Purdue University Purdue e-Pubs

Purdue Fruit and Vegetable Research Reports

Purdue Fruit and Vegetable Connection

12-1-2001

Fresh Market Tomato Cultivar Observation Trial for Northern Indiana, 2001

Elizabeth Maynard *Purdue University - Main Campus*, emaynard@purdue.edu

Follow this and additional works at: http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/fvtrials Part of the <u>Agricultural Science Commons</u>, and the <u>Horticulture Commons</u>

Maynard, Elizabeth, "Fresh Market Tomato Cultivar Observation Trial for Northern Indiana, 2001" (2001). *Purdue Fruit and Vegetable Research Reports*. Paper 42. http://docs.lib.purdue.edu/fvtrials/42

This document has been made available through Purdue e-Pubs, a service of the Purdue University Libraries. Please contact epubs@purdue.edu for additional information.

Fresh Market Tomato Cultivar Observation Trial for Northern Indiana, 2001

Elizabeth T. Maynard

Northwest Commercial Horticulture Program, Purdue University North Central, Westville, IN 46391

Fresh market tomatoes were grown in an unreplicated trial at the Pinney-Purdue Agricultural Center in Wanatah, Indiana. The trial included 11 red beefsteak types, one yellow stuffing type, and one small-fruited yellow type. Details of cultural practices and data collection are listed below.

Plot size:	1 row by 16 ft. Rows on beds centered 5 ft apart, plants 2 ft apart in row.
Soil type:	Tracy sandy loam.
Fertilization:	120 lb./A N from urea and 170 lb./A K ₂ O from 0-0-60 applied and incorporated
	before planting. Transplant starter solution supplied 1.2 lb./A N, 5.9 lb./A P2O5, and 2.0 lb./A K2O from 9-45-15 (1.5 lb. in 50 gal. water).
Planting:	Seeded April 17 in 128-cell flats, transplanted May 30. Trained in a trellis- weave system except for Tigerette which was too short.
Weed control:	4-ft wide black plastic mulch on bed, Sencor 4F between plastic at 0.5 pt./A June 11, and hand-weeding.
Disease control:	Quadris, 5 oz./A July 6, Aug. 14; Bravo Weather Stik, 2 pt./A, July 18, Aug. 3; Kocide, 2 pt./A, July 18, 27, Aug. 3, 20.
Insect control	Dipel, 1 lb./A, Aug. 3, 20.
Irrigation:	Drip irrigation beneath plastic mulch as needed.
Harvest:	Fruit harvested Aug. 10, 17, 27-30, Sept. 5, 10-11. Graded into marketable
	(U.S. No. 1 and No. 2) and culls.
Data collected:	Weight and number of marketable fruit; weight of culls.

Results are reported in Table 1. Marketable yield of the beefsteak cultivars ranged from 11.5 to 18.4 lb. per plant, equivalent to 500 to 800 cwt per acre. The highest-yielding cultivars were Sun Leaper and Sunstart, both with more than 18 lb. per plant. The cultivars Fabulous, Florida 47, Florida 91, JTO 00197, RFT 6153 and Royal Mountie all yielded within 10% of the well-known Mountain Spring, which produced 15.2 lb. per plant. JTO 99203 and EX 1703292 were the lowest yielding beefsteak cultivars. If harvests had been continued beyond Sept. 11 it is likely that the rankings for marketable yield would have differed since later-maturing varieties were still producing marketable fruit. Average fruit weight for beefsteak types ranged from 0.36 lb. to 0.59 lb. Florida 91 and Fabulous produced the largest fruit, 0.59 lb., and 0.58 lb., respectively. Cultivars with fruit size within 10% of Mountain Spring (0.5 lb.) included Florida 47, JTO 99197, JTO 99203, RFT 6153 and Sunstart. Sun Leaper and Royal Mountie produced the smallest fruit. The earliest cultivars were Sunstart and Royal Mountie, both of which produced about 1/2 of marketable yield by Aug. 29. The latest cultivars were Florida 91, Florida 47, and JTO 99203, which produced less than 1/5 of marketable yield by Aug. 29. Culls represented from 11% to 32% by weight of all fruit. JTO 99203 had the greatest percentage of culls due to small size and cracking. All beefsteak cultivars were semideterminate and reached a height of 3 to 4 ft. Cultivars with the most vigorous vines on Sept. 7 included Fabulous, Florida 91, Florida 47, and RFT 6153.

Two specialty cultivars were included in the trial. Tigerette is a small determinate plant less than 2 ft. tall with yellow-green foliage. The pale yellow egg-shaped fruit averaged about 1.8 in. long and 1.6 in. wide and weighed about 1.5 oz. each. Although the low yield makes it unsuitable for commercial production, it might have potential as a novelty bedding plant where it could be promoted as a variety for patio planters, or as an 'edible ornamental' for its unusual foliage color. Yellow Stuffer is an indeterminate plant producing yellow, jelly-less fruit with seeds attached to a central core, similar to a pepper. It may have a place in novelty tomato markets but probably would require substantial promotion, including recipes for use, before significant demand would develop.

Originally published in Midwestern Vegetable Variety Trial Report for 2001. Compiled by Mario R. Morales and Liz Maynard. Bulletin No. 808. Dept. of Horticulture and Office of Agricultural Research Programs, Purdue University, W. Lafayette, Indiana. December 2001.

Table 1. Yield and fr	uit size	of thirteen	tomato varie	ties in an ur	replicated	trial, Wana	tah, Indiana	, 2001.	
		Marketab	le Yield per	Average Wt.	Early M	larketable Yi	ield per	Total Yield	%
		ח	lant	per Fruit	Plani	t (8-10 to £	3-30)	per Plant	Culls
Cultivar	O	Number	Weight (Ib.)	(Ib.)	Number	Weight (Ib.) %by Wt.	(Ib.)	
EX 1703292	ଝ	28	13.7	0.49	7.1	4.0	29	17	18
Fabulous	SW	29	16.6	0.58	10.3	6.4	38	19	15
Florida 47	AS	31	16.2	0.53	3.6	2.0	12	18	
Florida 91	AS	27	16.3	0.59	2.4	1.4	ω	19	14
JTO 99197	ನ	32	14.3	0.45	11.9	6.1	42	17	17
JTO 99203	ನ	23	11.5	0.50	3.5	1.9	16	17	32
Mountain Spring	S	31	15.2	0.50	5.3	3.2	21	19	18
RFT 6153	Š	32	15.6	0.49	7.3	3.6	23	19	20
Royal Mountie	SW	45	16.2	0.36	18.6	7.9	48	19	14
Sun Leaper	Š	42	18.4	0.43	11.6	5.9	32	22	17
Sunstart	AS	40	18.1	0.45	21.3	9.5	52	22	17
Tigerette	ST	44	4.1	0.09	15.8	1.4	34	ນ	14
Yellow Stuffer	ST	25	10.4	0.42	7.0	2.7	26	14	23
Grand Mean		33	14.4	0.45	9.7	4.3	30	17	18