho \]  
(1)

Next, from right spherical triangle V P Sₙ, one finds,

\[ \sin \lambdaₙ / \sin \rho = \sin Hₙ / \sin \epsilon \]

and, applying the cosine theorem (for angles),

\[ \cos \lambdaₙ = \sin Hₙ \cos \epsilon \]

Hence,

\[ \tan \lambdaₙ = \tan \rho / \sin \epsilon \]  
(2)

Equations (1) and (2) determine geographical coordinates ϕ', λₙ in terms of orbital parameters ε, ρ. Similarly, \( \cos Hₙ = \sin \lambdaₙ \cos \epsilon \)

Hence,

\[ \tan Hₙ = \tan \epsilon / \sin \rho \]  
(3)

Equation (3) determines nominal heading Hₙ in terms of orbital parameters ε, ρ.

The earth, of course, does rotate and so the sub-satellite point is displaced an additional amount in the direction of the local geographical
parallel, from point $S_2$ to point $S$. The latitude $\phi'$ remains unchanged while the longitude is increased by $\lambda'$ and the sub-satellite track deviates by an angle $H$ from the nominal direction. In order to calculate these quantities, one must know the angular velocities of the earth and of the satellite in its orbit. Let these quantities be $\omega_e$ and $\omega_s$, respectively.

Since the satellite retraces its path almost exactly every 18 days, after completing 251 orbits, we know the ratio of these two quantities,

$$\frac{\omega_e}{\omega_s} = \frac{d\omega_e}{d\omega_s} = 18/251$$

Hence,

$$\lambda' = \frac{\omega_e}{\omega_s} \rho$$

Actually, $\omega_s$ is not constant, unless the satellite is in a circular orbit, a point we will return to later.

At latitude $\phi'$, the earth surface is displaced a distance $R \omega_e \cos \phi' \delta t$ in a time interval $\delta t$, where $R$ is the distance of the surface from the earth's center.

The calculation of the change in heading is a little bit more complicated. If we let the satellite heading $H = H_e + H_s$, then one can see that (Figure 2)

$$\tan H = \frac{r_\omega \cos \phi' + \sin H_s}{\cos H_s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Next,

$$\tan H_e = \tan (H - H_s) = \frac{(\tan H - \tan H_s)}{1 + \tan H \tan H_s}$$

so that,

$$\tan H_e = \frac{r_\omega \cos \phi' \cos H_s}{1 + r_\omega \cos \phi' \sin H_s}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Now, from the right spherical triangle $P V S$ (Figure 1), one obtains

$$\cos \phi' \sin H_s = \sin \epsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Similarly, one finds,

$$\cos \phi' \sin H_e = \sin \rho \sin \lambda_s$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

In deriving (3), we determined that $\cos H_s = \sin \lambda_s \cos \epsilon$, so that

$$\cos \phi' \cos H_s = \sin \rho \cos \epsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Finally, we can use $\lambda$ equations (8) and (10) to simplify (7),

$$\tan H_e = \frac{r_\omega \cos \epsilon \sin \rho}{1 + r_\omega \sin \epsilon}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Equation (11) determines $H_e$ in terms of orbital parameters $\epsilon$, $\rho$ and the constant $r_\omega$. Note that $r_\omega \sin \epsilon$ is quite small (0.012) and can be ignored in approximate calculations.

Also, using (8) and (10), we can simplify (6),

$$\tan H = \frac{r_\omega (1 - \cos^2 \epsilon \cos^2 \rho) + \sin \epsilon \rho}{\cos \epsilon \sin \rho}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

To sum up, given $\epsilon$ and $\phi'$, we find the orbital travel distance $\rho$ using equation (1), the longitude relative to the reference meridian $\lambda = \lambda_s + \lambda_e$ using equations (2) and (5) and the heading $H = H_s + H_e$ using equations (3) and (11), or (12).

IV THE SCANNING PLATFORM

The satellite uses an oscillating mirror to produce the across-the-track scan. Individual lines of the image are obtained by this means. The satellite's motion in orbit provides for the other scanning direction. Successive lines are displaced along the sub-satellite track. Namely, the optical system points straight down and the mirror scanning motion is perpendicular to the velocity vector of the vehicle. In practice, there are small but significant departures from this ideal state.

Pitch and roll are measured to an accuracy of .07 degree/second. Similarly, on-board horizon sensors permit a good determination to be made of the attitude of the satellite platform. Nevertheless, these measurements are not accurate enough to permit the direct calculation of the ground coordinates corresponding to a particular picture cell. Errors of several kilometers may be encountered when this is attempted.

Ground tracking information provides good ephemeris data. However, since a picture cell in the image is only about 79 meters by 56 meters, one cannot expect the position of the satellite to be known accurately enough to predict exactly which point of the earth is imaged. Similarly, on-board horizon sensors permit a good determination to be made of the attitude of the satellite platform. Nonetheless, these measurements are not accurate enough to permit the direct calculation of the ground coordinates corresponding to a particular picture cell. Errors of several kilometers may be encountered when this is attempted.

"Precision processing" of satellite image information entails the manual identification of known ground control points on each image and the derivation of a suitable transformation based on this information. So far, this has proved too expensive and Landsat images are "bulk processed". That is, treated as if the calculated position and attitude of the satellite were exact. As a result, the final photographic products may have errors in translation of several kilometers. Fortunately, non-linear effects introduced by this approximation are small.

One might envision systems which automatically register image information with map or surface model information. In such a system, one has to model the imaging operation so that the registration process can be used to determine the unknown parameters, such as satellite position and attitude.
A clear understanding of the scanning process is required to accomplish this.

A. FININESS OF THE SCANNER MODEL

A large variety of effects contribute to the imaging transformation. Amongst these are large effects which must be considered, such as the motion of the satellite in its orbit and the rotation of the earth beneath it. There are also smaller effects which have to be judged individually. Some of these produce non-linear effects. Examples are panoramic scan distortion (the mirror scans evenly in angle, not tangent of the angle), perspective projection (which can be dealt with only if a surface model is available) and second-order effects of errors in attitude of the spacecraft. The relative importance of these effects has already been discussed by others. The most important criterion for including an effect in our model was linearity.

Fortunately, all major components of the image transformation turned out to produce linear transformation of image coordinates. Second order, non-linear effects were neglected, but turned out to contribute errors which are typically smaller than a picture cell in size. Compounding these linear transformations leads to an overall affine transformation which is easy to deal with. Such a transformation has six parameters, which may be found using the registration of the image with some surface information in the form of a map or a digital terrain model.

The six parameters, as one might expect, depend rather directly on the position of the satellite in its orbit, the attitude of the scanning platform, the orbital velocity, and the mirror-sweep velocity. It is conceivable that a system which automatically determined the parameters of the affine transformation using a matching process of real with synthetic images obtained from a terrain model, could also then proceed to estimate the underlying orbital data. A satellite equipped with such a system would be able to determine its position or attitude more accurately than it might using predicted ephemeris data obtained from expensive ground tracking efforts.

B. NOMINAL PARAMETERS OF LANDSAT IMAGING SYSTEM

The following summarizes the nominal parameters of the Landsat imaging system (nominal parameters drift):

Orbit:
- Apogee = 917 km
- Perigee = 898 km
- Inclination = 99.1° (Retrograde orbit)
- Anomalous period = 103.267 minutes
  [That is, 256 orbits in 18 days]
- Equatorial Earth radius = 6378 km
- Polar Earth radius = 6357 km
- Equatorial speed of rotation = 463.8 m/sec
- Average ground track speed of satellite = 6457 m/sec

Mirror-Scanner:
- Frequency = 13.260 Hertz
  [That is, 6 lines are scanned every 73.42 msec]
- One line every 12.237 msec
- Lines spaced by = 79.0 meters at nominal height
- 390 scans per image
- That is, 2340 scan-lines per image
  [This takes 28.63 seconds and covers = 185 km]

Pixel Information:
- Instantaneous field of view = 79 m x 79 m
- Mirror amplitude = ±2.886°
- Total scan distance = 11,545°
- That is = 185 km at nominal altitude
- Pixels per line (nominal) = 3240
- Sampling interval = 9.958 msec
- Time to scan m(six) lines (in parallel) = 32.238 msec
- Total Image Size:
  = 2340 x 3240 = 7,581,600 pixels

V IMAGE COORDINATE TRANSFORMATION

Let the pixels be numbered sequentially within each scan line and let the scan lines be numbered sequentially. Then \( x_s \) will be the number of a pixel counted from the \( s \) beginning of a scan-line, while \( y_s \) will be the number of a line counted from the beginning of a particular image. (Actually, this is arbitrary since the scanner does not start or stop at image boundaries; the continuous stream is segmented into images by ground processing). These will be called satellite coordinates.

Now erect a coordinate system in the region of interest. First construct a tangent plane and let the \( x \)-axis run in the west-to-east direction, and the \( y \)-axis in the south-to-north direction. Now add a \( z \)-axis going vertically up (we ignore the non-spherical nature of the earth and other such minor effects). We will use the notation \((x_e, y_e)\) for points on the surface. The satellite can also be located in this earth coordinate system. At some reference time \( t_0 \), it is at \((x_0, y_0)\) and has attitude \( \alpha(\text{roll}), \beta(\text{pitch}), \) and \( \gamma(\text{yaw}) \). The three attitude angles will be assumed to be small.

At time \( t \), the scanner will also be at a particular point in its scan of the image. Let it be scanning the \( x_s \)-th pixel in the \( y_{so} \)-th line of the image. If the \( 30^\circ \) sensor was pointing straight down (that is, \( \alpha = 0 \) and \( \beta = 0 \)), it would be imaging the sub-satellite point \((x_0, y_0)\).

At this point we introduce a convenient artifact, a spherical earth fixed relative to the orbit of the satellite. That is, a spherical surface which is also sun-synchronous, rotating once a year. Later we will take into account the fact that the earth rotates underneath the satellite.
We will first develop the coordinate transformation for the case of a fixed surface because it is easier to understand this transformation. Here it is convenient to refer pixel locations to the reference point \((x_s, y_s)\)
\[
x_1 = (x_s - x_{so}) \quad \text{and} \quad y_1 = (y_s - y_{so}) \quad (14)
\]
Let the angular scanning velocity produced by the mirror during its linear phase be \(\omega_m\) (about 5.21 rad/sec) and let \(t_s\) (9.958 usec) be the sampling interval during the scan, then, on a surface at a distance \(z_o\) from the satellite and perpendicular to the extension of the optical axis of its scanning system, we find a cross-track scanning amplitude \(x_2\) as follows,
\[
x_2 = (\omega_m z_o t_s) x_1 \quad (15)
\]
In the along-track direction, the motion of the satellite in its orbit provides for the scanning and so,
\[
y_2 = (\omega_s R t_s) y_1 \quad (16)
\]
where \(R\) is the distance of the surface from the center of the earth (about 6370 km), while \(\omega_s\) is the angular velocity of the satellite in its orbit (about 1.014 milli-radians/sec) and \(t_s\) is the interval between successive scan-lines (12.237 milli-seconds). [Actually six lines are scanned simultaneously every 73.42 milli-seconds.]

At this point, we note that because of possibly non-zero yaw, the cross-track scanning may not be perfectly perpendicular to the along-track scan. This skewing effect can be taken care of as follows (Figure 3),
\[
x_3 = x_2 \cos \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad y_3 = y_2 - x_2 \sin \gamma \quad (17)
\]
We still have to deal with the effects of roll and pitch. For small angles, these will have the effect of shifting the imaged area by an amount proportional to the product of the angles and the distance to the surface being imaged. Secondary, non-linear effects (such as bending of the scanning line) will be ignored, as will non-commutativity of rotations.

Thus the effects of non-zero roll and pitch can be introduced,
\[
x_4 = x_3 - \alpha z_o \quad \text{and} \quad y_4 = y_3 - \beta z_o \quad (18)
\]
where \(z_o\) is the height of the satellite above the surface as before. The coordinate system above lies on the tangent plane of the (fixed) sphere. One coordinate axis \((y)\) points backward along the sub-orbital track, while the other \((x)\) lies at right angles to it. We would prefer to work with a system which is aligned with local north. The angle between the local meridian and the sub-satellite track (on the fixed earth) is \(\gamma\). We can rotate coordinates into a new system as follows (Figure 4),
\[
x_5 = x_4 \cos H_s + y_4 \sin H_s \quad (19)
\]
\[
y_5 = -x_4 \sin H_s + y_4 \cos H_s \quad (20)
\]
In this new coordinate system, the \(y\)-axis points north and the \(x\)-axis east. Finally, we are ready to introduce the rotation of the earth. It has no effect on the value of \(y\), of course, but does introduce a shift in \(x\) which depends on the time when a particular pixel is imaged. For a particular line of the image, this time can be calculated relative to the time \(t_s\) when the reference line was imaged. For line number \(s\), this time interval equals \(t_s (y_s - y_{so}) = -t_s y_1\). In this time interval, the earth has rotated in an easterly direction by an amount which depends on the latitude.
\[
x_6 = x_5 + (\omega_e R \cos \phi' t') y_1 \quad (21)
\]
\[
y_6 = y_5
\]
where \(\omega_e\) is the angular rate of the earth (about 72.722 micro-radians/sec) while \(\phi'\) as before is the (geocentric) latitude, and \(R\) the distance of the surface from the center of the earth.

To obtain coordinates in the original system \((x, y)\), we must add the coordinates of the sub-satellite point \((x_o, y_o)\),
\[
x_e = x_6 + x_o \quad \text{and} \quad y_e = y_6 + y_o \quad (22)
\]

A. THE OVERALL TRANSFORMATION

All the partial transformations determined by equations (15) through (22) can be combined to obtain,
\[
x_e = \cos (H_s + \gamma)(\omega_m z_o t_s) x_1 + [\sin H_s (\omega_s R t_s) + \cos \gamma (\omega_e R t')] y_1 + [x_o - (\alpha \cos H_s + \beta \sin H_s) z_o]
\]
\[
y_e = -\sin (H_s + \gamma)(\omega_m z_o t_s) x_1 + \cos H_s (\omega_s R t_s) y_1 + [y_o - (\alpha \sin H_s + \beta \cos H_s) z_o]
\]
The transformation is of the form,
\[
x_e = a x_1 + b y_1 + c
\]
\[
y_e = d x_1 + e y_1 + f
\]
This is an affine transformation, where the six parameters are given by
\[
a = (\omega_m z_o t_s) \cos (H_s + \gamma) \quad (25)
\]
\[
b = (\omega_s R t_s) \sin H_s + (\omega_e R t') \cos \gamma \quad (26)
\]
\[
c = x_o - (\alpha \cos H_s + \beta \sin H_s) z_o \quad (27)
\]
\[
d = -(\omega_m z_o t_s) \sin (H_s + \gamma) \quad (23)
\]
\[
e = (\omega_s R t_s) \cos H_s \quad (29)
\]
\[
f = y_o - (\alpha \sin H_s + \beta \cos H_s) z_o \quad (30)
\]

B. ATTITUDE/ALTITUDE RATES

Pitch, roll and yaw drift during the scanning of a single image. The rates are less than .015 degrees/second. A constant rate of change, \(\beta\) for pitch has the same effect as a change in along-track ground velocity of \(\beta z_o\). That is, equation (18) becomes,
\[
y_2 = (\omega_s R + \beta z_o) t_s y_1 \quad (31)
\]
The transformation is altered only in the appearance of \((\omega_s R + \beta z_o) t_s\). In place of \((\omega_s R t_s)\), typical \(\omega_s\) values for \(\omega_s R\) and \(3z_o\) are 8458 and 32 meters/second respectively. \(\beta\) (when \(\beta = .002\) degrees/second). This, then, is a small but noticeable change (= .5%).

1979 Machine Processing of Remotely Sensed Data Symposium
A constant rate of change, \( \dot{\alpha} \), of roll has little effect on the scanning of a single line since \( \dot{\alpha} z_0 \gg \dot{z} \). Successive lines, however, are shifted laterally by \( \dot{\alpha} z_0 t \). That is, equation (17) becomes,

\[
x_3 = x_2 \cos \gamma + (\dot{\alpha} z_0 t_2) y_1 \quad \text{and} \quad y_3 = y_2 - x_2 \sin \gamma
\]

This causes additional skewing of the image. Here, typical values are \( \omega_m z_0 t_s = 56.5 \) meters and \( \alpha t_0 t_s = .4 \) meter (for \( \alpha = .002 \) degree/second). Again we see a small, but noticeable change (\( \approx 0.7\% \)), resulting in additional skewing (\( \approx .5\% \)).

The vertical component of the velocity vector (altitude rate) and also the yaw rate do not contribute to linear changes in the imaging transformation. The first produces a change of lateral scale from one end of the image to the other, the second a tilt of image lines at one end of the image relative to those at the other end. Such small, non-linear effects are ignored. Except for these two, however, all twelve components of the state of the scanner platform influence the transformation parameters.

If yaw rate and altitude rate are included, one finds small terms in \( x_3 y_1 \) (and \( y_3 \)). The transformation is then no longer an affine transformation. For small regions, the effects of these terms can be ignored -- for images which are large fractions of a standard Landsat image, they cannot. In the latter case, one has to include other non-linear terms we have ignored in any case and then the transformation can be expressed with sufficient accuracy by two second-order polynomials.

C. THE OVERALL TRANSFORMATION (FINAL FORM)

Using equations (31) and (32), the transformation parameters are now:

\[
a = (\omega_m z_0 t_s)^2 \cos (H_3 + \gamma) \quad \text{(33)}
\]

\[
b = (\omega_m R + \beta z_0) t_s \sin H_3 + \left(\dot{\alpha} z_0 t_2\right) \cos H_3 + \left(\omega_m R + \beta t_s\right) \cos \phi' \quad \text{(34)}
\]

\[
c = x_0 \left[-(\alpha \cos H_3 + \beta \sin H_3) z_0 \right] \quad \text{(35)}
\]

\[
d = \left[-(\dot{\alpha} z_0 t_2\right) \sin (H_3 + \gamma) \quad \text{(36)}
\]

\[
e = (\omega_m R + \beta z_0) t_s \cos H_3 - \left(\alpha \cos H_3 + \beta \sin H_3\right) z_0 \quad \text{(37)}
\]

\[
f = y_0 \left[-(\alpha \sin H_3 + \beta \cos H_3) z_0\right] \quad \text{(38)}
\]

We see here that the transformation parameters depend on the timing \((t_s,t_2)\) of the scanning system, the mirror scan velocity \(\omega_m\), the position of the satellite relative to the tangent plane coordinate system \((x_0,y_0,z_0)\), the orbital velocity vector (as it affects \(\omega_m\) and \(H_3\)), the attitude of the scanner \((\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\), the attitude of the satellite \((\dot{\alpha}, \dot{\beta}, \dot{\gamma})\), and the latitude, \(\phi\).

We can use these equations to predict approximate transformation parameters from estimated values of satellite position, attitude and velocity in orbit. Conversely, if we can use ground control points or digital terrain models to determine the coefficients of the transformation more precisely, we can try and improve the estimates we have of satellite position and attitude. Unfortunately, a rigid body has six degrees of freedom (position and attitude) and so its state has twelve components (position, velocity, attitude and attitude rate). Clearly, then, knowing the six parameters of the affine transformation at one instant of time is not sufficient to permit a calculation of the vehicle’s state. From the form of the equations for \(c\) and \(f\) it becomes clear, for example, that one cannot distinguish in our model between displacements of the satellite across the track and small roll errors. Similarly, displacements along the track have the same effects as small pitch errors. Thus two of the six components of position and attitude cannot be found this way.

VI ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

A. FIGURE OF THE EARTH

To calculate the displacement of points due to the rotation of the earth, and to relate the geocentric distance of the satellite to its altitude above the surface, one needs to be able to calculate the distance of a point on the earth’s surface from the earth’s center. To a first approximation, a meridional cross-section through the earth is an ellipse (Figure 5) with semi-major axis, \(a = 6,378,165\) meters at the equator; and semi-minor axis, \(b = 6,356,783\) meters at the poles.

If we introduce a coordinate system with the \(x\)-axis along the semi-major axis and the \(y\)-axis along the semi-minor axis, then the geocentric latitude, \(\phi'\) is defined by,

\[
\tan \phi' = y/x
\]

The more commonly used geographic latitude, \(\phi\), is the angle between a local normal to the surface and the equatorial plane. Thus,

\[
\tan \phi = dy/dx
\]

Using the equation for the ellipse, it can be shown that

\[
\tan \phi' = (a/b)^2 \tan \phi
\]

and that the distance \(R\) of a point from the center is

\[
R = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = a \sqrt{\sin^2 \phi' + \frac{b^2 \cos^2 \phi'}{a^2}}
\]

The height of a surface feature above mean sea-level must be added to this.

B. ORBITAL VELOCITY

Since a satellite’s angular velocity in a non-circular orbit is not constant it is useful to relate this to other quantities. Using Kepler’s second law, it can be shown that

\[
\omega = \frac{2 \pi ab}{t^2}
\]
where \( r \) is the geocentric altitude of a satellite whose orbit is an ellipse with semi-major axis, \( a \), and semi-minor axis, \( b \), and where \( T \) is the complete period of the satellite. For a more detailed analysis, see Lyndanne's modification of Brouwer's analysis of satellite orbits. 5, 6

**C. MAP DISTORTION**

So far we have ignored the fact that the spherical surface of the earth cannot be represented on a planar map without distortion. Up to this point, coordinates have been referred to a hypothetical plane tangent to the earth's surface at a point in the region of interest. Typically, a surface model will be derived from a map with a different projection and a transformation must be established between the two coordinate systems.

It can be shown that for typical map projections such as transverse Mercator and conformal oblique axis cylindrical there exists a small rotation \( H_m \) of map coordinates, where

\[
\sin H_m = \sin \phi \sin (\theta - \theta_0)
\]

(44)

Here the projection is centered on a point at longitude \( \theta_0 \) and latitude \( \phi_0 \) and the point of interest is at longitude \( \theta \) and latitude \( \phi \). Consequently, the map coordinates, \((x_m, y_m)\), are related to the geographical coordinates on the tangent plane \((x, y)\) by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x_m \\
  y_m
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
  \cos H_m & -\sin H_m & 0 \\
  \sin H_m & \cos H_m & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  x \\
  y
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(45)

where \( s \) is the scale of the given map. There will also be a small scale change which varies with \( 1/\cos (\phi - \phi_0) \) for transverse Mercator and with \( 1/\cos \phi \) for conformal oblique axis cylindrical projection. Typically, this effect is so small that it may be ignored.

The affine transformation, (23) and (24) must be pre-multiplied by an augmented rotation matrix \( M \) to correctly relate satellite image coordinates to map coordinates.

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
  \cos H_m & -\sin H_m & 0 \\
  \sin H_m & \cos H_m & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(46)

For a general discussion of map projections, see Thomas. 7 A new projection, the space oblique Mercator, has been introduced by Colvocoresses, 8 analyzed by Synder, 9 and adopted as standard for Landsat by EROS Data Center. 10

**VII NUMERICAL EXAMPLE**

Landsat image number 1078-09555, produced 1972/October/9 shows a region of Switzerland including a mountain range called "Dent de Morcles". The image annotation data suggest that at the nadir the geographic latitude was 45.1917° and the heading 193.11324°. Using equation (41), we see that the geocentric latitude of the nadir point is 45.7274° and so, using equation (8), it appears that the orbital inclination must have been \( \epsilon = 9.11° \).

The altitude is given as 915,724 meters near the region of interest, which lies at an average of 1700 meters above average sea level. So \( z = 914 \) km. The region of interest lies at geographic latitude \( \phi = 46.25° \) (geocentric latitude \( \phi' = 46.06° \)), while the scanner at that time is above geographic latitude \( \phi = 46.40° \) (geocentric latitude \( \phi' = 46.21° \)). At this latitude, the angular velocity of the satellite is slightly above its average rate. Using equation (43),

\[
\omega_s = \frac{2\pi}{T} = \frac{2\pi}{13.62 \times 60} = \frac{251 \times 1.00967 \text{ radians/second}}{18}
\]

Further,

\[
\omega_e = \frac{2\pi}{T_e} = \frac{2\pi}{13.62 \times 60} = \frac{8.2 \times 1.00967 \text{ radians/second}}{18}
\]

The image annotation also gives,

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha & = -0.0688 \text{°/second} \\
\beta & = -0.0109 \text{°/second} \\
\gamma & = 0.0089 \text{°/second}
\end{align*}
\]

Using equation (42), the earth radius near the region of interest is 6,367,081 meters. Adding the average elevation above sea level, we get \( R = 6,366,800 \) meters.

Using equation (1), one finds that \( p = 43,021 \) and by equation (8), that \( H_m = 13,226° \). Further, \( H_s + Y_s = 13,460° \). Then also, \( w_m z_0 t_s = 56,521 \) meters, \( (\omega_e R + \dot{z}_e t_e) = 79,582 \) meters, \( H_s = 9.312 \) meters, and \( w_e R + \dot{z}_e t_e = 5,667 \) meters.

So, finally,

\[
\begin{align*}
a & = 54.969 \\
b & = 21.837 \\
c & = 2919 \\
d & = -13.156 \\
e & = 77.543 \\
f & = 915.724
\end{align*}
\]

We can invert the above transformation to obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
x_m & = 0.01704 x - 0.00480 y \quad + x_0' \\
y_m & = 0.0289 x + 0.01208 y \quad + y_0'
\end{align*}
\]

where,

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  x' \\
  y'
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
  a & b \\
  d & e
\end{bmatrix}^{-1}
\begin{bmatrix}
  c \\
  f
\end{bmatrix}
\]

A digital terrain model for the area was obtained for automatic registration experiments using synthetic image. 11 This model was given on a 100 x 100 meter grid, such that \( x_e = 100 \times i \) and \( y_e = 100 \times j \). Thus,

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 & = 1.704 i - 0.480 j + x_0' \\
y_1 & = 0.289 i - 1.208 j + y_0'
\end{align*}
\]
The digital terrain model was obtained from existing topographic maps. The national map of Switzerland is based on a conformal oblique axis cylindrical projection with center at the city of Bern. 
\[ \phi = 46^\circ 15' \]
\[ \lambda = 7^\circ 26' 20'' \]
The region of interest covered by an available terrain model lies at 
\[ \phi = 7^\circ 8' \]
\[ \lambda = 46^\circ 57' 10'' \]
The resultant equations can be used to predict approximate transformation parameters from estimated values of satellite position, attitude and velocity in orbit. Conversely, if ground control points or digital terrain models are used to determine the coefficients of the transformation more precisely, the estimates of satellite position and attitude may be improved.
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APPENDIX I: LOCAL SOLAR TIME

An immediate application of the results developed is the determination of the local solar time at the sub-satellite point. (This gives one some idea of what the position of the sun is likely to be.) Let the time of the descending node be T. That is, the satellite crosses the equator from North to South when the local solar time is T (for Landsat this is nominally 9:42 A.M, but tends to vary as the orbit drifts and is readjusted).

If a point is observed when the satellite has progressed $\rho$ in its orbit from the vertex V (see Figure 1), then it still has to travel through an angle $(90^0 - \rho)$ before reaching the equator. This will take an amount of time which can be expressed in hours as 

$$t = \frac{(90^0 - \rho)}{15}.$$

Furthermore, the point of observation lies $(90^0 - \lambda_s)$ ahead of the point of equator crossing in longitude. Thus the local solar time is later by a time which, when expressed in hours, comes to $(90^0 - \lambda_s)/15$. Finally, then, one sees that the local solar time at the sub-satellite point is

$$T = T_0 + \frac{(90^0 - \lambda_s)}{15} - \frac{r}{r} \frac{(90^0 - \rho)}{15},$$

where $\tan \lambda_s = \tan \rho / \sin e$ (2). Because $r = 18/251$, one finds that the first term predominates. As a result, points North of the equator, imaged earlier in the orbit, are observed at local solar time after $T_0$ while points South of the equator, imaged later in the orbits, are observed at local solar time before $T_0$.

APPENDIX II: USING GROUND CONTROL POINTS

An alternate method of estimating transformation parameters is based on the identification of points of known ground position in the image. Since the affine transformation has six parameters, one needs to locate three such points. Let the coordinates of the points be $(x_1, y_1)$, $(x_2, y_2)$ and $(x_3, y_3)$ in the image and $(x'_1, y'_1)$, $(x'_2, y'_2)$ and $(x'_3, y'_3)$ on the map, then

$$x'_1 = a x_1 + b y_1 + c,$$
$$y'_1 = d x_1 + e y_1 + f,$$
and so on. Thus,

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & 1 \ x_2 & y_2 & 1 \ x_3 & y_3 & 1 \ \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x'_1 \\ x'_2 \\ x'_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x'_1 & y'_1 & 1 \\ x'_2 & y'_2 & 1 \\ x'_3 & y'_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly, 

$$\begin{bmatrix} d \\ e \\ f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x'_1 & y'_1 & 1 \\ x'_2 & y'_2 & 1 \\ x'_3 & y'_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If more than three points can be identified, better accuracy is available using a least-squares procedure. That is, if

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & y_1 & 1 \\ x_2 & y_2 & 1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n & y_n & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then a good set of values for the parameters is

$$a = \left( M^T M \right)^{-1} M^T x_1,$$
$$b = \left( M^T M \right)^{-1} M^T x_2,$$
$$c = \left( M^T M \right)^{-1} M^T x_3,$$
$$d = \left( M^T M \right)^{-1} M^T y_1,$$
$$e = \left( M^T M \right)^{-1} M^T y_2,$$
$$f = \left( M^T M \right)^{-1} M^T y_3.$$

Typically, the accuracy obtained by fitting a discrete set of ground control points has been found to be inferior to the area-based matching of real and synthetic images.11
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Figure 4. Aligning Axes with North-South
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